



LOS ANGELES FIRE DEPARTMENT

RALPH M. TERRAZAS
FIRE CHIEF

January 11, 2022

BOARD OF FIRE COMMISSIONERS
FILE NO. 22-011

TO: Board of Fire Commissioners

FROM: *RMT* Ralph M. Terrazas, Fire Chief

SUBJECT: PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE 2020
BRUSH CLEARANCE NONCOMPLIANCE FEE APPEALS

FOR FINAL ACTION:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Approved w/Corrections	<input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn
	<input type="checkbox"/> Denied	<input type="checkbox"/> Received & Filed	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

SUMMARY

The process by which the Fire Department enforces the Fire Code and applicable brush clearance ordinances is through the annual brush inspection process. Properties or businesses found to be non-compliant with the Fire Code during an initial inspection are issued a notice of noncompliance indicating violations found during the inspection. A follow-up inspection is then conducted. In the event that the nuisance has not been abated, a second notice is issued, and a Noncompliance Fee levied against the property owner. Each property/business owner is afforded the opportunity to challenge imposed Noncompliance fees by completing a questionnaire and submitting it to the Fire Department.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Board:

1. Approve the hearing officers' "Proposed Decision and Recommendations."
2. Direct the Fire Department to mail the notification letter, "Granted" (Attachment 1) or "Denied" (Attachment 2), to the property/business owner regarding the Board of Fire Commissioners findings, conclusion and proposed assessment.
3. Direct the Fire Department to complete all accounting transactions.
4. Direct the Department to forward the unpaid Noncompliance Inspection Fee to the Los Angeles County Tax Collector for placement on the Los Angeles County 2022 Property Tax rolls.

FISCAL IMPACT

The Noncompliance Assessment Fee of \$516.00 is assessed once a property owner fails to comply by their scheduled due date or re-inspection. For the 2020 Brush Clearance Season, 627 appeal requests were received from property owners electing to appeal their assessment, 31 appeals were granted.

The total amount of Noncompliance Assessment Fees revenue is \$307,536.00.

DISCUSSION

For the 2020 year, the Fire Department received 627 completed questionnaires from property owners. The Board of Fire Commissioners designees have reviewed the questionnaires and have prepared the "Proposed Decision and Recommendation." The Board of Fire Commissioners has the authority to modify or rescind the fees, or to impose and require such fees to be paid by the property owner. In regards to the Noncompliance Fee Appeals, the Board of Fire Commissioners' determination is final.

CONCLUSION

Upon approval of this report by the Board of Fire Commissioners, the 2020 Noncompliance Inspection Fee Appeals will be completed. Any unpaid invoices may be forwarded to the Los Angeles County Tax Collector for placement on the 2022 Property Tax rolls.

Board report was prepared by Bryan Nassour, Captain I, Brush Clearance Unit.

Attachments:

- Attachment 1: Notification Letter - "Granted"
- Attachment 2: Notification Letter - "Denied"

**BOARD OF FIRE
COMMISSIONERS**

—
JIMMIE WOODS-GRAY
PRESIDENT

JIMMY H. HARA, M.D.
VICE PRESIDENT

CORINNE TAPIA BABCOCK
DELIA IBARRA
REBECCA NINBURG

—
LETICIA GOMEZ
COMMISSION EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT II

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA



ERIC GARCETTI
MAYOR

FIRE DEPARTMENT

—
RALPH M. TERRAZAS
FIRE CHIEF

6262 VAN NUYS BOULEVARD, SUITE 451
VAN NUYS, CALIFORNIA 91401

(800) 994-4444
FAX: (818) 778-4911

[HTTP://WWW.LAFD.ORG](http://www.lafd.org)

BILLING QUESTIONS: 213-978-3424
LAFD.BRUSHACCTG@LACITY.ORG

December 14, 2021

Dear Property Owner:

As President of the Los Angeles Board of Fire Commissioners, I am writing to inform you of the decision regarding your written appeal of the Noncompliance Inspection Fee assessed toward your property for the 2020 Brush Clearance Season. Due to the volume of Noncompliance Inspection Fee written appeals that were received by my office, the task of reviewing each appeal became a very time-consuming job, I thank you for your patience.

Los Angeles Municipal Code, Section 57.03.05, provides the Board of Fire Commissioners the authority to review the *Noncompliance Inspection Fee Written Appeals*. The Code also provides that the determination by the Board of Fire Commissioners shall be final.

The Hearing Officer assigned to your appeal has completed a thorough review of your written appeal and the Los Angeles Fire Department records concerning your property. Based on the Hearing Officer's recommendation, the City of Los Angeles Board of Fire Commissioners has determined that your Noncompliance Inspection Fee Written Appeal has been **GRANTED**.

Thank you for your patience throughout the appeal process, and please remember that brush clearance is a year-round responsibility.

Very truly yours,

Jimmie Woods-Gray, President
Board of Fire Commissioners

Attachment

**BOARD OF FIRE
COMMISSIONERS**

JIMMIE WOODS-GRAY
PRESIDENT

JIMMY H. HARA, M.D.
VICE PRESIDENT

CORINNE TAPIA BABCOCK
DELIA IBARRA
REBECCA NINBURG

LETICIA GOMEZ
COMMISSION EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT II

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA



ERIC GARCETTI
MAYOR

FIRE DEPARTMENT

RALPH M. TERRAZAS
FIRE CHIEF

6262 VAN NUYS BOULEVARD
ROOM 451
VAN NUYS, CA 91401

(800) 994-4444
FAX: (818) 778-4911

[HTTP://WWW.LAFD.ORG](http://www.lafd.org)

December 14, 2021

Dear Property Owner:

On behalf of the Los Angeles Board of Fire Commissioners, I am writing to inform you of the decision regarding your written appeal of the noncompliance fee assessed on your property for the 2020 Brush Clearance Season.

The Los Angeles Municipal Code, Section 57.104.12, authorizes the Board of Fire Commissioners or their representative to review the Noncompliance Inspection Fee Written Appeals. The Municipal Code also stipulates that the determination by the Board is final.

The Hearing Officer assigned to your appeal has completed a thorough review of the documentation that you provided and the Los Angeles Fire Department's records concerning your property. Based on the Hearing Officer's recommendation, the Los Angeles Board of Fire Commissioners has determined that your Noncompliance Inspection Fee Written Appeal is **DENIED**.

If you have any further questions, please contact the Accounting Services Section at 213-978-3424 or email lafd.brushacctg@lacity.org. Thank you for your patience throughout the appeal process. Please remember that brush clearance is a year-round responsibility.

Very truly yours,

Jimmie Woods-Gray, President
Board of Fire Commissioners

Attachment

Table of contents:

APN	Owner Name	Council District	Page No
2007001008	ELLIOTT,SCOTT TR SCOTT ELLIOTT TRUST	FS 96	1
2010004046	900 N OGDEN LLC	FS 96	2
2017020046	CHILIN,ISRAEL E AND ANA V AND	FS 106	3
2020007070	BENTOV,NANCY	FS 106	4
2020009034	ZINGERMAN,BORIS	FS 106	5
2020033042	ERIN J JUNDEF AND JUSTIN BRILL	FS 106	6
2027010020	AGHATY,AMIR CO TR AGHATY TRUST	FS 106	7
2027011016	ALHAJ,DANI	FS 106	8
2027016019	IROM,FAROKH TR FAROKH IROM TRUST	FS 106	9
2027034010	WEST,RICHARD N AND	FS 106	10
2027043024	WILLIAMS,PHYLLIS Y TR PHYLLIS Y WILLIAMS TRUST	FS 106	11
2028032017	JACKSON,ANDREW AND LEE A	FS 105	12
2028036020	THOMAS D MOLINA AND DAMARIS DANAIATA	FS 105	13
2028046010	LUPE PITTS	FS 105	14
2032005005	PANCHENKO,ALEXANDER	FS 105	15
2032008021	RICHARD AND HOLLY K SANDLER	FS 105	16
2032030005	SADEH,ALI AND MARZIEH	FS 105	17
2046002059	OZERI,INON	FS 105	18
2046005007	GRAVES,JEFFERY AND JANETHE	FS 105	19
2046005018	MORALES,MIZRRAHIMA AND CARLOTA	FS 105	20
2046010021	KASAI,KELVIN	FS 105	21
2046014021	ARMEN,LEVON TR ARMEN TRUST	FS 105	22
2046014022	BIXSEN SHEILA G LIVING TRUST	FS 105	23
2046017004	YANG JINING	FS 105	24
2047003010	GREEN,RUSSELL S TR	FS 105	25
2074027021	TERANI,SHOM A	FS 105	26
2074027045	IRANI ZEENA AND TAHILRAMANI ADITYA	FS 105	27
2074042001	HAYEDEH M KHALIGH	FS 105	28
2075013012	NABIOLAH B SAMOUHA	FS 105	29
2075014007	TABRIZI,KAMRAN AND FOUROUGH	FS 105	30
2075020018	SHAKERI,ALIK A	FS 105	31
2075024004	JON LOOMIS AND SUSAN ROGOSIN	FS 105	32
2075027009	POLOVNIKOV,DMITRY AND	FS 105	33
2075038002	HADI ALIDOWLATABADI	FS 105	34
2076003034	CHRISTOPHER D OWENS	FS 105	35
2076006030	HASHEMI,FARID TR HASHEMI TRUST AND HASHEMI,M TR MASIH HASHEMI TRUST	FS 105	36
2076006062	GIANATSIS,JAMES A	FS 105	37

2076006069	SAKAKI,MEHRDAD AND SHAJIEE,MARYAM	FS 84	38
2076008025	ERIC LUND	FS 105	39
2076009029	Q EZITRA PARTNERS	FS 84	40
2076009038	IRACILDA M PERKINS	FS 84	41
2076016042	KIKNADZE ROUSSOUDANA ET AL SHATZ GEORGE N	FS 84	42
2076017024	DARWISH,ROSYANE F TR HONEY TRUST	FS 84	43
2076024010	SAGAL SIMON (TE)	FS 84	44
2078006024	WOHLWEND,ANDREW J AND JESSICA	FS 84	45
2078013006	GHAEDI,KERAMATOLLAH AND TARTARAN,AMENEH	FS 84	46
2149009008	DANIEL E REISBORD	FS 84	47
2149013039	MERSEDEH AMIRABADI	FS 84	48
2149014028	FEM TRUST	FS 84	49
2149014037	MARIA G PALACIOS	FS 84	50
2149015010	ARSHADI REZA TRUST	FS 84	51
2151005033	BEBE,JOSEPH AND SHAHLA	FS 84	52
2151013068	OMAR KADOSH AND ETEL LITTMAN	FS 84	53
2151020056	SAIED ASSIL	FS 84	54
2151031042	AJIGOL,ELHAM AND MINASAZY,HOSSEIN	FS 84	55
2151034068	SFADIA DANIEL	FS 84	56
2151038041	AYOUBI,MEYSAM AND ROSHTI,RASHIN A	FS 84	57
2151038042	MOGHBELI,FARAMARZ AND SORAYA E	FS 84	58
2166011021	GIL,ADY TR 2004 SEPARATE PROPERTY TRUST	FS 84	59
2166018011	STEWART,JUDITH A CO TR JUDITH A STEWART TRUST	FS 84	60
2166035011	SISK ANTHONY AND LEONOR	FS 84	61
2166035033	KOL TIKVAH	FS 84	62
2166036010	QUALLS,GRETCHEN TR GRETCHEN QUALLS TRUST	FS 84	63
2167020027	HAYIM AND VALERIE ALON	FS 84	64
2168008036	STEVEN L WRIGHT	FS 84	65
2168012082	MEHRZAI,AMIR N	FS 84	66
2168014038	HARCOURT,NICHOLAS	FS 84	67
2168016065	MONFARED,MOHSEN H CO TR	FS 84	68
2169021063	MADJID N RAD	FS 84	69
2169022035	NADELL,MICHAEL AND ROSSANA	FS 84	70
2169024028	KOLLERBOHM,JULIO AND ANDREA R	FS 84	71
2170001012	NOSRATI,ALIREZA	FS 84	72
2170001021	MALEK MATT	FS 84	73
2170001041	GOLOMBEK,FABIO CO TR F AND L GOLOMBEK TRUST	FS 84	74
2170001055	SHEMESH TIRAN	FS 84	75
2170002050	MARK STERN	FS 84	76
2170003009	SOUTH VALLEY LLC	FS 84	77

2171004008	DOWNEY,KEITH G TR DOWNEY FAMILY TRUST	FS 84	78
2171009041	MANSHIP,T H	FS 84	79
2171009059	REBICHIA,SHAI B AND SHAMIR,TAL	FS 84	80
2171013059	ILKHANIZADEH,SIAMACK AND JALAIE,KATAYOON	FS 84	81
2172009038	GREENBAUM DAVID AND SOPHIE S	FS 84	82
2172011021	YEPES,KRISTINA K	FS 84	83
2172016060	ELOUD ABE	FS 84	84
2172031034	ALLISON,MYRTLE L	FS 84	85
2172032057	NEJAT KOHAN	FS 84	86
2173002025	HART,DON CO TR XCEL ENTERPRISE TRUST AND	FS 84	87
2173006006	NICOLE HELO	FS 84	88
2174021005	PERI,SHAHRAM AND FLOURA TRS PERI TRUST	FS 84	89
2175006041	GABAY DAVID	FS 93	90
2175011010	WINSLOW JUSTISE	FS 93	91
2177006010	KOULEYAN,ARAXIE	FS 93	92
2177008036	KEISUKE YOKOYAMA	FS 93	93
2178017008	PARK,JOHN	FS 93	94
2178023024	WIRHT JACKSON AND MECAH	FS 93	95
2178029005	SALVI JOHN AND M MA	FS 93	96
2180001030	JOSHEGHANI DARIUSH H	FS 93	97
2182021008	MUN,ANTHONY J AND EURA S	FS 83	98
2182028002	KAYE , JANA	FS 83	99
2184032022	HARUTIUNIAN DIKRAN AND ARSHALUYS AND ARDASHES AND ROSA	FS 93	100
2190009063	WEINSTEIN,ROBERT	FS 84	101
2190010005	JOHANN MALL	FS 84	102
2190010029	HARPAZ,DANIEL	FS 84	103
2190010030	HARPAZ,DANIEL	FS 84	104
2190010041	YEE,ERIK A AND NAOMI	FS 84	105
2190013040	DE LA FUENTE,SHANNON J AND DIEGO S	FS 84	106
2190014051	PARKER,ROWAN	FS 84	107
2275007009	JOHNSON,NEXSEN B AND SYLVIA T	FS 99	108
2275011006	TOPPI,WILLIAM J	FS 99	109
2275011007	TOPPI,WILLIAM J	FS 99	110
2275011008	TOPPI,WILLIAM J	FS 99	111
2276036044	DADSETAN,MOHAMMAD R AND KIOUMEHR,FARIDEH	FS 88	112
2278011025	KRESSEL,MARK A	FS 88	113
2281009011	OHERRON,JOHN AND TAYLOR	FS 88	114
2281019007	WEISS,EVAN AND GAYLE TRS WEISS FAMILY TRUST	FS 88	115
2285007029	DAKARMENJIAN,TROUD AND SARA TRS T AND S DAKARMENJIAN TRUST	FS 88	116

2285008017	SOSA,MARIANA TR THESE GO TO ELEVEN TRUST	FS 88	117
2285012022	MAX A KELLER	FS 88	118
2286002033	SHAMSIAN,SHAHIN	FS 109	119
2286010019	SATURN NEW GENERATION LLC	FS 109	120
2286010020	MICHAEL M MAHINFAR	FS 109	121
2286010021	MIZZI KALVIN	FS 109	122
2286012023	LAVIAN,ISAAC A AND HANNA R TRS IHL TRUST	FS 109	123
2286018008	TOKAR FAMILY TRUST	FS 88	124
2286022015	KURTZ,ALLAN AND SHERRY TRS KURTZ FAMILY TRUST	FS 109	125
2286022020	NAZARI,AHMAD AND AZITA H	FS 109	126
2287001045	BANAYAN,DANIEL L AND HALEH TRS DANIEL BANAYAN TRUST	FS 109	127
2287014057	ELIAKIM, IRIS	FS 109	128
2287015052	GHALILI,SHAHRAM AND ESTER	FS 109	129
2291005018	MOLINARO,MICHAEL AND BERTHA TRS M AND B MOLINARO TRUST	FS 83	130
2293002019	KASHANI KHOSROW F AND FARZAN GITY S	FS 109	131
2293003014	ADLER,SERYL Z TR SERYL Z ADLER TRUST	FS 109	132
2293003015	ADLER SERYL Z TRUST	FS 109	133
2293004014	NAMAKIAN,MAHMOUD	FS 109	134
2293004023	KERENDIAN BEHZAD (TE)	FS 109	135
2293004033	FARIVAR,FIROUZ AND GOLY	FS 109	136
2293013003	FAWAZ FAISAL	FS 109	137
2293014018	AZARBAL,BABAK AND FASSIHI,KATHERINE	FS 109	138
2293016003	ROTHMAN,NEAL H AND AMANDA G	FS 109	139
2369031006	KHAFARDIAN KEVORK AND SHENGIDIAN MARGARETE S	FS 86	140
2369031010	SCHEIDE CHARLOTTE G	FS 86	141
2369031011	BERNICE J MILLER	FS 86	142
2376003021	HOMAYOUN KAZEMI AND MACY C CABRERA	FS 78	143
2378010006	STUART FREEDMAN	FS 86	144
2378012010	RUIZ,CAROLYN K TR ET AL	FS 86	145
2380002010	DRAIL INVESTMENTS LLC	FS 76	146
2380002020	BARKEV AND SONIA MESERLIAN, TRS	FS 76	147
2380003005	FIVE CROWN HOMES LLC	FS 76	148
2380044023	AMIRIAN,DRO TR AMIRIAN FAMILY TRUST	FS 76	149
2384017002	TREJO,JOE M AND AMELIA TRS TREJO FAMILY TRUST	FS 78	150
2403009055	SALOKOFFI KODJO E AND BLAISE CLAUDINE U	FS 77	151
2404015004	KESHESHYAN,GEVORK	FS 77	152
2425003025	3649 REGAL PLACE LLC	FS 76	153
2425004010	MKITARIAN,GEORGE AND DIANE	FS 76	154

2425012014	WORAVOTH IDDHIBHAKDIBONGSE	FS 76	155
2425016012	BARONDES,ELIZABETH	FS 76	156
2425021023	ARIF CHANNONE AND STEPHENS AMY	FS 76	157
2425024012	BAGWELL,BRIAN D	FS 76	158
2425024015	PAXTON,LYNNE	FS 76	159
2425025020	MORALES,ESAI	FS 76	160
2425025022	LI,LING	FS 76	161
2425026024	MOORE,JORDAN CO TR MOORE TULIN FAMILY TRUST	FS 76	162
2427007010	WANG,HUI	FS 76	163
2427016024	ROONEY KEVIN D (TE)	FS 76	164
2428006009	YIN,PING	FS 76	165
2428006010	HUI WANG	FS 76	166
2428006011	HUI WANG	FS 76	167
2428015003	BERGER ANDRE K AND WEBER ANDRESSA	FS 76	168
2428016014	DIXON,KEITH AND SANDRA	FS 76	169
2428023007	DONOHUE,JAMES AND JOY TRS JAMES AND JOY DONOHUE TRUST	FS 76	170
2428023009	DONOHUE JAMES (TE)	FS 76	171
2428023022	DONOHUE,JAMES AND JOY TRS JAMES AND JOY DONOHUE TRUST	FS 76	172
2428023023	DONOHUE,JAMES AND JOY TRS JAMES AND JOY DONOHUE TRUST	FS 76	173
2428028004	BOBOLSKY,KEVIN M	FS 76	174
2428028005	BOBOLSKY,KEVIN M	FS 76	175
2428028006	PAUL M P WOODLAND	FS 76	176
2429006028	RAGSDALE,SAMUEL CO TR MORRIS RAGSDALE FAMILY TRUST	FS 76	177
2429019009	3077 CAHUENGA BOULEVARD LLC	FS 76	178
2429020017	SMITH,KARA ET AL SMITH,B CO TR SMITH TRUST C/O NICHOLAS SMITH	FS 76	179
2511030011	TIMOTHY J RYAN	FS 91	180
2525017005	LINDA L MASINO	FS 98	181
2529009022	ROTH,ANDREW AND ANN TRS ANDREW AND ANN ROTH TRUST	FS 24	182
2529011022	FLORES,BEN J JR AND ESTER N TRS FLORES FAMILY TRUST	FS 24	183
2529017032	MASSON,ADELINE TR A AND P MASSON TRUST	FS 24	184
2530021003	JEFFERY JOSEPH	FS 98	185
2530038037	VICTOR H POLONI-FOIX	FS 98	186
2542021018	BOEHM,RICHARD P CO TR ANNE M BIGGS TRUST	FS 77	187
2543007027	ARAGON,JENNIFER A CO TR PEYTON TRUST	FS 24	188
2543017022	MANUKYAN,VAHE AND	FS 24	189
2544006017	RAFFI MEKHITARIAN	FS 77	190

2544010003	SARKISYAN ARSEN	FS 77	191
2544013005	HELLER,NINA TR NINA HELLER TRUST	FS 77	192
2544017011	BRUCE K PETRIE	FS 77	193
2544028001	GOMEZ,LIVIER C TR LIVIER C GOMEZ TRUST	FS 77	194
2545002002	JOSE R PIEDRAHITA	FS 24	195
2545004003	TOROSSIAN,ARMEN AND TATEVIK	FS 24	196
2545014014	ZARGARYAN,RAYMOND TR RAYMOND ZARGARYAN TRUST	FS 24	197
2547009010	KHACHATORIAN,ARABO M AND MARIAM M	FS 24	198
2547028041	FABROS,CONSTANTE AND LOURDES TRS C AND L FABROS TRUST	FS 24	199
2549014024	AMIRYAN ARMEN	FS 24	200
2549022004	MENA,ROSEMARY	FS 24	201
2550012004	ROMAR SCHICKLER,PATRICIA I AND SCHICKLER,CLARK D	FS 24	202
2550023004	SHINKLE,JAMES AND JANET AND	FS 24	203
2551016004	COOPER,RONALD AND JANE E	FS 74	204
2551038021	JOAN E LOY	FS 74	205
2552023009	TOROSYAN SUREN K AND PETROSYAN HERMINE C/O CELIN AVANESS	FS 74	206
2553012004	ANTONIO L LORCA	FS 74	207
2553012006	SAMVALIAN,ANGEL TR ANGEL SAMVALIAN FAMILY TRUST	FS 74	208
2555031035	8603 FENWICK STREET LLC	FS 24	209
2559023011	GUIDERA,WILLIAM A AND LYDIA	FS 74	210
2559031023	MOEY ANDREW K LIVING TRUST	FS 74	211
2560001035	CEPERO JUAN J AND ADA M	FS 24	212
2560003016	SUN LAND INVESTMENT GROUP INC	FS 24	213
2560018017	RAFIK PETROSSIAN	FS 24	214
2562014004	ABBEEY-MOH INC	FS 74	215
2562014006	ABBEEY-MOH INC	FS 74	216
2562014007	ABBEEY-MOH INC	FS 74	217
2563019001	DMVK LLC	FS 74	218
2563019002	DMVK LLC	FS 74	219
2563019003	DMVK LLC	FS 74	220
2563031015	CHOWDHURY,MAINUDDIN AND ROY,MINATI	FS 74	221
2563037010	NARKAR,SUNIL CO TR SUNIL AND SUDHA NARKAR TRUST	FS 74	222
2563041031	ALIREZA SAMII	FS 74	223
2564007010	NAJARIAN,GARY AND HELEN	FS 74	224
2565002028	TIGRAN POGHOSYAN	FS 74	225
2566006044	TAWK FRANCOIS A AND ATARYANKAREN ET AL AYNEDJIAN SARO C/O GLENOAKS ESCROW	FS 74	226

2566011066	BABAKHANYAN GOAR AND KARIBKHANYAN ADRINE	FS 74	227
2566013043	KESHISHIAN,ARBI D AND ARAZ A	FS 74	228
2569007022	FARHADTOOSKI,MASOUD	FS 74	229
2569009009	FOREST US LLC	FS 74	230
2569009011	FOREST US LLC	FS 74	231
2569013044	FOREST US LLC	FS 74	232
2569024026	VARTANI EJMIN	FS 74	233
2569024028	CHO,CHUNG NAM AND HO CHA	FS 74	234
2569024034	SIMONIAN,ANAHID AND VALOUD TRS A AND V SIMONIAN TRUST	FS 74	235
2572015015	KIM IN YONG	FS 74	236
2582011040	SENDA MARGARITO JR AND OLGA	FS 91	237
2582015014	SANDOVAL,EMILIO C AND CONNIE R	FS 91	238
2582022010	KESHISHI ASBET A	FS 91	239
2582035037	BESEM,SEYMOUR AND	FS 91	240
2601031046	LYNDA C VIGIL	FS 18	241
2601038053	PARAN MICHAEL	FS 18	242
2601040096	NGUYEN DON H AND TRINH TAMMY T	FS 18	243
2601051025	ANDY J LEE AND MARGIE HAN	FS 18	244
2601071022	SIDDIQI,HAMID AND HAFEEZA	FS 18	245
2606009010	PATEL,NARENDRA J CO TR JIMI AND RANI PATEL TRUST	FS 18	246
2723006004	HUR,ALAN S	FS 96	247
2727022054	SZUMANSKI MARTIN AND FATEN	FS 96	248
2871011044	RADNASSAB,BEHROUZ	FS 8	249
4115008014	LIGHTHART,BRANDON	FS 67	250
4115008034	VOGE,RITA M CO TR VOGUE FAMILY TRUST AND RPVOGE INV	FS 67	251
4115010008	BARTON,CHARLES B CO TR BARTON TRUST AND	FS 67	252
4115019002	VOLGER,CHRISTOPHER AHD PLATO,ALICE	FS 67	253
4352008023	LLOYDCREST USA LLC	FS 108	254
4355006021	FARID SHOOSHANI	FS 71	255
4355006040	DUNN,VERA L TR VERA DUNN TRUST	FS 71	256
4355006041	BASEMAN,ROBERT CO TR TRIPLE B TRUST	FS 71	257
4355007032	SCOTT A CHAPLAN	FS 71	258
4355015056	REFAEIL,ESHAGH CO TR REFAEIL CHARCHIAN TRUST	FS 71	259
4355015062	ARMAN GABAY	FS 71	260
4355015075	NATALIE RAVAN	FS 71	261
4356005003	NUVEEN MOTAMED,MAJID M AND SOHEILA K	FS 71	262
4356005004	SHADI POURTAVOSI	FS 71	263
4356006014	ARC CITY LLC	FS 71	264

4356011006	NUSINOVICH,VLAD AND LILIA TRS V AND L NUSINOVICH TRUST	FS 71	265
4356020002	OWEN,DEBORAH TR HIGH MEADOW TRUST	FS 71	266
4356026012	ARIANE B & MARITAL SAMUELSON	FS 71	267
4357003010	CH PINNACLE LLC	FS 71	268
4357003013	BROWN LEOPOLD,LISA AND LEOPOLD,JASON	FS 71	269
4357003016	CH PINNACLE LLC	FS 71	270
4357004014	SAM NAZARIAN PROPERTIES LLC	FS 71	271
4357014022	HAGHNAZARZADEH,BEHROOZ	FS 71	272
4357016011	PARVIZ BENHAMINI	FS 71	273
4358008024	LU,XIA TR XIA LU FAMILY TRUST	FS 71	274
4362018010	CYNTHIA BECK	FS 71	275
4362019002	420 BEL AIR BY DOBACOR LLC	FS 71	276
4366011018	LAIN,THOMAS M TR LAIN TRUST	FS 37	277
4366011019	PAUL LOPEZ	FS 37	278
4368003016	YAZDANI,MEHRDAD	FS 37	279
4369010008	SHIN,DALTON AND TILDA TRS DALTON AND TILDA SHIN TRUST	FS 37	280
4369029010	TADAYON,SHAHRAM	FS 37	281
4369035004	ELIASER,JAMES R AND JULIE S	FS 37	282
4369035005	SOROUDI TRUST	FS 37	283
4370004015	ROSEN,DAVID CO TR ROSEN TRUST	FS 71	284
4370004038	CITY NATIONAL BANK TR 900 TRUST	FS 71	285
4370006018	MIRABADI,FARRAH	FS 37	286
4370006023	RXM TRUST	FS 37	287
4370018024	KAPLAN,JEFFREY A	FS 71	288
4370024024	YAMINI,SOHRAB CO TR YAMINI TRUST	FS 71	289
4370029010	NOSRATIAN,FARSHAD CO TR NOSRATIAN FAMILY TRUST	FS 71	290
4371001001	WITHMORY,OSCAR AND CRISTINA	FS 71	291
4371001004	DORSEY,ARNOLD AND PATRICIA	FS 71	292
4371001011	VREELAND,BYRON R TR BYRON R VREELAND TRUST	FS 71	293
4371002004	ARTA VILLA LLC C/O MICHAEL W RABKIN	FS 71	294
4371002005	OZKA LLC C/O WOLF RIFKIN SHAPIRO ET AL	FS 71	295
4371003011	KHANLOU,PIROUZ AND BLAIR,BETTY	FS 71	296
4371004016	SPECTOR,BRUCE AND	FS 71	297
4371004017	CHRISTIAAN H MOSTERT	FS 71	298
4371007008	GEORGE K GRANT	FS 71	299
4371010023	ETHELL INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC	FS 71	300
4371010024	NAYLOR,SUSAN AND	FS 71	301
4371011026	MCGHEE,DANIEL AND RITA	FS 71	302

4371013003	NAYLOR SUSAN M TRUST	FS 71	303
4371013004	ALEXANDRU SIRBU	FS 71	304
4371013005	NAYLOR,SUSAN AND SIRBU,ALEXANDRU	FS 71	305
4371013009	NAYLOR,SUSAN AND	FS 71	306
4371014002	ALEXANDRU SIRBU	FS 71	307
4371015012	FAB INVESTMENTS LLC C/O FEVEN T BELAY	FS 71	308
4371015014	FOULADINEJAD,SAEID	FS 71	309
4371016008	RICHARD RENALDO	FS 71	310
4371016016	DEHN,MACIEJ B	FS 71	311
4371020008	LIMSUKONTH,PRANEE S AND SELZER,DANIEL D	FS 71	312
4371020017	YARLAGADDA,ANIR K CO TR YARLAGADDA ACHANTA TRUST	FS 71	313
4371020023	CHAN,PETER H	FS 71	314
4371023005	PERRY,BRIAN	FS 71	315
4371023006	PERRY,BRIAN R	FS 71	316
4371025015	FRANK KPODO	FS 71	317
4371026019	HOLLYFIELD,ROY A DECD EST OF C/O ELEANOR HOLLYFIELD	FS 71	318
4371026021	CRENSHAW,CHARLES R L III CO TR CRENSHAW FAMILY TRUST	FS 71	319
4371026031	COHEN RAPHAEL TRUST	FS 71	320
4371028003	FALABRINO,JOSEPH M ET AL FALABRINO,DINO J	FS 71	321
4371028014	AMES,MICHAEL A AND MARCIA G TRS AMES FAMILY TRUST	FS 71	322
4371028031	OTHMAN,MIRIAM	FS 71	323
4371028032	SUDHEER CHHABRA	FS 71	324
4371029001	SEGULYEV,BARRY L ET AL	FS 71	325
4371029022	KIRAKOSIAN,VICKI M	FS 71	326
4371032012	GO,OSCAR AND FE ET AL	FS 71	327
4371033009	FORONDA FREDESWINDA R TRUST	FS 71	328
4371036013	CAHILL,JOHN P CO TR KIRBY CAHILL TRUST	FS 71	329
4371038019	INNOVATIVE PROJECT SOLUTIONS INVESTMENT GRP LLC	FS 71	330
4371046012	LIGHTHOUSE INVESTMENTS LLC	FS 71	331
4377002004	GIRO PROPERTIES LLC	FS 109	332
4377027017	JOHN M CURTIS	FS 109	333
4377027018	REICH,WILLIAM A AND HAERYUN N	FS 109	334
4378003004	GIRO PROPERTIES LLC	FS 109	335
4378003026	KIM,DAVID E AND JENNIE K	FS 109	336
4379005022	MOYAL,RAFAEL AND MACIK,DOROTHY	FS 99	337
4380018004	HIRAMATSU,KIYOSHI AND EDITH M	FS 71	338
4380018005	STEPHEN L WATSON	FS 71	339

4380018027	BELLEMONTAGNE LLC	FS 71	340
4380019019	BELOSIC,JOSEPH M AND	FS 71	341
4380020025	BRIAN O JAMES	FS 99	342
4380030004	GRAHAM,RUDOLPH W	FS 99	343
4384006033	FT VICKERS REAL ESTATE LLC	FS 99	344
4384006034	FT VICKERS REAL ESTATE LLC	FS 99	345
4384006035	C/O GREENBURG GLUSTER FIELDS	FS 99	346
4384006036	FT VICKERS REAL ESTATE LLC	FS 99	347
4384029005	BORIS MURIEL K TRUST	FS 108	348
4386028010	Simon Ourian TR	FS 108	349
4387004021	COLDWATER PROPERTIES LLC	FS 108	350
4388005019	ASMAA M OWENS	FS 108	351
4406009018	HIGHWOOD ART LLC	FS 19	352
4409015035	WOOTON,D GARETH AND BARBARA K TR WOOTON FAMILY TRUST	FS 69	353
4420007040	PALISAIR HOME OWNERS ASSN	FS 69	354
4420026007	DAVOODI,HOUMAN TR DSD TRUST	FS 23	355
4420034008	KUNDEL,DMITRIY AND GULAFRUZ	FS 23	356
4422012005	LATZ,SARA R	FS 69	357
4423011029	GALBERT INVESTMENTS LLC	FS 69	358
4425027008	RABBANI,IRAJ AND JOLI TRS IRAJ AND JOLI RABBANI TRUST	FS 19	359
4425027010	MESSIAN,DAVID	FS 19	360
4429033026	LEVI,SOHRAB R AND ROYA E TRS LEVI FAMILY TRUST	FS 19	361
4431023012	RAZAVI KAMRAN	FS 23	362
4490013016	COOKE,NOELLE TR	FS 19	363
4492002024	BOCA DE CANON	FS 19	364
4492013003	EVANS,KATHERINE A TR KATHERINE A EVANS TRUST	FS 19	365
4493002008	13045 RIVERS ROAD ASSOC	FS 19	366
5026001002	4S DON FELIPE LLC C/O EMILY M GREEN	FS 94	367
5026002003	TOMASO GARDENS LLC	FS 94	368
5026003012	LARRY AND JACQUELINE WILLIAMS	FS 94	369
5026011005	BLAKE,OSBOURNE A AND FIELDS BLAKE,KERON R	FS 94	370
5026014017	PAJOLEC,GUILLAUME AND LEWIN PAJOLEC,NATHALIE	FS 94	371
5028015002	CLARK PRICE,CAROL M TR CAROL M CLARK PRICE TRUST	FS 94	372
5029024004	PAMELA DAVIS	FS 94	373
5029026013	HOWISON,JOSIAH AND CHUNG,EUN S	FS 94	374
5029038001	EDDY DIG-HWA LIU	FS 94	375
5029040005	LANG,PATRICIA A TR LANG FAMILY TRUST	FS 94	376
5031005006	BYAS,JAMES S,JR	FS 94	377

5031009001	DAVID KANG	FS 94	378
5031015008	SMALLEY,MICHAEL J AND	FS 94	379
5206015012	CERVANTES,JULIO AND SANDRA	FS 47	380
5207003003	DECRO FIRMIN LLC	FS 1	381
5207026016	MURGUIA,MANUEL J CO TR MANUEL AND CAROL MURGUIA TRUST	FS 47	382
5208018025	STAPLETON PROPERTIES LLC	FS 1	383
5209002011	GONZALEZ,JOSE AND ARACELI	FS 47	384
5209004022	WEBSTER GUILLERMO	FS 1	385
5209007017	HMW AND JK ENTERPRISES INC	FS 47	386
5209008003	LIANG GEORGE AND CHEN MICHAEL	FS 47	387
5209017011	PULIDO,JAVIER	FS 47	388
5213007023	ALEJANDRO ROMULO A JR AND ABRAHAM MARIA B	FS 47	389
5213027019	GUZMAN,SONIA A	FS 47	390
5213034019	MINA,SOPHIA	FS 47	391
5214004014	SOUNTSOV,ALEKSANDR TR	FS 47	392
5214010001	BAUTISTA,GENEROSO T AND LOURDES O	FS 47	393
5223021010	CHIN,JOHN L AND	FS 16	394
5223026012	TRAN ANTHONY	FS 16	395
5304001025	WHITE MICHELLE AND RINCON LUIS	FS 47	396
5304014013	URBANFORMS INC	FS 47	397
5306016050	MERCADO GUILLERMO M AND YAJAHIRA G	FS 47	398
5307002006	MOLINA,MIGUEL S	FS 47	399
5307008025	FERLY CORP AND ACE MARKETING DEVELOPMENT LLC	FS 47	400
5307008026	FERLY CORP AND ACE MARKETING DEVELOPMENT LLC	FS 47	401
5402001013	RICWEN LLC	FS 20	402
5402001032	MATIAS,TOMAS	FS 20	403
5415009009	KIM SUJIN AND LEAH	FS 20	404
5419008028	LAVELLE,ROBERT	FS 20	405
5419009002	FIRESTONE,NELSON TR FIRESTONE FAMILY TRUST	FS 20	406
5420005002	OGLE,NORMA	FS 20	407
5420006019	STUPLIN,MARK S	FS 20	408
5420015008	AYUTHAI R SIRI	FS 20	409
5420015020	HOFFMAN,SEAN M AND	FS 20	410
5420029009	HORTA,MARIA A	FS 20	411
5420032038	OTTO,DAVID C AND JENNIFER C	FS 20	412
5420036022	HOWARD DAVID LIVING TRUST	FS 20	413
5422001030	SHEILA MERLINO	FS 56	414
5422007020	STEELE,CHARLES A AND	FS 56	415

5422020026	STOKES,STEVEN J TR EDENDALE HEIGHTS TRUST	FS 20	416
5423004026	BILDIK GUL K	FS 20	417
5423013006	NOL,CHARLES E	FS 20	418
5424003033	LANGER,GASTON CO TR LANGER ELINGER FAMILY TRUST	FS 20	419
5424006017	SANTIAGO REYNALDO R (TE)	FS 20	420
5424006043	GUY PITHIE	FS 20	421
5424008018	MCPHAIL,CHAD AND	FS 20	422
5424015031	GARGI,MANU AND DAVE,KALPA	FS 20	423
5424026015	HERRERA,ARMANDO AND LILIA	FS 20	424
5424028026	MELVIN MABBAYAD	FS 20	425
5424029005	HERNANDEZ,ALVARO A AND	FS 20	426
5425009021	HIBBEN,INGRID TR LINDA CUMMINS HOLDING TRUST	FS 20	427
5426001009	CHASEN FREDERIC W AND JESSICA A	FS 20	428
5429016009	ASKARINAM,BEHROOZ CO TR ASKARINAM FAMILY TRUST	FS 35	429
5430006005	KATS,YELENA	FS 35	430
5430008023	BROWN,MICHAEL AND KHATIRA R TRS BROWN FAMILY TRUST C/O MICHAEL SLOAN	FS 56	431
5430013034	DENTON T HOOPER	FS 35	432
5430014015	MERINO,JOSSY TR MERINO TRUST	FS 35	433
5430025019	SUNSET DRIVE DEVELOPMENT LLC	FS 35	434
5431027022	VAN ZELLER,MARIANA CO TR VAN ZELLER AND FOSTER TRUST	FS 20	435
5431033017	SOKOL MARGOLIS SAMUEL D	FS 20	436
5431037023	DELBARRIO,JON AND JENNIFER	FS 20	437
5432002025	2515 GRIFFITH PARK INC	FS 56	438
5432005011	EUN,JOO KIM	FS 56	439
5432020019	CHIEN,MU TIEN AND	FS 56	440
5432032012	3114 SCOTLAND LLC	FS 56	441
5432034008	LINDSAY,SAOIRSE AND PURDUE,DAVID	FS 56	442
5432034010	2114 HYPERION AVENUE LLC C/O MATTHEW DELEVA	FS 56	443
5433004015	PEREZ,FRANCESCA TR ET AL FRANCESCA PEREZ TRUST AND ATKINS,JACQUELINE S	FS 56	444
5433010002	LEE,WILLIAM K	FS 56	445
5433017027	MCMASTER,SARAH AND LARSON,SCOTT	FS 56	446
5433017030	BALIAN,ARAM AND SUZIE	FS 56	447
5433021016	LEE,KATHERINE A CO TR BREUER LEE FAMILY TRUST	FS 56	448
5433023038	TIMMONS,SHERI A	FS 56	449
5434024001	TORKOMIAN STEPHAN AND HAROUTIOUN	FS 56	450
5434030013	GIN,JO ANN TR ARTHUR AND JO ANN GIN TRUST	FS 56	451
5434036029	EFF ENTERPRISES LLC	FS 56	452

5438014010	OGINO,JAMES T AND	FS 56	453
5438021013	TOUSI,ABBASS	FS 56	454
5438021014	TOUSI,ABBASS	FS 56	455
5439002004	WAKIL,BRIAN	FS 56	456
5439016003	LOUIE,BOCHEN C TR BOCHEN C LOUIE TRUST	FS 56	457
5439024012	PEPPER,LAURIE TR LAURIE PEPPER TRUST	FS 56	458
5440010013	SHEERIN,BROOKE AND DANIEL	FS 56	459
5440012040	ORIGEL,MARCO AND MONICA AND ALVAREZ,RICARDO AND ELISA	FS 56	460
5440017004	OSLIN, SUSAN	FS 56	461
5440023015	LACY,CHARLES D AND PALMER LACY,ALICE J	FS 56	462
5440029015	HOM,SHEW H ET AL HOM,HENRY Y	FS 56	463
5443017046	LIPSITT,ALISA E	FS 20	464
5443021024	SMITH,NATHANIEL AND HUDSON,ELIZABETH	FS 20	465
5443021025	SMITH,NATHANIEL AND HUDSON,ELIZABETH	FS 20	466
5443030021	SNOW,TERRY AND KANESHIRO,GEORGE AND NANCY	FS 56	467
5443030022	SNOW,TERRY AND KANESHIRO,GEORGE AND NANCY	FS 56	468
5443031021	LEE AMBER	FS 56	469
5443034033	Tuyen D. Lecong	FS 56	470
5443034036	Tuyen D. Lecong	FS 56	471
5451013016	STEVENS STEVEN AND KAREN AND JILL	FS 44	472
5451015001	SHEPERD,JAMES H	FS 44	473
5451022009	HUFFMAN,DAVID AND OSMUNDSON,WENDY AND	FS 44	474
5452012037	JOHN STURM	FS 44	475
5452017024	ARCEO,FELIPE AND	FS 44	476
5452017026	ARCEO,FELIPE AND	FS 44	477
5452017028	ARCEO,FELIPE AND	FS 44	478
5454005036	TRI PALMS FINANCIAL INC	FS 44	479
5459014007	BISSELL,JOHN R AND WIESENFELD,ALEXANDRA	FS 55	480
5459015009	RODRIGUEZ JESUS A	FS 55	481
5459018010	PETTET,WILLIAM D	FS 55	482
5460004016	ROYAL TOWN DEVELOPMENT LLC	FS 50	483
5460005007	MIGUEL MORALES	FS 50	484
5460010007	SMITH,HEWITT A III	FS 50	485
5460010049	ERGON INVESTMENTS INC	FS 50	486
5460010050	ERGON INVESTMENTS INC	FS 50	487
5460012023	BYE,JEFFREY CO TR GAMM BYE FAMILY TRUST	FS 50	488
5460017007	ABMS INC	FS 50	489
5460017018	ABMS INC	FS 50	490
5460017019	ABMS INC	FS 50	491

5460017020	ABMS INC	FS 50	492
5460025013	YARIV OZDOBA	FS 55	493
5462004006	DELSON INVESTMENT COMPANY	FS 55	494
5462007013	JAIN,ATUL	FS 50	495
5462011001	MOULSON PETER	FS 50	496
5462011004	MOULSON PETER	FS 50	497
5462011005	MOULSON PETER	FS 50	498
5462011012	NETO,IVAN L	FS 50	499
5462027004	CASON JOSEPH R AND CARTER BURT C	FS 50	500
5464002057	JUPITER RESIDENCES LLC	FS 44	501
5464004031	3608 3614 PRIMAVERA LLC	FS 44	502
5464022020	KRIST PAUL M	FS 44	503
5465007017	CAPITAL ASSET PARTNERS LLC C/O SAM ZAVOSH	FS 44	504
5465007018	CAPITAL ASSET PARTNERS LLC C/O SAM ZAVOSH	FS 44	505
5466024009	BEECHWOOD CONSULTING INC	FS 44	506
5466029034	ASPEN CONSULTING LTD ROTH 401K TRUST C/O ASPEN RETIREMENT FUND LLC	FS 44	507
5466032018	POGOSSIAN,ALEXANDER CO TR A AND G POGOSSIAN TRUST	FS 44	508
5467020011	412 MUSEUM DRIVE LLC C/O DAN BOHBOT	FS 44	509
5471001015	STARS INVEST INC	FS 55	510
5471017014	HILLSIDE WORKS LLC	FS 12	511
5471017015	ELDRED PROJECTS LLC	FS 12	512
5472005029	ARROYO ISSA L AND MARK J	FS 55	513
5473011030	SITARA & JAMIE NISSLY FALCON	FS 55	514
5473017001	MORTE HANSEN,DIANE M TR DIANE M MORTE HANSEN TRUST	FS 55	515
5474031009	LEVA,SCOTT H	FS 55	516
5474041014	CONTERO,HERBERT AND SILVIA D	FS 55	517
5475004001	LIVINGSTON,RACHEL S TR LIVINGSTON TRUST	FS 55	518
5477009005	BUCHANAN HOME LLC	FS 55	519
5477009007	TAI,CHIU D TR CHIU D TAI TRUST	FS 55	520
5477011008	SAUCEDO GILBERT	FS 55	521
5477012041	SAUCEDO GILBERT	FS 55	522
5477012044	PETRICK,KATHRYN AND JOSEPH TRS PETRICK FAMILY TRUST	FS 55	523
5479003009	BURROWS GROUP LLC	FS 42	524
5479006002	SUN,WEN J	FS 55	525
5479006003	SUN,WEN J	FS 55	526
5479022009	LERNER RICHARD P FAMILY TRUST	FS 55	527
5480005016	JMAN HOLDING LLC	FS 12	528
5480032009	HUDELSON LEONA H AND BLAKE	FS 55	529

5485016013	SOUFO GABRIEL AND LIN KELSEY	FS 12	530
5493032012	BBC SAN PASCUAL LLC	FS 12	531
5493036022	XIE,HONG TR HONG XIE TRUST AND HO,WAIN CHEUNG	FS 12	532
5549008013	CAUER ROBERT E LIVING TRUST	FS 76	533
5549022017	FROM THE GROUP UP ENTERPRISES LLC	FS 76	534
5549030001	ARGON PROPERTIES LLC	FS 76	535
5551002004	DIMITOVA KREMENA AND DIMITROVA GALINA AND KRASIMIR	FS 41	536
5551006010	YARALIAN,PIERRE AND	FS 41	537
5556005019	PARIS SHICK	FS 41	538
5556005020	PARIS SHICK	FS 41	539
5556005021	PARIS SHICK	FS 41	540
5556006012	YASKIN,KYLE	FS 41	541
5556007039	BELLGAVE LLC	FS 41	542
5556028008	BARENSFELD GLEN A	FS 41	543
5558002011	SUNSET PLAZA INVESTORS LLC	FS 41	544
5558013014	HERZBRUN,DOUGLAS TR DOUGLAS HERZBRUN TRUST AND SAWCHUK,RANDY M TR SAWCHUK TRUST	FS 41	545
5558020021	CALIFORNIA DEVELOPERS LLC	FS 41	546
5558021009	SEP BADY	FS 41	547
5558021012	LOONG,MAX	FS 41	548
5558022007	SEP BADY	FS 41	549
5558026008	BARRITT,JASON	FS 41	550
5559023007	KATZENSTEIN,ANDREW M TR LAH TRUST	FS 41	551
5561006026	LIAN JU CO LLC	FS 41	552
5564032049	LIGORNER,DAVID TR DAVID LIGORNER TRUST AND CORSALINI,STEPHANIE	FS 97	553
5567007003	VOROBYEV ALEXEY AND GECHMEN VALDEK EKATERINA	FS 97	554
5567007004	HOFF,DANIEL TR DANIEL HOFF TRUST	FS 97	555
5567011021	BEAUTER,WILLIAM L	FS 97	556
5567029030	HOLLYWOOD PARK LAUREL CANYON LLC	FS 97	557
5569011061	599 CANON LLC	FS 41	558
5569034016	AVINOAM LERNER AND HEIDI J MARKET	FS 41	559
5569036003	SOARES LAURA	FS 41	560
5572002007	QUIET STORM 2755 LLC	FS 76	561
5572009010	LAM,WINNIE	FS 76	562
5572022007	KARNS,JOHN H AND JULIE S TRS KARNS FAMILY TRUST	FS 76	563
5576006033	BLACK WHALE PROPERTIES LLC	FS 27	564
5576007027	KHAN,ABDUL R AND RAFAT TRS ABDUL AND RAFAT KHAN TRUST	FS 27	565

5576010023	GONG,YUBO	FS 82	566
5577004015	LENORE WONG	FS 76	567
5577032007	SHINGLES,MARCUS TR MARCUS SHINGLES TRUST	FS 27	568
5577036029	CHANG,KENNETH K AND JULIE TRS KENNETH K AND JULIE CHAN TRUST	FS 76	569
5579011015	3374 BLAIR LLC C/O ADI COHEN MANAGER	FS 76	570
5579021028	BARHAM GROUP LLC	FS 76	571
5579032008	CELENTANO,THOMAS	FS 76	572
5580006029	SRODA,MATTHEW E AND	FS 82	573
5580014021	BEAMS,DONALD	FS 82	574
5580016004	WASHINGTON,HAYMA TR HAYMA WASHINGTON TRUST	FS 82	575
5580018034	SQUIRES,SHARON G AND TODD	FS 82	576
5580019028	BYG,ROBERT N TR ROBERT N BYG TRUST	FS 82	577
5581015014	DSH REAL ESTATE HOLDING LLC	FS 82	578
5582020023	DRUZ,RICHARD AND JACQUEILNE P	FS 82	579
5582021002	PARKER ELIZABETH L AND SARAH M	FS 82	580
5582023006	MASLON,JAMES H TR JAMES H MASLON FAMILY TRUST	FS 82	581
5585001014	DIANA CHEN	FS 82	582
5585001016	DIANA CHEN	FS 82	583
5585001022	DIANA CHEN	FS 82	584
5585002011	DIANA CHEN	FS 82	585
5585005035	ANTHONY S SHAWKAT	FS 82	586
5585012009	DIANA CHEN	FS 82	587
5585012010	DIANA CHEN	FS 82	588
5585012011	DIANA CHEN	FS 82	589
5585012014	DIANA CHEN	FS 82	590
5586010013	HANELIN,HELGA TR ET AL HANELIN TRUST AND	FS 82	591
5586019019	ERIC ROTH	FS 82	592
5587002035	OREN LANG	FS 82	593
5587003002	SHIN,KYUNG SOOK AND HAN GON	FS 82	594
5587014036	GODZIK,CATHLEEN A TR GODZIK TRUST	FS 82	595
5588011004	GLENDOWER ESTATE INCORPORATED	FS 35	596
5588011005	RASO,JOSEPH	FS 35	597
5588016019	CASTLE 1996 TRUST	FS 35	598
5588032024	BARSEGHIAN,HARRY AND ALVARD TRS BARSEGHIAN FAMILY TRUST AND BARSEGHIAN,A TR YERANIK TRUST	FS 35	599
5591022026	ASARO KATHLEEN FOY	FS 35	600
5592011039	ROSENTHAL ELAN AND SYMONDS MEREDITH	FS 56	601
5592018026	SPIEGEL,ADAM H	FS 56	602

5592024021	DAVIS STEPHANIE A AND SLOCOMBE BENJAMIN W	FS 56	603
5671005018	RUIZ,MARTIN JR CO TR M RUIZ JR AND C MARTINEZ TRUST	FS 42	604
5671005020	GETAZ,CHRISTOPHER P AND CRISTIANE C	FS 42	605
5678029015	ANTOINETTE T DECKER	FS 55	606
5683017009	MACARAIG,DONATO S AND MILAGROS B	FS 55	607
5683017025	MACARAIG,DONATO S AND MILAGROS B	FS 55	608
5683017026	MACARAIG,DONATO S AND MILAGROS B	FS 55	609
5683019009	SEGUNDO GONZALEZ	FS 55	610
5684019002	HIRX,JOHN W	FS 55	611
5684021013	CASTRO,RAUL J TR RAUL J CASTRO TRUST	FS 55	612
5689031029	CARMEL,CHARLOTTE AND BURCHELL,AMY C/O WILLIAM BURCHELL	FS 42	613
5691014035	MCNEELY ERIC AND LAUSTEN ROSALINDA S	FS 42	614
5691014040	TERRY HERRERA	FS 42	615
5691014041	TERRY HERRERA	FS 42	616
5708003001	HIJAZI,MAHMOUD M AND NANA A	FS 42	617
5708003002	HIJAZI,MAHMOUD M AND NANA A	FS 42	618
5708003003	HIJAZI,MAHMOUD M AND NANA A	FS 42	619
5708007021	ANNANDALE TERRACE APARTMENTS INVESTORS LLC	FS 42	620
5709003048	THURMAN CASEY T AND BAKER KATHERINE M	FS 42	621
5716020019	KIM FAMILY LIVING TRUST	FS 12	622
7412016006	VALLES,PEDRO SR AND ALEJANDRINA	FS 85	623
7559037019	BOGLE,JAMES E AND DEBRA A	FS 101	624
7560028001	SAN PEDRO LODGE NO 966 B AND P ORDER OF ELKS	FS 101	625
7562012006	KRISHNASWAMY,SUDHIR	FS 101	626
7562012007	VARGAS,WALTER H	FS 101	627
7562012009	VIOLET KRASOVEC	FS 101	628

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 96
NAME: ELLIOTT,SCOTT TR SCOTT ELLIOTT TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 9439 VALLEY CIRCLE BLVD
CHATSWORTH CA 91311
SITUS ADDRESS: 9439 VALLEY CIRCLE BLVD
LOS ANGELES 91311
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2007001008** / INVOICE NO: BN210000248

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Apellant asserted that work was completed prior to City contractor clearing property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection the Fire Inspector deemed the work was not completed. The Fire Inspector made all the appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was not in compliance the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 96
NAME: 900 N OGDEN LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 500 N LARCHMONT BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90004
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 9401 North TOPANGA CYN BLVD
CHATSWORTH CA 91311
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2010004046** / INVOICE NO: BN210000249

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted property was already in compliance prior to City contractor clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106
NAME: CHILIN, ISRAEL E AND ANA V AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 10043 DELCO AVE
CHATSWORTH CA 91311
SITUS ADDRESS: 24045 EAGLE MOUNTAIN ST
LOS ANGELES 91304
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2017020046** / INVOICE NO: BN210000265

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed he cleared property prior to City Contractor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 12, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection the Fire Inspector deemed the work was not completed. The Fire Inspector made all the appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was not in compliance the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106
NAME: BENTOV,NANCY
MAILING ADDRESS: 23720 BALTAR ST
WEST HILLS CA 91304 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 23720 BALTAR ST
LOS ANGELES 91304
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2020007070** / INVOICE NO: BN210000274

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believe she cleared the property prior to City contractor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection the Fire Inspector deemed the work was not completed. The Fire Inspector made all the appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and in was not in compliance the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106
NAME: ZINGERMAN, BORIS
MAILING ADDRESS: 23676 JUSTICE ST
WEST HILLS CA 91304
SITUS ADDRESS: 23676 JUSTICE ST
LOS ANGELES 91304
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2020009034** / INVOICE NO: BN210000275

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant contended that he did not receive notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee in the amount as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection the Fire Inspector deemed the work was not completed. The Fire Inspector made all the appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was not in compliance the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 30, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106
NAME: ERIN J JUNDEF AND JUSTIN BRILL
MAILING ADDRESS: 23837 ERIN PLACE
WEST HILLS CA 91304 US
SITUS ADDRESS: 23837 ERIN PL
CANOGA PARK 91304
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2020033042** / INVOICE NO: BN210000278

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property purchased in February 2021.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that this appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106
NAME: AGHATY,AMIR CO TR AGHATY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 7243 CIRRUS WAY
WEST HILLS CA 91307 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 7243 CIRRUS WAY
LOS ANGELES 91307
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2027010020** / INVOICE NO: BN210000279

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed that the property was already cleared prior to the City contractor clearing the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106
NAME: ALHAJ,DANI
MAILING ADDRESS: 21926 VENTURA BLVD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 7217 BERNADINE AVE
LOS ANGELES 91307
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2027011016** / INVOICE NO: BN210000280

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated property was already cleared prior to City Contractor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106
NAME: IROM, FAROKH TR FAROKH IROM TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 24050 HILLHURST DR
WEST HILLS CA 91307
SITUS ADDRESS: 23749 DEL CERRO CIR
LOS ANGELES 91304
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2027016019** / INVOICE NO: BN210000282

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed that it was unclear that the property cleared was owned by him.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106
NAME: WEST, RICHARD N AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 07538 LINLEY LN
WEST HILLS CA 91304 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 7538 LINLEY LN
LOS ANGELES 91304
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2027034010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000285

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant unaware that a pine tree was the subject of clearance and noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106
NAME: WILLIAMS, PHYLLIS Y TR PHYLLIS Y WILLIAMS TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 24221 HILLHURST DR
WEST HILLS CA 91307
SITUS ADDRESS: 24221 HILLHURST DR
LOS ANGELES 91307
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2027043024** / INVOICE NO: BN210000288

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that property was already cleared prior to City contractor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: JACKSON,ANDREW AND LEE A
MAILING ADDRESS: 24131 HIGHLANDER RD
WEST HILLS CA 91307 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 24131 HIGHLANDER RD
LOS ANGELES 91307
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2028032017** / INVOICE NO: BN210000291

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted that no notice was given.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 30, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: THOMAS D MOLINA AND DAMARIS DANAIATA
MAILING ADDRESS: 6947 SCARBOROUGH PEAK DRIVE
WEST HILLS CA 91307 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 6947 SCARBOROUGH PEAK DR
LOS ANGELES 91307
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2028036020** / INVOICE NO: BN210000292

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner did not clear property until March 2021.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: LUPE PITTS
MAILING ADDRESS: 7049 MIDDLESBURY RIDGE CIR
WEST HILLS CA 91307
SITUS ADDRESS: 7049 MIDDLESBURY RIDGE CIR
LOS ANGELES 91307
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2028046010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000295

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts property was in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: PANCHENKO,ALEXANDER
MAILING ADDRESS: 24547 GILMORE ST
WEST HILLS CA 91307 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 24547 GILMORE ST
LOS ANGELES 91307
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2032005005** / INVOICE NO: BN210000296

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted that the clearance was eventually completed prior to City Contractor clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: RICHARD AND HOLLY K SANDLER
MAILING ADDRESS: 6201 PAT AVENUE
WEST HILLS CA 91307 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 6201 PAT AVE
LOS ANGELES 91307
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2032008021** / INVOICE NO: BN210000299

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts property in compliance prior to re-inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: SADEH,ALI AND MARZIEH
MAILING ADDRESS: 6143 COUNTY OAK RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 6143 COUNTY OAK RD
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2032030005** / INVOICE NO: BN210000303

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts no notice given.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: OZERI,INON
MAILING ADDRESS: 01628 S SHENANDOAH ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90035
SITUS ADDRESS: 5794 FAIRHAVEN AVE
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2046002059** / INVOICE NO: BN210000307

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted property was already in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: GRAVES,JEFFERY AND JANETHE
MAILING ADDRESS: 5732 WILHELMINA AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 5732 WILHELMINA AVE
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2046005007** / INVOICE NO: BN210000310

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he performed the clearance before the second inspection. The inspector found that the clearance was not complete on the property despite Appellant's efforts.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: MORALES,MIZRRAHIMA AND CARLOTA
MAILING ADDRESS: 22209 CAMAY CT
CALABASAS CA 91302
SITUS ADDRESS: 5859 VALLEY CIRCLE BLVD
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2046005018** / INVOICE NO: BN210000311

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says she performed clearance after receiving notice.
She provided photos of the clearance performed on August 13, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: KASAI, KELVIN
MAILING ADDRESS: 5617 OSTIN AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 5617 OSTIN AVE
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2046010021** / INVOICE NO: BN210000313

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he contacted the Inspector to request more time to complete the clearance. He provided photos of his efforts which resulted in full compliance, though after the 2nd Notice of Noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: ARMEN,LEVON TR ARMEN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 5554 PATTILAR AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 5554 PATTILAR AVE
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2046014021** / INVOICE NO: BN210000318

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant is a 93-Year-Old World War II veteran with memory loss, sight, and hearing issues lives on a fixed income. His son stepped in and figured out what was happening and made sure the property became compliant.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appeal granted. It cannot be said that the Appellant fully knew or was capable of understanding his obligations to the LAFD, City, and the people of Los Angeles. Thankfully, his son stepped in and made the property compliant paying \$3000 for the brush clearance.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: BIXSEN SHEILA G LIVING TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 5556 PATTILAR AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 5556 PATTILAR AVE
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2046014022** / INVOICE NO: BN210000319

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says that after the 2nd Notice of Noncompliance, the brush clearance was completed by a contractor she hired for \$1,500. Appellant appears to believe that clearance after the 2nd Notice means that she should not be charged the re-inspection fee of \$516.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 30, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: YANG JINING
MAILING ADDRESS: 5566 EL CANON AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 5566 EL CANON AVE
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2046017004** / INVOICE NO: BN210000323

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they purchased the property in December 2020 and therefore did not receive the notices for 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. No documents showing a transfer of property was submitted and the record does not reflect such a transfer. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 30, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: GREEN,RUSSELL S TR
MAILING ADDRESS: 5039 BODA PL
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 US
SITUS ADDRESS: 5039 BODA PL
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2047003010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000326

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated their was a clerical error in their title documents that listed an incorrect address for notices, which prevented them from getting any LAFD brush clearance notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant submitted a letter from the LA County Assessor's Office acknowledging the clerical error in support of Appellant's appeal. As such, in the interests of justice, it is recommended that the appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: TERANI, SHOM A
MAILING ADDRESS: 04809 DUNMAN AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4809 DUNMAN AVE
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2074027021** / INVOICE NO: BN210000332

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant's family caught COVID and she was pregnant and also taking care of her 18 month old. COVID caused a financial stress on the family, so they did brush clearance themselves. This was difficult because they allege they never received the first notice. They completed the clearance less than three weeks after the 2nd Notice was issued.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: IRANI ZEENA AND TAHILRAMANI ADITYA
MAILING ADDRESS: 4734 DUNMAN AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4734 DUNMAN AVE
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2074027045** / INVOICE NO: BN210000333

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he was not the owner of the property when Notices were made. He cleaned up the property once he took possession as the owner and became aware of the existing violation.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: HAYEDEH M KHALIGH
MAILING ADDRESS: 22901 GERSHWIN DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22901 GERSHWIN DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2074042001** / INVOICE NO: BN210000334

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellate asserts that the palm tree causing the second violation was only first pointed out in the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. Appellant asserts that the palm tree brush issue was brought up only at the second inspection. Investigator notes indicate that palm fronds were an issue in both the first and second inspections. Nevertheless, even after the second notice, the Appellant allowed the matter to get to the point where the property was packaged for contractor cleanup bidding. Appeal denied.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: NABIOLAH B SAMOUHA
MAILING ADDRESS: 15542 BRIARWOOD DR
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403
SITUS ADDRESS: 22701 MACFARLANE DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2075013012** / INVOICE NO: BN210000348

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says that there was an unlawful detainer lawsuit which prevented him from accessing his property at 22701 MacFarlane which had 1st and 2nd Notices of Noncompliance then later went to Red Post Notice to Abate. Appellant says he got access and cleared his property on 9/12/2020 after the lawsuit settled. Despite that contention, Fire Department records show the property went to Red Post on 9/16/2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 22, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a Notice to Abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard commonly called the Red Post. Appellant provided proof of the lawsuit Case Number 19VEHD01750. However, that means that a judge had jurisdiction over the property and Appellant could have requested entry for purposes of brush clearance. It cannot be said that it was impossible for Appellant to perform clearance or have contractors do the work. In addition, though the Appellant asserts that he cleared the property, the Investigator inspected after Appellant gained access and still found the property in violation, thus posting the Red Board.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: TABRIZI,KAMRAN AND FOUROUGH
MAILING ADDRESS: 21731 AMBAR DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 5100 FALLBROOK AVE
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2075014007** / INVOICE NO: BN210000349

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he did not receive one of the notices. But, he did perform clearance afterwards by hiring a contractor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 22, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: SHAKERI, ALIK A
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 651
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91365
SITUS ADDRESS: 5001 BECKLEY AVE
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2075020018** / INVOICE NO: BN210000350

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant was trapped outside the U.S. by reason of COVID. In February of 2021, Appellant hired a contractor to perform clearance on 2/22/2021. But the property already suffered the third violation and a Red Post Notice to Abate three months earlier on 11/2/2020. Appellant says gardener regularly performed clearance and stopped unexpectedly. This is credible because property passed initially on 6/6/2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: November 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: JON LOOMIS AND SUSAN ROGOSIN
MAILING ADDRESS: 4940 REFORMA ROAD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4940 REFORMA RD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2075024004** / INVOICE NO: BN210000351

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says hired contractor to clear, no invoice provided, however, showed photo of cleared dead palm fronds. Appellant says clearance occurred in November or December 2020. Thereafter, no inspector and family caught COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. Mailed 1st and 2nd notices were not returned. The property was also posted with the Notice to Abate, commonly known as the Red Post.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a Notice to Abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard.

Although the Appellant provided a photo showing that their contractor cleared the dead palm fronds, this was performed in November or December 2020 after the Red Post.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: POLOVNIKOV,DMITRY AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 04988 CALDERON RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4988 CALDERON RD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2075027009** / INVOICE NO: BN210000354

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says that they conducted cleanup themselves to comply with the rules and notices. When Appellant received the Notice to Abate Nuisance and Fire Hazard, the paid a contractor \$1600 to perform the clearance which resulted in a passed inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: HADI ALIDOWLATABADI
MAILING ADDRESS: 4892 REFORMA RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4892 REFORMA RD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2075038002** / INVOICE NO: BN210000358

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they performed brush clearance the same as 2019 when they passed. Appellant does not understand why their property did not pass this time. Appellant says they never got the 2nd Notice of Noncompliance. Appellant claims they paid a contractor to clear palm fronds which were identified particularly in the 1st Notice of Noncompliance. Appellant says COVID negatively affected their mail and that it made getting a contractor difficult. Appellant claims they cleared the palm fronds in July or August of 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard, which included the particularly described Appellant's palm trees dead palm fronds.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a Notice to Abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard, once again, identifying the palm fronds on the posting.

Appellant claims that the dead palm fronds were cleared in July or August 2020. Appellant does not provide an invoice for a contractor cleanup nor state whether the work was performed by Appellant. The second of the photos provided is date stamped 11/3/2020 and it shows Appellant's palm trees with dead palm fronds on them. This corroborates the pre-bid inspection photo and fail on 11/2/2020. So there is no credible evidence to believe the dead palm fronds were cleared in July or August 2020 as Appellant claims.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: CHRISTOPHER D OWENS
MAILING ADDRESS: 22858 CASS AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22858 CASS AVE
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2076003034** / INVOICE NO: BN210000362

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says that he was taking care of his disabled girlfriend at her home during the pandemic, living with her instead of at his property. Appellant says this is why he was tardy in his cleanup efforts. Once Appellant was informed of the noncompliance he hired a gardener for the clearance and informed the Fire Department of the brush cleanup efforts and time frame.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: December 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: HASHEMI, FARID TR HASHEMI TRUST AND HASHEMI, M TR MASIH
HASHEMI TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 22420 SENTAR RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22420 SENTAR RD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2076006030** / INVOICE NO: BN210000369

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he performed brush clearance regularly on his property and provided proof. Appellant says he did not know his property extended beyond his fence. Appellant completely removed the palm tree that was cited in the first and second noncompliance notices. Appellant sold the property but still is taking responsibility of the violation

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: GIANATSI, JAMES A
MAILING ADDRESS: 4801 REFORMA RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4801 REFORMA RD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2076006062** / INVOICE NO: BN210000372

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did brush clearance and continued to address the noncompliance notices with best efforts, eventually hiring a professional gardener.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: SAKAKI,MEHRDAD AND SHAJIEE,MARYAM
MAILING ADDRESS: 04901 AZUCENA RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4901 AZUCENA DR
WOODLAND HILLS 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2076006069** / INVOICE NO: BN210000373

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared after receiving the first notice and that they did not receive a second notice of violation.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105
NAME: ERIC LUND
MAILING ADDRESS: 4786 EXCELENTE DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4786 EXCELENTE DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2076008025** / INVOICE NO: BN210000375

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they spoke to the inspector after the second inspection and they completed the work required. Appellant states they did not receive the second notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: Q EZITRA PARTNERS
MAILING ADDRESS: 7227 FALLBROOK AVE
WEST HILLS CA 91307
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 22525 QUINTA RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2076009029** / INVOICE NO: BN210000379

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they usually hire professionals to do their brush clearance but the usual firm was closed due to covid so they attempted it themselves and admitted to doing it incorrectly.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: IRACILDA M PERKINS
MAILING ADDRESS: 14900 MAGNOLIA BLVD #56834
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91413 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 22541 QUINTA RD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2076009038** / INVOICE NO: BN210000380

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they no longer own the property as of October 2020 and brush clearance was done several times during receivership.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: KIKNADZE ROUSSOUDANA ET AL SHATZ GEORGE N
MAILING ADDRESS: 22559 MARGARITA DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22559 MARGARITA DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2076016042** / INVOICE NO: BN210000387

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that the first failed inspection was due to a tree on their neighbor's property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: DARWISH, ROSYANE F TR HONEY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 22508 MARGARITA DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22508 MARGARITA DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2076017024** / INVOICE NO: BN210000388

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they did not receive notices as they were not opening their mail due to covid. Additionally, Appellant stated that mail has been stolen from their neighborhood.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Notices were posted as well as mailed.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: SAGAL SIMON (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 22361 MULHOLLAND DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22361 MULHOLLAND DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2076024010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000390

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they cleared the hazards after the initial notice and claim financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: WOHLWEND, ANDREW J AND JESSICA
MAILING ADDRESS: 22624 WATERBURY ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 22624 WATERBURY ST
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2078006024** / INVOICE NO: BN210000392

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they cleared 95% of their property, not knowing they were responsible for the 5% that failed the inspection. Appellant stated they cleared the remaining 5% once they knew they were responsible for it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: GHAEDI, KERAMATOLLAH AND TARTARAN, AMENEH
MAILING ADDRESS: 22560 FLAMINGO ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22560 FLAMINGO ST
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2078013006** / INVOICE NO: BN210000394

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they were abroad while their family was on the property. Appellant stated that typically a professional does the clearance but could not do it this year due to covid.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 30, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: DANIEL E REISBORD
MAILING ADDRESS: 14840 W SUNSET BLVD
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 20255 OXNARD ST
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2149009008** / INVOICE NO: BN210000396

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that their brush clearance was delayed due to COVID as it was difficult to find a contractor and their tenants were scared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: MERSEDEH AMIRABADI
MAILING ADDRESS: 20739 TIARA ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 20739 TIARA ST
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2149013039** / INVOICE NO: BN210000397

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they only received one notice and complied with it and were not aware they were in noncompliance as the notice was not clear as to what else to do. Appellant tried hiring a company for the clearance but they would not come out due to covid but eventually a professional firm completed the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: FEM TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 20891 KELVIN PL
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 20891 KELVIN PL
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2149014028** / INVOICE NO: BN210000400

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims financial hardship due to COVID and that they eventually were able to hire a company to clear the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: MARIA G PALACIOS
MAILING ADDRESS: 23371 MULHOLLAND DRIVE STE 352
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 20863 MIRANDA ST
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2149014037** / INVOICE NO: BN210000401

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states the property is a rental and the notices were sent to the rental instead of the owner's mailing address so that they were not aware of the notices. Appellant states the hazards were removed once they knew of the issue and asks for a refund.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: ARSHADI REZA TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 5661 KELVIN AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 5661 KELVIN AVE
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2149015010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000402

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they believed the hazard at issue was their neighbors, and then stated that their landlord hired a gardener to abate the hazard on Nov. 27, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: November 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: BEBE, JOSEPH AND SHAHLA
MAILING ADDRESS: 05935 MCDONIE AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 5935 North MCDONIE AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91347
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2151005033** / INVOICE NO: BN210000405

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they cleared the areas they were advised of only to be told they had to clear more areas after the reinspection, thus they feel the fee is unfair and not their fault.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Property owners are advised that their entire property must be cleared and it is the responsibility of the property owner to make sure all areas are hazard free.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: OMAR KADOSH AND ETEL LITTMAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 5646 OSO AVENUE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 5646 OSO AVE
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2151013068** / INVOICE NO: BN210000411

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the previous owner did not forward the compliance notices. Property records show Appellant purchased the property in May 2020. Appellant stated renovations took place after the purchase so they had not way of knowing they were in noncompliance until they finally received their new notice and took immediate action to correct.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that in the interests of justice, the assessment be dismissed. Appellant purchased the property after initial notices were sent to the former property owner and Appellant made a good faith effort to abate once they did receive notice.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: SAIED ASSIL
MAILING ADDRESS: 334 15TH STREET
SANTA MONICA CA 90402
SITUS ADDRESS: 5718 WALLIS LN
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2151020056** / INVOICE NO: BN210000414

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Mother/Property Owner died in 10/10/2020. Property had initially passed inspection in June 2020. Later inspection on November 18, 2020 was a fail. Notice given. Reinspection on December 11, 2020 was a fail. Notice given. Appellant provides death certificate as proof of Mother's death. Appellant moved back home after Mom's death to deal with brush clearance issue.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: December 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: AJIGOL,ELHAM AND MINASAZY,HOSSEIN
MAILING ADDRESS: 20736 CLARENDON ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 20736 CLARENDON ST
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2151031042** / INVOICE NO: BN210000417

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they hired a contractor to complete the brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: SFADIA DANIEL
MAILING ADDRESS: 2314 CASTLE HEIGHTS AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90034
SITUS ADDRESS: 20809 BURBANK BLVD
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2151034068** / INVOICE NO: BN210000418

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he inspected his property before July 2020 as was his family's habit every year. He says his property was compliant. However he failed first and second inspections, on 7/20/2020 and 8/17/2020 and received notices. However, he could not figure out what needed to be cleared from the notices. He attempted to call the Fire Department but could not get any answers. Ultimately, he was able to figure out that it was dead palm tree fronds and he cleared the dead fronds. Appellant claims financial hardship due to retirement.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: AYOUBI, MEYSAM AND ROSHTI, RASHIN A
MAILING ADDRESS: 5738 LINDLEY AVE
ENCINO CA 91316
SITUS ADDRESS: 20642 CLARK ST
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2151038041** / INVOICE NO: BN210000419

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant, Owner/Landlord, says tenant never got notices of violation and/or never shared them with Appellant. Tenant was out of town. The positive is that the owner cleared the property once he found out. The negative is that, from the inspection photos, the lawn, brush, and shrubs were very overgrown. Any landlord would have observed this wild and uncontrolled outgrowth, even just driving by.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a Notice to Abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of clearance. The property was scheduled for contractor cleanup bid. However, the Appellant performed cleanup and was cleared.

Because the condition of the property was visible from the street, the owner could, at any time, have visited the property and initiated cleanup sooner.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: MOGHBELI, FARAMARZ AND SORAYA E
MAILING ADDRESS: 20636 CLARK ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 20636 CLARK ST
LOS ANGELES 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2151038042** / INVOICE NO: BN210000420

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant's husband passed away and was the one in charge of the brush clearance every year. Appellant's grieving in the midst of COVID challenges made it difficult to maintain brush clearance. However, less than a month after the 2nd Notice, Appellant was able to perform clearance and passed the next inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed due process was afforded to Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: GIL,ADY TR 2004 SEPARATE PROPERTY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 20359 DELITA DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L NW of West VENTURA BLVD
ENCINO CA 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2166011021** / INVOICE NO: BN210000427

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says that 98% of the clearance was already completed when the 1st violation was issued. He kept trying to find out from the Fire Department what he was missing. Appellant alleges that the Department did not assist him. After the 2nd violation, Appellant figured out the issue and performed the additional clearance less than a week after receiving notice and was cleared by the Inspector.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: STEWART, JUDITH A CO TR JUDITH A STEWART TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 20632 DUMONT ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 20632 DUMONT ST
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2166018011** / INVOICE NO: BN210000430

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant was unsure of what needed to be done on the property but figured it out after the second notice in July 2020 and performed clearance on the property including a large dead tree. Inspector confirmed clearance after inspection in September 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed due process was afforded to Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: SISK ANTHONY AND LEONOR
MAILING ADDRESS: 20324 REAZA PL
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 20324 REAZA PL
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2166035011** / INVOICE NO: BN210000433

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the brush clearance was completed prior to the deadline.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: KOL TIKVAH
MAILING ADDRESS: 20400 VENTURA BLVD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 20400 VENTURA BLVD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2166035033** / INVOICE NO: BN210000434

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant is a Temple that shut down for COVID. Appellant says the shutdown interfered with the inspection of mail and when Appellant was finally able to access the mail, Appellant cleared the violations. Appellant claims financial hardship because Appellant is a house of worship and that the clearance occurred the day after the 2nd Violation was issued.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: QUALLS,GRETCHEN TR GRETCHEN QUALLS TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 02338 COTNER AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90064
SITUS ADDRESS: 20327 ANGELINA PL
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2166036010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000435

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant agrees that 1st Notice was received but claims that the 2nd Notice wasn't. Appellant claims that \$5000 was paid to clear the property in July 2020 but provides no invoice. However, the amount is possible because of the dead trees requiring removal, high dead brush and palm fronds and overall overgrown state of the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a Notice to Abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard because the property failed a third inspection in September 2020. Thus, the property was not cleared in July 2020 as Appellant claims. In October 2020, the Fire Inspector observed that the property was finally cleared by the owner. The high fire danger posed by the property during several months of repeated Notices went unheeded. This is especially troublesome because large fallen trees with substantial dead brush should have initiated brush clearance immediately by Appellant even without Notice which was given. The property owner has a year-round responsibility to perform brush clearance.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: HAYIM AND VALERIE ALON
MAILING ADDRESS: 4170 DECKER EDISON ROAD
MALIBU CA 90265 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 5149 CATALON AVE
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2167020027** / INVOICE NO: BN210000438

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that brush clearance was delayed due to their finances and getting an affordable contractor due to COVID. Appellant also claimed selective enforcement as other properties nearby still had hazards when they were notified.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: STEVEN L WRIGHT
MAILING ADDRESS: 5057 CANOGA AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 5057 CANOGA AVE
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2168008036** / INVOICE NO: BN210000452

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims financial hardship because of job loss and COVID. Despite Appellant's financial hardship he paid to have 6 trees removed and performed substantial brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: MEHRZAI, AMIR N
MAILING ADDRESS: 17729 VANOWEN STREET
RESEDA CA 91335 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 5150 Baza Ave
Woodland Hills 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2168012082** / INVOICE NO: BN210000457

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says brush clearance was performed by Appellant's gardener, providing an invoice. Unfortunately, the invoice is from a 2021 brush clearance effort performed in March 2021. The first and second violations and Notices were in July and August of 2020. However, Appellant cleared the brush in August or September 2020 because the Fire Inspector noted that brush had been cleared in September 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: HARCOURT, NICHOLAS
MAILING ADDRESS: 21839 SAN MIGUEL ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 21839 SAN MIGUEL ST
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2168014038** / INVOICE NO: BN210000458

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant describes a pipe burst with extensive water damage to the inside of Appellant's home in early 2020. Because Appellant was away from the home, Appellant says the First and Second Notices were not received. Appellant also cites COVID as a limiting factor. However, photos of the Fire Inspector show that there were dead trees, dead branches, dry brush and dry weeds clearly visible from the street.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: MONFARED, MOHSEN H CO TR
MAILING ADDRESS: 5208 DON PIO DRIVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 5208 DON PIO DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2168016065** / INVOICE NO: BN210000463

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The record shows numerous 'returned mail' notations in the official record. When Appellant finally received Notice he performed clearance. However, later Appellant noticed the Fire Inspector on his property on September 10, 2020. He asked the Inspector why he was getting posted (Red Notice: Posting: a Notice to Abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard) when he cleared the property. The Inspector told him that he still needed to clear dead palm fronds on his property. In one week, Appellant hired a contractor for \$1800 to perform the work but then, according to the official record, was mailed a 2nd Notice of Noncompliance on September 17, 2021. The 2nd Notice is supposed to come before the Red Notice. Appellant is believable because, one week later at the "Pre Bid Inspection" (an inspection before the City Contractor is hired), Appellant's property was deemed Cleared By Owner.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant's Appeal is Granted. The mailed Notices sent did not have the correct address and were returned. The order of conducting the violation process was wrong. And, the Appellant showed, by the rapidity of clearance after speaking with the Fire Inspector, that he had a willingness and ability to comply and did comply. Coming after the Red Notice, which was irregular, late posting of the 2nd Notice does not qualify the Fire Department to receive the Noncompliance Fee in this case.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: MADJID N RAD
MAILING ADDRESS: 16260 VENTURA BLVD 400
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 22231 MISTON DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2169021063** / INVOICE NO: BN210000467

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the brush clearance was delayed due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: NADELL, MICHAEL AND ROSSANA
MAILING ADDRESS: 05146 LLANO DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 5146 LLANO DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2169022035** / INVOICE NO: BN210000470

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant performed great efforts to clear the property by having their gardener come 3 times and also performing clearance herself. COVID was an issue getting more help. From the Appellant's photos it is clear that a lot of work was done.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: KOLLERBOHM, JULIO AND ANDREA R
MAILING ADDRESS: 05114 CERRILLOS DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 5114 CERRILLOS DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2169024028** / INVOICE NO: BN210000472

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says hillside brush clearance was performed twice in 2020. However, the Fire Inspector's photos from the 2nd Inspection on July, 24, 2020 show that dry brush substantially exceeding 3 inches was present. Appellant also urges that the dry brush found outside the backyard retaining wall means the the dry brush found was not on Appellant's property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: NOSRATI,ALIREZA
MAILING ADDRESS: 19048 INGOMAR ST
RESEDA CA 91335
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E. of 4980 North CERRILLOS DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2170001012** / INVOICE NO: BN210000474

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims brush was cleared in May 2020 before the First Inspection in June 2020. Appellant says no notices of noncompliance were received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: MALEK MATT
MAILING ADDRESS: 4971 LLANO DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4971 North LLANO DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2170001021** / INVOICE NO: BN210000475

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they lost their job and their mother was sick but they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: GOLOMBEK, FABIO CO TR F AND L GOLOMBEK TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 5050 CERRILLOS DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 5050 CERRILLOS DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2170001041** / INVOICE NO: BN210000476

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says his contractor performed brush clearance one week after getting the Second Notice. Provided invoice for work performed costing several thousand dollars.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: December 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: SHEMESH TIRAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 5005 LLANO DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 5005 LLANO DR
WOODLAND HILLS 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2170001055** / INVOICE NO: BN210000478

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted property was cleared. Property was not cleared however until late July 2020 but appellant was unsure as to the exact date.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: MARK STERN
MAILING ADDRESS: 22251 DUMETZ RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22251 DUMETZ RD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2170002050** / INVOICE NO: BN210000482

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated his mother was ill.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: SOUTH VALLEY LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 10476 LINDBROOK DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90024
SITUS ADDRESS: 22233 FLANCO RD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2170003009** / INVOICE NO: BN210000484

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant is an older person.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: DOWNEY, KEITH G TR DOWNEY FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 04757 CANOGA AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4815 CANOGA AVE
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2171004008** / INVOICE NO: BN210000488

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that it was the City's responsibility to clear the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: MANSHIP, T H
MAILING ADDRESS: 21921 MULHOLLAND DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 21921 MULHOLLAND DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2171009041** / INVOICE NO: BN210000490

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: REBICHIA,SHAI B AND SHAMIR,TAL
MAILING ADDRESS: 05013 BENEDICT CT
OAK PARK CA 91377
SITUS ADDRESS: 4516 SANTA LUCIA DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2171009059** / INVOICE NO: BN210000491

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that tenant received notices, but that he did not.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: ILKHANIZADEH,SIAMACK AND JALAIE,KATAYOON
MAILING ADDRESS: 187 FLEURANCE ST
LAGUNA NIGUEL CA 92677 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 21607 DUMETZ RD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2171013059** / INVOICE NO: BN210000496

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed that certain brush and trees that were cleared were not on her property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant was able to prove that certain brush and trees that were cleared by the City were not on her property. Based on this evidence, the Hearing Officer recommends that the noncompliance fee be waived.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: GREENBAUM DAVID AND SOPHIE S
MAILING ADDRESS: 4698 MORRO DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4698 MORRO DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2172009038** / INVOICE NO: BN210000502

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted property was cleared in August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: YEPES, KRISTINA K
MAILING ADDRESS: 2509 CANADA BLVD
GLENDALE CA 91208 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ North DIVINA STREET
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2172011021** / INVOICE NO: BN210000507

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they had a difficult year with COVID and their mother dying.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: ELOUD ABE
MAILING ADDRESS: 4234 ALHAMA DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4234 ALHAMA DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2172016060** / INVOICE NO: BN210000518

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that he did not own property prior to October 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: ALLISON,MYRTLE L
MAILING ADDRESS: 04144 ROSARIO RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S of 4144 ROSARIO RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2172031034** / INVOICE NO: BN210000539

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the brush cleared was not on his property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant was able to prove that the brush cleared was not on his property. The Hearing Officer recommends that this fee be waived.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: NEJAT KOHAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 4929 NEWCASTLE AVE
ENCINO CA 91316
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4213 North ROSARIO RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2172032057** / INVOICE NO: BN210000541

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: HART,DON CO TR XCEL ENTERPRISE TRUST AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 04548 TOPANGA CANYON BLVD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4548 TOPANGA CANYON BLVD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2173002025** / INVOICE NO: BN210000545

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they did not receive a first notice, that they are disabled and claim financial hardship that was worsened by COVID and that they brush clearance was completed as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: NICOLE HELO
MAILING ADDRESS: 4111 PICASSO AVENUE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4111 PICASSO AVE
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2173006006** / INVOICE NO: BN210000547

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted that he was unaware of the clearance notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: PERI, SHAHRAM AND FLOURA TRS PERI TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 20433 RUSTON RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 20433 RUSTON RD
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2174021005** / INVOICE NO: BN210000556

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant alleged he did not receive all notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93
NAME: GABAY DAVID
MAILING ADDRESS: 20648 MARTINEZ ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4930 PALOMAR DR
LOS ANGELES 91356
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2175006041** / INVOICE NO: BN210000557

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed some notices not received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2175006041 has been confirmed in the amount set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded to the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The Fire Inspector and the Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the Administrative Fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93
NAME: WINSLOW JUSTISE
MAILING ADDRESS: 19536 WELLS DR
TARZANA CA 91356
SITUS ADDRESS: 19536 WELLS DR
LOS ANGELES 91356
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2175011010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000563

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the property was clear in September 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93
NAME: KOULEYAN,ARAXIE
MAILING ADDRESS: 04440 LA BARCA DR
TARZANA CA 91356
SITUS ADDRESS: 4440 LA BARCA DR
LOS ANGELES 91356
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2177006010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000572

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted that they received no notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93
NAME: KEISUKE YOKOYAMA
MAILING ADDRESS: 19064 GINGER PL
TARZANA CA 91356
SITUS ADDRESS: 19064 GINGER PL
LOS ANGELES 91356
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2177008036** / INVOICE NO: BN210000574

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant is 75 years old and attempted brush clearance herself. Appellant then paid a gardener to do it but it was not sufficient to avoid the Second Violation. Appellant then hired a brush contractor and the property was then noted as Cleared by Owner.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93
NAME: PARK,JOHN
MAILING ADDRESS: 4745 VANALDEN AVE
TARZANA CA 91356 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4745 VANALDEN AVE
LOS ANGELES 91356
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2178017008** / INVOICE NO: BN210000579

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he received inadequate notice of what was necessary to comply with brush clearance. However, the Fire Inspector specified removal of dead palm fronds and a dead tree plus overall brush clearance on the very first Notice of Noncompliance. Appellant says the pandemic, personal issues, and level of work that needed to be done also contributed to the failures to complete the brush clearance before the Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: November 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93
NAME: WIRHT JACKSON AND MECAH
MAILING ADDRESS: 19549 GREENBRIAR DR
TARZANA CA 91356
SITUS ADDRESS: 19549 GREENBRIAR DR
LOS ANGELES 91356
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2178023024** / INVOICE NO: BN210000586

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says that a dead tree was the basis for the ongoing violations and that the tree is on the neighbor's property. Appellant did not provide a property survey, nor a printout of the GPS coordinates relied upon, nor photos depicting the neighbor's property line along with Appellant's written Appeal. The issued Notices of Noncompliance and Red Notice specify overall brush clearance, not merely removal of the dead tree.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 23, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a Notice to Abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard. Photographs depict the hazardous conditions that constitute the multiple fire hazards. Appellant argument is focused on a dead tree that Appellant claims is not on his property. However, Appellant does not submit proof of his assertion other than Appellant's word. In any case, the dead tree is not the sole brush issue on the property and the Fire Inspector specified several categories on the Notices of Noncompliance and the Red Notice. In addition, inspection of the Assessor's Property Map and satellite views show that Appellant's property fans outward in the area of the dead tree. Appellant's argument about the property line is not convincing. Moreover, the inspections were still failures and the fire hazard still existed for the rest of the community.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93
NAME: SALVI JOHN AND M MA
MAILING ADDRESS: 4522 CONCHITA WAY
TARZANA CA 91356
SITUS ADDRESS: 4522 CONCHITA WAY
LOS ANGELES 91356
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2178029005** / INVOICE NO: BN210000588

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant is a nurse working in the Intensive Care Unit during COVID. Appellant is sole breadwinner because husband is disabled. Appellant works many hours due to COVID and to support family. Appellant and husband could not perform the brush clearance and so they hired a gardener to complete the work. The property was then noted as Cleared by Owner by the Fire Inspector.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 22, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93
NAME: JOSHEGHANI DARIUSH H
MAILING ADDRESS: 4546 CONCHITA WAY
TARZANA CA 91356
SITUS ADDRESS: 4001 COLDSTREAM TER
LOS ANGELES 91356
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2180001030** / INVOICE NO: BN210000590

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 83
NAME: MUN, ANTHONY J AND EURA S
MAILING ADDRESS: 04635 ALONZO AVE
ENCINO CA 91316
SITUS ADDRESS: 4635 ALONZO AVE
LOS ANGELES 91316
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2182021008** / INVOICE NO: BN210000596

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant performs brush clearance regularly. Appellant says that the violation may be on neighbor's property. Appellants says that in the past years Appellant has never had a violation because of regular brush clearance. Unfortunately, Appellate seems not to recognize that Appellants tall, large palm tree has numerous dead palm fronds. This condition is visible from the street because it is first in a line of trees on the southeast corner at the front of Appellant's property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: November 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 83
NAME: KAYE , JANA
MAILING ADDRESS: 16311 VENTURA BLVD STE 1250
ENCINO CA 91436 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4436 ALONZO AVE
LOS ANGELES 91316
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2182028002** / INVOICE NO: BN210000598

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they purchased the property in March 2021 and received no prior notices for 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The record corroborates the property's change of ownership in 2021 to the Appellant, therefore Appellant would not have received the notices for 2020. In the interests of justice, it is recommended that the appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93
NAME: HARUTIUNIAN DIKRAN AND ARSHALUYS AND ARDASHES AND ROSA
MAILING ADDRESS: 4151 FALLING LEAF DR
ENCINO CA 91316
SITUS ADDRESS: 4151 FALLING LEAF DR
LOS ANGELES 91316
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2184032022** / INVOICE NO: BN210000603

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant purchased their property around March 2020. The First Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 6, 2020 and the Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on November 23, 2020. Appellant says brush clearance was completed in January 2021. Appellant claims to have received none of the Notices even though this is a single family home in an upscale residential neighborhood.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: November 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: WEINSTEIN,ROBERT
MAILING ADDRESS: 4509 ENSENADA DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4509 ENSENADA DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2190009063** / INVOICE NO: BN210000612

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant performed two clearances attempting to comply with Notices. Photos reflect that some clearance was performed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: JOHANN MALL
MAILING ADDRESS: 3110 SUMMIT DR
ESCONDIDO CA 92025
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 21487 IGLESIA DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2190010005** / INVOICE NO: BN210000614

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he regularly conducted brush clearance. He says he was living in Escondido, California and missed a few months of coming to the property and then discovered his property had undergone notices and abatement by contractor. Appellant says he never received any notices. Appellant says that the area where the debris was located is not his property. Neighbor says he didn't notice any debris but admitted the high wall prevented him from seeing that portion of the property. Appellant's brush clearance guy said that the debris was not from his brush clearance and that he did not see it when he was there.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

Absence from the premises where a fire hazard exists is not a defense to Administrative Fees. The Assessor's Property Map shows that the location of the debris belongs to the Appellant.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: HARPAZ, DANIEL
MAILING ADDRESS: 24132 PARK CASINO
CALABASAS CA 91302
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 4435 ENSENADA DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2190010029** / INVOICE NO: BN210000621

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant provides proof that brush clearance was performed by his gardener in August 2020. However, Appellant's wife found the Red Notice on the property after the property was cleared by the City's Contractor. The property is vacant land sandwiched between three homes, not connected to any street. This suggests the kind of property that is rarely visited. The Red Notice Post that was placed on the property was not discovered until almost two months after its placement.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: October 8, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned. Appellant's mailing address on file matched the Assessor's mailing address of record.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations.

Appellant says that none of the Notices were received. Appellant's wife found the Red Notice on the property after the property was cleared by the City's Contractor. Although Appellant showed proof that their gardener performed clearance in August 2020, the Inspectors photos in September and October 2020 show that it was insufficient. In addition, the City's Contractor took photos before their clearance efforts in November 2020 that showed the fire hazard had not been abated. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must visit their property regularly and perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: HARPAZ, DANIEL
MAILING ADDRESS: 24132 PARK CASINO
CALABASAS CA 91302
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S/E/O 4426 SAN BLAS AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2190010030** / INVOICE NO: BN210000622

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant provides proof that brush clearance was performed by his gardener in August 2020. However, Appellant's wife found the Red Notice on the property after the property was cleared by the City's Contractor. The property is vacant land sandwiched between three homes, not connected to any street. This suggests the kind of property that is rarely visited. The Red Notice Post that was placed on the property was not discovered until almost two months after its placement.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: October 8, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned. Appellant's mailing address on file matched the Assessor's mailing address of record.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations.

Appellant says that none of the Notices were received. Appellant's wife found the Red Notice on the property after the property was cleared by the City's Contractor. Although Appellant showed proof that their gardener performed clearance in August 2020, the Inspectors photos in September and October 2020 show that it was insufficient. In addition, the City's Contractor took photos before their clearance efforts in November 2020 that showed the fire hazard had not been abated. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must visit their property regularly and perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: YEE,ERIK A AND NAOMI
MAILING ADDRESS: 04428 SAN BLAS AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4428 SAN BLAS AVE
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2190010041** / INVOICE NO: BN210000625

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owners did not understand brush clearance process. Property cleared in August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: DE LA FUENTE, SHANNON J AND DIEGO S
MAILING ADDRESS: 04355 CANOGA AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4355 CANOGA AVE
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2190013040** / INVOICE NO: BN210000631

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property not cleared until October 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84
NAME: PARKER, ROWAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 04432 ENSENADA DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4432 ENSENADA DR
LOS ANGELES 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2190014051** / INVOICE NO: BN210000632

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims that 2nd Notice of Noncompliance was not received. Two Notices of Noncompliance were issued, one in June 2020 and one in August 2020. The case went to Red Notice, which is a posted Notice to Abate Fire Hazard and Nuisance place on the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a Notice to Abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector provided photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of clearance. Although Appellant performed clearance in September 2020, the violations still occurred and the community was still endangered by the fire hazards on Appellant's property. Clearance was to Appellant's benefit in terms of safety and the avoidance of Contractor cleanup costs which are often in the thousands of dollars.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99
NAME: JOHNSON,NEXSEN B AND SYLVIA T
MAILING ADDRESS: 05206 PINESTRAW RD
COLUMBIA SC 29206
SITUS ADDRESS: 3951 SUMAC DR
LOS ANGELES 91403
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2275007009** / INVOICE NO: BN210000656

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Palm trees cleared in August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99
NAME: TOPPI, WILLIAM J
MAILING ADDRESS: 14750 ROUND VALLEY DR
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403
SITUS ADDRESS: 14740 W ROND VALLEY DR
Sherman oaks Ca 91423
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2275011006** / INVOICE NO: BN210000658

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they cleared the brush themselves.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellants evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geolocated photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessors property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99
NAME: TOPPI, WILLIAM J
MAILING ADDRESS: 14750 ROUND VALLEY DR
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403
SITUS ADDRESS: 14750 ROUND VALLEY DR
LOS ANGELES 91403
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2275011007** / INVOICE NO: BN210000659

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they cleared the brush themselves.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99
NAME: TOPPI, WILLIAM J
MAILING ADDRESS: 14750 ROUND VALLEY DR
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403
SITUS ADDRESS: 14750 West Round Valley Road
Sherman oaks Ca 91423
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2275011008** / INVOICE NO: BN210000660

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they did not receive a second notice of noncompliance and cleared the brush as of August 5, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88
NAME: DADSETAN, MOHAMMAD R AND KIOUMEHR, FARIDEH
MAILING ADDRESS: 14576 VALLEY VISTA BLVD
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403
SITUS ADDRESS: 14576 VALLEY VISTA BLVD
LOS ANGELES 91403
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2276036044** / INVOICE NO: BN210000668

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims that LAFD's assessment is false.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88
NAME: KRESSEL, MARK A
MAILING ADDRESS: 14949 JADESTONE PL
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 14949 JADESTONE PL
LOS ANGELES 91403
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2278011025** / INVOICE NO: BN210000673

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they sought more information from the LAFD as to areas that still needed to be cleared but they didn't get that information until after the assessment. Also, that certain areas appear to be their neighbor's property and that they wish to be present at the next inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 30, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: January 6, 2021.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Appellant admits there was uncleared brush on their property. It is the responsibility of the homeowner to know their property lines and maintain brush clearance on a continual basis.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88
NAME: OHERRON,JOHN AND TAYLOR
MAILING ADDRESS: 04014 DEERHORN DR
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403
SITUS ADDRESS: 4014 DEERHORN DR
LOS ANGELES 91403
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2281009011** / INVOICE NO: BN210000684

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were late in doing the hazard abatement as they were unclear what to do and have suffered financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 25, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 22, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88
NAME: WEISS, EVAN AND GAYLE TRS WEISS FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 15523 BRIARWOOD DR
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403
SITUS ADDRESS: 15523 BRIARWOOD DR
LOS ANGELES 91403
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2281019007** / INVOICE NO: BN210000689

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states their brush clearance was completed on November 1, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 22, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: October 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Photos taken on November 2, 2020 show the hazards were still present.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88
NAME: DAKARMENJIAN, TROUD AND SARA TRS T AND S DAKARMENJIAN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 09819 GLENOAKS BLVD
SUN VALLEY CA 91352
SITUS ADDRESS: 15635 VANDORF PL
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2285007029** / INVOICE NO: BN210000697

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that after her husband's passing, she has taken over the duties of the house and she hired people to do the clearance after realizing she couldn't do it herself.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88
NAME: SOSA, MARIANA TR THESE GO TO ELEVEN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 15711 ROYAL OAK RD
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 15711 ROYAL OAK RD
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2285008017** / INVOICE NO: BN210000698

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they received no notices regarding noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88
NAME: MAX A KELLER
MAILING ADDRESS: 1093 BROXTON AVE 246
LOS ANGELES CA 90024
SITUS ADDRESS: 15980 HIGH KNOLL RD
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2285012022** / INVOICE NO: BN210000700

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they hired a gardener and have cleared the brush on the property for many years.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 14, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: SHAMSIAN, SHAHIN
MAILING ADDRESS: 3609 CARIBETH DR
ENCINO CA 91436 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3609 CARIBETH DR
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2286002033** / INVOICE NO: BN210000703

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they had difficulty hiring and retaining vendors to clear the brush due to COVID, however, the property was eventually cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 27, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: SATURN NEW GENERATION LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 5862 AVALON BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90003 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3650 SAPPHIRE DR
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2286010019** / INVOICE NO: BN210000708

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states the assessment must be a mistake as they had their property cleared twice and provided photos.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: MICHAEL M MAHINFAR
MAILING ADDRESS: 3656 SAPPHIRE DR
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 3656 SAPPHIRE DR
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2286010020** / INVOICE NO: BN210000709

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they have their hillside constantly maintained and they only have fruit trees. Appellant stated the inspector loved his property and was taking photos of an adjacent property and feels there must be some mistake.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: MIZZI KALVIN
MAILING ADDRESS: 3666 SAPPHIRE DR
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 3666 SAPPHIRE DR
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2286010021** / INVOICE NO: BN210000710

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they did not receive a first notice but hired a crew to clear the property after the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: LAVIAN,ISAAC A AND HANNA R TRS IHL TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 5979 NORA LYNN DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 16383 ROYAL HILLS DR
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2286012023** / INVOICE NO: BN210000712

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they cleared the hazards after receiving the notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88
NAME: TOKAR FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 15622 ROYAL OAK RD
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 15622 ROYAL OAK RD
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2286018008** / INVOICE NO: BN210000715

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they removed the tree identified by LAFD as being dead even though it wasn't.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: KURTZ,ALLAN AND SHERRY TRS KURTZ FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 16156 VALLEY MEADOW PL
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 16156 VALLEY MEADOW PL
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2286022015** / INVOICE NO: BN210000716

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they have cleared their property without penalty for years and states that the areas of noncompliance in question are not their property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: NAZARI,AHMAD AND AZITA H
MAILING ADDRESS: 3700 HARLENE DR
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 3700 HARLENE DR
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2286022020** / INVOICE NO: BN210000717

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they always begin clearing the property in March and usually end in May but may have gone to June that year.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: BANAYAN,DANIEL L AND HALEH TRS DANIEL BANAYAN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3951 ENCINO HILLS PL
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 3951 ENCINO HILLS PL
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2287001045** / INVOICE NO: BN210000719

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that their past clearance was satisfactory but apparently not for the new inspector. Appellant stated COVID delayed the brush clearance and stated it was completed on September 1, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Photos taken on September 1, 2020 showed the work was not completed.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: ELIAKIM, IRIS
MAILING ADDRESS: 3758 GREEN VISTA DRIVE
ENCINO CA 91436 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3754 GREEN VISTA DR
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2287014057** / INVOICE NO: BN210000724

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they were out of the country and came back to find the notices of noncompliance. Appellant then states that LAFD directed crews came out and cleared the hazards without telling her. Appellant claims financial hardship, stating that her husband died.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: GHALILI, SHAHRAM AND ESTER
MAILING ADDRESS: 11540 DONA EVITA DR
STUDIO CITY CA 91604
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3944 North ENCINO HILLS PL
ENCINO CA 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2287015052** / INVOICE NO: BN210000725

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they did not receive a second notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 83
NAME: MOLINARO, MICHAEL AND BERTHA TRS M AND B MOLINARO TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 4181 LANAI RD
ENCINO CA 91436 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4181 LANAI RD
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2291005018** / INVOICE NO: BN210000732

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he did not receive proper notice, that the brush clearance on the alleged property did not happen as shown in contractor photos, and that any brush cleared was not on his property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount set forth in the notice. The Fire Department shows that due process was afforded the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned. LAFD documentation presented that was mailed, none returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property was a Notice to Abate a Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of clearance. However, part of the Notice to Abate failed to address the undergrowth of a palm tree that is not the subject of this decision. However, the Second Notice of Non-Compliance is valid for the brush condition that is the subject of this decision, to wit, the brush constituting a fire hazard surrounding Appellant's home. This decision does not include the palm tree which was not identified in the first two Notices. Appellant was on notice to comply regarding the brush surrounding his home and he did not comply.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: KASHANI KHOSROW F AND FARZAN GITY S
MAILING ADDRESS: 4523 TOTANA DR
TARZANA CA 91356
SITUS ADDRESS: 3746 HAYVENHURST AVE
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2293002019** / INVOICE NO: BN210000737

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that both notices went to an old address, that brush clearance was delayed due to COVID, but that they did eventually clear the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 19, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: ADLER, SERYL Z TR SERYL Z ADLER TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3803 DIAMANTE PL
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3800 North DIAMANTE PLACE
ENCINO CA 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2293003014** / INVOICE NO: BN210000738

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they did not receive a second notice of noncompliance, however, they were told to do additional clearance after the first notice and so they rehired their same contractor to complete the clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: December 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: ADLER SERYL Z TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3940 LAUREL CANYON BLVD 974
STUDIO CITY CA 91604
SITUS ADDRESS: 3803 DIAMANTE PL
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2293003015** / INVOICE NO: BN210000739

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they did not receive a second notice of noncompliance, however, they were told to do additional clearance after the first notice and so they rehired their same contractor to complete the clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 19, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: NAMAKIAN, MAHMOUD
MAILING ADDRESS: 16465 REFUGIO RD
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 16465 REFUGIO RD
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2293004014** / INVOICE NO: BN210000740

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they received no notices of noncompliance and that they met with the inspector to better understand what needed to be done and did it as soon as they were able to around the Labor Day weekend.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: KERENDIAN BEHZAD (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 16447 WESTFALL PL
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 16447 WESTFALL PL
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2293004023** / INVOICE NO: BN210000742

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they hired a gardener to do the brush clearance and were told by the LAFD inspector that everything looked fine. Appellant submitted an invoice from their gardener showing a date of 8/22/20.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 19, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Photos show the brush clearance was incomplete on the second inspection in September 2020.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: FARIVAR, FIROUZ AND GOLY
MAILING ADDRESS: 16435 WESTFALL PL
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 16435 WESTFALL PL
ENCINO 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2293004033** / INVOICE NO: BN210000745

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states the brush was cleared as of July 9, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 19, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Photos show the property was in noncompliance as of September 3, 2020.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: FAWAZ FAISAL
MAILING ADDRESS: 3320 RED ROSE DR
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 3320 RED ROSE DR
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2293013003** / INVOICE NO: BN210000752

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that the work was completed on August 5, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Photos show that the work was not completed as of August 7, 2020.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: AZARBAL,BABAK AND FASSIHI,KATHERINE
MAILING ADDRESS: 03238 FOND DR
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 3238 FOND DR
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2293014018** / INVOICE NO: BN210000753

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they did not receive a second notice of violation and completed the brush clearance by hiring workers and doing some work themselves.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: ROTHMAN, NEAL H AND AMANDA G
MAILING ADDRESS: 03454 CLAIRTON PL
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 3454 CLAIRTON PL
LOS ANGELES 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2293016003** / INVOICE NO: BN210000755

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that due to COVID, they could not get their gardeners to do the brush clearance until after the first inspection, and were surprised that they received a second notice of noncompliance, whereupon they rehired their gardeners to do additional work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86
NAME: KHAFARDIAN KEVORK AND SHENGIDIAN MARGARETE S
MAILING ADDRESS: 11638 PICTURESQUE DR
STUDIO CITY CA 91604
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2369031006** / INVOICE NO: BN210000759

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims they received no notices and have maintained the property and cleared the brush twice a year for the past 16 years without incident.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86
NAME: SCHEIDE CHARLOTTE G
MAILING ADDRESS: 11627 SUNSHINE TERRACE
STUDIO CITY CA 91604
SITUS ADDRESS: 11627 SUNSHINE TER
LOS ANGELES 91604
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2369031010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000760

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they did not receive the first notice of noncompliance and only received notice when they checked the mail at their primary residence which was being remodeled while they were living in their second home for which the brush clearance was required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86
NAME: BERNICE J MILLER
MAILING ADDRESS: 1068 ELKADER ST
ASHLAND OR 97520
SITUS ADDRESS: 11621 SUNSHINE TER
LOS ANGELES 91604
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2369031011** / INVOICE NO: BN210000761

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they are renting the house from their former neighbor and hired the same person they used before to do the brush clearance, however, they failed to complete the job. On top of that, their son got COVID and they admittedly forgot about the clearance at the time. Appellant states they did not receive a second notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 78
NAME: HOMAYOUN KAZEMI AND MACY C CABRERA
MAILING ADDRESS: 11901 SANTA MONICA BLVD STE 650
LOS ANGELES CA 90025 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3621 SUNSWEPT DR
LOS ANGELES 91604
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2376003021** / INVOICE NO: BN210000763

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they did not receive a first notice of noncompliance and that they had hired a gardener to complete the work, which they did.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86
NAME: STUART FREEDMAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 1 FIRE MOUNTAIN WAY
GRANTS PASS OR 97526
SITUS ADDRESS: 11274 VENTURA BLVD
LOS ANGELES 91604
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2378010006** / INVOICE NO: BN210000773

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated their tenant hired a landscaper to clear the brush but due to COVID the brush clearance was delayed to June 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86
NAME: RUIZ,CAROLYN K TR ET AL
MAILING ADDRESS: 11248 SUNSHINE TERRACE
STUDIO CITY CA 91604 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 11248 SUNSHINE TER
LOS ANGELES 91604
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2378012010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000777

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated their property is always maintained and believes the assessment is in error and likely their neighbor's property which isn't maintained.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 22, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: DRAIL INVESTMENTS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 20501 VENTURA BLVD STE 130
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 3947 FREDONIA DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2380002010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000782

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated City office closed and did not have access to mail.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: BARKEV AND SONIA MESERLIAN, TRS
MAILING ADDRESS: 707 BROADWAY STE 415
LOS ANGELES CA 90014 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S of 3971 FREDONIA DR
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2380002020** / INVOICE NO: BN210000783

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: FIVE CROWN HOMES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 570534
TARZANA CA 91357
SITUS ADDRESS: 7709 SKYHILL DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2380003005** / INVOICE NO: BN210000786

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they purchased the property on September 4, 2020 and thus received no prior notices as they did not own the property. Appellant also stated that the property was being renovated and was not always safe to access.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant provided evidence that they did not own the property at the time the notices were sent, thus they were denied due process. In the interests of justice, it is recommended that Appellant's appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: AMIRIAN,DRO TR AMIRIAN FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 10824 WRIGHTWOOD LN
STUDIO CITY CA 91604
SITUS ADDRESS: 10824 WRIGHTWOOD LN
LOS ANGELES 91604
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2380044023** / INVOICE NO: BN210000790

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed late in clearing property due to Covid.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 78
NAME: TREJO,JOE M AND AMELIA TRS TREJO FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 650 FLORENCE ST
BURBANK CA 91505 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3957 North AVENIDA DEL SOL RD
STUDIO CITY CA 91604
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2384017002** / INVOICE NO: BN210000798

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed property was cleared prior to City Clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77
NAME: SALOKOFFI KODJO E AND BLAISE CLAUDINE U
MAILING ADDRESS: 11 ORBIT LN
SAN PEDRO CA 90732
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S of 10214 West ROSCOE BLVD
LA TUNA CYN CA 91352
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2403009055** / INVOICE NO: BN210000819

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant challenged assessment.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77
NAME: KESHESHYAN,GEVORK
MAILING ADDRESS: 08555 VINE VALLEY DR
SUN VALLEY CA 91352
SITUS ADDRESS: 8405 OUTLAND VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES 91352
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2404015004** / INVOICE NO: BN210000826

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the brush was cleared after receiving a notice that it would be inspected and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: 3649 REGAL PLACE LLC
MAILING ADDRESS:
SITUS ADDRESS: 3649 REGAL PL
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2425003025** / INVOICE NO: BN210000830

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed there was no brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2425003025 has been confirmed in the amount set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded to the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The Fire Inspector and the Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the Administrative Fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: MKITARIAN,GEORGE AND DIANE
MAILING ADDRESS: 212 26TH ST PMB 290
SANTA MONICA CA 90402 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3754 FREDONIA DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2425004010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000832

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant challenged the amount of the assessment.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: WORAVOTH IDDHIBHAKDIBONGSE
MAILING ADDRESS: 3541 MULTIVIEW DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3541 MULTIVIEW DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2425012014** / INVOICE NO: BN210000839

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed his gardner and family had Covid.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: BARONDES, ELIZABETH
MAILING ADDRESS: 08720 SAINT IVES DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90069 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3564 MULTIVIEW DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2425016012** / INVOICE NO: BN210000846

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed that the contractor she hired to do the work delayed it clearing the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: ARIF CHANNONE AND STEPHENS AMY
MAILING ADDRESS: 3429 OAK GLEN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 3429 OAK GLEN DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2425021023** / INVOICE NO: BN210000852

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed that they could not access property because a tenant was living there and could not be evicted due to pandemic.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: BAGWELL, BRIAN D
MAILING ADDRESS: 3440 OAK GLEN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 3440 OAK GLEN DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2425024012** / INVOICE NO: BN210000857

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they were confused by the notice as to what still needed to be done and although they attempted to contact LAFD multiple times, they did not get through to anyone for a long period of time. Once they did and things were clarified, they cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: October 26, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: PAXTON,LYNNE
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 10958
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90213 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3452 OAK GLEN DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2425024015** / INVOICE NO: BN210000859

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that COVID delayed hiring their contractor to complete the brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: MORALES,ESAI
MAILING ADDRESS: 28629 HIGH RIDGE DR
SANTA CLARITA CA 91390
SITUS ADDRESS: 3428 OAK GLEN DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2425025020** / INVOICE NO: BN210000863

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: LI,LING
MAILING ADDRESS: 3436 OAK GLEN DRIVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90068 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3436 OAK GLEN DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2425025022** / INVOICE NO: BN210000865

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the notices for noncompliance went to a wrong address and that they hired a contractor to complete the brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. No evidence was submitted to show the LAFD erred in sending the notices. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: MOORE, JORDAN CO TR MOORE TULIN FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3342 OAK GLEN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 3342 OAK GLEN DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2425026024** / INVOICE NO: BN210000867

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed the trees to be cleared were not on his property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: WANG,HUI
MAILING ADDRESS: 05246 AGNES AVE # 203
VALLEY VILLAGE CA 91607
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3063 North PASSMORE DR
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2427007010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000870

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he received no notice in 2020. He says that he mistakenly thought the bill he received in 2021 for noncompliance in 2020 meant his brush clearance could be conducted this year, 2021, to remedy assessments he was facing.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: ROONEY KEVIN D (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 7353 PACIFIC VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 7353 PACIFIC VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2427016024** / INVOICE NO: BN210000879

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed assessment was too high and that she keeps property cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: YIN,PING
MAILING ADDRESS: 25800 INDUSTRIAL BLVD #B213
HAYWARD CA 94545
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 7252 West WOODROW WILSON DR
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428006009** / INVOICE NO: BN210000885

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed property was not a danger as to little brush on property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: HUI WANG
MAILING ADDRESS: 18836 STEVENSON LN
ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 7254 West WOODROW WILSON DR
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428006010** / INVOICE NO: BN210000886

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he received no notice in 2020. He says that he mistakenly thought the bill he received in 2021 for noncompliance in 2020 meant his brush clearance could be conducted this year, 2021, to remedy assessments he was facing.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: HUI WANG
MAILING ADDRESS: 18836 STEVENSON LN
ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 7256 West WOODROW WILSON DR
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428006011** / INVOICE NO: BN210000887

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he received no notice in 2020. He says that he mistakenly thought the bill he received in 2021 for noncompliance in 2020 meant his brush clearance could be conducted this year, 2021, to remedy assessments he was facing.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: BERGER ANDRE K AND WEBER ANDRESSA
MAILING ADDRESS: 7265 CAVERNA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 7265 CAVERNA DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428015003** / INVOICE NO: BN210000894

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims being unsure of the property line, therefore missing part of clearance until after this was clarified in the Second Notice of Noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: DIXON,KEITH AND SANDRA
MAILING ADDRESS: 07349 PACIFIC VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 7349 PACIFIC VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428016014** / INVOICE NO: BN210000896

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant cleared the brush after the Second Notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: DONOHUE, JAMES AND JOY TRS JAMES AND JOY DONOHUE TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 6133 GLEN TOWER ST
HOLLYWOOD CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 6903 PACIFIC VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428023007** / INVOICE NO: BN210000911

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims COVID was an issue getting the clearance performed. Appellant is an 83 years old veteran on a fixed income. The property failed two inspections and proceeded to Red Notice Posting.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. Then, the property was red posted Notice to Abate Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: DONOHUE JAMES (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 6133 GLEN TOWER ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428023009** / INVOICE NO: BN210000912

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant is an 83 year old veteran with a heart condition that makes clearance difficult. Appellant says he paid the fees already and that should satisfy the bills he received after noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Any payments made should be accounted for and, if applicable, should be applied to any money owed.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: DONOHUE, JAMES AND JOY TRS JAMES AND JOY DONOHUE TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 6133 GLEN TOWER ST
HOLLYWOOD CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2934 North GOODVIEW TR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428023022** / INVOICE NO: BN210000914

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant is an 83 year old veteran with a heart condition that makes clearance difficult. Appellant says that the Second Notice was not received but Appellant had forwarded his mail to another address.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned. Appellant said he mail was being forwarded. Since no mail was returned and the mail was sent to Appellant's address of record, notice was proper.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: DONOHUE, JAMES AND JOY TRS JAMES AND JOY DONOHUE TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 6133 GLEN TOWER ST
HOLLYWOOD CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2930 North GGODVIEW TR
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428023023** / INVOICE NO: BN210000915

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant is an 83 year old veteran with a heart condition that makes clearance difficult. Appellant says he paid the fees already and that should satisfy the bills he received after noncompliance. Appellant says he did not receive the Second Notice of Noncompliance. Appellant discloses that his mail was being forwarded because he moved away for health reasons.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned. All Notices were sent to Appellant's address of record. Appellant had forwarded his mail to a new address without updating it with the Assessor. No mail was returned so Notice was proper.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Any payments made should be accounted for and, if applicable, should be applied to any money owed.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: BOBOLSKY, KEVIN M
MAILING ADDRESS: 00369 MONTEZUMA AVE 589
SANTA FE NM 87501
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6912 West VANLANE TERRACE
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428028004** / INVOICE NO: BN210000926

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant lives in New Mexico but says his property management company took care of the brush clearance. Appellant is not sure if he received the Second Notice of Noncompliance. The Fire Inspector took photos showing clearance was not complete. Two Notices of Noncompliance were issued. Finally, months after the Second Notice, the property was reinspected by the Fire Inspector and passed inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: BOBOLSKY, KEVIN M
MAILING ADDRESS: 00369 MONTEZUMA AVE 589
SANTA FE NM 87501
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6914 West VANLANE TERRACE
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428028005** / INVOICE NO: BN210000927

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant lives in New Mexico but says his property management company took care of the brush clearance.
Appellant is not sure if he received the Second Notice of Noncompliance. The Fire Inspector took photos showing clearance was not complete. Two Notices of Noncompliance were issued. Finally, months after the Second Notice, the property was reinspected by the Fire Inspector and passed inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: PAUL M P WOODLAND
MAILING ADDRESS: 6915 VISO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 6915 VISO DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428028006** / INVOICE NO: BN210000928

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant had personal and family issues with COVID. Appellant lost his job due to COVID. Appellant has financial problems caused by losing his job and dealing with COVID. Appellant was stuck in New Jersey and could not perform clearance. Clearance was ultimately performed by Appellant himself in December 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law. While Appellant performed clearance himself, it was completed almost six months after the Second Notice of Noncompliance. Danger existed to Appellant's million dollar home and those of his neighbors as a result of the long period of noncompliance.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: RAGSDALE, SAMUEL CO TR MORRIS RAGSDALE FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3152 ELLINGTON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 3152 ELLINGTON DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2429006028** / INVOICE NO: BN210000947

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says that clearance was completed three days after the Second Notice of Noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: 3077 CAHUENGA BOULEVARD LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 19417 SHELFORD DR
CERRITOS CA 90703 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3077 CAHUENGA BLVD W
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2429019009** / INVOICE NO: BN210000957

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant cleared the brush after the Second Notice of Noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: SMITH,KARA ET AL SMITH,B CO TR SMITH TRUST C/O NICHOLAS SMITH
MAILING ADDRESS: 7046 WOODROW WILSON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 7046 WOODROW WILSON DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2429020017** / INVOICE NO: BN210000958

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant performed brush clearance after the Second Notice of Noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91
NAME: TIMOTHY J RYAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 13069 AZTEC ST
SYLMAR CA 91342
SITUS ADDRESS: 13069 AZTEC ST
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2511030011** / INVOICE NO: BN210000971

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant completed brush clearance on the same day the Second Notice of Noncompliance was received. Appellant says that only one Notice of Noncompliance was received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

The date that a Notice of Noncompliance is received is not the remedy date. Once the First Notice of Noncompliance is received, the danger must be remedied to avoid further danger to people and property in the community. The Second Notice gives the homeowner the opportunity to avoid many thousands of dollars of fees and contractor cleanup costs which the Appellant did avoid by performing the cleanup after the Second Violation. Since no mail was returned, legally it is deemed that both Notices were received. The work performed occurred after the Second Violation occurred. The Appellant had an existing and ongoing duty to perform brush clearance.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 98
NAME: LINDA L MASINO
MAILING ADDRESS: 4041 ROMANY DR
OXNARD CA 93035
SITUS ADDRESS: 12329 GLADSTONE AVE
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2525017005** / INVOICE NO: BN210000978

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant performed brush clearance in August 2020. Appellant tried to contact the Fire Department without success. The Second Notice of Noncompliance was on July, 26, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: ROTH,ANDREW AND ANN TRS ANDREW AND ANN ROTH TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 6660 5TH ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90048 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 10447 KURT ST
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2529009022** / INVOICE NO: BN210000990

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant paid for brush clearance and performed some as well. Appellant and his family contacted the Fire Department to find out exactly what the remaining brush issues were. However, the guidance was not helpful. The Appellant ultimately cleared the brush successfully after the Second Notice of Noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Photos from the street show grasses and bushes spilling over into the street. Also other photos show encroachment by trees and brush next to the roof and side of the home. Appellant admits in his filing that only a small amount of clearance was performed after the Second Notice of Noncompliance.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: FLORES,BEN J JR AND ESTER N TRS FLORES FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 10657 JIMENEZ ST
SYLMAR CA 91342
SITUS ADDRESS: 10657 JIMENEZ ST
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2529011022** / INVOICE NO: BN210000991

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant, apparently a nephew, says Uncle died and left an elderly wife not able to perform brush clearance. Nephew stepped in and performed the brush clearance, completed some time after the Second Notice of Noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

The clearance was not confirmed as completed until December of 2020 even though the Second Notice of Noncompliance occurred in July of 2020. Appellant's family loss is regrettable. However, public danger caused by fire hazards must be remedied to protect the safety of all.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: MASSON,ADELINE TR A AND P MASSON TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 10619 KURT ST
LAKE VIEW TERRACE CA 91342
SITUS ADDRESS: 10619 KURT ST
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2529017032** / INVOICE NO: BN210000995

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they hired workers to clear the brush and dead trees and claim financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 98
NAME: JEFFERY JOSEPH
MAILING ADDRESS: 11324 KAGEL CANYON ST
SYLMAR CA 91342
SITUS ADDRESS: 11316 KAGEL CANYON ST
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2530021003** / INVOICE NO: BN210001001

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they never received any mailed noncompliance notices and had paid a \$29 fee in January.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 98
NAME: VICTOR H POLONI-FOIX
MAILING ADDRESS: 11708 GARRICK AVE
SYLMAR CA 91342
SITUS ADDRESS: 11708 GARRICK AVE
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2530038037** / INVOICE NO: BN210001003

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant pleads financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77
NAME: BOEHM,RICHARD P CO TR ANNE M BIGGS TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 09569 SUNLAND BLVD
SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 9569 SUNLAND BLVD
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2542021018** / INVOICE NO: BN210001011

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant pleads financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: ARAGON,JENNIFER A CO TR PEYTON TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 00717 W TEMPLE ST UNIT 201
LOS ANGELES CA 90012
SITUS ADDRESS: 10165 MCBROOM ST
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2543007027** / INVOICE NO: BN210001013

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the hazards were abated after the first notice and more work was done after the second notice. Appellant also pleads financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: MANUKYAN, VAHE AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 10318 LA CANADA WAY
SHADOW HILLS CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 10318 LA CANADA WAY
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2543017022** / INVOICE NO: BN210001019

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they were unclear on which dead tree needed removal and plead financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77
NAME: RAFFI MEKHITARIAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 9600 WHEATLAND AVE
SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 9600 WHEATLAND AVE
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2544006017** / INVOICE NO: BN210001025

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they did not receive a second notice and that they have kept their property clear and they have not been in danger as fires have occurred.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77
NAME: SARKISYAN ARSEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 2325 N PARISH PL
BURBANK CA 91504
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 9494 North SUNLAND BLVD
SUNLAND CA 91352
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2544010003** / INVOICE NO: BN210001026

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were not made aware of the brush clearance responsibility when they purchased the property in October 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. No information confirming the date of purchase was included in the appeal. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77
NAME: HELLER,NINA TR NINA HELLER TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3550 BERRY DR
STUDIO CITY CA 91604 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 10641 TUXFORD ST
LOS ANGELES 91352
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2544013005** / INVOICE NO: BN210001028

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states their situation was affected by COVID last year, they never received the first notice of noncompliance, and they completed the clearance by August 11, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77
NAME: BRUCE K PETRIE
MAILING ADDRESS: 9843 LA TUNA CANYON RD
SUN VALLEY CA 91352
SITUS ADDRESS: 9843 LA TUNA CANYON RD
LOS ANGELES 91352
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2544017011** / INVOICE NO: BN210001030

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the brush in question was dormant, but removed it once they were notified.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77
NAME: GOMEZ,LIVIER C TR LIVIER C GOMEZ TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 10604 TUXFORD ST
SUN VALLEY CA 91352
SITUS ADDRESS: 10604 TUXFORD ST
LOS ANGELES 91352
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2544028001** / INVOICE NO: BN210001033

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant pleads financial hardship due to COVID and taking care of their 93 year old grandmother.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: JOSE R PIEDRAHITA
MAILING ADDRESS: 9639 GREEN VERDUGO DR
SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 9639 GREEN VERDUGO DR
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2545002002** / INVOICE NO: BN210001036

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they were unaware they were noncompliant due to a dead tree as it had not been pointed out before and they had it removed once they were notified they were noncompliant because of it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: TOROSSIAN,ARMEN AND TATEVIK
MAILING ADDRESS: 09620 GREEN VERDUGO DR
SUNLAND CA 91040 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 9620 GREEN VERDUGO DR
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2545004003** / INVOICE NO: BN210001037

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they removed the dead tree as notified and then the palm fronds upon receipt of the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: ZARGARYAN,RAYMOND TR RAYMOND ZARGARYAN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 9856 SUNLAND BLVD
SUNLAND CA 91040 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 9856 North SUNLAND PLACE
SUNLAND CA 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2545014014** / INVOICE NO: BN210001039

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they complied with each notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 14, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: KHACHATORIAN, ARABO M AND MARIAM M
MAILING ADDRESS: 10691 TURNBOW DR
SUNLAND CA 91040 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 10691 TURNBOW DR
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2547009010** / INVOICE NO: BN210001044

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were delayed in complying with the notices due to COVID and the difficulty in hiring a crew to cut the trees.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: FABROS,CONSTANTE AND LOURDES TRS C AND L FABROS TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 68125 VISTA CHINO
CATHEDRAL CITY CA 92234
SITUS ADDRESS: 8929 MULBERRY DR
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2547028041** / INVOICE NO: BN210001053

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they were in compliance and the areas of noncompliance belonged to their neighbor but they cleared them anyway.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: AMIRYAN ARMEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 300 KEMPTON RD
GLENDALE CA 91202
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 9330 West SUNLAND PLACE
SUNLAND CA 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2549014024** / INVOICE NO: BN210001060

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says he performed brush clearance as shown by his photos. His photos match the photos taken just two days later on 7/26/2020 by the Fire Inspector, which resulted in the the Second Notice of Noncompliance issued on 7/27/2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The appeal is granted because the photos of both Appellant and Fire Inspector appear to show complete brush clearance. While the Fire Inspector can validly argue the the clearance debris, though low and minor, as well as, that the trimmed bushes are in fact brush rather than trimmed shrubs, overall there is a closeness of positions between Appellant's position and the Fire Inspector's. Given that the next inspection verified Cleared by Owner, Appellant's position cannot be, as a matter of law, rejected. Appellant's appeal is granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: MENA, ROSEMARY
MAILING ADDRESS: 10458 PEARSON PL
SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 10458 PEARSON PL
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2549022004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001066

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says COVID prevented timely brush clearance. It was ultimately cleared after the Second Notice of Noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Failure to perform brush clearance because of COVID is understandable but still against the law. Failure to clear brush risks tragedy for everyone as well. The Fire Inspector performed two inspections and issued Notices of Noncompliance. Then, the Fire Inspector still had to return to the property to verify the final clearance. By finally clearing the property, the property owner saved thousands of dollars of City Contractor cleanup costs. The Fire Department could charge for the final clearance inspection but chooses not to when the owner has cleared the property thereby stopping further administrative actions.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: ROMAR SCHICKLER,PATRICIA I AND SCHICKLER,CLARK D
MAILING ADDRESS: 11250 WHEATLAND AVE
SYLMAR CA 91342 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 11250 WHEATLAND AVE
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2550012004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001072

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant cites COVID and family grieving for failure to perform brush clearance before the Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued. Appellant also cites living on Social Security as a reason for the financial inability to pay the Administrative Fees due after the Second Notice of Noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. One of the photos shows brush spilling over the curb onto the street. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Failure to perform brush clearance because of COVID is understandable but still against the law. Failure to clear brush risks tragedy for everyone as well. After the first two violations, the Fire Inspector still had to return to the property to verify the final clearance. By finally clearing the property, the property owner saved thousands of dollars of City Contractor cleanup costs. The Fire Department could charge for the final clearance inspection but chooses not to when the owner has cleared the property thereby stopping further administrative actions.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: SHINKLE,JAMES AND JANET AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 26637 SOBOBA STREET
HEMET CA 92544 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 10150 FOOTHILL BLVD
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2550023004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001074

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Ownere claimed that even though property is more than 1 parcel it is one piece of land.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: COOPER, RONALD AND JANE E
MAILING ADDRESS: 07906 RIM CANYON RD
SUNLAND CA 91040 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 7906 RIM CANYON RD
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2551016004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001084

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Unable to clear property due to Covid.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: JOAN E LOY
MAILING ADDRESS: 8053 ELLENBOGEN ST
SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 8053 ELLENBOGEN ST
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2551038021** / INVOICE NO: BN210001090

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner cleared property in August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: TOROSYAN SUREN K AND PETROSYAN HERMINE C/O CELIN AVANESS
MAILING ADDRESS: 722 WILSON AVE
GLENDALÉ CA 91203 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 7609 LE BERTHON ST
LOS ANGELES 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2552023009** / INVOICE NO: BN210001099

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property cleared in MArch 2021.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: ANTONIO L LORCA
MAILING ADDRESS: 1843 CAMPUS RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90041
SITUS ADDRESS: 6833 GRENOBLE ST
LOS ANGELES 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2553012004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001107

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property cleared November 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: SAMVALIAN, ANGEL TR ANGEL SAMVALIAN FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2418 WHITTIER DR
LA CRESCENTA CA 91214
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2553012006** / INVOICE NO: BN210001108

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated property was cleared prior to City clearance. and brush dumped on their yard.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: 8603 FENWICK STREET LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1313 FOOTHILL BLVD STE 8
LA CANADA CA 91011
SITUS ADDRESS: 8603 FENWICK ST
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2555031035** / INVOICE NO: BN210001112

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believes that the palm trees that are part of the noncompliance in this case are not on Appellant's property. However, Appellant submitted a property map that is substantially identical to the Fire Inspector's Assessor's Map submission.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Appellant's contention that the palm trees are not on their property is impeached by both their own Property Map and the Fire Inspector's Assessor's Map overlaid with the GPS diagram. They both show that the line goes through at least some of the palm trees, so Appellant is responsible for at least some. It is obvious that, at some point, there was an easement partially on Appellant's property. At some point, the original property owner placed a wall to define the non-easement part of their property. The easement area grew vegetation over the years. But, the property owner is still responsible for brush clearance on their property. The only way to avoid this is to have the Assessor show that the property line stops at the masonry wall which has not been shown to be the case with merely the photo provided. It is not the Fire Inspector's duty to resolve property disputes. Moreover, it is the Appellant's duty to clear brush on their property. Even if treated as an easement, legally it appears that part of the easement is their property and, therefore, still their responsibility to clear brush.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: GUIDERA, WILLIAM A AND LYDIA
MAILING ADDRESS: 7901 CORA ST
SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 7901 CORA ST
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2559023011** / INVOICE NO: BN210001120

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant completed clearance just after receiving the Second Notice of Noncompliance. COVID caused a difficulty in getting tree trimmers and then, due to that scarcity, getting an appointment for the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 8, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Failure to perform brush clearance because of COVID is understandable but still against the law. Failure to clear brush risks tragedy for everyone as well. The Fire Inspector performed two inspections and issued Notices of Noncompliance. Then, the Fire Inspector still had to return to the property to verify the final clearance. By finally clearing the property, the property owner saved thousands of dollars of City Contractor cleanup costs. The Fire Department could charge for the final clearance inspection but chooses not to when the owner has cleared the property thereby stopping further administrative actions. From the earliest violation in June 2020, the inspector made it clear that trees and roof debris with an issue in addition to ground brush. The issues were visible from the street where the photos were taken from.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: MOEY ANDREW K LIVING TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 10035 MOY LN
SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 10035 MOY LN
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2559031023** / INVOICE NO: BN210001123

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant hired a gardener to clear the brush on his property after the First Notice of Noncompliance. However, the Appellant failed the next inspection and was given the Second Notice of Noncompliance. Appellant's gardener must not have known that Appellant's property stretches far back beyond the backyard area.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

It is important for the property owner to know their property boundaries so that they can fulfill all of their property brush clearance responsibilities.

The Assessor's Property Maps can be located at:
<https://maps.assessor.lacounty.gov/m/>
and brush clearance obligations can be located at:
vms3.lafd.org

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: CEPERO JUAN J AND ADA M
MAILING ADDRESS: 10353 FARMINGTON AVE
SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 10361 North FARMINGTON AVE
SUNLAND CA 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2560001035** / INVOICE NO: BN210001124

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner cleared property in October 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: SUN LAND INVESTMENT GROUP INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 822 STANFORD AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90021
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 10454 North NEWHOME AVE
SUNLAND CA 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2560003016** / INVOICE NO: BN210001125

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says that the Second Notice of Noncompliance was not received. Also, that brush clearance was completed in August 2020 because COVID made it difficult to complete. The Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued in July 2020 and the First Notice was in June 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Appellant's unkempt brush can be seen from the street as shown in the very first photos taken in June 2020. This was uncleared in July 2020 and, presumably, went on until August 2020 when the Appellant says the gardener cleared the danger. Failure to clear brush risks tragedy from the spread of fires. The Fire Inspector had to return for a Third Inspection to verify Appellant's clearance. Appellant was not charged for this final inspection in recognition of the completed clearance.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24
NAME: RAFIK PETROSSIAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 10316 SHERMAN GROVE AVE
SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 10316 SHERMAN GROVE AVE
LOS ANGELES 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2560018017** / INVOICE NO: BN210001126

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that no brush was present on Appellant's property. Brush includes dead tree branches and dead trees which was the basis for both violations in June 2020 and July 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector inspected the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Fire Inspector took photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of violations. The failed inspections represent an ongoing danger to the community. A property owner must perform brush clearance in a timely manner to safeguard the community and comply with the law.

Brush includes dead tree branches and dead trees which was the basis for both violations in June 2020 and July 2020. Failure to clear brush risks tragedy for everyone as well. To understand the brush clearance requirements, property owners should visit the brush clearance page at:
<https://vms3.lafd.org/>

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: ABBEY-MOH INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1142 DIAMOND BAR BLVD NO 151
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 7268 West TRANQUIL DR
SUNLAND CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2562014004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001132

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that Covid prevented him from using his regular contractor to clear property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: ABBEY-MOH INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 23719 JAYHAWKER LANE
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 9414 North HILLHAVEN AVE
SUNLAND CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2562014006** / INVOICE NO: BN210001134

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated Covid prevented him for hiring his regular contractor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in noncompliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: ABBEY-MOH INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1142 DIAMOND BAR BLVD NO 151
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 9410 North HILLHAVEN AVE
SUNLAND CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2562014007** / INVOICE NO: BN210001135

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they could not get a contractor in time due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: DMVK LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1967 GLENCOE WAY
GLENDALE CA 91208 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 7270 North FOOTHILL BLVD
SUNLAND CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2563019001** / INVOICE NO: BN210001140

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that for some unknown reason they did not receive any notices until the property was posted and they cleared it immediately. The property is used by a nearby motel which is supposed to maintain it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: DMVK LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1967 GLENCOE WAY
GLENDALE CA 91208 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ West FOOTHILL BLVD
SUNLAND CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2563019002** / INVOICE NO: BN210001141

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that for some unknown reason they did not receive any notices until the property was posted and they cleared it immediately. The property is used by a nearby motel which is supposed to maintain it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: DMVK LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1967 GLENCOE WAY
GLENDALE CA 91208 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 7276 West FOOTHILL BLVD
SUNLAND CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2563019003** / INVOICE NO: BN210001142

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that for some unknown reason they did not receive any notices until the property was posted and they cleared it immediately. The property is used by a nearby motel which is supposed to maintain it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: CHOWDHURY, MAINUDDIN AND ROY, MINATI
MAILING ADDRESS: 1611 LILAC AVE
BLOOMINGTON CA 92316 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 9844 North HIGH TOP DR
SUNLAND CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2563031015** / INVOICE NO: BN210001144

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the property was cleared of hazards on July 18, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: NARKAR,SUNIL CO TR SUNIL AND SUDHA NARKAR TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 11834 HARO AVE
DOWNEY CA 90241
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 9627 North OAK BEND DR
SUNLAND CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2563037010** / INVOICE NO: BN210001151

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims that no Notices were received. This is vacant land and Appellant lives at another location.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 8, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

The record further shows that the Fire Inspector posted the property with a Notice to Abate a Public Nuisance and Fire Hazard. The Fire Inspector and City Contractor provided photographs which depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of clearance. The City Contractor who gave the lowest bid was selected and performed the brush clearance to remove the ongoing fire hazard.

Owners of vacant land must visited their property regularly to inspect for brush clearance. Clearing brush as required by law avoids being levied Administrative Fees and Contractor Cleanup costs. Brush clearace is necessary to save lives and property. Fires cause tragedies that should be prevented by regular brush clearance.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: ALIREZA SAMII
MAILING ADDRESS: 14183 REIS STREET
WHITTIER CA 90604 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 9813 North COMMERCE AVE
SUNLAND CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2563041031** / INVOICE NO: BN210001165

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not receive a first notice and that the LAFD's access on their property was not consented to and in violation of the law.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. CA law allows access to inspect health and safety issues. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: NAJARIAN,GARY AND HELEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 03021 PINWOOD LN
LA CRESCENTA CA 91214
SITUS ADDRESS: 6930 SHADYGROVE ST
LOS ANGELES 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2564007010** / INVOICE NO: BN210001171

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were waiting for their tenant to move out and when they did they cleared the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 8, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: TIGRAN POGHOSYAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 1339 N COLUMBUS AVE 321
GLENDAL CA 91202
SITUS ADDRESS: 6947 APPERSON ST
LOS ANGELES 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2565002028** / INVOICE NO: BN210001177

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the tree at issue is owned by the City which usually cuts it but they didn't due to COVID and Appellant had to pay out of pocket to do it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: TAWK FRANCOIS A AND ATARYANKAREN ET AL AYNEDJIAN SARO C/O
GLENDALE ESCROW
MAILING ADDRESS: 6100 SAN FERNANDO RD
GLENDALE CA 91201
SITUS ADDRESS: 7259 HILLROSE ST
LOS ANGELES 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2566006044** / INVOICE NO: BN210001182

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated their mail was delayed due to COVID and they cleared the property as soon as they could.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: BABAKHANYAN GOAR AND KARIBKHANYAN ADRINE
MAILING ADDRESS: 7310 ZACHAU PL
TUJUNGA CA 91042
SITUS ADDRESS: 7310 ZACHAU PL
LOS ANGELES 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2566011066** / INVOICE NO: BN210001184

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they cleared the property to their property line and that the LAFD inspector had their property lines wrong and Appellant tried to explain this but was ignored.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: KESHISHIAN, ARBI D AND ARAZ A
MAILING ADDRESS: 10868 TUJUNGA CANYON BLVD
TUJUNGA CA 91042
SITUS ADDRESS: 10868 TUJUNGA CANYON BLVD
LOS ANGELES 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2566013043** / INVOICE NO: BN210001187

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they do not believe the tree in question was on their property, rather it was the responsibility of the City.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: FARHADTOOSKI,MASOUD
MAILING ADDRESS: 18724 COVELLO ST
RESEDA CA 91335
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569007022** / INVOICE NO: BN210001191

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the property as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: FOREST US LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 10061 CROSBY AVE
GARDEN GROVE CA 92843
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6025 West SISTER ELSIE DR
TUJUNGA CA 91402
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569009009** / INVOICE NO: BN210001192

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the property is steep and dangerous and gated, and therefore they cannot get a contractor to clear it. They claim financial hardship and ask forgiveness.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: FOREST US LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 10061 CROSBY AVE
GARDEN GROVE CA 92843
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6090 West SISTER ELSIE DR
TUJUNGA CA 91402
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569009011** / INVOICE NO: BN210001194

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the property is very steep and dangerous and has a gate. As a result, no gardener will do the work and Appellant claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: FOREST US LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 171 S ANITA DR STE 117
ORANGE CA 92868
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6287 West GYRAL DR
SUNLAND CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569013044** / INVOICE NO: BN210001200

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they received no notices until seeing the property posted, however, the posting listed an incorrect name.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Property owners are responsible for updating the name for notices which was not done here. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: VARTANI EJMIN
MAILING ADDRESS: 10430 LEOLANG AVE
SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 10426 GLORY AVE
LOS ANGELES 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569024026** / INVOICE NO: BN210001209

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner bought property In October 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

.Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. Fee should have been dealt with in escrow.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: CHO,CHUNG NAM AND HO CHA
MAILING ADDRESS: 10432 GLORY AVE
TUJUNGA CA 91042
SITUS ADDRESS: 10432 GLORY AVE
LOS ANGELES 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569024028** / INVOICE NO: BN210001210

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they cleared the property after each notice of noncompliance as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 24, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: December 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: SIMONIAN, ANAHID AND VALOUD TRS A AND V SIMONIAN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3739 HONOLULU AVE
LA CRESCENTA CA 91214
SITUS ADDRESS: 10466 GLORY AVE
LOS ANGELES 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569024034** / INVOICE NO: BN210001211

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they hired a contractor to clear the property and after receiving the second notice of noncompliance they hired them again to complete the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74
NAME: KIM IN YONG
MAILING ADDRESS: 9729 TUJUNGA CANYON BLVD
TUJUNGA CA 91042 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 9729 TUJUNGA CANYON BLVD
LOS ANGELES 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2572015015** / INVOICE NO: BN210001215

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the notices were being sent to a wrong address and that they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91
NAME: SENDA MARGARITO JR AND OLGA
MAILING ADDRESS: 13956 BREGER AVE
SYLMAR CA 91342
SITUS ADDRESS: 13956 BREGER AVE
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2582011040** / INVOICE NO: BN210001225

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated several family members had COVID and there were two deaths and that they did not have money to pay anyone for the clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91
NAME: SANDOVAL, EMILIO C AND CONNIE R
MAILING ADDRESS: 13335 ASTORIA ST
SYLMAR CA 91342 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 13335 ASTORIA ST
SYLMAR CA 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2582015014** / INVOICE NO: BN210001227

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they did not recall receiving any notices and when they did they attempted to hire a contractor to cut the trees in question, however it took longer than expected to hire workers and get the work done.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91
NAME: KESHISHI ASBET A
MAILING ADDRESS: 13921 CANDLEWOOD DR
SYLMAR CA 91342
SITUS ADDRESS: 13921 CANDLEWOOD DR
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2582022010** / INVOICE NO: BN210001233

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant had difficult time due to Covid to find someone who could clear property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91
NAME: BESEM, SEYMOUR AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 13525 FRITZ LN
SYLMAR CA 91342
SITUS ADDRESS: 13525 FRITZ LN
LOS ANGELES 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2582035037** / INVOICE NO: BN210001237

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18
NAME: LYNDA C VIGIL
MAILING ADDRESS: 20242 FOIX PLACE
CHATSWORTH CA 91311 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 11582 LONGACRE AVE
LOS ANGELES 91344
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2601031046** / INVOICE NO: BN210001248

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated name on notice was wrong but then stated that she did add daughter for notice from County Assessor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18
NAME: PARAN MICHAEL
MAILING ADDRESS: 11511 VIMY RD
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 11544 North VIMY BLVD
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2601038053** / INVOICE NO: BN210001252

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18
NAME: NGUYEN DON H AND TRINH TAMMY T
MAILING ADDRESS: 11747 LOIS CT
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344
SITUS ADDRESS: 11747 LOIS CT
LOS ANGELES 91344
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2601040096** / INVOICE NO: BN210001254

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18
NAME: ANDY J LEE AND MARGIE HAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 20526 COMO LANE
PORTER RANCH CA 91326` USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 11944 NUGENT DR
LOS ANGELES 91344
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2601051025** / INVOICE NO: BN210001255

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18
NAME: SIDDIQI, HAMID AND HAFEEZA
MAILING ADDRESS: 17764 SIDWELL ST
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344
SITUS ADDRESS: 17764 SIDWELL ST
LOS ANGELES 91344
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2601071022** / INVOICE NO: BN210001262

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they have no weeds or hazards on their property to be cleared and anything that is there belongs to their neighbor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18
NAME: PATEL,NARENDRA J CO TR JIMI AND RANI PATEL TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 12010 NUGENT DR
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 12025 North ADRIAN PL
GRANDA HILLS CA 91344
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2606009010** / INVOICE NO: BN210001281

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed property was cleared and non-hazardous prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 96
NAME: HUR,ALAN S
MAILING ADDRESS: 22255 CHATSWORTH ST
CHATSWORTH CA 91311 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 22255 CHATSWORTH ST
CHATSWORTH CA 91311
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2723006004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001299

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2723006004 has been confirmed in the amount set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded to the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The Fire Inspector and the Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the Administrative Fees for processing and inspections.

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 96
NAME: SZUMANSKI MARTIN AND FATEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 11400 OLYMPIC BLVD UNIT 200
LOS ANGELES CA 90064
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 22566 West N. SUMMIT RIDGE CIR
CHATSWORTH CA 91311
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2727022054** / INVOICE NO: BN210001310

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that first notice was not received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8
NAME: RADNASSAB,BEHROUZ
MAILING ADDRESS: 18657 NAU AVE
NORTHRIDGE CA 91326
SITUS ADDRESS: 18657 NAU AVE
LOS ANGELES 91326
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2871011044** / INVOICE NO: BN210001318

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed work was started for clearance after second non-compliance notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 67
NAME: LIGHTHART, BRANDON
MAILING ADDRESS: 08104 BILLOWVISTA DR
PLAYA DEL REY CA 90293
SITUS ADDRESS: 8104 BILLOWVISTA DR
LOS ANGELES 90293
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4115008014** / INVOICE NO: BN210001341

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the area to be cleared is the subject of litigation between Appellant and the City of LA and that typically any orders to comply are waived until the litigation is settled.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. No evidence of a waiver from the City was submitted. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 67
NAME: VOGEL, RITA M CO TR VOGEL FAMILY TRUST AND RPVOGEL INV
MAILING ADDRESS: 7254 BIRDVIEW AVE
MALIBU CA 90265
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 8314 West CARBORA DR
PLAYA DEL REY CA 90293
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4115008034** / INVOICE NO: BN210001343

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed that the property is in litigation and attorney told them not to pay anything.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 67
NAME: BARTON,CHARLES B CO TR BARTON TRUST AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 08229 BILLOWVISTA DR
PLAYA DEL REY CA 90293
SITUS ADDRESS: 8229 BILLOWVISTA DR
LOS ANGELES 90293
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4115010008** / INVOICE NO: BN210001346

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed that she did not receive notices

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 67
NAME: VOLGER,CHRISTOPHER AHD PLATO,ALICE
MAILING ADDRESS: 8107 TUSCANY AVE
PLAYA DEL REY CA 90293 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 8107 TUSCANY AVE
LOS ANGELES 90293
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4115019002** / INVOICE NO: BN210001347

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108
NAME: LLOYDCREST USA LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 2080
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90213 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 9390 LLOYDCREST DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4352008023** / INVOICE NO: BN210001358

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: FARID SHOOSHANI
MAILING ADDRESS: 4604 BEAUVAIS RD
RANCHO PALOS VERDES CA 90275
SITUS ADDRESS: 1358 SAN YSIDRO DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4355006021** / INVOICE NO: BN210001367

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that the property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: DUNN, VERA L TR VERA DUNN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 01301 BRAERIDGE DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1312 North BRAE RIDGE PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4355006040** / INVOICE NO: BN210001368

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: BASEMAN,ROBERT CO TR TRIPLE B TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1322 BRAERIDGE DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 1322 BRAERIDGE DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4355006041** / INVOICE NO: BN210001369

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Did not receive notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It was noted that notices were returned undeliverable. Recommended to grant appeal.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: SCOTT A CHAPLAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 1301 SUMMITRIDGE DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 1301 SUMMITRIDGE DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4355007032** / INVOICE NO: BN210001370

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: REFAEIL, ESHAGH CO TR REFAEIL CHARCHIAN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1433 DONHILL DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1433 DONHILL DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4355015056** / INVOICE NO: BN210001380

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant challenged fee amount.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: ARMAN GABAY
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 5357
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90209
SITUS ADDRESS: 1468 DONHILL DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4355015062** / INVOICE NO: BN210001381

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed he complied with all the notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: NATALIE RAVAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 1465 ROBMAR DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 1465 ROBMAR DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4355015075** / INVOICE NO: BN210001383

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant challenged fee amount.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: NUVEEN MOTAMED, MAJID M AND SOHEILA K
MAILING ADDRESS: 09930 ANTHONY PL
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 9930 ANTHONY PL
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4356005003** / INVOICE NO: BN210001394

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that the property was cleared prior to City Clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: SHADI POURTAVOSI
MAILING ADDRESS: 9928 ANTHONY PL
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 9928 ANTHONY PL
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4356005004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001395

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 4356005004 has been confirmed in the amount set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded to the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The Fire Inspector and the Contractor provided photographs that depicted the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the Administrative Fees for processing and inspections.

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: ARC CITY LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 W 7TH ST STE 106
LOS ANGELES CA 90014
SITUS ADDRESS: 1524 BENEDICT CANYON DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4356006014** / INVOICE NO: BN210001399

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed tenants would not let him access property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: NUSINOVICH,VLAD AND LILIA TRS V AND L NUSINOVICH TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 01324 BENEDICT CANYON DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 1324 BENEDICT CANYON DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4356011006** / INVOICE NO: BN210001406

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: OWEN,DEBORAH TR HIGH MEADOW TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 401 S GLENOAKS BLVD STE 205
BURBANK CA 91502
SITUS ADDRESS: 1328 BEVERLY ESTATE DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4356020002** / INVOICE NO: BN210001418

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that the property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: ARIANE B & MARITAL SAMUELSON
MAILING ADDRESS: 9924 BEVERLY GROVE DRIVE
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 9924 BEVERLY GROVE DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4356026012** / INVOICE NO: BN210001426

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed that she received no notices and that the notice was in the wrong name.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: CH PINNACLE LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 01772 JACKSON ST
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94109 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1645 North BENEDICT CYN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4357003010** / INVOICE NO: BN210001432

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: BROWN LEOPOLD,LISA AND LEOPOLD,JASON
MAILING ADDRESS: 1669 BENEDICT CANYON RD STE 950
LOS ANGELES CA 90210 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1669 BENEDICT CANYON DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4357003013** / INVOICE NO: BN210001433

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: CH PINNACLE LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 01772 JACKSON ST
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94109 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 1645 North BENEDICT CYN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4357003016** / INVOICE NO: BN210001434

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: SAM NAZARIAN PROPERTIES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 550 S HILL ST STE 1440
LOS ANGELES CA 90013
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1376 North ANGELO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4357004014** / INVOICE NO: BN210001435

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that property was cleared in April 2020 but admitted regrowth.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: HAGHNAZARZADEH,BEHROOZ
MAILING ADDRESS: 01906 GREENFIELD AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90025
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1240 North ANGELO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4357014022** / INVOICE NO: BN210001444

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed the property was cleared prior to the City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: PARVIZ BENHAMINI
MAILING ADDRESS: 10119 HILLGROVE DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 10119 HILLGROVE DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4357016011** / INVOICE NO: BN210001454

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: LU,XIA TR XIA LU FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1143 MAYBROOK DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 1143 MAYBROOK DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4358008024** / INVOICE NO: BN210001456

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed property was cleared prior to City Clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 30, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: November 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: CYNTHIA BECK
MAILING ADDRESS: 728 N BUNKER HILL AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90012
SITUS ADDRESS: 395 MADRONO LN
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4362018010** / INVOICE NO: BN210001462

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Brush not on owners property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: November 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that appeal be granted and owner be relieved of paying assessment.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: 420 BEL AIR BY DOBACOR LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 141 SOUTH ROBERTSON BLVD STE 200
LOS ANGELES CA 90048 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 420 AMAPOLA LN
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4362019002** / INVOICE NO: BN210001464

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: November 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37
NAME: LAIN, THOMAS M TR LAIN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 11347 THURSTON PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 11347 THURSTON PL
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4366011018** / INVOICE NO: BN210001471

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 22, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37
NAME: PAUL LOPEZ
MAILING ADDRESS: 95 HORATIO ST 4R
NEW YORK NY 10014
SITUS ADDRESS: 11339 THURSTON PL
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4366011019** / INVOICE NO: BN210001472

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner in New York with Covid, cleared property August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37
NAME: YAZDANI,MEHRDAD
MAILING ADDRESS: 1041 LINDA FLORA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 1041 LINDA FLORA DR
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4368003016** / INVOICE NO: BN210001475

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: November 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37
NAME: SHIN,DALTON AND TILDA TRS DALTON AND TILDA SHIN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 11270 CHALON RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 11270 CHALON RD
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4369010008** / INVOICE NO: BN210001483

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Brush on neighbors property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Grant appeal. Owner should be relieved of paying the assessment.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37
NAME: TADAYON, SHAHRAM
MAILING ADDRESS: 704 S WESTGATE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 1001 SOMERA RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4369029010** / INVOICE NO: BN210001488

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant believed that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37
NAME: ELIASER,JAMES R AND JULIE S
MAILING ADDRESS: 1041 CHANTILLY RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 1041 CHANTILLY RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4369035004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001493

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed he cleared brush prior to City Clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37
NAME: SOROUDI TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1049 CHANTILLY RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 1049 CHANTILLY RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4369035005** / INVOICE NO: BN210001494

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they rent out the property and never received any notices. Appellant also claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: ROSEN,DAVID CO TR ROSEN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 00930 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 930 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4370004015** / INVOICE NO: BN210001501

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed property was already cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: CITY NATIONAL BANK TR 900 TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 00400 N ROXBURY DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 930 North STRADELLA ROAD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4370004038** / INVOICE NO: BN210001504

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Brush violation was on 2 different properties adjacent to owner but not the owner's property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the appeal be granted. Nothing should be paid by the Owner.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37
NAME: MIRABADI,FARRAH
MAILING ADDRESS: 01070 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 1070 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4370006018** / INVOICE NO: BN210001513

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Brush violation no on owners property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Recommended that appeal be granted. Difficult to ascertain adjacent properties and whether brush was on owners property.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37
NAME: RXM TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 100 PINE ST STE 2600
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 UNITED STATES
SITUS ADDRESS: 1136 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4370006023** / INVOICE NO: BN210001515

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: KAPLAN,JEFFREY A
MAILING ADDRESS: 10877 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 1520
LOS ANGELES CA 90024
SITUS ADDRESS: 1461 BEL AIR RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4370018024** / INVOICE NO: BN210001531

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believes property cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: YAMINI,SOHRAB CO TR YAMINI TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 800 STONE CANYON RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 800 STONE CANYON RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4370024024** / INVOICE NO: BN210001537

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property was sufficiently cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: NOSRATIAN,FARSHAD CO TR NOSRATIAN FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1234 BEL AIR RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1234 BEL AIR RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4370029010** / INVOICE NO: BN210001543

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated property cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: WITHMORY, OSCAR AND CRISTINA
MAILING ADDRESS: 07351 FALLBROOK AVE
WEST HILLS CA 91307
SITUS ADDRESS: 10531 West FORUM LANE
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371001001** / INVOICE NO: BN210001547

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated he cleared property prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: DORSEY,ARNOLD AND PATRICIA
MAILING ADDRESS: 11845 W OLYMPIC BLVD # 1125W
LOS ANGELES CA 90064
SITUS ADDRESS: 1880 BEL AIR RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371001004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001549

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush twice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: VREELAND,BYRON R TR BYRON R VREELAND TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 02934 1/2 BEVERLY GLEN CIR
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 10534 West HEBRON LANE
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371001011** / INVOICE NO: BN210001552

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property cleared September 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: ARTA VILLA LLC C/O MICHAEL W RABKIN
MAILING ADDRESS: 11400 W OLYMPIC BLVD 9TH FL
LOS ANGELES CA 90064
SITUS ADDRESS: 1804 RIAL LN
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371002004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001554

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated he did not receive notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed. Address is address on the property tax roll.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: OZKA LLC C/O WOLF RIFKIN SHAPIRO ET AL
MAILING ADDRESS: 11400 W OLYMPIC BLVD 9TH FL
LOS ANGELES CA 90064
SITUS ADDRESS: 1816 RIAL LN
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371002005** / INVOICE NO: BN210001555

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner said they did not get notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. Address used is the address on the property tax rolls.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: KHANLOU,PIROUZ AND BLAIR,BETTY
MAILING ADDRESS: 01740 RIAL LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 1740 RIAL LN
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371003011** / INVOICE NO: BN210001562

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated did not receive notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: SPECTOR, BRUCE AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 01809 BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1809 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371004016** / INVOICE NO: BN210001565

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner completed clearance in September 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: CHRISTIAAN H MOSTERT
MAILING ADDRESS: 30765 PACIFIC COAST HWY 221
MALIBU CA 90265
SITUS ADDRESS: 1803 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371004017** / INVOICE NO: BN210001566

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Not aware 200 ft must be cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: GEORGE K GRANT
MAILING ADDRESS: 1650 BEL AIR RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 10527 West LISBON LANE
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371007008** / INVOICE NO: BN210001573

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner asserted that property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: ETHELL INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 10480 SANDALL LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 10495 West ISADORA LANE
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371010023** / INVOICE NO: BN210001586

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Brush not on their property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that the appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: NAYLOR,SUSAN AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 11681 BELLAGIO RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 10480 West SANDAL LANE
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371010024** / INVOICE NO: BN210001587

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated property cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: MCGHEE, DANIEL AND RITA
MAILING ADDRESS: 10505 SANDALL LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 10505 SANDALL LN
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371011026** / INVOICE NO: BN210001590

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property cleared October 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: NAYLOR SUSAN M TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 11681 BELLAGIO RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371013003** / INVOICE NO: BN210001591

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed property cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: ALEXANDRU SIRBU
MAILING ADDRESS: 11681 BELLAGIO RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049 United States
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371013004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001592

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated property cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: NAYLOR,SUSAN AND SIRBU,ALEXANDRU
MAILING ADDRESS: 11681 BELLAGIO ROAD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371013005** / INVOICE NO: BN210001593

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated property cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: NAYLOR,SUSAN AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 11681 BELLAGIO RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1506 North CRATER LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371013009** / INVOICE NO: BN210001594

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated property cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: ALEXANDRU SIRBU
MAILING ADDRESS: 11681 BELLAGIO RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371014002** / INVOICE NO: BN210001596

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated property cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: FAB INVESTMENTS LLC C/O FEVEN T BELAY
MAILING ADDRESS: 28634 S WESTERN AVE STE 69
RANCHO PALOS VERDES CA 90275
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1500 North YONKERS LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371015012** / INVOICE NO: BN210001599

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Hard to find someone to clear property, cleared August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: FOULADINEJAD, SAEID
MAILING ADDRESS: 3324 RED PINE ROAD
YORBA LINDA CA 92886 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371015014** / INVOICE NO: BN210001601

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they have owned and paid taxes on these properties for 35 years but that they are inaccessible and cannot be cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: RICHARD RENALDO
MAILING ADDRESS: 1615 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 1221 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371016008** / INVOICE NO: BN210001604

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they always try to comply with brush clearance but they live elsewhere and it was not possible to travel last year.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: DEHN, MACIEJ B
MAILING ADDRESS: 00217 SPENCER ST
GLENDALE CA 91202
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 1205 North BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371016016** / INVOICE NO: BN210001608

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant submitted invoices showing the brush clearance was done by a contractor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: LIMSUKONTH, PRANEE S AND SELZER, DANIEL D
MAILING ADDRESS: 903 BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 903 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371020008** / INVOICE NO: BN210001625

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated it was difficult to coordinate brush clearance due to COVID. Appellant also stated they felt they were in compliance after the first notice and tried to contact LAFD regarding the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: YARLAGADDA,ANIR K CO TR YARLAGADDA ACHANTA TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 12891 SKOKORAT CT
EASTVALE CA 92880 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of North BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371020017** / INVOICE NO: BN210001627

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush using a contractor and that the photos by LAFD do not show their property. Further, that they tried contacting LAFD but they only got voicemail.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: CHAN,PETER H
MAILING ADDRESS: 00889 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 889 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371020023** / INVOICE NO: BN210001628

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they used a contractor to clear the brush and felt they were in compliance after the first notice and upon receiving the second notice they tried contacting LAFD but never heard back.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: PERRY, BRIAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 34
EL SEGUNDO CA 90245 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 842 North MONTLINE LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371023005** / INVOICE NO: BN210001633

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared their property and the photos taken by LAFD are of an adjacent lot that they do not own. Appellant tried contacting LAFD but never heard back from the inspector.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: PERRY, BRIAN R
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 34
EL SEGUNDO CA 90245 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L - 901 N HOLLYBUSH LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371023006** / INVOICE NO: BN210001634

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they cleared the brush and the photos taken by LAFD are of another adjacent lot that Appellant doesn't own. Appellant tried contacting LAFD but never heard back from the inspector.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: FRANK KPODO
MAILING ADDRESS: 4334 PALMERO BLVD
VIEW PARK CA 90008
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 924 North HOLLYBUSH LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371025015** / INVOICE NO: BN210001641

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they hired a contractor and cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: HOLLYFIELD, ROY A DECD EST OF C/O ELEANOR HOLLYFIELD
MAILING ADDRESS: 20202 E FUERO DR
WALNUT CA 91789
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1105 North FERNBUSH LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371026019** / INVOICE NO: BN210001647

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they made every attempt to have the brush cleared by the deadline but their contractor scheduled it after the inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: CRENSHAW, CHARLES R L III CO TR CRENSHAW FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 20202 E FUERO DR
WALNUT CA 91789
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1101 North FERNBUSH LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371026021** / INVOICE NO: BN210001649

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they made every effort to clear the brush before the deadline but their contractor scheduled it after the inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: COHEN RAPHAEL TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 356 ST PIERRE RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1054 North HOLLYBUSH LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371026031** / INVOICE NO: BN210001651

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant submitted photos and stated that they cleared the brush as required. Appellant tried to contact LAFD but got no response.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: FALABRINO,JOSEPH M ET AL FALABRINO,DINO J
MAILING ADDRESS: 501 W VALLEY BLVD
SAN GABRIEL CA 91776
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1219 North ARIMO LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371028003** / INVOICE NO: BN210001652

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated their property has no access and is on a cliff, therefore it cannot be cleared and Appellant stated they have been given dispensation in the past as a result.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: AMES, MICHAEL A AND MARCIA G TRS AMES FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 00419 S SWALL DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1236 North FERNBUSH LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371028014** / INVOICE NO: BN210001654

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims extreme hardship, citing a lack of funds and rent paid by their tenant due to COVID, also that they are elderly and not able to physically access the property and intend to sell it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: OTHMAN, MIRIAM
MAILING ADDRESS: 11616 STARLIGHT AVE
WHITTIER CA 90604 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1224 North FERNBUSH LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371028031** / INVOICE NO: BN210001657

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were advised to quarantine due to COVID and were delayed in getting their gardener to clear the property as a result.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: SUDHEER CHHABRA
MAILING ADDRESS: 6132 JASONWOOD DR
HUNTINGTON BEACH CA 92648
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371028032** / INVOICE NO: BN210001658

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they were stranded in India for 18 months and were not able to contract to clear the brush and ask for a waiver.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: SEGULYEV, BARRY L ET AL
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 1313
BLAIRSDEN GRAEAGLE CA 96103
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1205 North FERNBUSH LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371029001** / INVOICE NO: BN210001660

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated their contractor cleared the brush as required and upon receipt of notices of noncompliance, Appellant tried to get the LAFD inspector to meet with their contractor but received no response. Appellant stated they live out of state and could not travel here due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: KIRAKOSIAN, VICKI M
MAILING ADDRESS: 17844 CASTELLAMMARE DR
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1200 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371029022** / INVOICE NO: BN210001661

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that she is a disabled woman, that she didn't get the first notice, and that she's tried to reach out to LAFD without success. Appellant stated she hired someone to clear the brush upon receipt of the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: GO, OSCAR AND FE ET AL
MAILING ADDRESS: 11726 175TH ST
ARTESIA CA 90701
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1477 North LANGTRY LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371032012** / INVOICE NO: BN210001663

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they bought the property at auction not knowing there was no access to it and that there is a potential sale at a price of \$280.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: FORONDA FREDESWINDA R TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3201 STELLA AVE
WEST COVINA CA 91792
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1514 North LANGTRY LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371033009** / INVOICE NO: BN210001668

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they paid a company that guaranteed they would pass inspection and when they didn't they had them come back out and do it again.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: CAHILL,JOHN P CO TR KIRBY CAHILL TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3276 CALDERIRA DR
LIVERMORE CA 94550 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371036013** / INVOICE NO: BN210001671

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they changed their address with the County Assessor in 2019 but LAFD never updated it and admitted it was changed at the Assessor's Office but it was still sent to the old address, thus they received no notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The record supports Appellant's claim and Appellant's testimony is credible. In the interests of justice, it is recommended that Appellant's appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: INNOVATIVE PROJECT SOLUTIONS INVESTMENT GRP LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1625 CRATER LANE
LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371038019** / INVOICE NO: BN210001676

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Notices were being sent to the former owner's address and not updated to the new owner's name and address.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 27, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: November 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant provided proof of sale and evidence that notices were sent to the prior owner's name which were not delivered to the new owner. In the interests of justice, it is recommended that Appellant's appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: LIGHTHOUSE INVESTMENTS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 01180 BEVERLY DR STE 508
LOS ANGELES CA 90035 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1846 North CALGARY LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371046012** / INVOICE NO: BN210001681

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they reached out to LAFD after the second notice and never got a response on what needed to be done so Appellant stated they cleared the property to the best of their ability.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: GIRO PROPERTIES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 00149 S BARRINGTON AVE STE 724
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 1951 North LINDA FLORA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4377002004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001682

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush and tried to follow up with the LAFD as to what still needed to be done but got no response.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: JOHN M CURTIS
MAILING ADDRESS: 2107 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 2107 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4377027017** / INVOICE NO: BN210001699

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they received no notices and that they hired a company to clear the brush and submitted an invoice for the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: REICH, WILLIAM A AND HAERYUN N
MAILING ADDRESS: 02101 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 2101 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4377027018** / INVOICE NO: BN210001700

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated there was unprecedented demand for brush clearance but they were able to get a contractor after two months.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: GIRO PROPERTIES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 00149 S BARRINGTON AVE STE 724
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 15474 DUOMO VIA PLACE
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4378003004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001703

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they completed the brush clearance as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109
NAME: KIM, DAVID E AND JENNIE K
MAILING ADDRESS: 2350 NALIN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 2350 North NALIN DRIVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4378003026** / INVOICE NO: BN210001705

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that notices were sent to the wrong address.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. LAFD corrected the address issue and reissued the second notice after the correction to the correct address. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99
NAME: MOYAL, RAFAEL AND MACIK, DOROTHY
MAILING ADDRESS: 2454 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 2671 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4379005022** / INVOICE NO: BN210001706

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed that notice was not received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 12, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: HIRAMATSU, KIYOSHI AND EDITH M
MAILING ADDRESS: 03401 PAWAINA ST
HONOLULU HI 96822
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1949 North DELLWOOD LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4380018004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001713

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not receive their initial noncompliance notice and that they cleared the brush thereafter and notified LAFD of the same.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: STEPHEN L WATSON
MAILING ADDRESS: 993 BROOKVIEW AVE
THOUSAND OAKS CA 91361
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 10442 West ORTIZ LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4380018005** / INVOICE NO: BN210001714

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they could not find help due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: BELLEMONTAGNE LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 155 E 55TH ST # 302A
NEW YORK NY 10022
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 10541 West HEBRON LANE
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4380018027** / INVOICE NO: BN210001725

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they've hired multiple contractors to clear the brush but LAFD never seems satisfied.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71
NAME: BELOSIC, JOSEPH M AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 01919 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 1919 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4380019019** / INVOICE NO: BN210001728

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were following strict quarantine rules of COVID and did not touch the mail or meet people in person, which delayed hiring a contractor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99
NAME: BRIAN O JAMES
MAILING ADDRESS: 1839 YGNACIO VALLEY RD
WALNUT CREEK CA 94598
SITUS ADDRESS: 1970 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4380020025** / INVOICE NO: BN210001729

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were hospitalized with a blood clot for 3 months and did not meet the deadlines for brush clearance as a result.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 12, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99
NAME: GRAHAM, RUDOLPH W
MAILING ADDRESS: 2624 PETALUMA AVENUE
LONG BEACH CA 90815 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4380030004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001730

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Covid prevented compliance with brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 12, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99
NAME: FT VICKERS REAL ESTATE LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1378 North DOHENY DRIVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90069 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4384006033** / INVOICE NO: BN210001734

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owners left country so could not clear brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99
NAME: FT VICKERS REAL ESTATE LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1378 North DOHENY DRIVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90069 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4384006034** / INVOICE NO: BN210001735

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owners left country so could not clear brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99
NAME: C/O GREENBURG GLUSTER FIELDS
MAILING ADDRESS: 1900 AVE OF THE STARS 21ST FLR
LOS ANGELES CA 90067 United States
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4384006035** / INVOICE NO: BN210001736

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owners had to leave country and could not clear brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99
NAME: FT VICKERS REAL ESTATE LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS 21ST FL
LOS ANGELES CA 90067 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4384006036** / INVOICE NO: BN210001737

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owners had to leave country so they could not clear brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108
NAME: BORIS MURIEL K TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 8497 CRESCENT DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: 2054 SAN YSIDRO DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4384029005** / INVOICE NO: BN210001740

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they have lived at the property for 20 years and has always maintained it and has never been assessed before.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108
NAME: Simon Ourian TR
MAILING ADDRESS: 4 South Beverly Park Dr
Beverly Hills Ca 90210 United States
SITUS ADDRESS: 4 BEVERLY PARK TER
BEVERLY HILLS 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4386028010** / INVOICE NO: BN210001745

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that their assistant contacted LAFD, stating that the clearance had been conducted and the property was in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108
NAME: COLDWATER PROPERTIES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 11812 SAN VICENTE BLVD 4TH FL
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 2859 COLDWATER CANYON DR
LOS ANGELES 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4387004021** / INVOICE NO: BN210001746

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the property was vacant and they received no notices at their mailing address and that they experienced issues with the mail prior to this incident as well.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108
NAME: ASMAA M OWENS
MAILING ADDRESS: 1125 E BROADWAY 70
GLENDAL CA 91205
SITUS ADDRESS: 2370 North Gloaming Way
Bel Air Ca 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4388005019** / INVOICE NO: BN210001750

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Personal situations prevented owner from clearing brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19
NAME: HIGHWOOD ART LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 210 N CANON DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 12846 HIGHWOOD ST
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4406009018** / INVOICE NO: BN210001758

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated no brush to clear.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69
NAME: WOOTON,D GARETH AND BARBARA K TR WOOTON FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 14470 W SUNSET BLVD
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 14466 West SUNSET BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90272
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4409015035** / INVOICE NO: BN210001759

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they did not receive a second notice of noncompliance and that they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69
NAME: PALISAIR HOME OWNERS ASSN
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 901
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 1228 LAS LOMAS PLACE
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4420007040** / INVOICE NO: BN210001793

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant provided proof of payment to a landscape company to remove the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23
NAME: DAVOODI,HOOMAN TR DSD TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 01416 LACHMAN LN
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
SITUS ADDRESS: 16770 CHARME LN
LOS ANGELES 90272
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4420026007** / INVOICE NO: BN210001797

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the lot was vacant and is currently under construction.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23
NAME: KUNDEL,DMITRIY AND GULAFRUZ
MAILING ADDRESS: 18375 VENTURA BLVD PMB 416
TARZANA CA 91356 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1412 FLORESTA PL
LOS ANGELES 90272
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4420034008** / INVOICE NO: BN210001798

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states the property was a rental and the occupants would not let anyone access the property to conduct the brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69
NAME: LATZ, SARA R
MAILING ADDRESS: 15035 MC KENDREE AVE
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
SITUS ADDRESS: 15035 MC KENDREE AVE
LOS ANGELES 90272
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4422012005** / INVOICE NO: BN210001799

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they hired their gardener to clear the brush initially and then again after receiving a notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69
NAME: GALBERT INVESTMENTS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 8230 BEVERLY BLVD STE 23
LOS ANGELES CA 90048 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S of 1027 North ILIFF ST
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4423011029** / INVOICE NO: BN210001802

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that their office was closed due to COVID and so they did not receive any mailed notices regarding noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19
NAME: RABBANI, IRAJ AND JOLI TRS IRAJ AND JOLI RABBANI TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 13301 WESTCOVE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 13301 WESTCOVE DR
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4425027008** / INVOICE NO: BN210001807

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they did all they could to comply with the brush clearance requirements despite several health issues.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19
NAME: MESSIAN, DAVID
MAILING ADDRESS: 13330 WESTCOVE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 13330 WESTCOVE DR
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4425027010** / INVOICE NO: BN210001808

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they acted quickly after each notice to clear the hazards as requested.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19
NAME: LEVI,SOHRAB R AND ROYA E TRS LEVI FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 360 N GUNSTON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 360 N GUNSTON DR
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4429033026** / INVOICE NO: BN210001812

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared all the brush and dead trees as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23
NAME: RAZAVI KAMRAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 16943 DULCE YNEZ LN
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 1449 North CUESTA LINDA DR
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4431023012** / INVOICE NO: BN210001815

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 22, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19
NAME: COOKE,NOELLE TR
MAILING ADDRESS: 5299 W WASHINGTON BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90016 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 13129 SHERRY LN
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4490013016** / INVOICE NO: BN210001821

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Brush on City property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Recommend appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19
NAME: BOCA DE CANON
MAILING ADDRESS: 12439 MAGNOLIA BLVD PMB 245
VALLEY VILLAGE CA 91607
SITUS ADDRESS: 13158 BOCA DE CANON LN
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4492002024** / INVOICE NO: BN210001822

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property not cleared until 10/20.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19
NAME: EVANS,KATHERINE A TR KATHERINE A EVANS TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2116 QUEENSFERRY RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 2116 QUEENSFERRY RD
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4492013003** / INVOICE NO: BN210001823

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they purchased the property in March 2021 and therefore received no notices regarding brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. No evidence was submitted regarding the purchase date to show the property transfer. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19
NAME: 13045 RIVERS ROAD ASSOC
MAILING ADDRESS: 01900 MAIN ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90007 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 13045 RIVERS RD
LOS ANGELES 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4493002008** / INVOICE NO: BN210001828

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property not cleared until 10/20.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: October 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: 4S DON FELIPE LLC C/O EMILY M GREEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 1849 GREEN BAY RD STE 280
HIGHLAND PARK IL 60035
SITUS ADDRESS: 3831 STOCKER ST
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5026001002** / INVOICE NO: BN210001841

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

All mail/notices returned.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appeal is recommended to be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: TOMASO GARDENS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 100 S CITRUS AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90036
SITUS ADDRESS: 3815 DON TOMASO DR
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5026002003** / INVOICE NO: BN210001843

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property was not cleared until September 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: LARRY AND JACQUELINE WILLIAMS
MAILING ADDRESS: 4054 DON LUIS DR
BALDWIN HILLS CA 90008 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4054 DON LUIS DR
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5026003012** / INVOICE NO: BN210001844

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property was not cleared until July 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: BLAKE, OSBOURNE A AND FIELDS BLAKE, KERON R
MAILING ADDRESS: 4146 DON LUIS DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90008 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4146 DON LUIS DR
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5026011005** / INVOICE NO: BN210001846

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property was not cleared until December 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: PAJOLEC, GUILLAUME AND LEWIN PAJOLEC, NATHALIE
MAILING ADDRESS: 4292 DON LUIS DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90008 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4292 DON LUIS DR
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5026014017** / INVOICE NO: BN210001853

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property was cleared at September 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: CLARK PRICE,CAROL M TR CAROL M CLARK PRICE TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 4218 DON ALEGRE PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90008 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4218 DON ALEGRE PL
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5028015002** / INVOICE NO: BN210001866

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property was not cleared until September 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 2, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: PAMELA DAVIS
MAILING ADDRESS: 5267 VERONICA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90008
SITUS ADDRESS: 5267 VERONICA ST
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5029024004** / INVOICE NO: BN210001874

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Covid and health made it difficult to clear brush timely.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: HOWISON, JOSIAH AND CHUNG, EUN S
MAILING ADDRESS: 05354 WEATHERFORD DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90008
SITUS ADDRESS: 5354 WEATHERFORD DR
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5029026013** / INVOICE NO: BN210001875

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed all brush was cleared, but brush remained that was not cleared in a timely manner.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: EDDY DIG-HWA LIU
MAILING ADDRESS: 1410 LORAIN ROAD
SAN MARINO CA 91108 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT 3986 South CLOVERDALE AVE
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5029038001** / INVOICE NO: BN210001880

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property was sufficiently cleared and timely,

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: LANG,PATRICIA A TR LANG FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 4115 S CLOVERDALE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90008
SITUS ADDRESS: 4115 S CLOVERDALE AVE
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5029040005** / INVOICE NO: BN210001883

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property not cleared until January 2021.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 23, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: January 4, 2021.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: BYAS,JAMES S,JR
MAILING ADDRESS: 04007 DON DIABLO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90008
SITUS ADDRESS: 4007 DON DIABLO DR
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5031005006** / INVOICE NO: BN210001888

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property not cleared until August 25, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: DAVID KANG
MAILING ADDRESS: 12729 BEACH STREET
CERRITOS CA 90703 United States
SITUS ADDRESS: 4245 DON ALANIS PL
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5031009001** / INVOICE NO: BN210001892

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed he did not own property until September 14, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94
NAME: SMALLEY, MICHAEL J AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 01023 LA BREA AVE
INGLEWOOD CA 90302 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4242 DON ARELLANES DR
LOS ANGELES 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5031015008** / INVOICE NO: BN210001898

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed property cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: CERVANTES,JULIO AND SANDRA
MAILING ADDRESS: 3133 JOHNSTON ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90031
SITUS ADDRESS: 3133 JOHNSTON ST
LOS ANGELES 90031
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5206015012** / INVOICE NO: BN210001903

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property is inaccessible.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1
NAME: DECRO FIRMIN LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 03431 WESLEY ST STE F
CULVER CITY CA 90232
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 115 East AVENUE 35
LOS ANGELES CA 90031
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5207003003** / INVOICE NO: BN210001911

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed property was clear of brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: MURGUIA,MANUEL J CO TR MANUEL AND CAROL MURGUIA TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 6631 CRESCENT ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 451 East VON KEITHIAN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90031
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5207026016** / INVOICE NO: BN210001913

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property was hard to clear due to Covid.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1
NAME: STAPLETON PROPERTIES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 4533 MACARTHUR BLVD STE 330
NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3130 North ALTA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90031
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5208018025** / INVOICE NO: BN210001925

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Cleared property in a timely manner.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: GONZALEZ,JOSE AND ARACELI
MAILING ADDRESS: 00835 W 12TH ST
POMONA CA 91766
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3023 North EVA TERRACE
LOS ANGELES CA 90031
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5209002011** / INVOICE NO: BN210001933

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Family did not realize if brush clearance was completed timely.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: WEBSTER GUILLERMO

MAILING ADDRESS: 199 NEW MONTGOMERY ST STE 1402
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

SITUS ADDRESS: N/A

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5209004022** / INVOICE NO: BN210001939

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they purchased the property in September 2020 and did not receive any notices because they did not own the property at the time of inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant provided evidence of the change of ownership in September 2020, thus they were not afforded due process as they did not receive the notices sent at the time of inspection. In the interests of justice, it is recommended that the appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: HMW AND JK ENTERPRISES INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 01290 24TH AVE
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94122
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4109 East TELLURIDE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5209007017** / INVOICE NO: BN210001944

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: LIANG GEORGE AND CHEN MICHAEL
MAILING ADDRESS: 15522 CLAYCLIFF CT
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3011`` North AMETHYST ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5209008003** / INVOICE NO: BN210001947

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed they bought property May 23, 2020,

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: PULIDO,JAVIER
MAILING ADDRESS: 14120 HAYLAND ST
LA PUENTE CA 91746
SITUS ADDRESS: 3008 AMETHYST ST
LOS ANGELES 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5209017011** / INVOICE NO: BN210001965

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: ALEJANDRO ROMULO A JR AND ABRAHAM MARIA B
MAILING ADDRESS: 3610 HARRIMAN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: 3610 HARRIMAN AVE
LOS ANGELES 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5213007023** / INVOICE NO: BN210001992

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner cleared property but not until 10/28/20.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 28, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: GUZMAN, SONIA A
MAILING ADDRESS: 06030 FRY ST
BELL GARDENS CA 90201
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4504 East WALDO CT
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5213027019** / INVOICE NO: BN210001998

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: MINA,SOPHIA
MAILING ADDRESS: 3316 EDLOFT AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: 3316 EDLOFT AVE
LOS ANGELES 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5213034019** / INVOICE NO: BN210002001

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellate says she was trapped outside of the U.S. by virtue of the COVID-19 pandemic. Appellate says the Notices were not received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant as all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard. The Appellant had an obligation to clear vegetation that constitutes a fire hazard and did not. Therefore, the Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued and the \$516 fee is assessed.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: SOUNTSOV,ALEKSANDR TR
MAILING ADDRESS: 803 KILBY DRIVE
BROKEN AAROW OK 74014 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5214004014** / INVOICE NO: BN210002007

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared their property after the first notice and does not understand why they are being assessed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: BAUTISTA, GENEROSO T AND LOURDES O
MAILING ADDRESS: 04528 HARVEY WAY
LONG BEACH CA 90808 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4450 East CATO ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5214010001** / INVOICE NO: BN210002026

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they are elderly and now rely on their son's help to clear the brush. The former brush clearance contractor was not available so they had to hire a new one, which delayed the clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16
NAME: CHIN,JOHN L AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 00417 N PALM AVE
ALHAMBRA CA 91801
SITUS ADDRESS: 2061 North BARNETT RD
LOS ANGELES 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5223021010** / INVOICE NO: BN210002065

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner did not clear up property until August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16
NAME: TRAN ANTHONY
MAILING ADDRESS: 7682 LEHIGH PL
WESTMINSTER CA 92683 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1945 LANSDOWNE AVE
LOS ANGELES 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5223026012** / INVOICE NO: BN210002066

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner did not clear up property until August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: WHITE MICHELLE AND RINCON LUIS
MAILING ADDRESS: 4390 LATONA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90031
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S of 4393 North LATONA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90031
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5304001025** / INVOICE NO: BN210002077

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner bought property 9/11/20.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: URBANFORMS INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 136 N GRAND AVE UNIT 211
WEST COVINA CA 91791
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3726 North LAROB ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90031
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5304014013** / INVOICE NO: BN210002090

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: MERCADO GUILLERMO M AND YAJAHIRA G
MAILING ADDRESS: 3756 HARRIMAN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3950 North LOCKE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5306016050** / INVOICE NO: BN210002131

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Due to Covid needed extra time to clear property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: MOLINA,MIGUEL S
MAILING ADDRESS: 13215 DOVER WAY
VICTORVILLE CA 92392 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3932 North PUEBLO AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5307002006** / INVOICE NO: BN210002134

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner challenged amount of assessment.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: FERLY CORP AND ACE MARKETING DEVELOPMENT LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 19745 COLIMA RD STE 1
ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4042 North TAMPICO AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5307008025** / INVOICE NO: BN210002143

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property cleared from brush prio to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: FERLY CORP AND ACE MARKETING DEVELOPMENT LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 19745 COLIMA RD STE 1
ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5307008026** / INVOICE NO: BN210002144

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: RICWEN LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 01515 7TH ST #218
SANTA MONICA CA 90401 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: LOT NEXT TO 2723 SUNSET BL.,
LOS ANGELES, CA 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5402001013** / INVOICE NO: BN210002152

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed all brush was cleared but it was not.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 28, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 22, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: MATIAS,TOMAS
MAILING ADDRESS: 02902 RESERVOIR ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 2902 RESERVOIR ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5402001032** / INVOICE NO: BN210002153

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: KIM SUJIN AND LEAH
MAILING ADDRESS: 1421 EWING ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 1421 EWING ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5415009009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002160

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner purchased property 9/20.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: October 23, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. Fee should have been included in escrow.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: LAVELLE, ROBERT
MAILING ADDRESS: 01630 LUCRETIA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 1630 LUCRETIA AVE
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5419008028** / INVOICE NO: BN210002171

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property cleared May 2021.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: FIRESTONE,NELSON TR FIRESTONE FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1525 OPECHEE WAY
GLENDAL CA 91208
SITUS ADDRESS: 1548 LUCRETIA AVE
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5419009002** / INVOICE NO: BN210002172

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Covid prevented clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: December 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: January 5, 2021.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: OGLE,NORMA
MAILING ADDRESS: 2056 N ALVARADO ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2026 West BAXTER ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5420005002** / INVOICE NO: BN210002183

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Work was unable to be completed until after 2nd notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: STUPLIN, MARK S
MAILING ADDRESS: 02021 BAXTER ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: 2021 BAXTER ST
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5420006019** / INVOICE NO: BN210002184

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner did not receive any notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. The address used is the address from the property tax bill.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: AYUTHAI R SIRI
MAILING ADDRESS: 15555 LEADWELL ST
VAN NUYS CA 91406
SITUS ADDRESS: 1927 PRESTON AVE
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5420015008** / INVOICE NO: BN210002191

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated brush not on his property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: HOFFMAN, SEAN M AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 01952 VESTAL AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 1952 VESTAL AVE
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5420015020** / INVOICE NO: BN210002193

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated he thought property was sufficiently cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: HORTA,MARIA A
MAILING ADDRESS: 01737 LUCRETIA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 1737 LUCRETIA AVE
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5420029009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002197

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner cleared property August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: OTTO, DAVID C AND JENNIFER C
MAILING ADDRESS: 08382 BEVERLY BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90048
SITUS ADDRESS: 1543 AVALON ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5420032038** / INVOICE NO: BN210002201

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property not cleared until August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: HOWARD DAVID LIVING TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1050 South ALFRED STREET
LOS ANGELES CA 90035 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 2116 PRINCETON AVE
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5420036022** / INVOICE NO: BN210002208

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property cleared November 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. Address used is the address received from the property tax records.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: SHEILA MERLINO
MAILING ADDRESS: 201 VILLAGE RD
PORT HUENEME CA 93041
SITUS ADDRESS: 2337 DUANE ST
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5422001030** / INVOICE NO: BN210002209

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were recovering from surgery and staying with their daughter where their mail was forwarded, however, the notices came late and at the same time. Appellant stated they were also required to be careful due to COVID, which caused further delays.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: STEELE, CHARLES A AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 02424 EARL ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90039 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 2424 EARL ST
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5422007020** / INVOICE NO: BN210002212

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they had received notices of noncompliance but cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: STOKES, STEVEN J TR EDENDALE HEIGHTS TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 26931
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 2009 N ALVARADO ST
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5422020026** / INVOICE NO: BN210002226

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were not initially aware of the area they needed to clear and had it substantially cleared at the time of inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: BILDIK GUL K
MAILING ADDRESS: 339 N ORANGE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90036
SITUS ADDRESS: 1817 N ALVARADO ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5423004026** / INVOICE NO: BN210002230

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were quarantined outside the area and did not get the noncompliance notices until returning, whereupon they had the brush cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: NOL, CHARLES E
MAILING ADDRESS: 00174 1/2 HARTFORD ST
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94114
SITUS ADDRESS: 1635 N CORONADO ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5423013006** / INVOICE NO: BN210002236

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated their brush was cleared as required prior to the deadline.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 22, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 13, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: LANGER,GASTON CO TR LANGER ELINGER FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1145 CORONADO TERRACE
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 1450 WATERLOO ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5424003033** / INVOICE NO: BN210002249

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not receive their notices as their mail often goes to a similar address, but they cleared the brush as soon as they saw the property was posted. Appellant cites financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: SANTIAGO REYNALDO R (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 5546 CARLTON WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90028
SITUS ADDRESS: 1512 N BENTON WAY
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5424006017** / INVOICE NO: BN210002250

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not have a regular mailperson and so they missed a lot of mail. When they saw the property was posted, they hired someone to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: GUY PITHIE
MAILING ADDRESS: 845 LORRAINE BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90005
SITUS ADDRESS: 1507 MCCOLLUM ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5424006043** / INVOICE NO: BN210002252

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not receive their first notice as the property is rented and the tenant did not forward. Appellant stated they tried to contact LAFD and did not get a response but cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: MCPHAIL, CHAD AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 01530 N EASTERLY TER
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: LOT NEXT TO 1530 N. EASTERLY TERRACE,
LOS ANGELES, CA 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5424008018** / INVOICE NO: BN210002253

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush as required and that anything left was maintained as part of their garden.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 13, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: GARGI, MANU AND DAVE, KALPA
MAILING ADDRESS: 00751 N SPAULDING AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: 1431 N BENTON WAY
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5424015031** / INVOICE NO: BN210002256

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush as required and claim financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 22, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: HERRERA, ARMANDO AND LILIA
MAILING ADDRESS: 01353 ALLESANDRO ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 1353 ALLESANDRO ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5424026015** / INVOICE NO: BN210002260

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the clearance was delayed due to financial hardship due to COVID and they hired someone as soon as they could to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 13, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: MELVIN MABBAYAD
MAILING ADDRESS: 435 VIRGIL AVE #324
LOS ANGELES CA 90020 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1407 N ALVARADO ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5424028026** / INVOICE NO: BN210002264

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush after the first notice of noncompliance and then completed removing the palm fronds after the second.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: HERNANDEZ, ALVARO A AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 00317 S WALNUT AVE APT A
BREA CA 92821
SITUS ADDRESS: 1316 ALLESANDRO ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5424029005** / INVOICE NO: BN210002265

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush themselves and was told they would have enough time to do so.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: HIBBEN, INGRID TR LINDA CUMMINS HOLDING TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 07247 ENCILIA DR
LA JOLLA CA 92037 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1514 ELEVADO ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5425009021** / INVOICE NO: BN210002269

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were getting brain surgery at the time and asked for more time to get the money together for the clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: CHASEN FREDERIC W AND JESSICA A
MAILING ADDRESS: 2913 RESERVOIR ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 2913 RESERVOIR ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5426001009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002277

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant is the new owner and stated they were told the noncompliance issues had been resolved. Also, that they cleared all remaining hazards.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35
NAME: ASKARINAM,BEHROOZ CO TR ASKARINAM FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 253 N ALMONT DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211
SITUS ADDRESS: 1720 GRIFFITH PARK BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5429016009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002285

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they hired a contractor to clear the brush as required and submitted invoices for the clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35
NAME: KATS, YELENA
MAILING ADDRESS: 00035 76TH ST # 515
NEW YORK NY 10021 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3973 CLAYTON AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5430006005** / INVOICE NO: BN210002294

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the LAFD inspector said the fees could be waived due to COVID in February.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: BROWN, MICHAEL AND KHATIRA R TRS BROWN FAMILY TRUST C/O
MICHAEL SLOAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 10990 WILSHIRE BLVD 8TH FL
LOS ANGELES CA 90024 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 2144 MAYVIEW DR
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5430008023** / INVOICE NO: BN210002297

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not receive a first notice given the state of mail delivery during the pandemic. Appellant stated the brush was cleared after receiving the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 22, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35
NAME: DENTON T HOOPER
MAILING ADDRESS: 2017 HYPERION AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 2017 HYPERION AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5430013034** / INVOICE NO: BN210002300

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Unable to clear property himself.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35
NAME: MERINO, JOSSY TR MERINO TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 14689 NORDHOFF ST UNIT 102
PANORAMA CITY CA 91402
SITUS ADDRESS: 1905 HYPERION AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5430014015** / INVOICE NO: BN210002302

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not receive any notices regarding brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35
NAME: SUNSET DRIVE DEVELOPMENT LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 315 BEVERLY DRIVE STE 315
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3940 SUNSET DR
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5430025019** / INVOICE NO: BN210002305

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated their tenant did not advise them of the first notice of noncompliance but they saw the second notice and hired a gardener to complete the brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: VAN ZELLER, MARIANA CO TR VAN ZELLER AND FOSTER TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1727 N DILLON ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 1727 N DILLON ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5431027022** / INVOICE NO: BN210002312

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not own the property until June 2020 and did not move into it until December 2020 so they received no notices of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Appellant did not provide any change of ownership data to affirm their purchase date. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: SOKOL MARGOLIS SAMUEL D
MAILING ADDRESS: 1089 COMMONWEALTH AVE STE 215
BOSTON MA 02215
SITUS ADDRESS: 1722 WEBSTER AVE
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5431033017** / INVOICE NO: BN210002315

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they are living out of state and forwarded mail delivery was delayed to them due to COVID, they also stated that their gardener would not perform the work due to COVID and it took additional time for the property management company to secure a contractor to address the steep hillsides.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: DELBARRIO, JON AND JENNIFER
MAILING ADDRESS: 01844 REDESDALE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 1851 WESTERLY TER
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5431037023** / INVOICE NO: BN210002318

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserted financial hardship due to COVID, which delayed their ability to cut down the tree, which Appellant stated the City had already topped. Appellant does not understand why they continue to receive notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: 2515 GRIFFITH PARK INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 2040 CUMMINGS DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 2507 GRIFFITH PARK BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5432002025** / INVOICE NO: BN210002319

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not receive a second noncompliance notice and the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: EUN,JOO KIM
MAILING ADDRESS: 02408 GRIFFITH PARK BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: 2408 GRIFFITH PARK BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5432005011** / INVOICE NO: BN210002320

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not receive a first notice and were out of the country when the property was posted. Further, that they had the brush cleared in December and were in compliance at that time.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: CHIEN,MU TIEN AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 01477 MAYHURST BLVD
MCLEAN VA 22102
SITUS ADDRESS: 2305 KENILWORTH AVE
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5432020019** / INVOICE NO: BN210002326

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts hardship, stating that they broke their ankle and required surgery after receiving the first notice and stating that it was difficult to contract for the work to be done due to COVID, which is why they missed the deadline.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: 3114 SCOTLAND LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 9501 CRESTA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90035
SITUS ADDRESS: 3114 SCOTLAND ST
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5432032012** / INVOICE NO: BN210002330

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were not aware they were responsible for the area they were noticed to clear and thought they had an extension to clear it after talking to LAFD, however, they state the extension was not honored.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 14, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: LINDSAY,SAOIRSE AND PURDUE,DAVID
MAILING ADDRESS: 127 ARKANSAS STREET APT B
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94107 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 2120 HYPERION AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5432034008** / INVOICE NO: BN210002331

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices. The property is a rental and it was unrented for a period and they were unable to travel to check on the property due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: 2114 HYPERION AVENUE LLC C/O MATTHEW DELEVA
MAILING ADDRESS: 2114 HYPERION AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 2066 LYRIC AVE
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5432034010** / INVOICE NO: BN210002332

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they addressed the issues that they had received notices of noncompliance for.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: PEREZ,FRANCESCA TR ET AL FRANCESCA PEREZ TRUST AND
ATKINS,JACQUELINE S
MAILING ADDRESS: 3232 ROWENA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 3232 ROWENA AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5433004015** / INVOICE NO: BN210002334

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the property has four tenants in common and that they purchased their unit in 2020, thus, the only notice received was the one posted as nothing was mailed to them.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Nothing was submitted to show Appellant was not the responsible party. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: LEE, WILLIAM K
MAILING ADDRESS: 12600 BROOKHURST ST STE 102
GARDEN GROVE CA 92840
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2349 North LYRIC AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5433010002** / INVOICE NO: BN210002337

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they live out of the country and their father oversees the property. Appellant stated that no notices were received and that the brush was cleared in May and a tree later removed upon the property being posted.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: MCMASTER,SARAH AND LARSON,SCOTT
MAILING ADDRESS: 02246 LYRIC AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 2246 LYRIC AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5433017027** / INVOICE NO: BN210002343

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they are confused by this process as they cleared the brush was required by the notices they received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: BALIAN, ARAM AND SUZIE
MAILING ADDRESS: 2306 CLAREMONT AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 2306 CLAREMONT AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5433017030** / INVOICE NO: BN210002344

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the property as required before the August deadline and provided photos.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: LEE, KATHERINE A CO TR BREUER LEE FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 407 TAMARAC DR
PASADENA CA 91105
SITUS ADDRESS: 3775 TRACY ST
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5433021016** / INVOICE NO: BN210002345

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were out of town when the first notice was sent, but thereafter paid their gardener to clear the hazards.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: TIMMONS,SHERI A
MAILING ADDRESS: 3810 EVANS ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 3812 EVANS ST
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5433023038** / INVOICE NO: BN210002346

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner was unemployed and it was Covid.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: TORKOMIAN STEPHAN AND HAROUTIOUN

MAILING ADDRESS: 3000 RIVERSIDE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 3000 RIVERSIDE DR
LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5434024001** / INVOICE NO: BN210002364

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Brush is not on property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 29, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: October 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Recommended that appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: GIN,JO ANN TR ARTHUR AND JO ANN GIN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 02814 WAVERLY DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: 2810 WAVERLY DR
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5434030013** / INVOICE NO: BN210002371

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner cleared property August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: EFF ENTERPRISES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1906 W 181ST ST
TORRANCE CA 90504
SITUS ADDRESS: 2738 HYPERION AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5434036029** / INVOICE NO: BN210002375

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush in April and again in June after receiving a noncompliance notice and submitted invoices for the clearance. Appellant also stated that some of the area is the responsibility of their tenants and not the Appellant.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: OGINO, JAMES T AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 00898 HOLLADAY WAY
MONTEREY PARK CA 91754
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2631 North SILVER RIDGE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5438014010** / INVOICE NO: BN210002383

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that the hazards were removed after receiving the notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: TOUSI, ABBASS
MAILING ADDRESS: 10825 SANTA MONICA BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90025
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L AT WAVERLY DR., DEAD-END,
LOS ANGELES, CA 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5438021013** / INVOICE NO: BN210002388

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated work was delayed due to COVID and financial hardship. Appellant stated that they hired a gardener to do the brush clearance after first notice of noncompliance, but they did a poor job and clearance was completed after second notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: TOUSI, ABBASS
MAILING ADDRESS: 10825 SANTA MONICA BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90025
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2600 West WAVERLY DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5438021014** / INVOICE NO: BN210002389

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the brush clearance was delayed due to a homeless encampment and COVID 19 concerns.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: WAKIL, BRIAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 2425 EARL ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: 2425 EARL ST
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5439002004** / INVOICE NO: BN210002393

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states the hazards were cleared after each notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: LOUIE,BOCHEN C TR BOCHEN C LOUIE TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 02456 EDGEWATER TER
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: 2456 EDGEWATER TER
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5439016003** / INVOICE NO: BN210002400

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they received no notices of noncompliance and that the work was completed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: PEPPER, LAURIE TR LAURIE PEPPER TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2606 ROKEBY ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: 2606 ROKEBY ST
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5439024012** / INVOICE NO: BN210002403

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they hired a gardener to complete the work as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: SHEERIN,BROOKE AND DANIEL
MAILING ADDRESS: 02430 SILVER LAKE BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: 2430 SILVER LAKE BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5440010013** / INVOICE NO: BN210002408

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they are renovating the property and everything was delayed by COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: ORIGEL, MARCO AND MONICA AND ALVAREZ, RICARDO AND ELISA
MAILING ADDRESS: 2242 INDIA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90039 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 2240 INDIA ST
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5440012040** / INVOICE NO: BN210002410

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellants stated they did not receive a second notice of violation and that they cleared the brush themselves.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 30, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: October 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: OSLIN, SUSAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 02261 SILVER RIDGE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: 2261 SILVER RIDGE AVE
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5440017004** / INVOICE NO: BN210002413

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were effected by their neighbor's tree branches, financial hardship and having the kids home due to COVID, and ask forgiveness.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: LACY, CHARLES D AND PALMER LACY, ALICE J
MAILING ADDRESS: 02370 SILVER RIDGE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2366 North SILVER RIDGE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5440023015** / INVOICE NO: BN210002415

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were delayed in completing the clearance due to smoke from wildfires and COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: HOM,SHEW H ET AL HOM,HENRY Y
MAILING ADDRESS: 3030 SAGAMORE WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 2575 RIVERSIDE TER
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5440029015** / INVOICE NO: BN210002418

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they did not receive a first notice of noncompliance but hired gardeners to remove the hazards.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: LIPSITT,ALISA E
MAILING ADDRESS: 02323 VALENTINE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 2323 VALENTINE ST
LOS ANGELES 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5443017046** / INVOICE NO: BN210002437

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they attempted to hire a vendor to clear the property after the first notice of noncompliance but life became busy with their twins, however, the brush was cleared after receiving the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: SMITH,NATHANIEL AND HUDSON,ELIZABETH
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 29249
LOS ANGELES CA 90029
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5443021024** / INVOICE NO: BN210002440

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the did the clearance at the last minute because they thought they had a longer window with COVID and they were due to start construction which would have taken care of the clearance but that got delayed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20
NAME: SMITH,NATHANIEL AND HUDSON,ELIZABETH
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 29249
LOS ANGELES CA 90029
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5443021025** / INVOICE NO: BN210002441

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the clearance was delayed but completed and does not understand what they are being charged for.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: SNOW,TERRY AND KANESHIRO,GEORGE AND NANCY
MAILING ADDRESS: 22700 MARTHA ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1907 West WALCOTT WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5443030021** / INVOICE NO: BN210002453

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that the lots were cleared but some brush came back after heavy rains and they were cleared again after some delay due to COVID. Appellant doesn't know why they're being charged after completing the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: SNOW,TERRY AND KANESHIRO,GEORGE AND NANCY
MAILING ADDRESS: 22700 MARTHA ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ West WALCOTT WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5443030022** / INVOICE NO: BN210002454

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that the lots were cleared but some brush came back after heavy rains and they were cleared again after some delay due to COVID. Appellant doesn't know why they're being charged after completing the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: LEE AMBER
MAILING ADDRESS: 2504 N VIA ARTIS
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: 2504 N VIA ARTIS
LOS ANGELES 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5443031021** / INVOICE NO: BN210002456

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were not clear on what needed to be done after the second notice of noncompliance. Further, that they scheduled the clearance with their vendor but were not told when the reinspection would be.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 19, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 12, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: Tuyen D. Lecong
MAILING ADDRESS: 11823 Quartz Circle
Fountain Valley CA 92708 United States
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5443034033** / INVOICE NO: BN210002458

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they took extensive efforts to have the brush cleared and that the property is steep and hard to access. They hired a professional contractor who did the initial work and did it again after the second notice of noncompliance, however no photos were taken. Appellant claims financial hardship and COVID hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: Tuyen D. Lecong
MAILING ADDRESS: 11823 Quartz Circle
Fountain Valley CA 92708 United States
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1918 West SUNFLOWER AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5443034036** / INVOICE NO: BN210002459

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they took extensive efforts to get the brush cleared and the property is steep and hard to access. They hired a contractor who did the initial work and repeat work after the second notice of noncompliance. Appellant also claims financial hardship and COVID hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: STEVENS STEVEN AND KAREN AND JILL
MAILING ADDRESS: 7361 SAGO CT
RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91730
SITUS ADDRESS: 4017 MARMION WAY
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5451013016** / INVOICE NO: BN210002462

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they received no notices and that their tenants are in arears.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Appellant had a new address and did not change their address for notices with teh LAFD or the County Assessor prior to the inspections. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: SHEPERD, JAMES H
MAILING ADDRESS: 00350 MOUNT WASHINGTON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 350 MOUNT WASHINGTON DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5451015001** / INVOICE NO: BN210002463

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was completed in December 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation in August 2020.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: HUFFMAN, DAVID AND OSMUNDSON, WENDY AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 02455 MCCREADY AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3855 North WEST POINT DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5451022009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002470

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not know what the issue was as they have no trees or fireplace and have owned the property for many years.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: JOHN STURM
MAILING ADDRESS: 527 VISTA GLORIOSA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 527 VISTA GLORIOSA DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5452012037** / INVOICE NO: BN210002478

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they felt they only missed the deadline by one day and that they delayed their hiring of a contractor to address the hazard due to financial constraints. Appellant stated they are elderly and have a limited income.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: ARCEO,FELIPE AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 1402
DOWNEY CA 90240
SITUS ADDRESS: Vacant Lot Above 759 Isabel St
Los Angeles CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5452017024** / INVOICE NO: BN210002479

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they hired a contractor to clear the brush before the deadline and that they maintain it yearly.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: ARCEO,FELIPE AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 1402
DOWNEY CA 90240
SITUS ADDRESS: Vacant Lot Above 743 Isabel St
Los Angeles CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5452017026** / INVOICE NO: BN210002480

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the necessary clearance was completed in May and provided photos in support of this assertion.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: ARCEO,FELIPE AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 1402
DOWNEY CA 90240
SITUS ADDRESS: vacant Lot above 747 Isabel St
Los Angeles CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5452017028** / INVOICE NO: BN210002481

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush clearance was completed in May 2020 and provided receipts and photos in support of this assertion.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: TRI PALMS FINANCIAL INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 05632 VAN NUYS BLVD STE 168
VAN NUYS CA 91401
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1554 North CLIFF DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5454005036** / INVOICE NO: BN210002484

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that her now deceased husband used to maintain the lots and she was coming back from a trip caring for her mother in 2020 and was delayed in getting a contractor. Also, that the contractor did the work and only a few bags were left to dispose of.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: BISSELL,JOHN R AND WIESENFELD,ALEXANDRA
MAILING ADDRESS: 03932 FILION ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 3932 FILION ST
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5459014007** / INVOICE NO: BN210002512

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they misread the first notice as to what work needed to be done and that hiring a contractor to do the work was delayed due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: RODRIGUEZ JESUS A
MAILING ADDRESS: 5663 BALTIMORE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
SITUS ADDRESS: 4027 VERDUGO RD
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5459015009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002513

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they had worked continuously since September 2020 to clear the property themselves as they could not afford to hire a contractor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: PETTET, WILLIAM D
MAILING ADDRESS: 03738 YORK BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90065 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3738 YORK BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5459018010** / INVOICE NO: BN210002515

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were delayed due to COVID and resulting financial hardship and had attempted to clarify the work with the LAFD.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: ROYAL TOWN DEVELOPMENT LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 10401 VENICE BLVD STE 220
LOS ANGELES CA 90034 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT ON MIMOSA DR (PAPER-STREET)
LOS ANGELES, CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5460004016** / INVOICE NO: BN210002521

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the brush was cleared prior to the inspections and the notices should have been sent to their business address.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: MIGUEL MORALES
MAILING ADDRESS: 1551 OAK GROVE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90041
SITUS ADDRESS: 2729 W AVENUE 35
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5460005007** / INVOICE NO: BN210002522

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property sufficient cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

.Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: SMITH, HEWITT A III
MAILING ADDRESS: 03428 HOLYOKE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 3428 HOLYOKE DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5460010007** / INVOICE NO: BN210002525

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Notices not received. Property cleared December 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 12, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. The address used is the address on the property tax roll.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: ERGON INVESTMENTS INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 00866 VALLOMBROSA DR
PASADENA CA 91107
SITUS ADDRESS: 2391 YORKSHIRE DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5460010049** / INVOICE NO: BN210002527

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the first notice was sent to an address they did not have access to due to COVID and their business address is different. Appellant also stated they cleared the brush immediately after receiving the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: ERGON INVESTMENTS INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 866 VALLOMBROSA DR
PASADENA CA 91107
SITUS ADDRESS: 2385 YORKSHIRE DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5460010050** / INVOICE NO: BN210002528

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

First notice not recieved.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. Address used is the address from the property taxes.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: BYE,JEFFREY CO TR GAMM BYE FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3622 LAVELL DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 3622 LAVELL DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5460012023** / INVOICE NO: BN210002537

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated he inadvertently misread the first noncompliance notice as to what hazards to remove.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: ABMS INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 3339 ALANA DR
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403
SITUS ADDRESS: 3628 North PASEO DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5460017007** / INVOICE NO: BN210002550

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no notices and that his workers did miss some areas but he sent them back out to clear them once he discovered the error.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: ABMS INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 15720 STAGG ST
VAN NUYS CA 91406
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3625 North PASEO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5460017018** / INVOICE NO: BN210002551

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive notices of noncompliance. Further, Appellant asserts their workers missed areas that they were not aware they were responsible for and they were sent out again to clear those areas as well.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: ABMS INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 15720 STAGG ST
VAN NUYS CA 91406
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3621 North PASEO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5460017019** / INVOICE NO: BN210002552

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices regarding noncompliance and that they initially were not aware some of the areas missed were their responsibility, however, they cleared the area once it was known.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: ABMS INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 15720 STAGG ST
VAN NUYS CA 91406
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3615 North PASEO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5460017020** / INVOICE NO: BN210002553

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive noncompliance notices. Appellant asserts they initially missed some areas they were not aware they were responsible for but have since cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: YARIV OZDOBA
MAILING ADDRESS: 3745 ACKERMAN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 3745 ACKERMAN DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5460025013** / INVOICE NO: BN210002570

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive a second notice of noncompliance and claim financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: DELSON INVESTMENT COMPANY
MAILING ADDRESS: 9663 SANTA MONICA BLVD 340
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: Vacant Lot below 3776 Brilliant Dr
Los Angeles CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5462004006** / INVOICE NO: BN210002572

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Did not receive notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. Address used is the address from the property tax bill.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: JAIN,ATUL
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 3953
CERRITOS CA 90703
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2321 North ARTHUR ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5462007013** / INVOICE NO: BN210002574

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they conducted brush clearance early on and after each notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 22, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: MOULSON PETER
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 65954
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT ON MOSS AVE., DIRT RD.,
LOS ANGELES, CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5462011001** / INVOICE NO: BN210002594

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the property was cleared after each notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: MOULSON PETER
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 65954
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT AT MOSS AVE., DIRT ROAD,
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5462011004** / INVOICE NO: BN210002595

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they hired their neighbor to do the job but that they failed to do it in time due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 12, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: MOULSON PETER
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 65954
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT AT MOSS AVE., PAPER-STREET, 00
LOS ANGELES, CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5462011005** / INVOICE NO: BN210002596

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they were out of the country and hired someone to clear the brush, and after the first notice of noncompliance, they hired a new contractor to clear the brush, which was difficult due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 12, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: NETO,IVAN L
MAILING ADDRESS: 0 PO BOX 9565
GLENDAL E CA 91226
SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT ON MOSS AVENUE, paper-street,
LOS ANGELES, CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5462011012** / INVOICE NO: BN210002599

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were out of the country but hired someone to do the clearance, however, inspections were delayed due to COVID which resulted in the hazards growing back, resulting in the notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50
NAME: CASON JOSEPH R AND CARTER BURT C
MAILING ADDRESS: 74230 PRIMROSE DR
PALM DESERT CA 92260
SITUS ADDRESS: 3663 DIVISION ST
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5462027004** / INVOICE NO: BN210002621

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed tree not on his property. Picture shows tree in middle of 2 lots. He cleared the tree anyway.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: JUPITER RESIDENCES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 01245 HICREST RD
GLENDORA CA 91741
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 732 North GANYMEDE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5464002057** / INVOICE NO: BN210002623

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant did not state a basis for their appeal, only stating that someone was hired to clear the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: 3608 3614 PRIMAVERA LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 10436 SANTA MONICA BLVD STE 400
LOS ANGELES CA 90025
SITUS ADDRESS: Vacant Lot Below 1825 Sunny Heights Dr
Los Angeles CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5464004031** / INVOICE NO: BN210002629

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared their lots by August 2020 and that adjacent lots may have been confused for theirs.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: KRIST PAUL M
MAILING ADDRESS: 1819 ALDER DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 1819 ALDER DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5464022020** / INVOICE NO: BN210002631

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not receive a first notice of noncompliance and that brush clearance was completed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: CAPITAL ASSET PARTNERS LLC C/O SAM ZAVOSH
MAILING ADDRESS: 2796 OLIVE AVE
ALTADENA CA 91001
SITUS ADDRESS: 446 RAINBOW AVE
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5465007017** / INVOICE NO: BN210002638

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they must have inadvertently missed something and asks that the mistake be forgiven.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: CAPITAL ASSET PARTNERS LLC C/O SAM ZAVOSH
MAILING ADDRESS: 2796 OLIVE AVE
ALTADENA CA 91001
SITUS ADDRESS: 440 RAINBOW AVE
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5465007018** / INVOICE NO: BN210002639

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant apologized for noncompliance and asked for forgiveness, noting the difficulties of the past year.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: BEECHWOOD CONSULTING INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 4531 GLENALBYN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 4531 GLENALBYN DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5466024009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002659

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they acquired the property in October 2020 and never received any notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant provided evidence that they purchased the property in October 2020. Due process was not afforded Appellant as they did not receive notices regarding brush compliance for 2020. In the interests of justice, it is recommended that the appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: ASPEN CONSULTING LTD ROTH 401K TRUST C/O ASPEN RETIREMENT
FUND LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 0 PO BOX 3609
SEAL BEACH CA 90740
SITUS ADDRESS: 4755 GLENALBYN DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5466029034** / INVOICE NO: BN210002660

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the property was sold on 2/1/2021.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: POGOSSIAN,ALEXANDER CO TR A AND G POGOSSIAN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 909 MOORSIDE DR
GLENDALE CA 91207
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 673 North QUAIL DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5466032018** / INVOICE NO: BN210002661

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they never got the first notice of noncompliance and once they received the second notice they hired someone to clear the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44
NAME: 412 MUSEUM DRIVE LLC C/O DAN BOHBOT
MAILING ADDRESS: 432 N AVENUE 66
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
SITUS ADDRESS: Vacant Lot across from 410 Crane Blvd
Los Angeles CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5467020011** / INVOICE NO: BN210002663

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the property was inspected and construction has begun on it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: STARS INVEST INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 622 GLENOAKS BLVD
SAN FERNANDO CA 91340
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5471001015** / INVOICE NO: BN210002673

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that LAFD COVID rules allowed for 45 days to complete the brush clearance after the first inspection which took place on August 4, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Even assuming a 45 day compliance deadline, Appellant still required an inspection and failed their initial inspection. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of inspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12
NAME: HILLSIDE WORKS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 540 N MONTEBELLO BLVD UNIT B
MONTEBELLO CA 90640
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4853 East ELDRED ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5471017014** / INVOICE NO: BN210002675

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they completed the hazard removal in September 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12
NAME: ELDRED PROJECTS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 540 N MONTEBELLO BLVD STE B
MONTEBELLO CA 90640
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4849 East ELDRED ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5471017015** / INVOICE NO: BN210002676

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the clearance was completed in September 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 5, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: ARROYO ISSA L AND MARK J
MAILING ADDRESS: 4127 VERDUGO VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 4127 VERDUGO VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5472005029** / INVOICE NO: BN210002684

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they did not move in until November 2020 after purchasing the property in August 2020, therefore, they never received notices of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: December 14, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant provided evidence supporting the fact that they did not purchase the property until August 31, 2020 and thus would not have received the noncompliance notices. in the interests of justice, it is being recommended that the appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: SITARA & JAMIE NISSLY FALCON
MAILING ADDRESS: 362 CANYON CREST ROAD
ALTADENA CA 91001 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4115 YORK BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5473011030** / INVOICE NO: BN210002694

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they do not own the property being assessed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Records show Appellant owns the parcels assessed. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: MORTE HANSEN, DIANE M TR DIANE M MORTE HANSEN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2650 SAXON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 2650 SAXON DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5473017001** / INVOICE NO: BN210002695

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that despite COVID and associated financial hardships in addressing the clearance that they had been found in compliance with for years, they hired a gardener to complete the work as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: LEVA,SCOTT H
MAILING ADDRESS: 01318 N AVENUE 46
LOS ANGELES CA 90041
SITUS ADDRESS: 1318 N AVENUE 46
LOS ANGELES 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5474031009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002699

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Financial hardship is not a legal basis to grant an appeal. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: CONTERO,HERBERT AND SILVIA D
MAILING ADDRESS: 04413 MONT EAGLE PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90041
SITUS ADDRESS: 4413 MONT EAGLE PL
LOS ANGELES 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5474041014** / INVOICE NO: BN210002703

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that compliance was achieved by August 2020 and they are unclear what they are being charged for and what was in noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafcd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: LIVINGSTON, RACHEL S TR LIVINGSTON TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 4360 PALMERO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 4360 PALMERO DR
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5475004001** / INVOICE NO: BN210002707

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they conducted their brush clearance after receiving their first notice of noncompliance but were unclear what else needed to be done after receiving a second notice of noncompliance which didn't fit the criteria in the previous notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: December 14, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: BUCHANAN HOME LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 577
YORBA LINDA CA 92885
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4842 East BUCHANAN ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5477009005** / INVOICE NO: BN210002722

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the tree that was the subject of the notice of noncompliance was a protected oak for which they could not get a removal permit for, but later removed it at the direction of LAFD.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: TAI,CHIU D TR CHIU D TAI TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 4834 E BUCHANAN ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
SITUS ADDRESS: 4843 East BUCHANAN ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5477009007** / INVOICE NO: BN210002723

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they purchased the property through an auction and were not aware that it was on top of a mountain with no access and wonders how the LAFD inspector was able to access it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: SAUCEDO GILBERT
MAILING ADDRESS: 714 W OLYMPIC BLVD STE 450
LOS ANGELES CA 90015
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4748 East BALTIMORE WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5477011008** / INVOICE NO: BN210002725

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they never received notices of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: SAUCEDO GILBERT
MAILING ADDRESS: 714 W OLYMPIC BLVD STE 450
LOS ANGELES CA 90015
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4744 East BALTIMORE WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5477012041** / INVOICE NO: BN210002726

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive any notices of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: PETRICK, KATHRYN AND JOSEPH TRS PETRICK FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 4819 MENDOTA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
SITUS ADDRESS: 4819 MENDOTA AVE
LOS ANGELES 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5477012044** / INVOICE NO: BN210002727

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states that they misunderstood and/or were confused by what was being asked of them with regard to clearing the public right of way alley behind their property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. The law requires property owners adjacent to public rights of way to remove fire hazards. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: BURROWS GROUP LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 13203 CORNUTA AVE
DOWNEY CA 90242
SITUS ADDRESS: Vacant Lot Below 1577 Silverwood Dr
Los Angeles CA 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5479003009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002736

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: SUN, WEN J
MAILING ADDRESS: 03018 E COLORADO BLVD UNIT 150
PASADENA CA 91107
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1938 North PHILLIPS WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5479006002** / INVOICE NO: BN210002738

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that it was very difficult to schedule the clearance work during COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: SUN,WEN J
MAILING ADDRESS: 03018 E COLORADO BLVD
PASADENA CA 91107
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1942 North PHILLIPS WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5479006003** / INVOICE NO: BN210002739

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated it was difficult to schedule work crews for brush clearance during COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: LERNER RICHARD P FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2341 W AVENUE 31 2
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 1841 N AVENUE 52
LOS ANGELES 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5479022009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002741

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claims that he did not receive first notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: JMAN HOLDING LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 6501 OLCOTT STREET
TUJUNGA CA 91042 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: N/A

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5480005016** / INVOICE NO: BN210002742

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property not owned at all in 2020. Purchased 2/21.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Recommend that appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: HUDELSON LEONA H AND BLAKE
MAILING ADDRESS: 5443 NORDYKE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
SITUS ADDRESS: 5443 NORDYKE ST
LOS ANGELES 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5480032009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002747

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Work was not completed until August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12
NAME: SOUFO GABRIEL AND LIN KELSEY
MAILING ADDRESS: 1432 N AVENUE 57
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
SITUS ADDRESS: 1432 N AVENUE 57
LOS ANGELES 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5485016013** / INVOICE NO: BN210002756

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner bought property in July 2020, cleared property in March 2021;

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12
NAME: BBC SAN PASCUAL LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 535 ARKELL DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 426 SAN PASCUAL AVE
LOS ANGELES 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5493032012** / INVOICE NO: BN210002761

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner did not receive any notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Recommendation to grant appeal.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12
NAME: XIE,HONG TR HONG XIE TRUST AND HO,WAIN CHEUNG
MAILING ADDRESS: 7894 ALAMEDA CREEK STREET
LAS VEGAS NV 89113 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 6750 COMET ST
LOS ANGELES 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5493036022** / INVOICE NO: BN210002762

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner lived in Nevada.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: CAUER ROBERT E LIVING TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2242 N CAHUENGA BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 7043 MACAPA DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5549008013** / INVOICE NO: BN210002772

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner cleared property in September 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: FROM THE GROUP UP ENTERPRISES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 61360
IRVINE CA 92602
SITUS ADDRESS: 2020 PARAMOUNT DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5549022017** / INVOICE NO: BN210002778

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property was cleared prior to City brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: ARGON PROPERTIES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 10436 SANTA MONICA BLVD STE 400
LOS ANGELES CA 90025
SITUS ADDRESS: 2027 N HIGHLAND AVE
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5549030001** / INVOICE NO: BN210002780

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property was cleared sufficiently prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: DIMITOVA KREMENA AND DIMITROVA GALINA AND KRASIMIR
MAILING ADDRESS: 8007 HEMET PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: 8007 HEMET PL
LOS ANGELES 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5551002004** / INVOICE NO: BN210002782

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner bought property in October 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. The fee should have been dealt with in escrow.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: YARALIAN, PIERRE AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 07811 GRANITO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90046 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5551006010** / INVOICE NO: BN210002785

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed property sufficiently cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 22, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: PARIS SHICK
MAILING ADDRESS: 5135 RUBIO AVENUE
ENCINO CA 91436 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 8164 GOULD AVE
LOS ANGELES 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5556005019** / INVOICE NO: BN210002795

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated property cleared before City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 22, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: PARIS SHICK
MAILING ADDRESS: 5135 RUBIO AVENUE
ENCINO CA 91436 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 8168 GOULD AVE
LOS ANGELES 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5556005020** / INVOICE NO: BN210002796

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 22, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: PARIS SHICK
MAILING ADDRESS: 5135 RUBIO AVENUE
ENCINO CA 91436 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 8168 1/2 West GOULD AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5556005021** / INVOICE NO: BN210002797

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property cleared in October 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: YASKIN,KYLE
MAILING ADDRESS: 1751 LAUREL CANYON BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: 1751 LAUREL CANYON BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5556006012** / INVOICE NO: BN210002800

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner lived elsewhere.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: BELLGAVE LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 23801 CALABASAS RD 2026
CALABASAS CA 91302
SITUS ADDRESS: 8201 BELLGAVE PL
LOS ANGELES 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5556007039** / INVOICE NO: BN210002804

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner was out of state and could not clear property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: BARENSFELD GLEN A
MAILING ADDRESS: 8100 LAUREL VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90069
SITUS ADDRESS: 8100 LAUREL VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5556028008** / INVOICE NO: BN210002826

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were not aware they were responsible for 33 more feet of property and had it cleared after the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: SUNSET PLAZA INVESTORS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 3131 ANTELO RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1911 North SUNSET PLAZA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5558002011** / INVOICE NO: BN210002833

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property sufficiently cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: HERZBRUN, DOUGLAS TR DOUGLAS HERZBRUN TRUST AND
SAWCHUK, RANDY M TR SAWCHUK TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1831 SUNSET PLAZA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90069
SITUS ADDRESS: 1831 SUNSET PLAZA DR
LOS ANGELES 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5558013014** / INVOICE NO: BN210002841

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated no notices were received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: CALIFORNIA DEVELOPERS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 6255
PAHRUMP NV 89041
SITUS ADDRESS: 8638 FRANKLIN AVE
LOS ANGELES 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5558020021** / INVOICE NO: BN210002846

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property cleared August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: SEP BADY
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 6255
PAHRUMP NV 89041
SITUS ADDRESS: 1618 MOUNTCREST AVE
LOS ANGELES 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5558021009** / INVOICE NO: BN210002847

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed property cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: LOONG,MAX
MAILING ADDRESS: 08617 FRANKLIN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90069
SITUS ADDRESS: 8617 FRANKLIN AVE
LOS ANGELES 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5558021012** / INVOICE NO: BN210002848

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property was cleared November 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: SEP BADY
MAILING ADDRESS: 2171 RIVER PLATE DR
PAHRUMP NV 89048
SITUS ADDRESS: 8564 FRANKLIN AVE
LOS ANGELES 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5558022007** / INVOICE NO: BN210002851

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property cleared August 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: BARRITT,JASON
MAILING ADDRESS: 08454 FRANKLIN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90069
SITUS ADDRESS: 8454 FRANKLIN AVE
LOS ANGELES 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5558026008** / INVOICE NO: BN210002855

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner believed property timely cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: KATZENSTEIN, ANDREW M TR LAH TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3540 N ANZA RD
PALM SPRINGS CA 92262
SITUS ADDRESS: 8501 HEDGES PL
LOS ANGELES 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5559023007** / INVOICE NO: BN210002865

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they sought further clarification as to the clearance requirements and completed the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 22, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: LIAN JU CO LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1300 VALLEY VISTA DRIVE STE 207
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 9272 ROBIN DR
LOS ANGELES 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5561006026** / INVOICE NO: BN210002873

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner left county due to Covid.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97
NAME: LIGORNER, DAVID TR DAVID LIGORNER TRUST AND
CORSALINI, STEPHANIE
MAILING ADDRESS: 8407 SKYLINE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: 8407 SKYLINE DR
LOS ANGELES 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5564032049** / INVOICE NO: BN210002895

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The notices went to the wrong address.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.
The address used is the address reflected on the property tax records.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97
NAME: VOROBYEV ALEXEY AND GECHMEN VALDEK EKATERINA
MAILING ADDRESS: 2182 BEECH KNOLL RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: 8383 WYNDHAM RD
LOS ANGELES 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5567007003** / INVOICE NO: BN210002913

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant moved due to COVID so notices were not received and clearance was accomplished but delayed due to rescheduling of contractors.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97
NAME: HOFF, DANIEL TR DANIEL HOFF TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2240 BEECH KNOLL RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: 8375 W WYNDHAM RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5567007004** / INVOICE NO: BN210002914

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated their contractor cleared the brush after each notice from the LAFD.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97
NAME: BEAUTER, WILLIAM L
MAILING ADDRESS: 10703 WOODBRIDGE ST
TOLUCA LAKE CA 91602
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2100 West GROVELAND DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5567011021** / INVOICE NO: BN210002921

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they used and LAFD approved contractor and were surprised to receive the notices of noncompliance and understood that the brush was cleared in a timely manner.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97
NAME: HOLLYWOOD PARK LAUREL CANYON LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 8383 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 510
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211 United States
SITUS ADDRESS: VL @ 2255 North LAUREL CANYON BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5567029030** / INVOICE NO: BN210002931

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant was delayed in hiring a contractor due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: 599 CANON LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 10531 ASHTON AVENUE PH 301
LOS ANGELES CA 90024 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 7842 ELECTRA DR
LOS ANGELES 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5569011061** / INVOICE NO: BN210002940

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated no notices received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: January 9, 2021.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. The address used is the address from the property tax rolls.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 10, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: AVINOAM LERNER AND HEIDI J MARKET
MAILING ADDRESS: 6423 WILSHIRE BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90048 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1884 LAUREL CANYON BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5569034016** / INVOICE NO: BN210002955

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner unaware that certain plants must be cleared because they are brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 4, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: SOARES LAURA
MAILING ADDRESS: 7119 W SUNSET BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1914 LAUREL CANYON BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5569036003** / INVOICE NO: BN210002958

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they have a permit to demolish the building on the property and the contractor was to remove all vegetation and stated that they did so on the day of the inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 17, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: QUIET STORM 2755 LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 8383 WILSHIRE BLVD UNIT 740
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211
SITUS ADDRESS: 2745 OUTPOST DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5572002007** / INVOICE NO: BN210002971

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the property has been divided and the brush was cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: LAM, WINNIE
MAILING ADDRESS: 11930 WOODBINE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90066
SITUS ADDRESS: 2430 CARMAN CREST DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5572009010** / INVOICE NO: BN210002974

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they had difficulty accessing the property but cleared it when they did get access. Appellant states they did not get a second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: KARNS,JOHN H AND JULIE S TRS KARNS FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1225 W 190TH ST # 455I
GARDENA CA 90248
SITUS ADDRESS: 7272 OUTPOST COVE DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5572022007** / INVOICE NO: BN210002979

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they made a good faith effort to comply but had difficulty registering on the LAFD website to know what additional work needed to be done, and completed the work once they got access.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 27
NAME: BLACK WHALE PROPERTIES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 956 MIRAFLORES
SAN PEDRO CA 90731
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5576006033** / INVOICE NO: BN210002987

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was completed before September 2020 and provided photos.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 27
NAME: KHAN, ABDUL R AND RAFAT TRS ABDUL AND RAFAT KHAN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 6400 DEEP DELL PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6401 West LA ROCHA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5576007027** / INVOICE NO: BN210002989

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant was not aware they were responsible to clear the adjacent public right of way but cleared it once they understood their responsibility.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 8, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: GONG,YUBO
MAILING ADDRESS: 05639 ROSINWEED LN
NAPERVILLE IL 60564
SITUS ADDRESS: 6432 QUEBEC DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5576010023** / INVOICE NO: BN210002993

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they live aboard, which caused some delay in getting their contractor to complete the work, however, it was completed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: LENORE WONG
MAILING ADDRESS: 1944 KINNELOA CANYON RD
PASADENA CA 91107
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3530 North NORTH KNOLL DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5577004015** / INVOICE NO: BN210002997

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they had cleared their property but their neighbor was illegally dumping brush onto it and they reported it to LAPD.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant submitted evidence supporting their contentions including correspondence with the LAPD for verification. In the interests of justice, it is recommended that Appellant's appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 27
NAME: SHINGLES, MARCUS TR MARCUS SHINGLES TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 12684 MILLENNIUM DR
PLAYA VISTA CA 90094
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6477 West DEEP DELL PLACE
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5577032007** / INVOICE NO: BN210003007

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were unable to complete the clearance before the notices of noncompliance were sent due to COVID and the inability to get a gardener at the time.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 23, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: CHANG, KENNETH K AND JULIE TRS KENNETH K AND JULIE CHAN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3127 ARROWHEAD DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3127 ARROWHEAD DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5577036029** / INVOICE NO: BN210003021

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the property after each notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 12, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: 3374 BLAIR LLC C/O ADI COHEN MANAGER
MAILING ADDRESS: 7525 ETHEL AVE STE K
NORTH HOLLYWOOD CA 91605
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3370 North BLAIRS CREST
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5579011015** / INVOICE NO: BN210003027

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they received no notices and that the property is always well maintained and clear of hazards.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: BARHAM GROUP LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 15260 VENTURA BLVD 21ST FLOOR
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403 United States
SITUS ADDRESS: 3406 N BARHAM BLVD
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5579021028** / INVOICE NO: BN210003031

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the clearance was completed on December 7, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 25, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76
NAME: CELENTANO, THOMAS
MAILING ADDRESS: 00546 W COLORADO ST UNIT 202
GLENDAL CA 91204
SITUS ADDRESS: 3324 N KNOLL DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5579032008** / INVOICE NO: BN210003037

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they had a contractor clear the property in April and again in June after receiving their first notice. At that time, Appellant stated they observed illegally dumped debris and brush on their property and a later time as well, but they continued to clear it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 10, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: SRODA, MATTHEW E AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 02659 HOLLYRIDGE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 2659 HOLLYRIDGE DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5580006029** / INVOICE NO: BN210003045

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the previous owner never cleared the brush and they have since cleared it and replaced a broken wood fence.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 22, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: BEAMS,DONALD
MAILING ADDRESS: 02736 HOLLYRIDGE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 2736 HOLLYRIDGE DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5580014021** / INVOICE NO: BN210003052

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they moved out of state due to COVID so they were delayed in receiving notices, however, they hired a contractor to do the work once the notices were received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 22, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: WASHINGTON,HAYMA TR HAYMA WASHINGTON TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2740 HOLLYRIDGE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 2748 North HOLLYRIDGE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5580016004** / INVOICE NO: BN210003054

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they have cleared the property for 27 years but were impacted by COVID this year and hired a gardener to complete the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 22, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: SQUIRES, SHARON G AND TODD
MAILING ADDRESS: 02840 LAMBERT DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 2840 LAMBERT DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5580018034** / INVOICE NO: BN210003058

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they have cleared the property for years and understand what was expected.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: BYG,ROBERT N TR ROBERT N BYG TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 4381 W FLAMINGO RD # 27302
LAS VEGAS NV 89103
SITUS ADDRESS: 5873 LOCKSLEY PL
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5580019028** / INVOICE NO: BN210003060

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they addressed each issue they received notices for but were unclear on what else to do. They reached out to LAFD without a response and would like to talk to someone.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 22, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: DSH REAL ESTATE HOLDING LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 02340 N VERMONT AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 6111 MULHOLLAND HWY
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5581015014** / INVOICE NO: BN210003068

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated their gardener takes care of the brush clearance on a yearly basis and address the issues stated in the notices of noncompliance but was somewhat delayed because of COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: DRUZ,RICHARD AND JACQUEILNE P
MAILING ADDRESS: 06446 RODGERTON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 6446 RODGERTON PLACE
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5582020023** / INVOICE NO: BN210003097

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were delayed in Brazil due to COVID and their property was rented and they didn't receive the notices until they returned.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: PARKER ELIZABETH L AND SARAH M
MAILING ADDRESS: 6384 RODGERTON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 6384 RODGERTON DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5582021002** / INVOICE NO: BN210003098

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated the purchased the property in October 2020 and did not receive any prior notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The record supports Appellant's registration as new owners. In the interests of justice, it is recommended that the appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: MASLON,JAMES H TR JAMES H MASLON FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2062 IVAR AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 6210 ROCKCLIFF DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5582023006** / INVOICE NO: BN210003100

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Property cleared in October 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: DIANA CHEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 17008 COLIMA ROAD # 17
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6331 West LOGANDALE DR
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5585001014** / INVOICE NO: BN210003112

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant admitted they were late in clearing the brush but completed the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: DIANA CHEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 17008 COLIMA ROAD #17
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5585001016** / INVOICE NO: BN210003113

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant admitted they were late in clearing the brush but completed the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: DIANA CHEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 6138 FRANKLIN AVENUE
LOS ANGELES CA 90028 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2825 North HARGRAVE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5585001022** / INVOICE NO: BN210003117

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says the property in violation was not hers, showing photos and video and an parcel map. It is unclear whether the first inspector was viewing all of the brush on Appellant's property. The second inspector was confirmed to be on Appellant's property from the evidence and a Notice of Noncompliance was issued.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The appeal has been granted. It is unclear if the first inspector was on the correct property. Three properties meet at a point and the inspector would need to have clearer documentation to prove location with just one photo. The inspector at the hearing agreed that it was not clear but said later notices were accurately located.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: DIANA CHEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 17008 COLIMA ROAD #17
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2745 North HARGRAVE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5585002011** / INVOICE NO: BN210003120

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant admitted they were late in clearing the brush but completed the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: ANTHONY S SHAWKAT
MAILING ADDRESS: 51 CLANCY LANE ESTS
RANCHO MIRAGE CA 92270 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 6175 West GLEN OAK BLVD
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5585005035** / INVOICE NO: BN210003128

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush and emailed proof of their work to the LAFD.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 29, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: DIANA CHEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 17008 COLIMA ROAD #17
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2675 North HARGRAVE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5585012009** / INVOICE NO: BN210003133

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant admitted they were late in clearing the brush but completed the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 1, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: DIANA CHEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 17008 COLIMA ROAD #17
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 2701 North Hargrave Dr
hollywood 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5585012010** / INVOICE NO: BN210003134

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant admitted they were late in clearing the brush but completed the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: DIANA CHEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 6138 FRANKLIN AVENUE
LOS ANGELES CA 90028 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6300 West LOGANDALE DR
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5585012011** / INVOICE NO: BN210003135

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says that Inspector got property location wrong. Appellant also argues that their contractor cleared the property. After extensive review of testimony, photos, maps, and video, it appears to be the correct property. Despite Appellant's brush clearance photos, dated after the 2nd Violation, the Fire Department's photos suggest full compliance was still lacking.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: DIANA CHEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 17008 COLIMA ROAD #17
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6303 West LOGANDALE DR
STUDIO CITY CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5585012014** / INVOICE NO: BN210003136

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant says that Inspector got property location wrong. Appellant also argues that their contractor cleared the property. After extensive review of testimony, photos, maps, and video, it appears to be the correct property. Despite Appellant's brush clearance photos, dated after the 2nd Violation, the Fire Department's photos suggest full compliance was still lacking.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The Fire Department showed that due process was afforded to the Appellant because all notices were sent as legally required. No mail was returned.

The record shows the Fire Inspector visited the property and found hazardous vegetation that by reason of proximity to a structure constituted a fire hazard. A Notice of Noncompliance and Second Notice of Noncompliance were issued because of the fire hazard.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: HANELIN, HELGA TR ET AL HANELIN TRUST AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 00117 FOXTAIL DR
SANTA MONICA CA 90402
SITUS ADDRESS: 2261 CHEREMOYA AVE
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5586010013** / INVOICE NO: BN210003151

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that no first notice was received and that the brush was cleared upon receipt of the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: ERIC ROTH
MAILING ADDRESS: 4936 FINLEY AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 5930 MANOLA WAY
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5586019019** / INVOICE NO: BN210003153

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they always maintain their property but there was some delay in clearing the brush in 2020 due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: OREN LANG
MAILING ADDRESS: 12750 RAYMER ST 1
NORTH HOLLYWOOD CA 91605
SITUS ADDRESS: 5636 TUXEDO TER
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5587002035** / INVOICE NO: BN210003155

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they did not occupy the property due to COVID and thus did not receive any notices. Further, that their gardener was delayed in clearing the property due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: SHIN,KYUNG SOOK AND HAN GON
MAILING ADDRESS: 05688 SPREADING OAK DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 5688 SPREADING OAK DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5587003002** / INVOICE NO: BN210003156

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they never received any warning and had to verify the notices they did receive. Appellant further stated that their gardener cleared the hazard and asks for a waiver.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82
NAME: GODZIK, CATHLEEN A TR GODZIK TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1245 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 400
LOS ANGELES CA 90017
SITUS ADDRESS: 2061 MORGAN HILL DR
LOS ANGELES 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5587014036** / INVOICE NO: BN210003163

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner was out of state.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35
NAME: GLENDOWER ESTATE INCORPORATED
MAILING ADDRESS: 02856 GLENDOWER AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 2834 GLENDOWER AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5588011004** / INVOICE NO: BN210003170

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that they cleared the brush but there was a delay in the inspections and it grew back and they had it cleared again after a notice of noncompliance. They feel it is unfair to be fined after having it cleared twice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35
NAME: RASO,JOSEPH
MAILING ADDRESS: 2814 GLENDOWER AVENUE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: N/A
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5588011005** / INVOICE NO: BN210003171

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not receive a first notice and that they clear the brush every year and did so again after getting a notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35
NAME: CASTLE 1996 TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2231 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 2231 N NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5588016019** / INVOICE NO: BN210003174

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant does not believe he received the first notice and stated that he cleared the brush after receiving the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35
NAME: BARSEGHIAN, HARRY AND ALVARO TRS BARSEGHIAN FAMILY TRUST
AND BARSEGHIAN, A TR YERANIK TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 4826 BONVUE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 4462 DUNDEE DR
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5588032024** / INVOICE NO: BN210003176

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that the brush was cleared by two of the owner's workers and the gardener.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35
NAME: ASARO KATHLEEN FOY
MAILING ADDRESS: 4253 RUSSELL AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 4253 RUSSELL AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5591022026** / INVOICE NO: BN210003182

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they never received any notices and doesn't understand why they continue to receive bills after the LAFD cleared the brush last year and destroyed her native plants.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 17, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: ROSENTHAL ELAN AND SYMONDS MEREDITH
MAILING ADDRESS: 3755 SHANNON RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 3755 SHANNON RD
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5592011039** / INVOICE NO: BN210003192

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated that it was unclear from the photos whether the hazards were on their property or their neighbor's.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: December 11, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: SPIEGEL, ADAM H
MAILING ADDRESS: 0 PO BOX 5623
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90209
SITUS ADDRESS: 4078 FARMOUTH DR
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5592018026** / INVOICE NO: BN210003194

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated their gardener completed the brush clearance in July 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56
NAME: DAVIS STEPHANIE A AND SLOCOMBE BENJAMIN W
MAILING ADDRESS: 2307 WAYNE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 2307 WAYNE AVE
LOS ANGELES 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5592024021** / INVOICE NO: BN210003196

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed property was cleared prior to City clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: RUIZ, MARTIN JR CO TR M RUIZ JR AND C MARTINEZ TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 302 INDIANA AVE
CLAREMONT CA 91711 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 1959 West ESTES RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5671005018** / INVOICE NO: BN210003203

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they never received a first notice of noncompliance. Appellant also provided an email dated 7/30/202 from the LAFD stating that penalties would be waived if they cleared the brush. Appellant did not say when the brush clearance was completed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Photos show the brush clearance was not completed by the second inspection date on August 25, 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty but covers the cost of inspections.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: GETAZ,CHRISTOPHER P AND CRISTIANE C
MAILING ADDRESS: 02101 ESTES RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90041
SITUS ADDRESS: 2101 ESTES RD
LOS ANGELES 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5671005020** / INVOICE NO: BN210003205

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they did not receive a first notice and claim financial hardship due to COVID.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: ANTOINETTE T DECKER
MAILING ADDRESS: 1252 SCENIC DR
GLENDALE CA 91205
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4409 North SUNNYCREST DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5678029015** / INVOICE NO: BN210003209

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states the parcel map shows the property and adjacent property as one, and therefore they should only be charged for one.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: MACARAIG,DONATO S AND MILAGROS B
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 986
CATHEDRAL CITY CA 92235 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ West PALMER DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5683017009** / INVOICE NO: BN210003212

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner claimed that they did not receive notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. The property address used is the address on the property tax bills.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: MACARAIG,DONATO S AND MILAGROS B
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 986
CATHEDRAL CITY CA 92235
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4718 North ROUNDTOP DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5683017025** / INVOICE NO: BN210003213

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated they did not receive notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. The address used is the address found on the property tax records.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: MACARAIG,DONATO S AND MILAGROS B
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 986
CATHEDRAL CITY CA 92235
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 2917 West PALMER DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5683017026** / INVOICE NO: BN210003214

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Owner stated they did not receive notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of reinspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the brush clearance work had not been completed.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, giving the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector examined the property for re-inspection the property was still in non-compliance. The assessment fee automatically attached. The address used is the address on the property tax bill.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: SEGUNDO GONZALEZ
MAILING ADDRESS: 2761 FYLER PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 2761 FYLER PL
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5683019009** / INVOICE NO: BN210003215

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they are elderly and on a fixed income and it took time to get quotes to cut down the tree. They do not recall getting a second notice. They ask for forgiveness.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: HIRX,JOHN W
MAILING ADDRESS: 04338 WAWONA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90065 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4338 WAWONA ST
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5684019002** / INVOICE NO: BN210003217

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 22, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: CASTRO,RAUL J TR RAUL J CASTRO TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 35 WOODLYN LN
BRADBURY CA 91008 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 2731 FYLER PL
LOS ANGELES 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5684021013** / INVOICE NO: BN210003219

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush as required after receiving both notices of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 21, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: CARMEL, CHARLOTTE AND BURCHELL, AMY C/O WILLIAM BURCHELL
MAILING ADDRESS: 1232 STAFFORD CRES
WEBSTER NY 14580
SITUS ADDRESS: 1611 SILVER OAK TER
LOS ANGELES 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5689031029** / INVOICE NO: BN210003223

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they hired a contractor to clear the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: MCNEELY ERIC AND LAUSTEN ROSALINDA S
MAILING ADDRESS: 1246 BLUE HILL RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90041 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1246 BLUE HILL RD
LOS ANGELES 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5691014035** / INVOICE NO: BN210003228

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they hired a contractor and cleared all hazards after the first notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: TERRY HERRERA
MAILING ADDRESS: 4170 TOLAND WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: Vacant Lot Adjacent to 1275 Cedaredge Ave
Los Angeles CA 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5691014040** / INVOICE NO: BN210003229

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they paid a contractor to clear the brush and listed a number of hardships that occurred prior to the brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: TERRY HERRERA
MAILING ADDRESS: 4170 TOLAND WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: Vacant Lot adjacent to 1255 Cedaredge Ave
Los Angeles CA 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5691014041** / INVOICE NO: BN210003230

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they paid a contractor to clear the brush and have experienced a number of hardships and ask forgiveness.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: HIJAZI, MAHMOUD M AND NANA A
MAILING ADDRESS: 01221 DOROTHY DR
GLENDAL CA 91202
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 7676 North FIGEROA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5708003001** / INVOICE NO: BN210003234

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they could not find a gardener to do the work but that it was completed June 2, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation as of July 20, 2020.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: HIJAZI, MAHMOUD M AND NANA A
MAILING ADDRESS: 01221 DOROTHY DR
GLENDALE CA 91202
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 7700 North FIGEROA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5708003002** / INVOICE NO: BN210003235

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were unable to find a gardener to do the work until June.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation on July 20, 2020.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: HIJAZI, MAHMOUD M AND NANA A
MAILING ADDRESS: 01221 DOROTHY DR
GLENDALE CA 91202
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 7664 North FIGEROA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90041
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5708003003** / INVOICE NO: BN210003236

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were unable to find a gardener to do the work until June 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation on July 20, 2020.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: ANNANDALE TERRACE APARTMENTS INVESTORS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1010 E UNION ST STE 100
PASADENA CA 91106
SITUS ADDRESS: 79 PATRICIAN WAY
LOS ANGELES 91105
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5708007021** / INVOICE NO: BN210003237

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they hired a contractor and cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42
NAME: THURMAN CASEY T AND BAKER KATHERINE M
MAILING ADDRESS: 1427 GLENGARRY RD
PASADENA CA 91105
SITUS ADDRESS: 1427 GLENGARRY RD
PASADENA 91105
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5709003048** / INVOICE NO: BN210003239

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were told to contact LAFD when the brush was cleared and they did but didn't hear back.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: July 28, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12
NAME: KIM FAMILY LIVING TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 13840 CANOE BROOK DR 6K
SEAL BEACH CA 90740
SITUS ADDRESS: 1018 ARATINA ST
LOS ANGELES 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5716020019** / INVOICE NO: BN210003244

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they were delayed in hiring a contractor by COVID and the inspector did not knock.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: November 22, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 85
NAME: VALLES, PEDRO SR AND ALEJANDRINA
MAILING ADDRESS: 01445 W I ST
WILMINGTON CA 90744
SITUS ADDRESS: 1445 W I ST
WILMINGTON 90744
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **7412016006** / INVOICE NO: BN210003245

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they would like to talk to an inspector.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 8, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 101
NAME: BOGLE, JAMES E AND DEBRA A
MAILING ADDRESS: 01535 CRAIG PL
SAN PEDRO CA 90732
SITUS ADDRESS: 1535 CRAIG PL
LOS ANGELES 90732
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **7559037019** / INVOICE NO: BN210003250

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they are a disabled veteran who can't do the clearance themselves and that it is a steep slope that they couldn't get their gardener to do until late in the summer.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 20, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 101
NAME: SAN PEDRO LODGE NO 966 B AND P ORDER OF ELKS
MAILING ADDRESS: 01748 CUMBRE DR
SAN PEDRO CA 90732
SITUS ADDRESS: 1748 CUMBRE DR
LOS ANGELES 90732
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **7560028001** / INVOICE NO: BN210003254

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they rented equipment and cleared the brush themselves as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 3, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: September 8, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 101
NAME: KRISHNASWAMY, SUDHIR
MAILING ADDRESS: 26352 DUNWOOD RD
ROLLING HILLS ESTATES CA 90274
SITUS ADDRESS: 1674 MORSE DR
LOS ANGELES 90732
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **7562012006** / INVOICE NO: BN210003256

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush and were unclear what else needed to be done as they could not get a hold of LAFD.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 101
NAME: VARGAS,WALTER H
MAILING ADDRESS: 01666 MORSE DR
SAN PEDRO CA 90732
SITUS ADDRESS: 1666 MORSE DR
LOS ANGELES 90732
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **7562012007** / INVOICE NO: BN210003257

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant was not aware their property extended to the street and cleared the brush after discovering they did.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**

2020 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: June 1, 2021 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 101
NAME: VIOLET KRASOVEC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1652 MORSE DR
SAN PEDRO CA 90732
SITUS ADDRESS: 1652 MORSE DR
LOS ANGELES 90732
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **7562012009** / INVOICE NO: BN210003258

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant stated they cleared the brush after each notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 18, 2020.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2020.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the **\$516.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Dated and geo-located photos in the record show the hazards were present at the time of reinspection for the year 2020. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete. Property owners can view their property conditions via the website below.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible for notifying the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Property owners are responsible for knowing their property boundaries. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

Total assessment due is **\$516.00**