

Review of
LADWP
Feed-In-Tariff
Proposal for
DWP Board

Frederick H. Pickel, Ph.D Office of Public Accountability / Ratepayer Advocate, City of Los Angeles

opa@LAcity.org

tel. 213-482-6814

January 11, 2013



OPA Conclusions on LADWP's Proposed Feed-In-Tariff Proposal

- The proposed FIT pricing provides a substantial subsidy above the costs of other renewable energy sources.
 - > FIT pricing of 17 cents/kWh, declining to 13 cents/kWh, is far above the "avoided cost" or incremental cost or market price of other renewable sources.
 - The avoided cost of renewables is estimated to be 9 to 12.5 cents/kWh.
- □ "Pay no more than avoided cost" has been the utility industry standard since 1978.
 - Paying more than avoided cost forces cost increases on LADWP's customers, and creates risk of job losses in the City's business sectors.
 - When prices for purchased power have been set above avoided cost, the excess costs and investment have created large secondary problems.
- □ The LADWP FIT 100 MW program creates an <u>additional \$100 million burden</u> on LA's customers, a 40 to 44% premium over avoided cost.
 - ➤ This assumes 11 cents/kWh avoided cost versus FIT contracts at 17 cents/kWh to 13 cents/kWh, over the 20 year life of the 100 MW in FIT projects.
 - This \$100 million FIT burden is in addition to the cost of the State's Renewable Portfolio Standard achieved through larger-scale projects and a technologies mix.



What's the Alternative?

- □ Some FIT-scale developers claim they can create profitable projects with FIT pricing at 11 to 12 cents/kWh (with time-of-day adjustments as proposed by DWP's contract appendix), so pricing above avoided costs is unnecessary.
- □ FIT pricing at a reasonable estimate of avoided cost from renewables, 11 cents/kWh with DWP's time-of-day adjustments, can eliminate the higher FIT pricing burden.
 - This would allow the most efficient developers to move ahead in a period with declining costs for solar facilities.
 - LADWP's contracting and interconnection study processes have to be continuously streamlined to facilitate this development.
- ☐ The program should be assessed in 6 months.
 - If it is moving to full subscription, an extension at lower pricing may be warranted.
 - ➤ If the program is having issues with low subscription levels, it should not be priced above avoided cost. In this case, the State FIT efforts should be reconsidered in light of high costs and customer burden (the State impact could be 10 times LA's for a State-wide 1,000 MW program).



SUPPORTING ANALYSIS



DWP FIT as Proposed

					FIT Price on Summer pm	FIT with	Pricing n									
				Day	Peak Price	Ave	rage							Dis	counted Over-	
				Adjusted	x2.25	ToD	ToD		oided	Over-	MWh / yr		Undiscounted	Payment 20 yr		
FIT Tier	MW	FIT	Pricing	FIT Pricing	multiplier	Multiplier		Cost		Payment	at 19.6%	Over-Payment	Over-Payment	Term at DWP		
in MW	in Tier	(\$/I	kWh)	Multiplier	(\$/kWh)	(\$/kWh)		(\$/ kWh)		(\$/kWh)	Cap Factor	per Year	20 yr Term	Cost of Debt 5%		
0-10	10	\$	0.170	1.054	\$ 0.383	\$	0.179	\$	0.110	\$ 0.069	17,170	\$ 1,187,793	\$ 23,755,859	\$	14,802,525	
10-25	15	\$	0.160	1.054	\$ 0.360	\$	0.169	\$	0.110	\$ 0.059	25,754	\$ 1,510,238	\$ 30,204,760	\$	18,820,904	
25-50	25	\$	0.150	1.054	\$ 0.338	\$	0.158	\$	0.110	\$ 0.048	42,924	\$ 2,064,644	\$ 41,292,888	\$	25,730,033	
50-75	25	\$	0.140	1.054	\$ 0.315	\$	0.148	\$	0.110	\$ 0.038	42,924	\$ 1,612,225	\$ 32,244,509	\$	20,091,893	
75-100	25	\$	0.130	1.054	\$ 0.293	\$	0.137	\$	0.110	\$ 0.027	42,924	\$ 1,159,806	\$ 23,196,130	\$	14,453,752	
				Cur	rent Scenario	\$	\$ 0.154		0.110	\$ 0.044		\$ 7,534,707	\$ 150,694,145	\$	93,899,107	
						Sun	nmary fo	or FIT Base Price of \$0.17/kWh, s				pping down in b	locks, plus ToD a	adjustments		
						Avg FIT Price, \$/kWh		Α	voided	Over-	Over-		Undiscounted	Discounted		
									Cost,	Payment,	Payment	Annual Over-	20 Yr Over-	1	20 Yr Over-	
								\$/kWh		\$/kWh	%	Payment	Payment	Payment		
						_		L.		 	ļ		1			
						\$	0.154	Ş	0.125	\$ 0.029	23%	\$ 4,959,267	\$ 99,185,345	\$	61,803,432	
						\$	0.154	\$	0.110	\$ 0.044 	40%	\$ 7,534,707	\$ 150,694,145	\$	93,899,107	
						\$	0.154	\$	0.090	\$ 0.064	71%	\$ 10,968,627	' \$ 219,372,545	\$	136,693,340	



DWP FIT with LA BC Alternative

				Time-of-	FIT Price on Summer pm	wit														
				Day	Peak Price		erage											scounted Over-		
				,	x2.25	-		Avoided		Over-		MWh / yr				Undiscounted		Payment 20 yr		
FIT Tier	MW		Pricing		multiplier			Cost		,		at 19.6%	Over-Payment		Over-Payment		Term at DWP			
in MW	in Tier	(\$/k	Wh)	Multiplier	(\$/kWh)	(\$/	(\$/kWh)		′ kWh)	(\$,	kWh)	Cap Factor	pe	r Year	20	yr Term	Со	st of Debt 5%		
0-20	20	\$	0.170	1.054	\$ 0.383	\$	0.179	\$	0.110	\$	0.069	34,339	\$	2,375,586	\$	47,511,717	\$	29,605,051		
20-40	20	\$	0.160	1.054	\$ 0.360	\$	0.169	\$	0.110	\$	0.059	34,339	\$	2,013,651	\$	40,273,014	\$	25,094,538		
40-60	20	\$	0.150	1.054	\$ 0.338	\$	0.158	\$	0.110	\$	0.048	34,339	\$	1,651,716	\$	33,034,310	\$	20,584,026		
60-80	20	\$	0.140	1.054	\$ 0.315	\$	0.148	\$	0.110	\$	0.038	34,339	\$	1,289,780	\$	25,795,607	\$	16,073,514		
80-100	20	\$	0.130	1.054	\$ 0.293	\$	0.137	\$	0.110	\$	0.027	34,339	\$	927,845	\$	18,556,904	\$	11,563,002		
				Cur	rrent Scenario	\$	0.158	\$	0.110	\$	0.048		\$	8,258,578	\$	165,171,552	\$	102,920,131		
						Su	Summary for		or FIT Base P		e of \$0.:	17/kWh, ster		pping down in 20		0 MW blocks, plu		us ToD adjmnts		
											Over-	Over-			Undiscounted		Discounted			
							Avg FIT	Α	voided	Pa	yment,	Payment	/	Annual Over-		20 Yr Over-	1	20 Yr Over-		
							Price		Cost		/kWh	%	Payment		Payment		Payment			
												Ì								
						\$	0.158	\$	0.125	\$	0.033	26%	\$	5,683,138	\$	113,662,752	\$	70,824,456		
						\$	0.158	\$	0.110	\$	0.048	44%	\$	8,258,578	\$	165,171,552	\$	102,920,131		
												l	1							
						\$	0.158	\$	0.090	\$	0.068	76%	\$	11,692,498	\$	233,849,952	\$	145,714,365		