

**ITEM 4B**

# **APPENDIX A**

City Council Motion CF-20-0875

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON POLICE REFORM REPORT relative to alternative models and methods that do not rely on armed law enforcement to achieve transportation policy objectives.

Recommendation for Council action, as initiated by Motion (Harris-Dawson - Bonin - Price - Wesson - Ryu):

1. DIRECT the Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT), with assistance of the City Administrative Officer (CAO), Chief Legislative Analyst (CLA), Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD), and the City Attorney, to develop and issue a Request for Proposals (RFP) seeking a consultant to conduct a study on the feasibility of utilizing civilian enforcement of traffic laws for motorists, cyclists, and other forms of transportation occurring within the City of Los Angeles.
2. DIRECT that City departments consider the following in the development of the RFP detailed above in Recommendation No. 1:
  - a. A review of the Los Angeles Municipal Code, the California Vehicle Code, and other relevant traffic laws for any outdated enforcement sections that could be decriminalized or removed.
  - b. A review of unarmed traffic enforcement techniques from around the United States as well as any international models.
  - c. The size of the City of Los Angeles in population and square mileage. d. The diversity of the City, including but not limited to:
    - i. Racial demographics.
    - ii. The number of languages spoken.
    - iii. The number of people living in poverty.
    - iv. Any other factors that may be relevant to developing this type of program to meet the needs of City residents.
3. DIRECT the LADOT to create an advisory task force to make recommendations to the LADOT for traffic safety alternatives and convene community meetings to solicit feedback in regard to community needs.
4. DIRECT the LAPD to report in regard to the top five most cited traffic violations, as well as the number of vehicle stops and arrests for traffic enforcement/violations including data on the gender and ethnicity of those cited or arrested, broken down by bureau, traffic division, and station for 2018-19 and 2019-20.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Neither the CAO nor the CLA has completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: Yes

For:  
Arroyo Seco Neighborhood Council

Summary:

On October 23, 2020, your Committee considered a Motion (Harris-Dawson - Bonin - Price - Wesson - Ryu) relative to alternative models and methods that do not rely on armed law enforcement to achieve transportation policy objectives.. According to the Motion, people of different races and ethnicities have different access to, experiences with, and feelings of safety with mobility in Los Angeles, especially in interactions with law enforcement. In the transportation industry, national experts are increasingly recognizing that policing of public spaces reduces mobility for some members of the public, particularly Black and Latino people. These barriers to mobility have cascading impacts on access to job and educational opportunities, healthcare, and parks and open space, all of which contribute to the wide disparities in income, health, and well being experienced in Los Angeles. Low-income communities of color bear the brunt of traffic violence in Los Angeles due to decades of disinvestment in safe streets infrastructure and policies that prioritize through traffic over local residents' mobility needs. In 2015, when the City endorsed the Vision Zero Initiative to end traffic fatalities through a combination of education, engineering, and enforcement strategies, mobility justice advocates immediately feared yet another campaign to over-police their communities and lack of follow-through on investment in tangible safety improvements. These consistent critiques, many from leaders based here in Los Angeles, have now grown into a broad consensus among transportation industry' leaders that police involvement can actually undermine traffic safety goals and that a police-led response to what is fundamentally a disinvestment issue is harmful, costly, and counterproductive. After consideration and having provided an opportunity for public comment, the Committee moved to recommend approval of the Motion as amended and detailed in the above recommendations. This matter is now submitted to Council for its consideration.

Respectfully Submitted,

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON POLICE REFORM

| <u>MEMBER</u>  | <u>VOTE</u> |
|----------------|-------------|
| WESSON:        | YES         |
| KORETZ:        | YES         |
| LEE:           | ABSENT      |
| CEDILLO:       | YES         |
| HARRIS-DAWSON: | YES         |
| ARL            |             |

10/23/20

**-NOT OFFICIAL UNTIL COUNCIL ACTS-**

# **APPENDIX B**

Task Force Roster

## Appendix B: Task Force Roster

### **TASK FORCE ROSTER**

City of Los Angeles Traffic Enforcement Alternatives Advisory Task Force

#### **Task Force Members**

---

1. Chauncey Smith (President)
2. Asiyahola Sankara (Vice President)
3. Benjamin Pezzillo
4. Candyce Kornblum
5. Elmer G Roldan
6. Jesus "Chuy" Garcia
7. Leslie Cooper Johnson
8. Lin Min Kong
9. Ma'ayan Dembo
10. Moises Gomez
11. Patricia Joyce Strong-Fargas
12. Rae Huang
13. Yolanda Davis-Overstreet

# **APPENDIX C**

Task Force Application Form

## Appendix C: Task Force Application Form

# LADOT Traffic Enforcement Study Community Advisory Task Force Application

Application period closes Friday, December 10, 2021. Applications may be submitted online using the web form, via email to [ladot-advisory-tf@lacity.org](mailto:ladot-advisory-tf@lacity.org) or in-person at LADOT HQ 10th Floor ATTN: Vision Zero/Traffic Enforcement Advisory Task Force, 100 S. Main St., Los Angeles, CA 90012. Resumes can be emailed to [ladot-advisory-tf@lacity.org](mailto:ladot-advisory-tf@lacity.org) but shall not replace an application. If assistance is needed in completing the application please contact: 213-972-4973. \*\*\*In accordance with Los Angeles City Ordinance 187134, candidates must meet the minimum requirement of being fully vaccinated against COVID-19 or receive an exemption and report their vaccination status prior to being hired by the hiring City department. The ordinance is available at [https://clkrep.lacity.org/onlinedocs/2021/21-0921\\_ord\\_187134\\_8-24-21.pdf](https://clkrep.lacity.org/onlinedocs/2021/21-0921_ord_187134_8-24-21.pdf)

\* Required

1. Email \*

---

2. Full Name \*

---

3. Street Address \*

---

4. City \*

---



5. Zip Code \*

---

6. Phone Number \*

---

7. Do you have any relationships (professional, financial, organization affiliation, or otherwise) that may present a potential conflict of interest in working with the City or the Traffic Enforcement Study Advisory Task Force? \*

---

---

---

---

---

8. Are you a current or former member of any other City committees? If yes, please describe: \*

---

---

---

---

---

9. What mode of transportation do you use? \*

---

10. How often do you travel in the City of Los Angeles? \*

*Mark only one oval.*

- Once per week
- Twice per week
- Three times per week
- More than three times per week

11. Please identify your experience or areas of interest. Check all that apply. \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Academia
- Equitable Transportation
- Judiciary/Law Enforcement
- Public Health
- Public Safety
- Racial Justice
- Social Services

Other:  \_\_\_\_\_

12. Describe the experience, knowledge, technical skills, and/or education, professional or otherwise which you possess regarding the area(s) selected above.

\*

---

---

---

---

---

---

13. Please state your reason(s) for applying to the LADOT Traffic Enforcement Study Advisory Task Force. \*

---

---

---

---

---

14. What are your top three goals for your tenure on the Advisory Task Force if your application is accepted? \*

---

---

---

---

---

15. Optional Resume

Files submitted:

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# **APPENDIX D**

## Task Force Meeting List

## Appendix D: Task Force Meeting Summary

# TASK FORCE MEETING SUMMARY

City of Los Angeles Traffic Enforcement Alternatives Advisory Task Force

### Meeting Dates

1. June 23, 2022
2. August 11, 2022
3. September 28, 2022
4. October 24, 2022
5. November 17, 2022
6. December 15, 2022
7. January 12, 2023
8. January 26, 2023
9. February 2, 2023
10. February 16, 2023
11. April 20, 2023
12. August 2023

### Meeting Topics

The following is a summary of meeting topics. In some cases, these topics were covered or revisited over a series of meetings. Topics are listed in rough chronological order.<sup>1</sup>

1. Task Force responsibilities and administrative requirements
2. Project context + Traffic Enforcement Study scope of work
3. Alignment on study's problem statement
4. Review of and feedback on the following consultant team deliverables:

---

<sup>1</sup> All agendas, minutes, and reports for Brown Act meetings are here: <https://ladot.lacity.org/about/advisory-committees>

- a. Literature review findings and proposed case study approach
  - b. Proposed quantitative analysis approach and preliminary findings
  - c. Expert interview protocol and interviewee list
  - d. Legal interview protocol and interviewee list
  - e. Draft study findings and recommendations
5. Task force-led self-enforcing streets literature review
  6. LAPD's existing and new policies, including the March 2022 pretextual stops policy
  7. Task force-led recommendations criteria
  8. Discussions on study recommendations
  9. Consideration of study recommendations and final report text