

## Region 1 Ad Hoc Memo

**To:** Los Angeles City Council Redistricting Commission

**From:** Commissioner Valerie Lynne Shaw, Commissioner Rev. Eddie Anderson, Commissioner Charisse Bremond, Commissioner Nam Le, Commissioner Miguel Martinez

**Date:** September 13, 2021

**Re:** Region 1 Summary of Principles

**Background:** These principles were developed during four Region 1 meetings. These principles were developed by consulting written Community of Interest Testimonies submitted by the public; public testimony given at various of the Commission's public hearings; and publicly submitted maps sent to the Commission. Region 1 encompasses Council Districts 8, 9, 10 and 15.

---

### Principles:

Region 1's main principles for developing the City Council District Map are as follows:

- The overall work of the Commission should be grounded in the imperative to adopt recommendations for district lines which comply with the Voting Rights Act, adhere to the Charter requirement to keep communities and other well established communities of interest intact to the extent feasible, and acknowledge the unique challenges presented by the undercount.
- The reduction of the split of Neighborhood Councils, LA Times-defined neighborhoods and other well-established communities of interest boundaries is a significant consideration.
  - This is a common theme throughout the public testimonies, as individuals explain the difficulty of working with multiple council members for their Neighborhood Council efforts. This also aligns with the Fair Maps Act requirement of keeping neighborhoods intact to the extent feasible.

### Alternative #1:

- Leave council districts 8, 9, 10 and 15 as they are, with the only change being the consolidation of the Crenshaw Plaza into council district 8.
- The above stated principle is subject to the Undercount Analysis Study that will be received in October.

Alternative #2:


- Reduce the number of districts representing Koreatown, with the southern portion of the Wilshire-Koreatown Neighborhood Council remaining in Council District 10 from 6<sup>th</sup> Street south.
- Place a portion of Watts into council district 9.
- Consolidate Crenshaw Plaza into council district 8.
- The above stated principles are subject to the Undercount Analysis Study that will be received in October.

Public Submitted Maps that motivated the above principles:

Map 42477

Principles met:

Leave council districts 8, 9, 10 and 15 as they are.




Public Submitted Maps that motivated the above principles:

Baptist Ministers Conference

Principles met:

Leave council districts 8, 9, 10 and 15 as they are.


**District: 08**



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	08
PL94POP	247,513
Deviation	-12,403
% Deviation	-4.77%
VAP	190,137
% VAP	76.82%
CVAP	141,241
% CVAP	74.28%
LATPOP	147,954
% LATPOP	59.78%
LATVAP	108,392
% LATVAP	57.01%
LCVAP	61,290
% LCVAP	43.39%
WHIPOP	8,138
% WHIPOP	3.29%
WHIVAP	7,079
% WHIVAP	3.72%
WHICVAP	6,574
% WHICVAP	4.65%
BLAPOP	76,670
% BLAPOP	30.98%
BLAVAP	62,141
% BLAVAP	32.68%
BLACVAP	68,168
% BLACVAP	48.26%
ASIPOP	7,417
% ASIPOP	3%
ASIVAP	6,740
% ASIVAP	3.54%
ASICVAP	3,614
% ASICVAP	2.56%


## District: 09



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	09
PL94POP	258,595
Deviation	-1,321
% Deviation	-0.51%
VAP	186,906
% VAP	72.28%
CVAP	111,519
% CVAP	59.67%
LATPOP	200,277
% LATPOP	77.45%
LATVAP	140,215
% LATVAP	75.02%
LCVAP	70,925
% LCVAP	63.6%
WHIPOP	8,887
% WHIPOP	3.44%
WHIVAP	7,925
% WHIVAP	4.24%
WHICVAP	6,567
% WHICVAP	5.09%
BLAPOP	37,814
% BLAPOP	14.62%
BLAVAP	28,911
% BLAVAP	15.47%
BLACVAP	29,243
% BLACVAP	26.22%
ASIPOP	7,665
% ASIPOP	2.96%
ASIVAP	7,137
% ASIVAP	3.82%
ASICVAP	3,789
% ASICVAP	3.4%

## District: 10



Field	Value
District	10
PL94POP	259,350
Deviation	-566
% Deviation	-0.22%
VAP	212,889
% VAP	82.09%
CVAP	146,590
% CVAP	68.86%
LATPOP	122,593
% LATPOP	47.27%
LATVAP	92,945
% LATVAP	43.66%
LCVAP	49,155
% LCVAP	33.53%
WHIPOP	25,943
% WHIPOP	10%
WHIVAP	23,503
% WHIVAP	11.04%
WHICVAP	19,657
% WHICVAP	13.41%
BLAPOP	53,552
% BLAPOP	20.65%
BLAVAP	45,713
% BLAVAP	21.47%
BLACVAP	48,291
% BLACVAP	32.94%
ASIPOP	49,816
% ASIPOP	19.21%
ASIVAP	44,791
% ASIVAP	21.04%
ASICVAP	26,775
% ASICVAP	18.27%

## District: 15



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	15
PL94POP	261,619
Deviation	1,703
% Deviation	0.66%
VAP	196,683
% VAP	75.18%
CVAP	148,593
% CVAP	75.55%
LATPOP	163,964
% LATPOP	62.67%
LATVAP	116,836
% LATVAP	59.4%
LCVAP	77,396
% LCVAP	52.09%
WHIPOP	37,399
% WHIPOP	14.3%
WHIVAP	33,119
% WHIVAP	16.84%
WHICVAP	31,938
% WHICVAP	21.49%
BLAPOP	32,579
% BLAPOP	12.45%
BLAVAP	23,963
% BLAVAP	12.18%
BLACVAP	23,390
% BLACVAP	15.74%
ASIPOP	20,807
% ASIPOP	7.95%
ASIVAP	17,663
% ASIVAP	8.98%
ASICVAP	13,314
% ASICVAP	8.96%


Public Submitted Maps that motivated the above principles:

Women of Southern Christian Leadership Conference-Southern California

Principles met:

Leave council districts 8, 9, 10 and 15 mainly as they are.

**District: 08 and Vicinity**



Field	Value
District	08
PL94POP	253,525
Deviation	-6,391
% Deviation	-2.46%
VAP	195,354
% VAP	77.06%
CVAP	144,933
% CVAP	57.17%
LATPOP	148,918
% LATPOP	58.74%
LATVAP	109,180
% LATVAP	55.89%
LCVAP	61,925
% LCVAP	31.7%
WHIPOP	9,587
% WHIPOP	3.78%
WHIVAP	8,427
% WHIVAP	4.31%
WHICVAP	7,404
% WHICVAP	5.11%
BLAPOP	79,012
% BLAPOP	31.17%
BLAVAP	64,180
% BLAVAP	32.85%
BLACVAP	69,830
% BLACVAP	48.18%
ASIPOP	8,310
% ASIPOP	3.28%
ASIVAP	7,482
% ASIVAP	3.83%
ASICVAP	4,138
% ASICVAP	2.85%


## District: 09 and Vicinity



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	09
PL94POP	255,542
Deviation	-4,374
% Deviation	-1.68%
VAP	184,985
% VAP	72.39%
CVAP	109,611
% CVAP	42.89%
LATPOP	197,309
% LATPOP	77.21%
LATVAP	138,196
% LATVAP	74.71%
LCVAP	69,548
% LCVAP	37.6%
WHIPOP	9,154
% WHIPOP	3.58%
WHIVAP	8,178
% WHIVAP	4.42%
WHICVAP	6,689
% WHICVAP	6.1%
BLAPOP	37,041
% BLAPOP	14.5%
BLAVAP	28,338
% BLAVAP	15.32%
BLACVAP	28,516
% BLACVAP	26.02%
ASIPPOP	8,146
% ASIPPOP	3.19%
ASIVAP	7,606
% ASIVAP	4.11%
ASICVAP	3,852
% ASICVAP	3.51%

## District: 10 and Vicinity



Field	Value
District	10
PL94POP	248,588
Deviation	-11,328
% Deviation	-4.36%
VAP	204,302
% VAP	82.18%
CVAP	142,513
% CVAP	57.33%
LATPOP	114,666
% LATPOP	46.13%
LATVAP	86,836
% LATVAP	42.5%
LCVAP	46,012
% LCVAP	22.52%
WHIPOP	25,796
% WHIPOP	10.38%
WHIVAP	23,338
% WHIVAP	11.42%
WHICVAP	19,694
% WHICVAP	13.02%
BLAPOP	53,985
% BLAPOP	21.72%
BLAVAP	46,109
% BLAVAP	22.57%
BLACVAP	48,948
% BLACVAP	34.35%
ASIPOP	46,796
% ASIPOP	18.82%
ASIVAP	42,137
% ASIVAP	20.62%
ASICVAP	25,108
% ASICVAP	17.62%

## District: 15 and Vicinity



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	15
PL94POP	265,757
Deviation	5,841
% Deviation	2.25%
VAP	199,636
% VAP	75.12%
CVAP	150,824
% CVAP	56.75%
LATPOP	167,082
% LATPOP	62.87%
LATVAP	118,997
% LATVAP	59.61%
LCVAP	78,814
% LCVAP	39.48%
WHIPOP	37,433
% WHIPOP	14.09%
WHIVAP	33,149
% WHIVAP	16.6%
WHICVAP	31,970
% WHICVAP	21.2%
BLAPOP	33,478
% BLAPOP	12.6%
BLAVAP	24,647
% BLAVAP	12.35%
BLACVAP	24,159
% BLACVAP	16.02%
ASIPOP	20,819
% ASIPOP	7.83%
ASIVAP	17,675
% ASIVAP	8.85%
ASICVAP	13,318
% ASICVAP	8.83%