

RALPH M. TERRAZAS FIRE CHIEF

July 17, 2015

BOARD OF FIRE COMMISSIONERS FILE NO. 15-081

TO: Board of Fire Commissioners

FROM: Ralph M. Terrazas, Fire Chief

SUBJECT: PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE 2014

BRUSH CLEARANCE ASSESSMENT APPEALS

1	FINAL ACTION:	Approved	Approved w/Corrections	Withdrawn
		Denied	Received & Filed	Other

SUMMARY

Invoices setting forth the cost of abatement, administrative fee, and/or a noncompliance fee were mailed to property owners. A letter was included in the invoice informing the property owner if they wished to present evidence that shows cause why the property should not be assessed for the cost of abatement or not be assessed in the amount specified in the invoice, and that the Fire Department would schedule them for a hearing.

The Brush Clearance Unit held the 2014 Brush Clearance Assessment Appeals at the Marvin Braude Center located in San Fernando Valley from June 22 through June 30, 2015.

This year the Fire Department received 84 requests from property owners who chose to appeal the imposed assessment. The cases were randomly distributed to the Hearing Officers in keeping with the recent California Supreme Court's decision regarding administrative hearings and the City Attorney's recommendation in this matter.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Board:

- Approve the Hearing Officer's "Proposed Decision and Recommendations" (Attachment 1).
- 2. Direct the Fire Department to mail the notification letter (Attachment 2), to the property owner regarding the Board of Fire Commissioners findings, conclusion, and proposed assessment.
- 3. Transmit the Board of Fire Commissioners approved report and proposed decision of the Hearing Officers to the City Attorney.

- 4. Transmit the Board of Fire Commissioners approved report to the Mayor for placement on the City Council calendar.
- 5. Upon City Council adoption of the report, direct the Fire Department to forward the report to the Los Angeles County Tax Collector for placement on the Los Angeles County 2015 Property Tax rolls.

FISCAL IMPACT

Property owners are assessed an administrative fee of \$938.00 and the cost of clearance to abate the hazard for failure to comply with brush clearance requirements. The average cost of clearance is \$800 to \$1200.

For the 2014 brush season, 84 appeal requests were received from property owners electing to appeal their assessments. Of the 84 appeals received, 38 were granted or reduced.

The Brush Clearance Assessment Hearings estimated total revenue is \$95,447.00.

DISCUSSION

The Hearing Officers have considered the evidence and they have received testimony from Departmental personnel with respect to the existence of a nuisance and cost of abatement.

The report identifies and includes the name and mailing address of the owner of each parcel from which a fire nuisance was abated. In addition, the reports include the substance of protest, Departmental information and proposed decision, and recommendation as to whether or not the proposed assessment should be: (1) confirmed in the amount set forth in the invoice, (2) waived, or (3) confirmed in an amount less than set forth in the invoice.

CONCLUSION

Upon approval, a transmittal to the attached "Report, Proposed Decision and Recommendations" by the Board of Fire Commissioners will be sent to the City Council for adoption and placement on the Los Angeles County 2015 Tax rolls. This will concluded the 2014 Brush Clearance Assessment Appeals.

Board report was prepared by Robert Knight, Captain II, Brush Clearance Unit.

Attachments:

Attachment 1: Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Attachment 2: Notification Letter

LOS ANGELES FIRE DEPARTMENT BRUSH CLEARANCE UNIT

ATTACHMENT 1



2014 BRUSH CLEARANCE
ASSESMENT HEARINGS
REPORT, PROPOSED DECISIONS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS

PAGE	APN	Owner Name	Council District
4	2017018041	ARAKELYAN,ANNA	12
6	2017020042	ISAAC,MOISE C AND	12
8	2175015038		3
9	2184025030	TABIBIAN,SEAN	5
10	2275024005	CLARK,DON W AND NAN D TRS	5
12	2275029009	SPEAR 1 LLC	5
14	2278020012	MICHELSON,ALEXEI D GDN	5
15	2380014034	RUBIKON LLC	2
16	2384015035	3230 BLANCHARD LLC / SUNWEST	2
18	2428023006	NERAMIT LC	4
19	2429016042	SILVA,ALEJANDRO AND	4
22	2542007014	KIM,KWANG S AND LUCY H	2
24	2542008004	MARSOT, VANESSA	2
27	2564017011	LEE,LEA K	2
30	2564027009	LUTZ,ARNOLD	2
33	2569005045	WYLIE, VICTORIA AND	2
35	2601020026	MAHONY,MARY L TR	12
37	4355016049	TEHRANI,KIA Z	5
38	4356017017	SEABRIGHT HILLS DEVELOPER LP	5
40	5206018026	RICCI,LEONARD J TR	1
41	5206018030	HUNG,CHI DER	1
42	5206024014	SUAREZ,HENRY J	1
43	5208013006	KWAN DEVELOPMENT CORP	1
44	5208013007	KWAN DEVELOPMENT CORP	1
45	5208017014	RITTNER,CARLOS AND	1
46	5208017015	RITTNER,CARLOS	1
47	5208017016	RITTNER,CARLOS AND	1
48	5208017017	RITTNER,CARLOS AND	1
49	5208018027	SANCHEZ,CHARLES L JR	1
53	5208018028	THORPE, KENNETH P. JR AND GRACE D	1
54	5208019032	CHU NGUYEN	1
55	5216015031	SANCHEZ,LUIS AND	14
56	5217010022	SALEK,ALIREZA	14
58	5223019018	CHURBINA INVESTMENTS LLC.	14
59	5306009037	TEJON REAL ESTATE LLC	14
61	5309004032	COVARRUBIAS,RAYMOND	14
62	5309012041	ROMERO,DAWN L	14
63	5309013034	GUZMAN,SONIA A	14
65	5438004008		13
67	5443024003	,	13
69	5443032014	,	13
71	5455013026	HJORTH,TRAVIS	1
72	5459021013	MISIRIAN,HAGOP AND	14
73	5460021031	DAHI,NABIL	14

PAGE	APN	Owner Name	Council District
74	5460021032	DAHI,NABIL	14
75	5460021033	DAHI,NABIL	14
76	5460021034	DAHI,NABIL	14
77	5460021035	DAHI,NABIL	14
78	5460021036	DAHI,NABIL	14
79	5460021037	DAHI,NABIL	14
80	5460022031	DAHI,NABIL	14
81	5460022032	DAHI,NABIL	14
82	5460022033	DAHI,NABIL	14
83	5460022034	DAHI,NABIL	14
84	5460022035	DAHI,NABIL	14
85	5460022036	DAHI,NABIL	14
86	5460022037	DAHI,NABIL	14
87	5460022038	DAHI,NABIL	14
88	5460022039	DAHI,NABIL	14
89	5460022040	DAHI,NABIL	14
90	5460022041	DAHI,NABIL	14
91	5460022042	DAHI,NABIL	14
92	5460022043	DAHI,NABIL	14
93	5460022044	DAHI,NABIL	14
94	5460022045	DAHI,NABIL	14
95	5460022046	DAHI,NABIL	14
96	5462010022	LOUIE,PETER W	14
98	5471014010	ATWATER PARTNERS LLC	14
100	5472003065	KRN HOLDINGS LLC	14
101	5472003066	KRN HOLDINGS LLC	14
102	5479001015	URBANITE HOMES LLC	14
103	5479003004	CLONTS,MATTHEW AND	14
104	5480032025	PETERS,LARRY AND JOYCE C	14
105	5480032026	PETERS,LARRY AND JOYCE C	14
106	5563003012	DESANTO,DOMINIQUE	5
107	5563003013	DESANTO,DOMINIQUE	5
108	5564015043	LEVY,ARNAUD AND TANIA TRS	5
110	5565033035	NAZAR,JOSE L	5
111	5575004009	WEYER,FRANK M	4
112	5576004036	KAHANA,TAL TR	4
114	5576013067	JZ INVESTMENTS LLC AND	4
115	5579011015	HILLA GROUP LLC	4
116	5586002019	FIRST AMERICAN TRUST CO TR	4
117	5683025017	ROBLES,GHIL AND LEONCIA TRS	14

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014181022

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 12

NAME: ARAKELYAN,ANNA

MAILING ADDRESS: 6432 AGNES AVE # 6

NORTH HOLLYWOOD, CA 91606

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT CLOSE TO LIMEROCK TR.

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2017018041 / INVOICE NO: BC15000128

ASSESSMENT: \$2,188.00

	+- ,	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$1,250.00	\$938.00	\$2,188.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant requested a hearing in-writing, and provided a lengthy letter signed and dated June 17, 2015. Stated that she owned the property since 2009, and indicated that even though she lives far away she ensures that her property is maintained.

Appellant indicated a Notice was sent out in July with an August 3, 2014, compliance due date. She indicated that she and her father had been going to the property intermittently and doing clean-up, and to later find two Non-Compliance invoices. She said the City contractor did not remove a dead tree as they were required to.

Appellant argued that there were literally layers of trash and debris that needed clearance, and that over 70 bags of vegetation were removed. Appellant indicating finding the process of appeal distressing.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on July 14, 2014, with a compliance due date of August 3, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 11, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 11, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 8, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was

ARAKELYAN,ANNA 2017018041 Page 2

afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required. No return mail was received.

The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

The Total assessment due is \$2,188.00.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014181020

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 12

NAME: ISAAC, MOISE C AND

MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 19578

LOS ANGELES, CA 90019

SITUS ADDRESS: 8470 HILLCROFT DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2017020042 / INVOICE NO: BC15000169

ASSESSMENT: \$1,133.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$195.00	\$938.00	\$1,133.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant, Moise Isaac, did not appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance hearing scheduled for June 24, 2015. Before the scheduled hearing, Appellant submitted the 2014 Brush Clearance Appeal Form with a letter dated March 3, 2015. In the letter, Appellant stated he was surprised to have received a Cost of Clearance invoice and should have been notified in advance prior to the clearance.

As proof of compliance, Appellant submits a cleared check from his banking institution as proof the property was cleaned by his gardener. Appellant stated that he did his best to comply before the second inspection. Appellant further stated that he is receiving social security benefits and this financial burden is unbearable.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 28, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 18, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 18, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 16, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department and Appellant's written statement and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee.

ISAAC,MOISE C AND 2017020042 Page 2

According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant's current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address. The Department's records also indicate that the property was properly posted with signs. The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard. No notices are shown to have been returned by the United States Post Office.

It is the property owner's responsibility to ensure that all work performed on their property, especially after the inspection notices, complies with the brush clearance requirements. The Appellant's statement that he paid his gardener to clear the property may be true but according to the Department's records at the time of inspection, the property was still in noncompliance of the City's brush clearance requirements.

In regards to the Appellant's request to reduce or waive the fee based on the fee being a financial burden, at this time such request cannot be granted as the Appellant did not provide any evidence to support such claim.

Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee cannot be considered as the Appellant did not present sufficient proof to substantiate his position. The Hearing Officer recommends that the Total Assessment due be upheld.

The Total assessment due is \$1,133.00.

HEARING DATE: June 245, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014184007

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 3

NAME: BOFA HOLDINGS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 9453 DE SOTO AVE

CHATSWORTH, CA 91311 4920

SITUS ADDRESS: 4924 QUEEN VICTORIA RD

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2175015038 / INVOICE NO: BC15000391

ASSESSMENT: \$3,018.00

	+ -)	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$2,080.00	\$938.00	\$3,018.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant did not appear at a hearing scheduled for June 24, 2015. In a threatening letter sent from a law firm dated February 5, 2015, the writer objecting to what were deemed to be unreasonably expensive brush clearance charges billed to his client, without what he deemed to be prior "warning or notice." The letter also indicated that the Appellant would seek to depose the contractor, and whoever ordered the clean-up stemming from the charges, and alleged potential malfeasance and wrongdoing on the part of the City.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on June 6, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 16, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 16, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 4, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required.

No return mail was received. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

The Total assessment due is \$3,018.00.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014184004

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 5

NAME: TABIBIAN, SEAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 3341 CAHUENGA BLVD W

LOS ANGELES, CA 90068 1327

SITUS ADDRESS: 4576 MARTSON DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2184025030 / INVOICE NO: BC15000458

ASSESSMENT: \$1,433.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$495.00	\$938.00	\$1,433.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant did not appear at the Brush Clearance hearing scheduled for June 24, 2015. No letter was provided setting forth appellant's reasons for contest.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 27, 2014, with a compliance due date of June 17, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 28, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 28, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 13, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required. No return mail was received.

The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

The Total assessment due is \$1,433.00.

HEARING DATE: June 26, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014174015

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 5

NAME: CLARK, DON W AND NAN D TRS

MAILING ADDRESS: 14771 MULHOLLAND DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E/O 14771 MULHOLLAND

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2275024005 / INVOICE NO: BC15000615

ASSESSMENT: \$3,888.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$2,950.00	\$938.00	\$3,888.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant, Nancy Clark, appeared at the scheduled Brush Clearance Assessment Hearing on June 26, 2015. Before the scheduled hearing, Appellant submitted the 2014 Brush Clearance Appeal Form.

On the 2014 Brush Clearance Non-Compliance Fee Appeal Form, Appellant indicated that she did not receive the 1st or 2nd Notice of Noncompliance. Appellant also stated that she has a lot of help to keep the brush down, indicating again that she did not personally receive notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 9, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 9, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 9, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 22, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department and Appellant's written statement and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee.

Although there are Department comments which indicated notices sent to the Appellant were return by the United States Post Office, the Hearing Officer cannot

CLARK,DON W AND NAN D TRS 2275024005 Page 2

find such to be a dismissal of fees based on the following:

According to the Appellant's Los Angeles County Assessor Parcel Information/Detail, the Appellant has owned the property since September 13, 1990.

Since then, property owners in "Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone" have been educated to the fact that although the initial brush clearance inspection begins May 1st of every year, brush clearance is a year round responsibility.

Therefore, absent notice sent via mail, as a long time property owner in the area, the Appellant should have known about the annual brush clearance inspections. It must also be noted that notice of noncompliance was properly posted on the property.

It must also be mentioned that it is the property owner's responsibility to ensure that all work performed on their property complies with the brush clearance requirements.

The Appellant's statement that she paid workers to clear the property may be true but according to the Department's records at the time of inspection, the property was still in noncompliance of the City's brush clearance requirements.

Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss such fees cannot be fully considered as the Appellants did not present sufficient proof to substantiate their position.

The Hearing Officer recommends that since their does appear to have been notices mailed to the Appellant that was returned, it is recommended that the administrative fee be waived and that the appellant be responsible for the cost of clearance as a benefit was conferred on the property.

The Total assessment due is \$2,950.00.

HEARING DATE: June 26, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014174015

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 5

NAME: SPEAR 1 LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 4318 CORONET DR.

ENCINO, CA 91316

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L EAST OF 14345 MULHOLLAND DRI

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2275029009 / INVOICE NO: BC15000623

ASSESSMENT: \$1,533.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$595.00	\$938.00	\$1,533.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant appeared at the Brush Clearance hearing scheduled on June 26, 2015, accompanied by his son, Mr. Alexander Toomians, in whose name the property was transferred to. Appellant stated that he did not receive notice, and has owned the property since 1989.

Appellant added that he felt that the weed growth was too low to necessitate cutting down.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 9, 2014, with a compliance due date of June 2, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 10, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 10, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 22, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant's current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address.

The Department's records indicate that the property was properly posted with signs. The Department has no documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had been fully completed by the property owner according to.

SPEAR 1 LLC 2275029009 Page 2

the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazards prior to contractor cleanup.

The Department records do indicate that mail was returned. The Notice of noncompliance came back, "Return To Sender." Other mail came back as "Undeliverable."

Since there does appear to have been notices mailed to the Appellant that was returned, it is recommended that the Administrative fee be waived. Since a benefit was conferred on the property, it is recommended that Appellant be responsible only for the Cost of Clearance.

Therefore the Total assessment due would be \$595.00.

HEARING DATE: June 25, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014174038

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 5

NAME: MICHELSON, ALEXEI D GDN

MAILING ADDRESS: 16161 VENTURA BLVD 227

ENCINO, CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 15045 SHERVIEW PL

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2278020012 / INVOICE NO: BC15000649

ASSESSMENT: 3,688.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$2,750.00	\$938.00	\$3,688.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant appeared through an employee from the property management group that maintains the said property. The management Group hires a gardener once a year who did some work on the very large property but in no way was told to clear the greater part of the property leaving it with extensive brush and at serious fire risk and the owner with a high cost of clearance and assessment.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 13, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 15, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 15, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 7, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded, the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance

The Total assessment due is \$3,688.00.

HEARING DATE: June 22, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014174027

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2

NAME: RUBIKON LLC, EMIT ZATIKYAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 9665 WILSHIRE BLVD #890

BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 2342

SITUS ADDRESS: 11200 DONA LOLA DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2380014034 / INVOICE NO: BC15000789

ASSESSMENT: \$3,138.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$2,200.00	\$938.00	\$3,138.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant did not appear at the scheduled hearing; however, his designated agent represented him. The appellants agent admitted he did some electrical work for the Appellant but had no personal knowledge of the administrative workings of the office (did not receive mail and did not work in the office.) The designated agent therefore, could give no valid statements regarding the evidence of the case.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 9, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 12, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 12, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 11, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance

Total assessment due is \$3,138.00

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014178013

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2

NAME: SUN WEST MORTGAGE COMPANY

MAILING ADDRESS: 18000 STUDEBAKER RD

CERRITOS CA 90703

SITUS ADDRESS: 3712 ALTA MESA DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2384015035 / INVOICE NO: BC15000888

ASSESSMENT: \$1,538.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$600.00	\$938.00	\$1,538.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant did not appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance hearing scheduled on June 24, 2015. A review of the case file showed an invoice from "Green Leaf – Tree Service and Brush Clearing" dated August 28, 2014, for an amount of \$800.00. The invoice noted, "Brush clearance to meet Fire Department regulations. Clean up and haul away debris."

In a letter signed and dated February 17, 2015, Appellant "Marco Management LLC" wrote that the above invoice had only been approved on August 28, 2014, with the expectation the clearance work to be done the next day.

However, when the vendor appeared at the property on the August 29th they found the clearance work had already completed (by the City contractor). Appellant argued that there had been insufficient time to take appropriate action given the fact that the Second Notice of Non-Compliance was post-marked August 22, 2014.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on July 14, 2014, with a compliance due date of August 3, 2014.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 19, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 19, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 8, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

3230 BLANCHARD LLC 2384015035 Page 2

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required. No return mail was received.

The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

The Total assessment due is \$1,538.00.

HEARING DATE: June 26, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014179017

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 4

NAME: NERAMIT LC/ NAPOL P. NUAL

MAILING ADDRESS: 2608 SOUTH HOLT AVE

LOS ANGELES, CA, 90034

SITUS ADDRESS: VL ACROSS OF 6900 PACIFIC VIEW

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428023006 / INVOICE NO: BC15001126

ASSESSMENT: \$2,228.00

	T /	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$1,290.00	\$938.00	\$2,228.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant appeared at the hearing stating he had cleared a portion of the lot near the street on March 21, 2014; however when the City Fire Inspector inspected the property on May 19, 2014, the property was in noncompliance including a huge old dead tree which was a significant big fire risk.

The property was still noncompliance on the revisit and the Fire Inspector had to order the property including the huge tree be removed to abate the serious risk.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 19, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 30, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 30, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 19, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded, the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance.

The Total assessment due is \$2,228.00.

HEARING DATE: June 22, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014179017

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 4

NAME: SILVA, ALEJANDRO AND / KATHRYN GIORDANO

MAILING ADDRESS: 7035 WOODROW WILSON DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 7035 WOODROW WILSON DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2429016042 / INVOICE NO: BC15001142

ASSESSMENT: \$1,638.00

	+ /	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$700.00	\$938.00	\$1,638.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellants, Alejandro Silva and Kathryn Giordano, did not appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance Assessment Hearing on June 22, 2015. The Appellants did submit an appeal statement dated June 17, 2015, indicating their nonappearance for the scheduled hearing and reason for appealing cost associated with the brush clearance.

In the Appellant's written appeal, they stated that in lieu of their appearance, they were submitting the following statements/objections for the assessment of the Brush Clearance Noncompliance Inspection and Administrative Fee. Appellants are not contesting the Cost of Clearance Fee. Appellant's statements, including objections are set forth as follows:

- 1. The property was purchased by the Appellants in April 2014 (Grant Deed Signed dated March 24, 2014 and recorded April 15, 2014 attached to file). The Grant Deed was mailed to the Appellants at the situs address of 7035 Woodrow Wilson Dr as noted above. After the sell of the property, Appellants entered into a rent back agreement with the seller (Seller in Possession Addendum dated March 11, 2014 and signed on April 4, 2014 attached to file).
- 2. Appellants contend that they became aware of the Notice of Noncompliance when they received notification that a Brush Clearance Noncompliance Fee was being assessed to the property.
- 3. Appellants also contend that they became aware of the brush clearance fee when invoiced by the City.

SILVA,ALEJANDRO AND KATHRYN GORDANO 2429016042 Page 2

4. Appellants contacted the Brush Clearance Unit immediately upon receipt of the above notices noted in item 2 and 3. The Appellant's further requested that the Brush Clearance Noncompliance Inspection and Administrative Fee be waived due to the fact that they are first time homeowners and their first time living in a brush clearance zone area.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 13, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 4, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 4, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 19, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department and Appellant's written statement and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative fee.

According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant's current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address. The Department's records also indicate that the property was the properly posted with signs. The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard. No notices are shown to have been returned by the United States Post Office.

The Appellants are not contesting the Cost of Clearance. The Appellants are contesting the Brush Clearance Noncompliance Inspection and Administrative Fee. The recommendation for the Brush Clearance Noncompliance Fee will be issued under separate cover.

In regards to the Brush Clearance Administrative Fee, the Appellants presented documents, i.e. Grant Deed, to prove they were the owners of the property during the time of all brush clearance inspections. The fact that the Appellants were first time homeowners, not actively living on the property, and unaware of the brush clearance policies is of no excuse as they are legally responsible for their property and all code sections that apply to such property.

SILVA,ALEJANDRO AND KATHRYN GORDANO 2429016042 Page 3

At no time in the Appellant's statement did they indicate how they actually became aware of the notices in order to contact the Brush Clearance Unit immediately.

That is, how did they finally receive the physical copies of the Brush Clearance Non-Compliance, Cost of Clearance, and Administrative Fee if these notices were mail to the property they did not occupy?

Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss such fees cannot be considered as the Appellants did not present sufficient proof to substantiate their position. The Hearing Officer recommends that the Brush Clearance Non-Compliance and Administrative Fee be confirmed as noted.

The Total assessment due is \$1,638.00.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014183020

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2

NAME: KIM,KWANG SHIK

MAILING ADDRESS: 10805 STALLION RANCH RD

SUNLAND CA 91040 0000

SITUS ADDRESS: 10805 STALLION RANCH RD

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2542007014 / INVOICE NO: BC15001167

ASSESSMENT: \$1,118.00

	+ ·, · · · · · · · ·	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$180.00	\$938.00	\$1,118.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant, Kwang Kim and/or Lucy H, did not appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance hearing scheduled for June 23, 2015. The Appellant Kim did submit a statement on the 2014 Brush Clearance Appeal Form dated March 5, 2015. Appellant Kim stated that the contractors hired to clear the brush on the property was not servicing the property properly.

Appellant Kim has since then changed contractors for the property. Appellant Kim is requesting a onetime credit or waiver for the brush clearance administrative fee based on the lack of clearance by their contractor at the time of the violation.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 6, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 11, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 11, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 31, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department and Appellant's written statement and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee.

KIM,KWANG S AND LUCY H 2542007014 Page 2

According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant's current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address. The Department's records also indicate that the property was the properly posted with signs.

The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard. No notices are shown to have been returned by the United States Post Office.

It is the property owner's responsibility to ensure that all work performed on their property, especially after the inspection notices, complies with the brush clearance requirements.

The Appellant's statement that their contractor was not properly servicing their property is not sufficient to credit/waive the Brush Clearance Administrative Fee.

Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee cannot be considered as the Appellant did not present sufficient proof to substantiate their position. The Hearing Officer recommends that the Total Assessment due be upheld.

The Total assessment due is \$1,118.00.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014183015

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2

NAME: MARSOT, VANESSA

MAILING ADDRESS: 10030 MCBROOM ST

SUNLAND, CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 10416 CLYBOURN AVE

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2542008004 / INVOICE NO: BC15001175

ASSESSMENT: \$1,738.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$800.00	\$938.00	\$1,738.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant, Vanessa Marsot, appeared for her scheduled Brush Clearance Assessment Hearing on June 23, 2015.

On the 2014 Brush Clearance Non-Compliance Fee Appeal Form, Appellant indicated that in 2014, she was and still is the owner of the property and that she received the Notice of Non-Compliance. Appellant also indicated that she did not receive a Second Notice of Non-Compliance and that there were no hazards on the property. She further indicated that the Inspector's findings were not a part of her "actual property".

Additional information Appellant wanted the Hearing Officer to consider were the following:

- 1. The property was being occupied by a non-paying tenant. Therefore, she began the eviction process in September (no date or year included). Once the eviction process began, the Appellant stated she was informed she was not legally allowed on the premises per eviction rules.
- 2. During the eviction process, the Appellant was not receiving rent, thus presenting a financial hardship for the Appellant.

The Appellant submitted the following documents at the hearing:

- 1. Residential Lease Agreement dated November 25, 2013.
- 2. Compliant Unlawful Detainer court document received by the court clerk on October 15, 2014.

MARSOT, VANESSA 2542008004 Page 2

3. Unlawful Detainer Stipulation and Judgment court document received by court clerk on January 16, 2015.

After the hearing, Appellant emailed the following documents under two separate emails to support her request for fee waiver:

- 1. 2014 Income Tax Return
- 2. Property Repair Invoice dated March 2015
- 3. Disability Statements dated December 9, 2014 and January 15, 2015 with the claim effective date of August 23, 2014.
- 4. Separation Agreement and General Release

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 15, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 15, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 2, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department and Appellant's written statement and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee.

According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant's current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address. The Department's records also indicate that the property was the properly posted with signs.

The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard. No notices are shown to have been returned by the United States Post Office.

MARSOT, VANESSA 2542008004 Page 3

In regards to the Appellant's request to reduce the Cost of Clearance fee, it is the Hearing Officer's recommendation that such request be denied as the property was cleared of the fire hazard, thus a benefit to the Appellant.

In regards to the Appellant's request to waive the Administrative Fee, the Hearing Officer recommends that such fees be waived as the Appellant provided sufficient evidence to substantiate her financial hardship.

Based on the aforementioned, the Hearing Officer recommends that the Total Assessment due be upheld only for the Cost of Clearance.

The total assessment due is \$800.00.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014183017

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2

NAME: LEE,LEA K / NAM HOON KIM

MAILING ADDRESS: 7000 SAINT ESTABAN ST

TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 7000 SAINT ESTABAN ST

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2564017011 / INVOICE NO: BC15001456

ASSESSMENT: \$1,238.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$300.00	\$938.00	\$1,238.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Before the hearing, the Appellant's husband, Nan Hoon, submitted the 2014 Brush Clearance Appeal Form via mail. The Appellant also included a statement and copy of a cancelled check dated April 16, 2014 and written to Waste Unlimited. Appellant's husband stated that this company ("Waste Unlimited") was hired from the guide booklet provided by the City.

Appellant's husband further stated that they checked multiple times to see if the property was cleared and was informed the clearance was successfully finished. The Appellant, Lea Lee, appeared for her scheduled Brush Clearance Hearing on June 23, 2015. The following individuals were also present in the hearing: Jeong Hwang and Cristine Hwang, Appellant's translator and Nan Hoon Kim, Appellant's husband.

After the Hearing Officer discussed the brush clearance hearing procedures, the Fire Department's Inspector read information from the file regarding the property's brush clearance inspection dates. After the reading of the inspection dates, the Inspector recommended that based on the quality of the photographs submitted by the Fire Department, the Brush Clearance Administrative Fee should be waived.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 27, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 1, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 1, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was

LEE,LEA K 2564017011 Page 2

completed on October 1, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department's recommendation and Appellant's written and oral statement from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative fee.

There is no evidence to show that the Appellant was not properly served. The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully met by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazards prior to contractor cleanup.

<u>COST OF CLEARANCE FEE:</u> In regards to the Cost of Clearance fee, the Appellant presented a cleared bank check dated April 16, 2014 to show that the property was cleared of brush on such date by Waste Unlimited. It must be noted that the first brush clearance inspection was on May 27, 2014, after the April 16, 2014, brush clearance by the Appellant's contractor.

The first brush clearance inspection compliance due date was June 19, 2014. The second brush clearance inspection was on August 1, 2014, in which the property was noted to still be in noncompliance with the code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard. The brush clearance was schedule by the Fire Department and the work was completed on October 1, 2014.

Also, it must be noted that although the Appellant hired a company listed in the LAFD Brush Clearance Program Information Reminder booklet before the 1st inspection, in red print at the bottom of the Independent Contractors List it states the following, "THIS LIST IS PROVIDED AS A CONVENIENCE ONLY AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A RECOMMENDATION".

Therefore, the Department can not be responsible if the work completed by such company does not comply with the brush clearance requirements. It must also be noted that the Appellant did not submit any documents to prove a detail description of work completed on April 16, 2014.

LEE,LEA K 2564017011 Page 3

Since there is no evidence that the Appellant did not receive notice and the only evidence presented is proof that a contractor was hired before the first inspection is of no justification to waive the Cost of Clearance fee as during the brush clearance inspection, the property was still in noncompliance.

<u>ADMINISTRATIVE FEE</u>: Based on the recommendation by the Fire Department's Inspector that the Administrative Fee be waived, the Hearing Office will concur with such recommendation.

Based on the aforementioned, since a benefit was conferred on the property and the Appellant did not present sufficient proof to substantiate their position, the request to dismiss the Cost of Clearance Fee cannot be considered. The Hearing Officer recommends that the Administrative Fee be waived and the Cost of Clearance Fee be confirmed as noted.

The Total assessment due is \$300.00.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014183019

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2

NAME: LUTZ,ARNOLD

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 6006

TORRANCE CA 90504

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L WEST OF 7124 HIGHCLIFF TR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2564027009 / INVOICE NO: BC15001514

ASSESSMENT: \$1,308.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$370.00	\$938.00	\$1,308.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Although the Appellant, Arnold Lutz, did not appear at the Brush Clearance Assessment Hearing scheduled for June 23, 2015, the Appellant did submit supporting statements and documents to substantiate his position before the hearing.

The Appellant submitted the following documents:

- 1. Written statement.
- 2. Attachments: (A) Invoice for Noncompliance Fee, (B) Invoice for Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee, (C) 2014 Brush Clearance Appeal Form, (D) 5 photographs of the property in question, and (E) 2014 Annual Property Tax Bill.

The Appellant submitted the following statement:

- 1. The Appellant stated that he was charged three times the Administrative fee and submitted Attachments A, B, and E to substantiate such claim. Appellant also stated that such fees were unconscionable and outrageous since he is already paying property taxes.
- 2. Appellant also stated that he never received the requested brush clearance before and after photos of the property. Appellant further stated that if brush clearance photos do exist then it would be a "reconstruction" because the work was never performed. Appellant is willing to compromise and pay the cost of clearance if the Department can produce before and after photos of the lot and the contractor's invoice. Although the Appellant made such statement, he holds firm that he maintains the vacant lot.

LUTZ,ARNOLD 2564027009 Page 2

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 27, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 18, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 18, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after review of the Appellant's statements and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative fee:

NO NOTICE OF NONCOMPLIANCE: According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant' current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address.

The Department's records also indicate that the property was the properly posted with signs.

The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard.

No notices are shown to have been returned by the United States Post Office. Therefore, there is no evidence to show that the Appellant was not properly served.

OVERCHARGE OF ADMINISTRATIVE FEE: The Appellant's Attachment (A) and (B) are fees for different charges, thus there was no overcharge of fees on the Appellant's property. In regards to Appellant's Attachment (E), the brush removal amount assessed on the property was for a prior year brush removal.

The Appellant should contact the Office of the City Clerk for further information regarding this assessment.

LUTZ,ARNOLD 2564027009 Page 3

<u>APPELLANT'S BRUSH CLEARANCE FEE:</u> Although the Appellant stated he maintained the property, the Fire Department's evidence demonstrates the Fire Department gave timely and clear explanations as to the brush clearance violation and when the second inspection was conducted; the property was still in violation.

<u>BRUSH CLEARANCE:</u> Had the Appellant appeared at the Brush Clearance Hearing, he would have had the opportunity to inspect the photos of his property and the contractor's invoice for the brush clearance.

Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee cannot be considered as the Appellant did not present sufficient proof to substantiate their position. The Hearing Officer recommends that the Total Assessment due be upheld.

The Total assessment due is \$1,308.00.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014183020

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2

NAME: WYLIE, VICTORIA AND

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 3612

CRESTLINE, CA 92325 3612

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L EAST OF 6107 SISTER ELSIE DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2569005045 / INVOICE NO: BC15001621

ASSESSMENT: \$1,113.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$175.00	\$938.00	\$1,113.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant, Victoria Wylie, did not appear at the Brush Clearance Assessment Hearing scheduled for June 23, 2015, the Appellant did not submit any supporting written statements or documents to substantiate her position before the hearing.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 9, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 1, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 1, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 31, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after review of the Appellant's written statement and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative fee:

According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant's current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address. The Department's records also indicate that the property was the properly posted with signs.

WYLIE, VICTORIA AND 2569005045 Page 2

The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard.

No notices are shown to have been returned by the United States Post Office.

Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee cannot be considered as the Appellant did not present sufficient proof to substantiate their position.

The Hearing Officer recommends that the Total Assessment due be upheld.

The Total assessment due is \$1,113.00.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014181039

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 12

NAME: MAHONY, MARY L TR

MAILING ADDRESS: 16244 HORACE ST

GRANADA HILLS, CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 17401 TUSCAN DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601020026 / INVOICE NO: BC15001670

ASSESSMENT: \$2,990.00

	+ /	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$2,000.00	\$990.00	\$2,990.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant appeared at the Brush Clearance hearing scheduled on June 24, 2015, and stated she never received the First Notice of Noncompliance, the Second Notice was received. Appellant provided a letter from Mr. Salvador Romas, which was faxed July 1, 2014, wherein Mr. Romas stated that he undertakes the yard work for the Appellant, trimming the bushes, trees, and grass areas on a regular basis throughout the year

Appellant then stated that the fire hazards on the property were cleared by the gardener to what she thought was to the code requirements. Appellant expressed concern, and in a lengthy letter signed and dated February 19, 2015, expressed concern regarding damage to roof tiles, and missing furniture, which she attributed to the presence of the City Contractor's on her property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 27, 2014, with a compliance due date of June 19, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on November 17, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 17, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 20, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted

MAHONY,MARY L TR 2601020026 Page 2

with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required. No return mail was received. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing.

The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014178031

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 5

NAME: TEHRANI,KIA Z

MAILING ADDRESS: 606 S OLIVE ST STE 2110

LOS ANGELES CA 90014

SITUS ADDRESS: 1538 N BEVERLY DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4355016049 / INVOICE NO: BC15001902

ASSESSMENT: \$1,938.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$1,000.00	\$938.00	\$1,938.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant indicated that no Notices of Non-Compliance was received and a copy of a change of address form from the Assessor's office with a date of November 26, 2014.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 20, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 24, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 24, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 11, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Based upon a review of all records and documentation, it is confirmed that the notices of Non-Compliance were indeed returned undeliverable. However the property was posted with a notice which serves as official notice.

Therefore the hazard was abated on the property thus a benefit to the Appellant. It is recommended that the Appellant be responsible for the Cost of Clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 26, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014178027

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 5

NAME: SEABRIGHT HILLS DEVELOPER LP

MAILING ADDRESS: 200 N. SWALL DR PH 51

BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W. OF 1531 SAN YSIDRO DR.

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4356017017 / INVOICE NO: BC15001928

ASSESSMENT: \$10,938.00

	+ -,	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$10,000.00	\$938.00	\$10,938.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant, Mustafa Gocen of Seabright Hills Developer LP, did not appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance Assessment Hearing scheduled for June 26, 2015. The Appellant submit a statement dated June 25, 2015 stating his reason for nonappearance and his position as to why his appeal should be granted.

Appellant contends that he is a new resident and is getting familiar with state rules and regulations. Appellant has cleared his property before in 2013 and was not exactly knowledgeable of the brush clearance periods.

Appellant also contends that he did not receive notification for necessary requirements for 2014 brush clearance. Appellant further acknowledges that the cost of clearance for 2014 was higher than the cost of clearance for 2013. Appellant submitted the following documents as evidence to consider:

- 1. Copy of cancelled check # 1032 from his banking institution which was submitted to the City of Los Angeles for brush clearance for the Total amount of \$10,938.00.
- 2. Brush clearance invoice from Brian Walsh Brush Clearance dated July 12, 2014.
- . Comments stating "work is guaranteed to pass LAFD inspection".

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 14, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 1, 2014.

SEABRIGHT HILLS DEVELOPER LP 4356017017 Page 2

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 1, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 25, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department and Appellant's written statement and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee. According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant' current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address. The Department's records also indicate that the property was the properly posted with signs.

The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard. No notices are shown to have been returned by the United States Post Office. Appellant contention that he was not knowledgeable of the brush clearance period is of no excuse as noncompliance notices were properly posted on the property and sent via United States Postal Service.

According to the Appellant's Los Angeles County Assessor Parcel Information/Detail, the Appellant has owned the property since July 20, 2012. The Brush Clearance Self Inspection Program began in 2010. Since then, annually property owners in "Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone" have been educated to the fact that although the initial brush clearance inspection begins May 1st of every year, brush clearance is a year round responsibility.

Therefore, as a long time property owner in the area, the Appellant should have known about the annual brush clearance inspections. It must also be noted again that notice of noncompliance was properly posted on the property.

In regards to the Appellant statement regarding the cost of clearance being higher in 2014 as opposed to a prior year, the Department accepts the lowest brush clearance bid from the contractors.

Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss such fees cannot be considered as the Appellants did not present sufficient proof to substantiate their position. The Hearing Officer recommends that the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee be confirmed as noted.

HEARING DATE: June 26, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173022

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: RICCI,LEONARD J TR

MAILING ADDRESS: 3202 E FOOTHILL BLVD, #133

PASADENA, CA 91107

SITUS ADDRESS: 3145 THOMAS STREET

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5206018026 / INVOICE NO: BC15002454

ASSESSMENT: \$1,188.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$250.00	\$938.00	\$1,188.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant, who did not appear at the scheduled hearing, however filed a written appeal. Great deals of despairing remarks were made regarding Fire Department Inspectors. The undisputed facts are as follows. The Appellant has two lots which the Fire Inspector visited on May 8, 2014, and second inspection on July 18, 2014. Both lots were in noncompliance and the Inspector put out the clearance order on that date. The Appellant on July 25, 2014, cleared one of his lots, but not the above numbered lot which was cleared by City contractors.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 18, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 18, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 11, 2014

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded, the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance

The Total assessment due is \$1,188.00.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173030

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: HUNG, ROGER C.

MAILING ADDRESS: 881 MADRE ST

PASADENA, CA 91107

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L 3125 N. THOMAS STREET

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5206018030 / INVOICE NO: BC15002470

ASSESSMENT: \$1,283.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$345.00	\$938.00	\$1,283.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant appeared and stated that he had received all notices. The Appellant called the Inspector five times, on the third call he requested an extension and the Inspector granted some. The fourth call was again a request to have two more days to finish and did do clearing on the property (which he claims is not his) but left some of the land with brush which the Fire Inspector had to have the City clear the property as it was a fire risk. The Fire Inspector presented dated pictures showing the risk and the contractors clearing the property, eliminating the fire risk.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 18, 2014. A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 18, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 30, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded, the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance, since the Appellant did do some clearing on the property although not enough to completely eliminate the fire risk the clearance fee will be waived.

HEARING DATE: June 22, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173022

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: SUAREZ,HENRY J –

MAILING ADDRESS: 3169 KIRKHAM DRIVE

GLENDALE, CA 91206

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L 2901 THOMAS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5206024014 / INVOICE NO: BC15002496

ASSESSMENT: \$1,188.00

	Ψ·,·••·	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$250.00	\$938.00	\$1,188.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant appeared at the scheduled hearing through his property manager. The property manager stated he did clearance of the property but produced no pictures for 2014 or a receipt showing any work was done.

The Fire Inspector had extensive pictures showing the appellants property and that the Appellant had more property on the map than property manger was aware of. There was no disputed evidence offered that the Appellant had cleared the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 18, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 18, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 11, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance

The Total assessment due is \$1,188.00.

HEARING DATE: June 22, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173030

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: KWAN DEVELOPMENT CORP/ CHO YIU

MAILING ADDRESS: 408 BAUCHET STREET

LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT CLOSE TO 2612 N. ABRIGO

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208013006 / INVOICE NO: BC15002520

ASSESSMENT: \$1,283.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$345.00	\$938.00	\$1,283.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant filed an appeal against the assessment in his property; however, failed to appear at the scheduled hearing. We have no evidence that can be considered.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 18, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 18, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 30, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance

Total assessment due is \$1,238.00

HEARING DATE: June 22, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173030

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: KWAN DEVELOPMENT CORP

MAILING ADDRESS: 408 BAUCHET STREET

LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT CLOSE TO 2612 N. ABRIGO

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208013007 / INVOICE NO: BC15002538

ASSESSMENT: \$1,283.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$300.00	\$938.00	\$1,238.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant filed an appeal against the assessment in his property; however, he failed to appear at the scheduled hearing. We have no evidence that can be considered.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 18, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 18, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 30, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance

Total assessment due is \$1,238.00

HEARING DATE: June 25, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173024

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: RITTNER, CARLOS AND REBECCA SOLOMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 530 S HEWITT ST NO 520

LOS ANGELES CA 90013

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON ALTA STREET

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208017014 / INVOICE NO: BC15002546

ASSESSMENT: \$1,238.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$300.00	\$938.00	\$1,238.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellants appeared at the scheduled hearing on all four parcel lots. Their lots were part of lot cleaning until 2013 and they were not aware of the process of brush clearance until 2014. They did not do any clearing for 2014 which forced the Fire Inspector to order the City to clear the property on September 28, 2014. This also caused the noncompliance fee to automatically attach the Second Inspection July 22, 2014.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 30, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. The administrative fee is waived.

HEARING DATE: June 25, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173024

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: RITTNER, CARLOS REBECCA SOLOMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 530 S HEWITT ST UNIT 520

LOS ANGELES CA 90013 0000

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON ALTA STREET

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208017015 / INVOICE NO: BC15002553

ASSESSMENT: \$1,238.00

+ /		
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$300.00	\$938.00	\$1,238.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellants appeared at the scheduled hearing on all four parcel lots. Their lots were part of lot cleaning until 2013 and they were not aware of the process of brush clearance until 2014. They did not do any clearing for 2014 which forced the Fire Inspector to order the City to clear the property on September 28, 2014. This also caused the noncompliance fee to automatically attach the Second Inspection July 22, 2014.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 30, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. The administrative fee is waived.

HEARING DATE: June 25, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173024

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: RITTNER, CARLOS AND REBECCA SOLOMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 530 S HEWITT ST NO 520

LOS ANGELES CA 90013

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON ALTA STREET

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208017016 / INVOICE NO: BC15002561

ASSESSMENT: \$1,238.00

	Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
ſ	\$300.00	\$938.00	\$1,238.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellants appeared at the scheduled hearing on all four parcel lots. Their lots were part of lot cleaning until 2013 and they were not aware of the process of brush clearance until 2014. They did not do any clearing for 2014 which forced the Fire Inspector to order the City to clear the property on September 28, 2014. This also caused the noncompliance fee to automatically attach the Second Inspection July 22, 2014.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 30, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. The administrative fee is waived.

HEARING DATE: June 25, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173024

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: RITTNER, CARLOS AND REBECCA SOLOMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 530 S HEWITT ST NO 520

LOS ANGELES CA 90013

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON ALTA STREET

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208017017 / INVOICE NO: BC15002579

ASSESSMENT: \$1,238.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$300.00	\$938.00	\$1,238.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellants appeared at the scheduled hearing on all four parcel lots. Their lots were part of lot cleaning until 2013 and they were not aware of the process of brush clearance until 2014. They did not do any clearing for 2014 which forced the Fire Inspector to order the City to clear the property on September 28, 2014. This also caused the noncompliance fee to automatically attach the Second Inspection July 22, 2014.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 30, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. The administrative fee is waived.

HEARING DATE: June 26, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173028

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: SANCHEZ, CHARLES L JR

MAILING ADDRESS: 970 CHUNG KING RD

LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT ON THOMAS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208018027 / INVOICE NO: BC15002629

ASSESSMENT: \$1,084.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$146.00	\$938.00	\$1,084.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant, Charles Sanchez, did not appear for his first scheduled Brush Clearance Assessment Hearing on June 23, 2015. Appellant did appear for the reschedule hearing on June 26, 2015.

The Inspector presented information from the Inspector's report regarding the 1st and 2nd inspection, notices sent, compliance due, contractor bid, and work completed date. Inspector also stated that he personally signed off on the work performed by the contractors.

Inspector further presented information regarding two separate calls he placed to the Appellant. The Appellant stated he has no record of the Inspectors calls and questioned the Inspector of as to whether he received his calls.

The Appellant protested the charges based on the fact that he never received any inspection or noncompliance notices. The Hearing Officer questioned the Appellant if he inspects his property. The Appellant answered that he inspects the property about five times a year. The Hearing Officer also questioned the Appellant if he was familiar with the fact that the Department conducts annual brush clearance inspections.

Appellant answered in the affirmative. The Appellant informed the Hearing Officer and Inspector that he was more so familiar with the "Lot Cleaning" from the Bureau of Street Maintenance Services. At this point, the Inspector saw a need to give the Appellant an invaluable, brief history on the Brush Clearance Program.

The Appellant stated that along with his contesting the fees based on non-receipt of any notices, he also wanted to contest the issue that he cleared his property on and within the property line.

SANCHEZ, CHARLES L JR 5208018027 Page 2

Therefore, the Inspector reviewed the Zima's Intranet Map and information pertaining to the property's line and brush clearance measurement requirements with the Appellant seeing the Zima's Intranet Map, the Inspector illustrated to the Appellant the area of his property that was in noncompliance.

The Inspector also explained to the Appellant the clearance requirements regarding distance. The Inspector informed the Appellant he used a range finder, walking wheel, Zima's Intranet Map, and the County of Los Angeles Assessor's Map to measure distance on the Appellant's property.

During the hearing, Appellant questioned the way the Inspector measured the perimeter of his property line for compliance purposed. He showed the Inspector the areas that he cleared brush off his property. The Inspector informed and explained to the Appellant that his lot is located on a "paper street". Inspector, by using the Zima's Intranet Map, explained to the Appellant what his measurements were based on in order to find his property in noncompliance.

The Inspector also informed the Appellant about the "Mulholland Drive Ordinance". A copy of such report was explained and given to the Appellant by the Inspector.

The Appellant further contended that the photos taken of the noncompliance area was not his property. The Inspector reviewed before and after photos taken by the Inspector and contractor with the Appellant.

The Inspector also collated the photos with the Zima's Intranet Map to show the Appellant that the photos taken were authentic. The Appellant did not submit any documents to corroborate his protest of the charges.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 13, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 13, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 8, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department and Appellant's written statement and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee.

SANCHEZ, CHARLES L JR 5208018027 Page 3

According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant's current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address. The Department's records also indicate that the property was the properly posted with signs.

The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard.

No notices are shown to have been returned by the United States Post Office. Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss such fees cannot be considered as the Appellants did not present sufficient proof to substantiate his position. The Hearing Officer recommends that the Brush Clearance Non-Compliance and Administrative Fee be confirmed as noted.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173028

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: THORPE, KENNETH P JR AND GRACE D

MAILING ADDRESS: 13352 BARNEY ST

WESTMINSTER, CA 92683

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON ALTA

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208018028 / INVOICE NO: BC15002637

ASSESSMENT: \$1,084.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$146.00	\$938.00	\$1,084.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant failed to make an appearance at the scheduled hearing; therefore no evidence in opposition was presented on the assessed property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 8, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 13,, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 13, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 8, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173026

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: CHU NGUYEN

MAILING ADDRESS: 10259 DALE AVE

STANTON, CA 90680 1842

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT CLOSE TO 3122 LINCOLN PARK AVE

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208019032 / INVOICE NO: BC15002645

ASSESSMENT: \$250.00

	+	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$250.00	\$938.00	\$1188.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant states he owns the property and moves from place to place, however was at one address during the inspections and the notices were not returned. The 2nd notice with the red tag was posted as legally required and was properly noticed. At that time the Appellant had still not complied.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 9, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 13, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 13, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 21, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment has been confirmed in part and waived, Appellant acted in good faith; therefore in par it is recommended that the Administration fee be waived. The Fire Department record shows as Appellant was afforded due process. All notices were sent as legally required and not returned.

The appellant presented a compelling argument as to his failing health and financial hardship. The administrative fee is waived and the brush clearance fee is confirmed as notice.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173023

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: SANCHEZ,LUIS AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 4900 LA CALANDRIA WAY

LOS ANGELES, CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L CLOSE TO 5078 E. LA CALANDRIA DR.

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5216015031 / INVOICE NO: BC15002769

ASSESSMENT: \$1,288.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$350.00	\$938.00	\$1,288.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant failed to show at the scheduled hearing; therefore no evidence in opposition was presented.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 17, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 25, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 25, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 11, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance.

The Total assessment due is \$1,288.00

HEARING DATE: June 22, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173019

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: SALEK,ALIREZA

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 577

YORBA LINDA CA 92885 0000

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT CLOSE TO 2916 N. DELOR DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5217010022 / INVOICE NO: BC15002793

ASSESSMENT: \$1,263.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$325.00	\$938.00	\$1,263.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant's representative, Mr. Steven Semenar, appeared at the scheduled 2014 Brush Clearance Appeal Hearing to object the Brush Clearance assessment charge towards his property.

The Appellant stated that the property was grant deeded to him on March 8, 2013.

The representative, Mr. Semenar kept stating that he did not receive the Notices of Noncompliance, yet he received the Self-Inspection Affidavit form and return it promptly to the Fire Department.

Mr. Semenar states that he clears about twenty (20) properties a year that he owns but was unaware there was brush clearance needed on this property.

There were no phone calls to the Fire Inspector requesting an extension or any other such calls.

Mr. Semenar submitted photo for consideration of the clearance he had completed (no dates recorded on photos).

Mr. Semenar's explanations and demeanor showed that he had extensive knowledge of brush clearance requirements and therefore carried very little weight as to the Fire Inspectors work and case evidence.

SALEK, ALIREZA 5217010022 Page 2

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 26, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 25, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 25, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 15, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department record shows that due process was afforded the Appellant as all notices were legally required.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a Notice to Abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor also provided photographs, which the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance.

The Total Assessment due is \$1,263.00

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173023

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: CHURBINA INVESTMENTS LLC.

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 661056

ARCADIA CA 91066 0000

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT 1997 N. BARNETT

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5223019018 / INVOICE NO: BC15002959

ASSESSMENT: \$1,288.00

	+ ,	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$350.00	\$938.00	\$1,288.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellate failed to show at the scheduled hearing; therefore no evidence in opposition to the assessment.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 22, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 31, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 31, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 11, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance.

The Total assessment due is \$1,288.00.

HEARING DATE: June 22, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173019

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: TEJON REAL ESTATE LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 338 TEJON PL

PALOS VERDES ESTATES, CA 90274

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT CLOSE TO CADAHY

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5306009037 / INVOICE NO: BC15003064

ASSESSMENT: \$1,213.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$275.00	\$938.00	\$1,213.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant Tejon Real Estate LLC submitted a written appeal to contest the 2014 Brush Clearance assessment charge towards their property. In a letter dated June 15, 2015, signed by a their representative Mr. David Leeper, the Appellant states that there is a gate installed at the bottom of the road that is believed to be installed by the City of Los Angeles that is sometimes locked and prevents access to their property.

The Appellant also states that no reasonable advanced notice was served of the violation on the property and there is now way to tell what was to be cleared as there are not property line markers present. The Appellant also indicated that the road leading to the subject property is very narrow, steep unsafe and impractical to carry tools and debris and believes that what was cleared was in the City's right of way.

The Appellant is requesting that the Cost of Clearance of \$275.00 and the Administrative Fee of \$938.00 be removed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 28, 2014. There is no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Record on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 12, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 12, 2014. The property was subsequently contacted to a City Contractor and the work was completed on September 15, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

TEJON REAL ESTATE LLC 5306009037 Page 2

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Based on the findings and a review of the Appellants submitted letter and Fire Department records, it is determined that the Appellant was afforded due process.

The Appellant was served notice by US mail to the address on record with the Los Angeles County Assessor's Office and by a posted notice on the property where the violation found. With the first notice issued on May 28, 2014, and a second inspection occurring on August 12, 2014, and no action taken by the property owner was plenty of advance notice.

The gate installed at the bottom of the road that is sometimes locked, the steep access, no parking on Cudahy Drive and unable to determine property lines without markers are not considered as significant reasons for the Appellant to allow the hazard to remain on the property. Los Angeles Count Assessor records indicate that the Appellant recorded ownership of the property on July 1, 2011.

The property is located within the City's Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone and therefore all property owner located within this zone must comply with brush clearance requirements. It is the property owners responsibility to maintain their property in accordance with these requirements whether it be difficult access or not and to know the boundaries of their property lines.

Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss the assessed fees cannot be considered as the Appellant did not present sufficient evidence or proof to substantiate their position. Therefore it is recommended that the Cost of Clearance and the Administrative Fee be confirmed as noted.

Total Assessment due is \$1,213.00.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173025

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: COVARRUBIAS, RAYMOND

MAILING ADDRESS: 4003 BARRETT RD

LOS ANGELES, CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: 4003 BARRETT RD

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5309004032 / INVOICE NO: BC15003080

ASSESSMENT: \$2,213.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$1,275.00	\$938.00	\$2,213.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant his sister did appear and stated she has been maintaining the property. She was raised on the property since 1958 and had knowledge that the bamboo and weeds have always been a problem. She contacted the Fire Inspector and was given an extension of time to comply but never followed through. The Fire Inspector put in extra time and effort at the property including phone calls to the Appellants sister to no avail and had to have the City clear the property as it was a high fire risk.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on July 3, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 8, 2014. A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 8, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 8, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded the Appellant, who accepted that he received all notices. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a Notice to Abate a Nuisance and fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance

HEARING DATE: June 25, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173020

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: ROMERO, DAWN L/ DAWN FIERKE

MAILING ADDRESS: 5353 ATLAS ST

LOS ANGELES, CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L OFF LOWELL AVENNUE

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5309012041 / INVOICE NO: BC15003205

ASSESSMENT: \$1,113.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$175.00	\$938.00	\$1,113.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant and her friend attended the scheduled hearing and presented evidence that they had done some clearing. The pictures they presented were not dated and therefore were not useful as proper evidence. The earlier clearing the Appellant did had a tremendous re-growth when the Fire Inspector made the Second inspection and he had to order the City contractors to completely clear the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 30, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 8, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 8, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 20, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in part and waived in part. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded, the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. The Appellant did work to clear some of the property, thus the administrative fee is waived, the cost of clearance remains.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173033

COUNCIL DISTRICT:

NAME: GUZMAN,SONIA A

MAILING ADDRESS: 6030 FRY ST

BELL GARDENS CA 90201

SITUS ADDRESS: 4440 STILLWELL AVE

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5309013034 / INVOICE NO: BC15003213

ASSESSMENT: \$1,139.00

	¥ 1,100100	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$149.00	\$990.00	\$1,139.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant appeared at the scheduled hearing with her husband. The Appellant is the sole owner of the property. The Appellant claimed she couldn't comply with brush clearance because she had protected trees and plants she could not cut or remove.

There was evidence from an arborist stating that there are not protected trees and plants and no reason she was prevented from clearing the property. There was other irrelevant evidence presented.

The Fire Inspector made all required visits to the property and all notices were mailed. No mail was returned. The Fire Inspector ordered the City Contractor to clear the property to protect the area at the reinspection since it was still in noncompliance

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on October 22, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on December 2, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 2, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 30, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed. The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded the Appellant, as all notices were sent as legally required.

GUZMAN,SONIA A 5309013034 Page 2

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a Notice to Abate a Nuisance and fire Hazard.

The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. Since the appellant did do some clearing on the property although not enough to completely eliminate the fire risk the clearance fee will be waived.

The Total assessment dues is \$990.00

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014182027

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 13

NAME: SANTIAGO,ED TR

MAILING ADDRESS: 1617 1/2 VICTORY BLVD

GLENDALE CA 91201

SITUS ADDRESS: 2336 RIVERSIDE DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5438004008 / INVOICE NO: BC15003247

ASSESSMENT: \$1,538.00

 	¥ 1,0000	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$600.00	\$938.00	\$1,538.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant, Ed Santiago, did not appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance Assessment Hearing on June 24, 2015. Before the scheduled hearing, Appellant submitted the 2014 Brush Clearance Appeal Form with the following documents attached:

EMAIL DATED SEPTEMBER 15, 2014: An email was sent by Juvi Sabroso, property manager of KBuilder on behalf of the Appellant. Sabroso advising the LAFD Brush Clearance Unit that on September 8, 2014, they were in receipt of the notice of noncompliance and was requesting a one week extension to clear the brush from Mr. Santiago's property. Sabroso further advised the Department that the Appellant was recuperating from spine surgery. The email was sent to lafdbrush@laCity.org.

<u>LETTER DATED JUNE 17, 2015:</u> In the letter, Appellant stated that an email was sent on September 15, 2014, to the attention of Inspector Wieser. Before the one week extension, Appellant supervised two helpers in cleaning the property.

Appellant believes that the property was cleared on September 22, 2014. Appellant was unsure of the date the Inspector came back for the brush clearance inspection. Appellant also stated that if the Department came back two to three months later then that would make a difference.

Appellant further stated that it would be difficult to pay since he completed the brush clearance on September 9, 2014.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 26, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 28, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 28, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was

SANTIAGO,ED TR 5438004008 Page 2

completed on December 4, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department and Appellant's written statement and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative fee.

According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant's current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address. The Department's records also indicate that the property was the properly posted with signs.

The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard. No notices are shown to have been returned by the United States Post Office.

It is the property owner's responsibility to ensure that all work performed on their property, especially after the inspection notices, complies with the brush clearance requirements. The Appellant's statement that he paid workers to clear the property may be true but according to the Department's records at the time of inspection, the property was still in noncompliance of the City's brush clearance requirements.

In regards to the Appellant's request to reduce/waive the fee based on the fee being a financial burden, at this time such request cannot be granted as the Appellant did not provide any evidence to support such claim.

Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee cannot be considered as the Appellant did not present sufficient proof to substantiate his position. The Hearing Officer recommends that the Total Assessment due be upheld.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014182022

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 13

NAME: PERRY,LEROY III

MAILING ADDRESS: 1211 E ALTADENA DR

ALTADENA CA 91001

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT CLOSE TO 2440 N AVON ST

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443024003 / INVOICE NO: BC15003338

ASSESSMENT: \$2,833.00

	+ ,	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$1,895.00	\$938.00	\$2,833.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant, Leroy Perry II, did not appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance Assessment Hearing scheduled for June 24, 2015.

On the 2014 Brush Clearance Noncompliance Fee Appeal Form, Appellant indicated that he did not receive the 1st or 2nd Notice of Noncompliance.

Appellant wrote a written statement stating there was no inspection after work by the City/Inspector. Appellant also stated that the property address is 2412 Valley View not 2440 Avon. Appellant further stated that the work done by the City was never cleared as all brush was pushed to the bottom of the property in a big mess.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 27, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 16, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 16, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 1, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department and Appellant's written statement and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative fee.

PERRY, LEROY III 5443024003 Page 2

According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant's current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address. The Department's records also indicate that the property was the properly posted with signs.

The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard. No notices are shown to have been returned by the United States Post Office.

According to the Appellant's Los Angeles County Assessor Parcel Information/Detail, the Appellant has owned the property since May 18, 2006. The Brush Clearance Self Inspection Program began in 2010. Since then, property owners in "Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone" have been educated to the fact that although the initial brush clearance inspection begins May 1st of every year, brush clearance is a year round responsibility.

Therefore, absent notice sent via mail, as a long time property in the area, the Appellant should have known about the annual brush clearance inspections. It must also be noted that a "red" notice of noncompliance was properly posted on the property.

In regards to the Appellant statement that his property address is 2412 Valley View not 2440 Avon, it must be noted to the Appellant that when the Inspectors list an address for a vacant number, instead of using the legal description only in their report, they will approximate the address based on the Zimas Intranet Map and the County of Los Angeles Assessor's Map regarding other known physical addresses within the vicinity of the property that is in noncompliance.

Additionally, it has been confirmed by the Fire Inspector that some cut material was left on the Appellants' property as noted in the photos submitted.

Therefore it is recommended that the Administrative fee be waived and reduction in the Cost of Clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014182026

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 13

NAME: DE LEON, REBECCA S TR

MAILING ADDRESS: 3916 SUNBEAM DR

LOS ANGELES, CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E/OF 1914 ROSEBUD AVE

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443032014 / INVOICE NO: BC15003379

ASSESSMENT: \$1,188.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$250.00	\$938.00	\$1,188.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant, Rebecca De Leon, did not appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance Assessment Hearing on June 24, 2015. Before the scheduled hearing, Appellant submitted the 2014 Brush Clearance Appeal Form.

On the 2014 Brush Clearance Non-Compliance Fee Appeal Form, Appellant indicated that she never received the 1st or 2nd Notice of Noncompliance. Along with the form, Appellant submitted a letter dated February 17, 2015, stating that she is a senior citizen with open heart surgery.

She took a crew of gardeners to clear the brush so that her property would be incompliance with the brush clearance policy. When they arrived, they were stopped by the homeowner of 1914 Rosebud Avenue and instructed to leave immediately as it was the not the Appellant's land. The Appellant also stated that the homeowner told her that the property was cleaned.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 28, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 28, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 28, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department and Appellant's written statement and documents from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's

DE LEON,REBECCA S TR 5443032014 Page 2

objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee.

According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant's current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address. The Department's records also indicate that the property was the properly posted with signs.

The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazard. No notices are shown to have been returned by the United States Post Office.

Also, it must be noted that on March 20, 2014, the Department office comments indicate that Appellant's Online e-Opt Out, indicating that a self-inspection was conducted on the property, was granted on March 20, 2014. Such online opt out request and approval can be considered as an indication that the Appellant did have notice of the upcoming brush clearance inspection.

It must be noted to the Appellant that she does not share the same address as the homeowner she referenced in her statement. It must also be noted to the Appellant that it is the property owner's responsibility to ensure that he/she is able to enter upon their property to perform any necessary brush clearance, especially after the noncompliance inspections.

Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee cannot be considered as the Appellant did not present sufficient proof to substantiate his position. The Hearing Officer recommends that the Total Assessment due be upheld.

The Total assessment due is \$1,188.00.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175027

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 1

NAME: HJORTH,TRAVIS

MAILING ADDRESS: 2010 EASTBANK POINT RD

CARBONDALE, CO 81623 1881

SITUS ADDRESS: VAC LOT NORTH OF 3023 TATUM ST

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5455013026 / INVOICE NO: BC15003585

ASSESSMENT: \$1,155.00

	T /	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$165.00	\$990.00	\$1,155.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant did not appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance hearing scheduled on June 23, 2015, but presented a letter signed and dated March 31, 2015. In that letter, the Appellant admitted to having been neglectful of cleaning his lot, and for that reason realized that the City would send a contractor for clearance. He stated that he was appealing strictly the Administrative Fee, which he felt was just too high.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 20, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 30, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 30, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required.

No return mail was received. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

The Total assessment due is \$1,155.00.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175016

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: MISIRIAN, HAGOP AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 333 RIVERDALE DR STE 17

GLENDALE, CA 91204

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT NEXT TO 3744 AGULIAR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5459021013 / INVOICE NO: BC15003601

ASSESSMENT: \$1,188.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$250.00	\$938.00	\$1,188.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant Mr. Hagop Misirian stated that due to the drought, the growth on his property was minimal and was below the three inch requirement and therefore did not need to be cut or trimmed. A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 11, 2014.

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and the work was completed on October 3, 2014

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 10, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 11, 2014. A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 11, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded, the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance.

The Total Assessment due is \$1,188.00

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175026

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021031 / INVOICE NO: BC15003775

ASSESSMENT: \$1,140.00

	¥ 1,1 10100	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$150.00	\$990.00	\$1,140.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on. October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175026

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021032 / INVOICE NO: BC15003783

ASSESSMENT: \$1,140.00

	¥ 1,1 10100	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$150.00	\$990.00	\$1,140.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175026

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021033 / INVOICE NO: BC15003791

ASSESSMENT: \$1,140.00

	+ /	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$150.00	\$990.00	\$1,140.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175026

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021034 / INVOICE NO: BC15003809

ASSESSMENT: \$1,140.00

	¥ ',' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$150.00	\$990.00	\$1,140.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175026

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021035 / INVOICE NO: BC15003817

ASSESSMENT: \$1,140.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$150.00	\$990.00	\$1,140.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175026

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021036 / INVOICE NO: BC15003825

ASSESSMENT: \$1,110.00

	+ ,	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$120.00	\$990.00	\$1,110.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175026

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI,NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021037 / INVOICE NO: BC15003833

ASSESSMENT: \$1,110.00

	¥ .,	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$120.00	\$990.00	\$1,110.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties.

Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022031 / INVOICE NO: BC15003841

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

	¥ · ,— · · · · ·	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022032 / INVOICE NO: BC15003858

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022033 / INVOICE NO: BC15003866

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

	+ /	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022034 / INVOICE NO: BC15003874

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022035 / INVOICE NO: BC15003882

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI,NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022036 / INVOICE NO: BC15003890

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties.

Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022037 / INVOICE NO: BC15003890

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

	+ /	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022038 / INVOICE NO: BC15003916

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175013

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI,NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022039 / INVOICE NO: BC15003924

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

	¥ · ,— · · · · ·	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175013

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022040 / INVOICE NO: BC15003932

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

	¥ ·,— · · · ·	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175013

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI,NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022041 / INVOICE NO: BC15003940

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175013

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI,NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022042 / INVOICE NO: BC15003957

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175013

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022043 / INVOICE NO: BC15003965

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

	¥ · ,— · · · · ·	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR

2014 BRUSH CLEARANCE

PACKAGE June 24, 2015

NO: 2014175013

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022044 / INVOICE NO: BC15003973

ASSESSMENT: \$1.218.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

HEARING DATE:

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175013

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI,NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022045 / INVOICE NO: BC15003981

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 24, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175013

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: DAHI, NABIL

MAILING ADDRESS: 561 GORDON HIGHLAND ROAD

GLENDORA, CA 91741

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ON INGLIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022046 / INVOICE NO: BC15003999

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

	T)	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The appellant did not attend the scheduled hearing regarding the following properties. Therefore no opposing evidence being presented the assessed fees will be confirmed in part and waived in part.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 22, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 22, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 17, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your properties has been confirmed in part and waived in part. Only one Administrative Fee is assessed as to 5460021031 for \$990.00. All others are waived.

The Fire Department records show that due process was afforded as to all lots herein assessed as all notices were mailed and posted as legally required to all lots. The records further shows that the Fire Inspector posted a notice to abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard and the Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs depicting the serious hazardous condition of each lot at the time of clearance.

HEARING DATE: June 26, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014182012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: LOUIE,PETER W

MAILING ADDRESS: 1636 CAMINO LINDO

SOUTH PASADENA CA 91030

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W/OF 3722 RICHARDSON DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5462010022 / INVOICE NO: BC15004195

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant, Peter Louie, appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance hearing scheduled for June 26, 2015. Appellant submitted the evidence to support his position for waiving of the administration fee. Hearing Officer marked the evidence as Exhibit 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

The Exhibits are as follows:

Exhibit 1: Recorded Tax Deed (shows purchase date of October 20, 2014)

Exhibit 2: Location map and plan view of subject property

Exhibit 3: Street view of surrounding area of subject property.

Exhibit 4: Area within 200-foot radius around the western border of subject property.

Exhibit 5: Possible mistaken identity of subject property. (Before the end of the hearing, Appellant request that such exhibit not be consider in this recommendation)

Appellant contended that on the day of the initial inspection, second inspection, and brush clearance, he was not the owner of the property. Appellant stated that on October 20, 2014, he purchased the property at the Los Angeles County Tax Lien sale.

Appellant submitted proof of such sale. Appellant also stated that at the time of purchase, he was unaware of the fact that he was inheriting all liens on the property. Since the filing of the Brush Clearance Appeals Hearing, Appellant stated he had the opportunity to review the appropriate code section regarding purchasing properties at tax lien sales.

LOUIE,PETER W 5462010022 Page 2

Appellant is now fully aware that he is liable for any and all lien place on the property before the sale of such property.

Appellant is not contesting his liability for the brush clearance. Appellant is only requesting that the administrative fee be waived.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 14, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 11, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 11, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 18, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

After reviewing the code section regarding purchasing tax liens, the Appellant accepted responsibility for the noncompliance fee and cost of inspection. Appellant is only requesting that the Hearing Office consider waiving the administration fee.

Before the sale of tax lien properties, buyers are given notice that they take the property "as is", including liens and such notice is given to buyers before the purchase. Therefore, it is the buyer's responsibility to check for any liens on properties purchased at such type of sale.

Since the Appellant has admitted responsibility to the ownership of all liens placed on his property before purchase, the Hearing Officer cannot make a recommendation to waive the administrative fee as such fee was properly assessed.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175016

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: ATWATER PARTNERS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 201 W PALMER AVE APT C

GLENDALE, CA 91204 4002

SITUS ADDRESS: VL W OF 713 TERRACE 49

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5471014010 / INVOICE NO: BC15004633

ASSESSMENT: \$1,738.00

	+ ,	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$800.00	\$938.00	\$1,738.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant appeared at the Brush Clearance hearing scheduled on June 23, 2015, stated that he had received both the First Notice of Noncompliance, as well as the Second Notice, but was unclear as to what was needed to be done.

Appellant then stated that the fire hazards on the property were cleared by the gardener to what he thought were the requirements, and presented two invoices and checks as evidence of the brush clearance work having been completed by his landscaper.

The first Invoice on June 26, 2014, was paid by check # 1545 to his gardener for \$800.00; and the second Invoice on September 29, 2014, was paid by check # 1689 for \$800.00. Appellant pled for some relief.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on September 11, 2014.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 11, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 11, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on . Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in a reduced amount

ATWATER PARTNERS LLC 5471014010 Page 2

as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required.

No return mail was received. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did provide sufficient evidence that mitigating circumstances existed.

Appellant was invoiced by his gardener/landscaper on June 26, 2014, and again on September 29, 2014, for a combined Total of \$1,600.00 for work that was performed, just that its scope did not encompass the palm and other trees that needed to be cleared. As such, the Appellant had taken substantial steps towards bringing his property into compliance.

The recommendation of this Hearing Examiner is that the Administrative Fee of \$938.00 be waived. However, since a benefit was conferred on the property in clearing the property of the palm fronds and dead and dying trees, the Clean Up fee should remain in effect.

HEARING DATE: June 26, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175017

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: KRN HOLDINGS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 5454 EL MONTE AVE

TEMPLE CITY CA 91780 0000

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ACROSS FROM 3544 VERDUGO VIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5472003065 / INVOICE NO: BC15004674

ASSESSMENT: \$1,138.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$200.00	\$938.00	\$1,138.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant wished to contest at the Brush Clearance hearing in-writing, and in a letter signed and dated February 19, 2015, stated that his mail had not been forwarded by his tenants. He indicated that his arrangement was to have his tenants forward his mail, but when he went to the property, he discovered that his mail had been jammed to the back of the mailbox and was never sent on.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014, with a compliance due date of June 29, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 16, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 16, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required.

No return mail was received. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175017

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: KRN HOLDINGS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 5454 EL MONTE AVE

TEMPLE CITY CA 91780 0000

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ACROSS FROM 3544 VERDUGO VIS

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5472003066 / INVOICE NO: BC15004674

ASSESSMENT: \$1,138.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$200.00	\$938.00	\$1,138.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant wished to contest at the Brush Clearance hearing in-writing, and in a letter signed and dated February 19, 2015, stated that his mail had not been forwarded by his tenants. He indicated that his arrangement was to have his tenants forward his mail, but when he went to the property, he discovered that his mail had been jammed to the back of the mailbox and was never sent on.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 15, 2014, with a compliance due date of June 29, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 16, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 16, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required. No return mail was received.

The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

HEARING DATE: June 25, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175011

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: URBANITE HOMES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 4470 W SUNSET BLVD UNIT 332

LOS ANGELES CA 90027 0000

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT ON WILDWOOD

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5479001015 / INVOICE NO: BC15004872

ASSESSMENT: \$1,238.00

	T /	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$300.00	\$938.00	\$1,238.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant had requested to contest in-writing, and in a letter signed and dated June 16, 2015, stated that they had previously contracted with Brian Walsh Brush Clearance, one of the LAFD's listed contractors in 2012 and 2013. Their expectation was that Brian's company would clear the property on July 21, 2014. When the City provided charges for clean-up, they contacted Brian Walsh, who informed them that they had not cleared the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 19, 2014, with a compliance due date of June 29, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 12, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 12, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 11, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required. No return mail was received. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175011

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: CLONTS, MATTHEW AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 1324 HILLSIDE DR

GLENDALE CA 91208

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S/OF 1541, 1543 WILDWOOD DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5479003004 / INVOICE NO: BC15004898

ASSESSMENT: \$1,388.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$450.00	\$938.00	\$1,388.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant appeared at the hearing which was held on June 23, 2015. She stated that there are a number of properties that she owns, and builds on. She indicated that she had not received the notices regarding clean-up.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 19, 2014, with a Compliance due date of June 29, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 12, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 12, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 9, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required. No return mail was received.

The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

HEARING DATE: June 22, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173017

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: PETERS,LARRY AND JOYCE C

MAILING ADDRESS: 326 S WILTON PL UNIT 4

LOS ANGELES CA 90020

SITUS ADDRESS: 1864 N AVE 55

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5480032025 / INVOICE NO: BC15005028

ASSESSMENT: \$1,238.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$300.00	\$938.00	\$1,238.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant appeared at the scheduled hearing and stated he bought the property in October 2013 at auction as is and paid the brush clearance fees attached to the as is property. He then claimed he receive no notices for 2014 as the name and address of the property was not changed by the assessor until October 3, 2014, after the property was cleared for 2014 on September 18, 2014.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on June 1, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 12, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 12, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 18, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Fire Department shows that due process was not afforded, the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required as Fire Department had wrong address at that time. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. The Administrative assessment is waived and the clearance fee is confirmed as noticed.

HEARING DATE: June 22, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014173017

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: PETERS,LARRY AND JOYCE C

MAILING ADDRESS: 326 S WILTON PL UNIT 4

LOS ANGELES CA 90020

SITUS ADDRESS: 1864 N AVE 55

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5480032026 / INVOICE NO: BC15005028

ASSESSMENT: \$1,238.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$300.00	\$938.00	\$1,238.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant appeared at the scheduled hearing and stated he bought the property in October 2013 at auction as is and paid the brush clearance fees attached to the as is property. He then claimed he receive no notices for 2014 as the name and address of the property was not changed by the assessor until October 3, 2014, after the property was cleared for 2014 on September 18, 2014.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on June 1, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 12, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 12, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 18, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Fire Department shows that due process was not afforded, the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required as Fire Department had wrong address at that time. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. The Administrative assessment is waived and the clearance fee is confirmed as noticed.

HEARING DATE: June 25, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014174028

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 5

NAME: DESANTO, DOMINIQUE

MAILING ADDRESS: 1290 HEALDSBURG AVE. #201

HEALDSBURG, CA 95449

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W/OF 8972 CRESCENT DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5563003012 / INVOICE NO: BC15005127

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant lives in the Bay area and requested a hearing via conference call, which took place on June 25, 2015. Appellant stated that she has owned the contiguous properties since 1999 and due to a communications breakdown with the US Post Offices did not receive any notices regarding this property not being in compliance. As a physician working 80 hours a week or more, Appellant stated that she just did not have the time to keep track on the conditions on her property. Appellant added she was otherwise diligent in paying her bills.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 27, 2014, with a compliance due date of June 30, 2015. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 8, 2014. A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 8, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 11, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required. No return mail was received. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

HEARING DATE: June 25, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014174028

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 5

NAME: DESANTO, DOMINIQUE

MAILING ADDRESS: 1290 HEALDSBURG AVE. #201

HEALDSBURG, CA 95449

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W/OF 8972 CRESCENT DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5563003013 / INVOICE NO: BC15005135

ASSESSMENT: \$1,218.00

	T)	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$280.00	\$938.00	\$1,218.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant lives in the Bay area and requested a hearing via conference call, which took place on June 25, 2015. Appellant stated that she has owned the contiguous properties since 1999 and due to a communications breakdown with the US Post Offices did not receive any notices regarding this property not being in compliance. As a physician working 80 hours a week or more, Appellant stated that she just did not have the time to keep track on the conditions on her property. Appellant added she was otherwise diligent in paying her bills.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 27, 2014, with a compliance due date of June 30, 2015. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 8, 2014. A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 8, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was ompleted on October 11, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during, and after clean-up.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required. No return mail was received. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

HEARING DATE: June 26, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014174025

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 5

NAME: LEVY, ARNAUD AND TANIA TRS

MAILING ADDRESS: 8941 WONDERLAND PARK AVE

LOS ANGELES, CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 8941 WONDERLAND PARK AVE

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5564015043 / INVOICE NO: BC15005200

ASSESSMENT: \$2,733.00

	+- 1	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$1,795.00	\$938.00	\$2,733.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant appeared at the Brush Clearance hearing scheduled on June 26, 2015, and stated that he has spoken to a new Fire Marshall who had informed him that that his property was in compliance.

He had three primary defenses: Firstly, that for the past five years he has maintained his property; Secondly that the cost of clearance he was charged was nearly \$2,000; and that Thirdly he had taken efforts to comply, hiring a landscaper at a cost of \$2,800.00 to make the necessary clean-up.

Appellant stated that he would provide by fax a copy of this check after the hearing, which was received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on June 5, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 28, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 28, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 7, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

According to the Department records, notice was sent to the Appellant's current address, as reflected on official records pertaining to the property owner's address. The Department records do not indicate that mail was returned.

LEVY,ARNAUD AND TANIA TRS 5564015043 Page 2

The Department's records indicate that the property was properly posted with signs. The Department has no documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully completed by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazards prior to contractor cleanup.

However, in mitigation Appellant provided check # 1750 in the amount of \$2,800.00 to his landscaper, indicating that that the Appellant had made not insignificant efforts to comply.

It is recommended that the Administrative fee be waived. Since a benefit was conferred on the property, it is recommended that Appellant be responsible only for the Cost of Clearance.

Therefore the Total assessment due would be \$1,795.00.

June 26, 2015 PACKAGE

HEARING DATE: NO: 2014174035

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 5

NAME: NAZAR, JOSE L

MAILING ADDRESS: 640 S SAN VICENTE BLVD NO 510

LOS ANGELES, CA 90048

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E/OF 8426 SKYLINE DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5565033035 / INVOICE NO: BC15005218

ASSESSMENT: \$2,388.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$1,450.00	\$938.00	\$2,388.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant was at the hearing represented by his Property Manager. Their English was not fluent so a Spanish interpreter was brought in to assist. The Appellant has owned the property since 2001 and constructed a fence in the wrong place and the property manager did not inquire about the same. Did not clear the 200 feet beyond fence. No mail was returned, no calls were made to the Fire Inspector or visits to property to see the red tag notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on June 7, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 7, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 7, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 31, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded, the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance

The Total assessment due is \$2,388.00.

HEARING DATE: June 25, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014179009

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 4

NAME: WEYER,FRANK M

MAILING ADDRESS: 2032 WHITLEY AVE

LOS ANGELES, CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 2032 WHITLEY AVE

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5575004009 / INVOICE NO: BC15005291

ASSESSMENT: \$1,188.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$250.00	\$938.00	\$1,188.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant elected to contest in writing signed and dated June 16, 2015, Appellants' objections, namely: that immediately following receipt of the Second Notice of Non-Compliance he hired a professional contractor to cut the vegetation and comply with the regulations, bringing his property into compliance. Six months later Appellant stated the City presented him with a bill even though now no work was needed to be performed, with no date of the proposed clean-up provided, and no proof of its performance. Appellant added that he doubted any work was completed on his property, and that the City contractor had submitted a false invoice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 20, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 17, 2014. A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 17, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 16, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required. No return mail was received. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

The Total assessment due is \$1,188.00.

HEARING DATE: June 22, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014179018

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 4

NAME: KAHANA,TAL TR

MAILING ADDRESS: 6000 TEMPLE HILL DR

LOS ANGELES, CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: VAC LOT SOUTH OF 2138 CAHUENGA B

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5576004036 / INVOICE NO: BC15005309

ASSESSMENT: \$1,388.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$450.00	\$938.00	\$1,388.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant appeared at the Brush Clearance hearing scheduled for June 22, 2015. Accompanying Appellant was Mr. Matt Nardi, Property manager, and Mr. Romeo Amaya, a full-time company employee in charge of clean-up. Appellant stated that all notices are sent to the Property Owner, his daughter, which could account for delays in mailing.

Appellant then stated that the fire hazards on the property were cleared by Mr. Amaya to what he thought were the City's requirements, and presented photographs as evidence, as well as a hand-drawn map.

Appellant added that he felt that the cost of clean-up and the administrative fee were exorbitant in relation to the small wood pile that was left over. Mr. Nardi did state that when his clean-up took place in excess of 100 bags of vegetation were removed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on June 2, 2014, with a compliance due date of July 1, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 23, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 23, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 19, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount

KAHANA,TAL TR 5576004036 Page 2

as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was

afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required. No return mail was received.

The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

The Department's Inspector indicated that on the Notice on Non-Compliance that were mailed out the cut and bagged vegetation that was piled up needed to be removed, for that it turn created a fire hazard of its own.

The Total assessment due is \$1,388.00.

HEARING DATE: June 26, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014179019

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 4

NAME: JZ INVESTMENTS LLC AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 650 S HIGHLAND AVE

LOS ANGELES, CA 90036 3529

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E/OF 2306 HOLLY DR

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5576013067 / INVOICE NO: BC15005325

ASSESSMENT: \$1,490.00

	+ 	
Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$500.00	\$990.00	\$1,490.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant filed a written appeal stating he has owned the property forever and has been living at the same address for over 20 years. The Appellant admitted on his written appeal form that he had received the notices and no mail was returned. The property still a fire risk forced the Fire Inspector to order the clearance of the said property and abate the serious fire risk.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 23, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 3, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 3, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 23, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded the Appellant, as all notices were sent as legally required.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance.

The Total assessment due is \$1490.00.

HEARING DATE: June 26, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014179014

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 4

NAME: HILLA GROUP LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 23740 WELBY WAY

WEST HILLS, CA 91307

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S/OF 3381 BLAIR CRESCENT

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5579011015 / INVOICE NO: BC15005366

ASSESSMENT: \$1,388.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$450.00	\$938.00	\$1,388.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant who lives out of the U.S. came to California and found he had a lot of notices from the L.A. City Fire Department, regarding brush clearance of his property. He then hired a property manager too late for the 2014 brush clearance season. Therefore no property was cleared for avoidance of the fire risk which forced the Fire Inspector to clear the property for brush clearance and noncompliance as well. No legal defense was proffered.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 14, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 25, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 25, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 24, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded, the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs, which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance

The Total assessment due is \$1,388.00.

HEARING DATE: June 22, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014179019

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 4

NAME: FIRST AMERICAN TRUST CO TR

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 1671

LOS ANGELES CA 90078

SITUS ADDRESS: 2123 N GOWER ST

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5586002019 / INVOICE NO: BC15005457

ASSESSMENT: \$1,890.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$900.00	\$990.00	\$1,890.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant, First American Trust Co, representative, Jack Fitzgerald, did not appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance Assessment Hearing on June 22, 2015. On the 2014 Brush Clearance Non-Compliance Fee Appeal Form, Appellant indicated that he did not receive any notices regarding the property being in noncompliance of brush clearance.

Appellant stated that for over 20 years he had received all mail at a post office box. Appellant further stated that if he had received the noncompliance notices he would have dealt with the matter. No evidence in opposition, other than the 2014 Brush Clearance Appeal Form was presented in the file for the Hearing Officer to consider regarding the issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 19, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 8, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 8, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 23, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Below are the following findings after the review of the Department and Appellant's written statement from the Hearing Officer regarding the Appellant's objection for issuance of the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee.

FIRST AMERICAN TRUST CO TR 5586002019 Page 2

There is no evidence to show that the Appellant was not properly served. The Department has been able to present documentation sufficient to show that the brush clearance and abatement work had not been fully by the property owner according to the necessary code requirements to negate the posed fire safety hazards prior to contractor cleanup.

Therefore, the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee were properly assessed and the Total Assessment should be upheld.

It must also be noted that according to the Department's office comments, on July 5, 2014, the Appellant call the Brush Clearance Unit.

He was informed that there would be an inspection. On December 15, 2014, office comments also noted that on Appellant was in complete agreement with the procedure that was conducted, i.e. the brush clearance.

Based on the aforementioned, the request to dismiss such fees cannot be considered as the Appellant did not present sufficient proof to substantiate his position.

The Hearing Officer recommends that the Cost of Clearance and Administrative Fee be confirmed as noted.

The Total assessment due is \$1,890.00.

HEARING DATE: June 23, 2015 PACKAGE NO: 2014175014

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 14

NAME: ROBLES, GHIL AND LEONCIA TRS

MAILING ADDRESS: 2313 ADDISON WAY

LOS ANGELES, CA 90041

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT SOUTH OF 2468 LOY LANE

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5683025017 / INVOICE NO: BC15005523

ASSESSMENT: \$1,138.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment
\$200.00	\$938.00	\$1,138.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant did not appear at the scheduled Brush Clearance hearing scheduled on June 23, 2015, therefore no evidence in opposition was presented.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on May 24, 2014. There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 14, 2014.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 14, 2014. The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 22, 2014. Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against the property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department records reflect that the Appellant was afforded due process and all of the notices were mailed, and the property posted with the Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard as legally required.

No return mail was received. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous condition in existence at the time of clearing. The Appellant did not provide sufficient evidence to waive the assessment.

The Total assessment due is \$1,138.00.

BOARD OF FIRE COMMISSIONERS

DELIA IBARRA PRESIDENT

ANDREW GLAZIER VICE PRESIDENT

STEVEN R. FAZIO JIMMY H. HARA, M.D. JIMMIE WOODS-GRAY

LETICIA GOMEZ EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT II

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA



FIRE DEPARTMENT

RALPH M, TERRAZAS FIRE CHIEF

6262 VAN NUYS BOULEVARD ROOM 451 VAN NUYS, CA 91401

> (800) 994-4444 FAX: (818) 778-4910

HTTP://WWW.LAFD.ORG

(DATE)

(ATTACHMENT 2)

Dear Property Owner:

The Board of Fire Commissioners has concluded its portion of the 2014 Brush Clearance Appeals process and it has approved the attached copy of the report and proposed decision of the hearing officer. The approved report will be transmitted to the City Clerk for placement on the City Council's calendar.

A copy of the hearing officer's complete 2014 report is available for review at the Brush Clearance Unit located at 6262 Van Nuys Boulevard, Suite 451, Van Nuys, California 91401. If you have questions regarding your 2015 inspections or notices you may have received, please call the Brush Clearance Unit at (800) 994-4444 for more information.

You may submit <u>newly discovered or additional evidence</u> that was not presented at the time of your hearing before the Board of Fire Commissioners. All newly discovered or additional evidence must be in writing, addressed and mailed to the Public Safety Committee, c/o City Clerk, 200 North Spring Street, Room 395, City Hall, Los Angeles California 90012. You will be given an opportunity to present this new or additional evidence before the Public Safety Committee of the City Council for review. The Public Safety Committee will make a recommendation for final action by the City Council based on the new or additional evidence and the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners. Please provide seven (7) copies for distribution.

The Public Safety Committee meeting is scheduled for (DATE / TIME). The address is: 200 North Spring Street, Room 1010, City Hall, Los Angeles, California 90012. Please be advised that the meeting date and time are subject to change. To verify the Committee's meeting date and time, please contact John White at (213) 978-1072.

Thank you for your courtesy and patience throughout the appeal process and please remember that brush clearance is a year-round responsibility.

Very truly yours,

Delia Ibarra, President Board of Fire Commissioners

Attachment