

RALPH M. TERRAZAS JEIRE CHIEF

October 29, 2020

BOARD OF FIRE COMMISSIONERS FILE NO. 20-102

TO:

Board of Fire Commissioners

FROM: Ralph M. Terrazas, Fire Chief

SUBJECT:

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE 2019

NONCOMPLIANCE FEE APPEALS

FOR FINAL ACTION:	Approved	Approved w/Corrections Received & Filed	Withdrawn

SUMMARY

The process in which the Fire Department enforces the Fire Code is through the inspection process. Properties or businesses found not in compliance with the Fire Code during the initial inspection, a notice of noncompliance is issued indicating the violations found during the inspection.

A follow-up inspection is conducted and if the nuisance has not been abated, then a second notice is issued. At this point, the property owner is subjected to a Noncompliance fee. Each property/business owner is given an opportunity to challenge the imposed Noncompliance fee by completing a questionnaire and submitting it to the Fire Department.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Board:

- 1. Approve the hearing officers "Proposed Decision and Recommendations." (Attachment 1)
- 2. Direct the Fire Department to mail the notification letter, "Granted" (Attachment 2) or "Denied" (Attachment 3), to the property/business owner regarding the Board of Fire Commissioners findings, conclusion and proposed assessment.
- 3. Direct the Fire Department to complete all accounting transactions.

4. Direct the Department to forward the unpaid Noncompliance Inspection Fee to the Los Angeles County Tax Collector for placement on the Los Angeles County 2020 Property Tax rolls.

FISCAL IMPACT

The Noncompliance Assessment Fee of \$508.00 is assessed once a property owner fails to comply by their scheduled due date or re-inspection. For the 2019 Brush Clearance Season, 538 appeal requests were received from property owners electing to appeal their assessment, 38 appeals were granted.

The total amount of Noncompliance Assessment Fees revenue is \$254,000.00.

DISCUSSION

This year the Fire Department received 538 completed questionnaires from property owners. The Board of Fire Commissioners designees have reviewed the questionnaires and have prepared the "Proposed Decision and Recommendation." The Board of Fire Commissioners has the authority to modify or rescind the fees, or impose and require the owner to pay the fee. In regards to the Noncompliance Fee Appeals, the Board of Fire Commissioners' determination is final.

CONCLUSION

Upon approval of this report by the Board of Fire Commissioners, the 2019 Noncompliance Inspection Fee Appeals will be completed. Any unpaid invoices can be forwarded to the Los Angeles County Tax Collector for placement on the 2020 Property Tax rolls.

Board report was prepared by Bryan R. Nassour, Captain I, Brush Clearance Unit.

Attachments:

Attachment 1: Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Attachment 2: Notification Letter - "Granted"
Attachment 3: Notification Letter - "Denied"

Table of contents:

APN	Owner Name	Council District	Page No
2017021004	KLAYMAN,DAVID A	FS 106	1
2020028041	FARKHONDEH,SHAHRIAR AND BAHRAMSHAHI,PARISA	FS 106	2
2027010031	MICHAELS,TAMMY L AND	FS 106	3
2027015008	SHAHSAVARI KAMRAN (TE)	FS 106	4
2032004024	MCSPEDON,EDWARD AND JOANN C	FS 105	5
2032007001	SARA B WOLVEK	FS 105	6
2032009013	DOUGLAS E SOBEL	FS 105	7
2032022022	SHMULIK PELER	FS 105	8
2074005015	BECKER LIEB, JOANN F TR LIEB FAMILY TRUST	FS 105	9
2074027037	ABS SINAI LIVING TRUST	FS 105	10
2075037001	SAMPSON,KENNETH AND DEY	FS 105	11
2076002042	SKILLMAN,AARON R AND MONG T	FS 105	12
2076016039	DUKKER ALEXANDER AND BAINIAKSHINA MARINA	FS 84	13
2149014026	HAZAN,LORETTA L	FS 84	14
2151009008	GIRALDO LUDWING D AND MELODY	FS 84	15
2163023014	NAIM,YONATAN TR YONA NAIM TRUST	FS 93	16
2166037005	ROBERT M KAY	FS 84	17
2168005009	ELIAS BROUMANDI	FS 84	18
2168005048	ELIAS BROUMANDI	FS 84	19
2168005049	C/O NATHAN LAVIAN	FS 84	20
2168008033	STEPHEN A JOB	FS 84	21
2170001010	NOSRATI,ALIREZA	FS 84	22
2170001012	NOSRATI,ALIREZA	FS 84	23
2170001056	MAZROUEE,BITA	FS 84	24
2171018071	JADIDOLAHI,MAHNAZ	FS 84	25
2172026026	BUTLER,HUGH M AND RHONDA F	FS 84	26
2174025017	DEL RAE L FREEMAN	FS 84	27
2175008005	DON E DJOMEH	FS 93	28
2175008019	BACHSIAN,JOHN AND COHEN,MEIRAV	FS 93	29
2175008020	BACHSIAN,JOHN AND COHEN,MEIRAV	FS 93	30
2175012026	LAC INVESTMENTS LLC	FS 93	31
2175027016	TAL,MICHELE	FS 84	32
2176025005	KEVIN COHEN	FS 93	33
2176026024	LAHAV GUY AND DAFNA	FS 93	34
2176026025	18807 EDLEEN DR LLC I NONAHAL AND N NONAHAL	FS 93	35
2176026026	OFIR KROVKOVSKI	FS 93	36
2177003018	MILANI HOMAN	FS 93	37
2178022013	MEHRAN YAGHOUBZAR	FS 93	38

			
2178029013	CSIZMADIA,RITA	FS 93	39
2182026012	NASSER MELAMED	FS 83	40
2184007013	JAVAHERIFAR,MANSOUR	FS 93	41
2184009014	PANDE PROPERTIES LLC	FS 93	42
2184015007	POLI,PAUL S	FS 93	43
2184038025	ELVA J CIMARUSTI	FS 93	44
2190004025	RIYAZATI,ARMAN	FS 84	45
2190004026	RIYAZATI,ARMAN	FS 84	46
2190014014	WATKINS LAURA C AND WHITESIDE DAVID R	FS 84	47
2190015029	DESILVA,EDITHA CO TR BABA TRUST	FS 84	48
2190015030	DESILVA,EDITHA CO TR BABA TRUST	FS 84	49
2274013013	STEVEN S SADD	FS 99	50
2274013017	TERI DROST	FS 99	51
2274015030	RUIZ,AMANDA TR AMANDA RUIZ TRUST	FS 99	52
2274019028	ARTHUR CLAYBON AND WAI YI CHAN	FS 78	53
2274022003	LYNN A WILLIAMS IV	FS 99	54
2279032035	SHAWN S SHAYFER	FS 88	55
2281019001	SAVAGE L M & J F FAMILY TRUST	FS 88	56
2285011010	KLAVER,JOSEPH AND GALINA	FS 88	57
2285012011	JEFFREY COWAN	FS 88	58
2285013021	NOSRATI,RAMA TR MAYORS TRUST	FS 88	59
2287006023	KOUYOUMJIAN VARANT	FS 109	60
2287008016	ABDELNOUR SHADI AND LAMA N	FS 109	61
2287009050	KLAPPER,ROBERT C AND ELLEN B TRS ROBERT AND ELLEN KLAPPER TRUST	FS 109	62
2289030007	MUNJACK,JEFFREY D TR JDM TRUST	FS 83	63
2291010033	ALEXIS M GEVORGIAN	FS 83	64
2292007002	MONDA R MORAMI	FS 83	65
2378002007	YAVROUIAN,ERIC	FS 86	66
2378032020	RAKSIN,ALEX	FS 86	67
2381031001	PHOENIX,SUMMER TR LIBERTY TRUST	FS 97	68
2384015028	3701 ALTA MESA LLC	FS 78	69
2384016024	KATHLEEN M PERKINS	FS 78	70
2385023030	CE PARTNERS LLC	FS 108	71
2385029008	SACCHI, JOHN CO TR SACCHI FRITZ FAMILY TRUST	FS 78	72
2386031015	LILY VOXUAN	FS 78	73
2401025011	CHA,EUN SUG TR EUN SUG CHA TRUST	FS 77	74
2401025012	CHA,EUN SUG TR EUN SUG CHA TRUST	FS 77	75
2403007039	KILBRIDE, SHARON M TR GEORGE G KILBRIDE DECD TRUST	FS 77	76
2403008022	CONTRERAS, PHILIP C AND LETICIA R	FS 77	77

2403009041	ZEYNALVAND,ROBERT AND KHACHATOURIAN,HERMANA	FS 77	78
2403019033	POSTIK TALIN	FS 77	79
2403020007	VICTOR FRENDT	FS 77	80
2403020018	KHODAGHOLIAN,SHAHIN AND DARABEDIAN,VALENTEN	FS 77	81
2404005002	TCT LIVING TRUST	FS 77	82
2404010026	MKHITARYAN,GAGIK	FS 77	83
2404030014	TRAINOR, JO ANNE TR JO ANNE TRAINOR TRUST	FS 77	84
2425002027	WEINSTEIN,DONALD B AND CHERYL L	FS 76	85
2425009026	NORA GIRALT	FS 76	86
2425017006	AVICASIS,YOAV	FS 76	87
2425020025	3327 IONE LLC	FS 76	88
2425029024	KONSKI,JOSI AND ETHY C TRS KONSKI FAMILY TRUST	FS 76	89
2428004009	BEHNAZ NONAHAL	FS 76	90
2428004043	NONAHAL BEHNAZ	FS 76	91
2428004044	NONAHAL BEHNAZ	FS 76	92
2428010013	STEPHEN T HEAD	FS 76	93
2428022019	BEHNAZ NONAHAL	FS 76	94
2428022020	BEHNAZ NONAHAL	FS 76	95
2428027018	BAEZ HUGO A	FS 76	96
2428028026	SKRBIC,LOU	FS 76	97
2428028032	SKRBIC,LJUBO	FS 76	98
2428028034	REINHARD J LICHTER	FS 76	99
2428028037	SKRBIC,LOU	FS 76	100
2429012007	MUSTAFA ANDAR	FS 76	101
2429018004	SAMIR BERTEWA	FS 76	102
2511021009	FRATICELLI GIAMPAOLO	FS 91	103
2511032039	BAUM,LARRY S AND JAVAKHISHVILI BAUM,MANANA	FS 91	104
2525016055	RICHARD P ORTEGA	FS 91	105
2528036005	HOLY TRINITY ROMANIAN CHURCH CORP	FS 24	106
2529001042	JOHN M MARSHALL	FS 24	107
2529017014	STANFORD T CHAPMAN	FS 24	108
2529020033	INIGUEZ,JOSE AND SERRANO,NANCIE	FS 24	109
2529025016	DOUGLAS HINES	FS 24	110
2543006006	DAVID ELSON	FS 24	111
2543008030	GALOUSTIAN HENRY	FS 24	112
2543010043	MUSAKHANYAN,ARMAN	FS 24	113
2543015067	DAVID HARTSON	FS 24	114
2543016037	TAKUI FILIKYAN	FS 24	115
2543021002	DAVID P DEVRIES	FS 24	116

	T	T	T
2543023019	STEVEN STRUTHERS	FS 24	117
2543034010	EMERSON, WILLIAM K TR WILLIAM K EMERSON TRUST	FS 24	118
2543034011	WILLIAM K EMERSON	FS 24	119
2543034012	EMERSON, WILLIAM K TR WILLIAM K EMERSON TRUST	FS 24	120
2543034021	EMERSON, WILLIAM K TR WILLIAM K EMERSON TRUST	FS 24	121
2544005021	BERGMAN,CHRISTINA J TR BERGMAN FAMILY TRUST	FS 77	122
2544009007	GHALILI,SHAHRAM	FS 77	123
2544026011	LOPEZ,RUBEN JR	FS 77	124
2545006018	LEIVA,PEDRO AND EDITH	FS 24	125
2545008006	MICHAEL PATRICK NILSEN	FS 24	126
2545014014	ZARGARYAN,RAYMOND TR RAYMOND ZARGARYAN TRUST	FS 24	127
2545014024	RAYMOND ZARGARYAN TR	FS 24	128
2547003015	STEPHANIE L MINES	FS 24	129
2547003016	DESANTIS,JOY E TR JOY E DESANTIS TRUST	FS 24	130
2547030003	OH,JIN SOOK TR JIN SOOK OH TRUST	FS 24	131
2547031007	TAMAZYAN,SAMUEL AND LARISA	FS 24	132
2549006012	GEORGE J GAMBLE	FS 24	133
2549006016	GAMBLE, JACQUELYN AND GEORGE	FS 24	134
2549012002	SIRIKINGKAEW,SAHARATA AND SIRIKING,NIDA	FS 24	135
2549012005	AKOPIAN,PAUL P AND JENIK TRS AKOPIAN FAMILY TRUST	FS 24	136
2549017013	GARABEDIAN ANNIE	FS 24	137
2549020020	SZABO,TAMAS AND	FS 24	138
2549025016	BRUTE TRUST	FS 24	139
2551011007	LILIAN PINHO	FS 24	140
2551019006	KIRAKOSYAN,SUSANNA	FS 74	141
2552021009	MARDIROSIAN HAYASTAN A (TE)	FS 74	142
2552040021	ROZIK BAGHRAMIAN	FS 74	143
2553007020	DILANCHYAN RAYMOND AND AGHAYAN POULET	FS 74	144
2553007021	LIDA ARMEN	FS 74	145
2553009012	MIN JU JUNG	FS 74	146
2553009013	MIN JU JUNG	FS 74	147
2553009014	MIN JU JUNG	FS 74	148
2553009016	MIN JU JUNG	FS 74	149
2559014022	AGUILAR,ADRIAN AND	FS 74	150
2563038022	REZAEI,FARIDEH	FS 74	151
2564032001	KHANJIAN GARBIS AND TINA	FS 74	152
2569014035	BEGLARYAN,HRACH	FS 74	153
2569014036	BEGLARYAN,HRACH	FS 74	154
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	

2569019038	BALAH,ROSTYSLAV	FS 74	156
2569024047	MEHRABI,M REZA AND STERN,RACHEL D	FS 74	157
2572032025	HENRIK GREGORIAN	FS 74	158
2582013009	PEREZ,ARMANDO O AND	FS 91	159
2582018006	RUIZ 2017 FAMILY TRUST	FS 91	160
2582018013	ELVIRA VALENZUELA	FS 91	161
2582018036	DELLA R DAVID	FS 91	162
2582019045	DUNG P LE	FS 91	163
2582021003	LISBERG EUNICE S LIVING TRUST	FS 91	164
2582024001	MANRIQUEZ,JULIAN R AND NADINE M	FS 91	165
2582027048	PEREZ RIGOBERTO AND PAREDES MARISSA	FS 91	166
2582030026	NEREIDA A ORTEGA	FS 91	167
2582031056	WOODY,DARRELL AND CHERRY	FS 91	168
2601003016	WORLD STATUS LLC	FS 91	169
2601008010	PSYCHOLOGICAL CORP	FS 18	170
2601009019	PSYCHOLOGICAL CORP	FS 18	171
2601023065	ARUTYUNYAN,SAKO AND MARGARIT TRS ARUTYUNYAN FAMILY TRUST	FS 18	172
2601027034	NALBANDIAN,ARAM AND MARGARIT	FS 18	173
2601032045	MEMON ASMA M	FS 18	174
2601038056	EDWARD F MCGONAGLE	FS 18	175
2601040073	BILLOO NAEEM	FS 18	176
2601052043	PAUL ZEROUNIAN	FS 18	177
2601058001	TRACE, JAMES L JR TR JAMES L TRACE JR TRUST	FS 18	178
2601058013	CIFUENTES,ANGEL A AND	FS 18	179
2601077002	DESERT CANDLE LP	FS 18	180
2601077009	DESERT CANDLE LP	FS 18	181
2603003005	DE LA MARE ENGINEERING INC	FS 91	182
2603022026	MICHAEL ROTSTEN	FS 91	183
2603024024	HERRERA,JUAN	FS 91	184
2606028036	CHOPRA,BALRAJ AND NEELAM TRS CHOPRA FAMILY TRUST	FS 18	185
2608029046	TOROSYAN,MARTIROS M	FS 18	186
2706006002	KEMMER,BRANDON L AND NICOLE L AND KEMMER,GARRY L AND MARY A	FS 107	187
2707002001	KUMAR,NARINDER AND NANDINI	FS 107	188
2707002015	ARORA, SUDESH K AND CHITRA TRS ARORA TRUST	FS 107	189
2707003046	LARKIN,JAMES AND HANNA	FS 107	190
2707033064	ROBERT C SPITZ	FS 107	191
2708005012	JOHNSON,GORDON D AND ROMACK,CATHERINE A	FS 107	192
2708013018	GURNICK, DAVID C AND PAMELA B	FS 107	193

2708015005	EPSTEIN,RUSSELL E	FS 107	194
2708026031	SOODJANI,KAYHAN TR KAYHAN SOODJANI TRUST	FS 107	195
2708026036	HOVANESIAN,AREG AND ADRINEH	FS 107	196
2715018039	NADIR,ADNAN AND	FS 18	197
2715035057	TONY J & QUYNH M TRAN	FS 8	198
2722004008	GHERMEZI,ROBIN	FS 96	199
2723006027	KUMOR,ANNA M	FS 96	200
2820008003	MOLLAREZA,CANDICE M	FS 8	201
2822034003	SOODJANI,KAVEH S	FS 8	202
2871002008	MANSOUR,MANSOUR S AND NAGAT S	FS 8	203
2871004018	TABAK,SOFIA	FS 8	204
2871004026	LEE,DONG H AND OH,ANGIE J	FS 8	205
2871006010	SALVATORE,DAVID AND WILKINSON,KELLY A	FS 8	206
2871015010	FADIN,LUCIANA	FS 8	207
2872017019	BHAIL,SABH S AND KALWANTY	FS 8	208
2872021012	SALVADOR H F & C LIVING TRUST	FS 8	209
2872022032	HAGOPIAN,HRAIR AND AGHAVNI	FS 8	210
4114021001	GALES TRUST	FS 67	211
4115014022	RAFI AND ADA GIRGIS	FS 67	212
4115014023	RAFI AND ADA GIRGIS	FS 67	213
4355004030	PAUL W WYLIE - CEO	FS 71	214
4368002023	PROMETHEUS TRUST	FS 19	215
4368008016	BRANDON YADEGAR	FS 19	216
4368009053	FANI,FARID AND FIROOZMAND,HENGAMEH	FS 19	217
4368023015	CHAMCHOUM,ERIC AND MANUELA S TRS E AND M S CHAMCHOUM TRUST	FS 19	218
4368023021	SOUMEEH EBRAHIM	FS 19	219
4368024002	RAWI BEAU G	FS 19	220
4368026019	DARMIENTO,FRANK R III	FS 37	221
4369035004	ELIASER,JAMES R AND JULIE S	FS 37	222
4369036014	BABAJOONI,DAVID AND CAROLIN TRS BABAJOONI FAMILY TRUST	FS 37	223
4370007053	FLEMAN MANNY (TE)	FS 71	224
4370029013	ASHLEY RIDGE LAND LP	FS 71	225
4371009015	MACKAY MYRA L TRUST	FS 71	226
4371010005	KIM,NANCY	FS 71	227
4371016006	PERATT,MERCEDES M TR PERATT TRUST	FS 71	228
4377002009	NGUYEN,ANDREW D AND CAO,KATIE T	FS 37	229
4377013011	JAYADEVAN,TALA AND RAJIV TRS TALA AND RAJIV JAYADEVAN TRUST	FS 109	230
4377020009	FRIEDMAN,SIDNEY G TR FRIEDMAN TRUST	FS 37	231

			1
4379034020	JOAN S CHEN	FS 99	232
4379034022	BOUDAI KAYVAN AND GABAY NATALIE	FS 99	233
4380018027	CALIFORNIA RIAL LANE LLC	FS 71	234
4380019025	HARRISON,WENDY	FS 71	235
4383008030	DRISCOLL,WILLIAM N JR	FS 99	236
4383019009	NATALIE STONE	FS 99	237
4385006051	GENE SCHWAM	FS 99	238
4385018015	SOBEL, WILLIAM AND LISA	FS 99	239
4385022029	M REZA ATIGEHCHI	FS 99	240
4392006018	FLICKER LAND LLC	FS 41	241
4392009012	JAMES H SCHNEIDER	FS 41	242
4403018017	RICHARD HEINRICHS	FS 19	243
4403020007	BRIAN A HALPERN	FS 19	244
4406001010	NADA SAFADY	FS 19	245
4406002001	WARD ELISABETH B AND TIMOTHY J	FS 19	246
4408036001	LEMOINE,GORDON S	FS 69	247
4409008008	WILL ROGERS ESTATE LLC	FS 69	248
4409008015	VLADIMIR TEVLOVSKI	FS 69	249
4409012016	JOHN F TAYLOR	FS 69	250
4416003021	CHABAD CAMPUS FOR JEWISH LIFE	FS 23	251
4416010016	JWJ REAL ESTATE LLC	FS 23	252
4416011020	OMALLEY, JAMES AND GRACE	FS 23	253
4416013018	AMAR,MOTI	FS 23	254
4416015001	CASTELLAMMARE OCEANVIEW LLC	FS 23	255
4416021040	REVELLO LLC	FS 23	256
4419001044	GOLPA,MIKE M TR MIKE M GOLPA TRUST	FS 23	257
4419012054	KAYE STEINSAPIR	FS 23	258
4420021023	RONALD W STENLAKE	FS 69	259
4420024038	16680 CHARMEL LLC	FS 23	260
4420026007	DAVOODI,HOOMAN TR DSD TRUST	FS 23	261
4420028025	MICHEL W VALENTINE	FS 23	262
4420035001	ALMANZA RIDOLFI LIVING TRUST	FS 23	263
4420040009	HEYMAN, JASON D AND HELENA T TRS HEYMAN TRUST	FS 69	264
4422031025	POLLARD,TRACY S	FS 69	265
4423024021	WILLIAM EDWARD AND JANICE LOUISE CRYSTAL	FS 69	266
4425007009	CHILDRESS, JAMES AND SOMMER, AMY	FS 69	267
4426012016	JAMES KALMENSON	FS 19	268
4426020009	ANTON AND NORMA BILCHIK TRS	FS 19	269
4429007037	ABADI,DJALIL H AND MARYAM D	FS 19	270

4429013022	PRESS,LEO C TR PRESS FAMILY TRUST	FS 19	271
4429021046	DK DEVELOPMENTS LLC C/O GORO LLC	FS 19	272
4429039010	ERNEST M LEE	FS 19	273
4490014006	OSHANIN,HASSAN AND REZAIE,SAMIRA	FS 19	274
4490024014	MOUNTAINGATE LLC	FS 109	275
4492007020	MARVIN SLEVEN	FS 19	276
4492009022	HRANEK,NATHAN AND JENNIFER	FS 19	277
4492026017	COHEN MANSOUR BEN	FS 19	278
5026004010	DUNNINGS, JAMES AND ROSEMARY TRS J M AND R DUNNINGS TRUST	FS 94	279
5026017001	BENJAMIN,KATHERINE A AND SAMSON,JENNIFER L	FS 94	280
5029016029	JETER,CARMELITA	FS 94	281
5029024026	KNIGHT,ROBERT O AND KNIGHT,EUGENE	FS 94	282
5029036023	GAIL D PETERSON	FS 94	283
5031012003	SUDOL,ANDREW T AND ALICIA	FS 94	284
5206018009	GARCIA,JESUS V	FS 1	285
5206024020	RIOS,DAVID A ET AL TRS JOSE AND LEOVIGILDA RIOS TRUST	FS 1	286
5207005004	MANUEL GUZMAN	FS 1	287
5207005014	HULA FUNDING LLC	FS 1	288
5207021012	ZARA PARK	FS 1	289
5208014006	TAVAKOLI,MEHRAN	FS 1	290
5208015008	BRAGG,ERIK	FS 1	291
5208017023	VIRGEN, VICTOR J	FS 1	292
5208018020	EDWARD R TAPIA	FS 1	293
5208019028	VILLANUEVA,MLOU G	FS 47	294
5208025003	LOUIE,MUN M AND GLORIA	FS 1	295
5208027008	MABEL CHENG	FS 1	296
5209002003	ALFARO,SONIA A	FS 47	297
5209004023	SAMAWI,MUSA S	FS 1	298
5209005008	QIAN LIU	FS 47	299
5209008003	GEORGE LIANG AND MICHAEL CHENG	FS 47	300
5209021022	HACOPIAN, VAHE CO TR HACOPIAN TRUST	FS 47	301
5209023012	SMYTH,ANDREW E	FS 47	302
5209023013	SMYTH,ANDREW E	FS 47	303
5213005026	MEILOON PROPERTIES LLC	FS 47	304
5213006004	JSM LIVING LLC	FS 47	305
5213006005	JSM LIVING LLC	FS 47	306
5213007003	OH,SUZIE	FS 47	307
5213024030	DOV LEHAVI	FS 47	308
5213032012	EILEEN LEE	FS 47	309

5214005009	EASTGATE TOWN CENTER LLC	FS 47	310
5214005010	MESHKANIAN,GEORGE	FS 47	311
5214005022	GRANILLO,JOSE E AND JOSEFINA	FS 47	312
5214008021	HUNAG FANJIANG AND PING AND LAO QINGHUA	FS 47	313
5214010002	VILLAVICENCIO ARMANDO J (TE)	FS 47	314
5214013027	MARTINEZ PEDRO P AND ALVAREZ DULCE M	FS 47	315
5214015002	CIVASH MATTHEW LOGHMANI	FS 47	316
5216018013	SAMIA,HULBERT	FS 16	317
5217008023	GUILLERMO S GONZALEZ	FS 16	318
5302006005	AIK MAY,NANCY T	FS 12	319
5302007010	BLAKELY,JEANNE A	FS 12	320
5302033001	GONZALEZ ESTEFANIA AND ISMAEL	FS 47	321
5303004004	DAVID TRUJILLO	FS 1	322
5303007001	JOHN AND TARALEE HUNZIKER	FS 1	323
5303011015	FLACKE,CHRISTOPHER J TR FLACKE FAMILY TRUST	FS 47	324
5303012038	MILLOT,DIDIER	FS 47	325
5303012039	MILLOT,DIDIER	FS 47	326
5303012040	MILLOT,DIDIER	FS 47	327
5303014020	LATROBE STREET LLC	FS 47	328
5303014032	LATROBE STREET LLC	FS 47	329
5303014033	LATROBE STREET LLC	FS 47	330
5303014034	LATROBE STREET LLC	FS 47	331
5303014035	LATROBE STREET LLC	FS 47	332
5303014036	LATROBE STREET LLC	FS 47	333
5303014037	LATROBE STREET LLC	FS 47	334
5303014038	LATROBE STREET LLC	FS 47	335
5304001012	PETRA G LOPEZ	FS 1	336
5304001013	PETRA G LOPEZ	FS 47	337
5304002015	EDWARD A ZAMARRIPA	FS 47	338
5304002026	EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)	FS 1	339
5304003017	EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)	FS 1	340
5304003018	EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)	FS 1	341
5304003019	EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)	FS 1	342
5304003020	EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)	FS 1	343
5304011011	TRAVIS,ASHLEY G AND BERRY,JUDITH G	FS 47	344
5304033017	ANA M PARADA, AND EDWIN E GARCIA,	FS 47	345
5305004008	WAGNER,JOSEPH AND VON BOZZAY,VANESSA L	FS 47	346
5305014006	PARKER ANDREW AND JEANNA E	FS 47	347
5305015038	JIMENEZ,HARVEY AND LYDA TRS JIMENEZ FAMILY TRUST	FS 47	348

5305022019	ROYAL TOWN DEVELOPMENT LLC	FS 47	349
5305022020	ROYAL TOWN DEVELOPMENT LLC	FS 47	350
5305027017	HENRY J CORTEZ	FS 47	351
5305041032	CLAUSTRO,CLAUDIA	FS 47	352
5305041033	CLAUDIA CLAUSTRO	FS 47	353
5306003014	VIDALES,RUDY A	FS 47	354
5306004022	CULLEN, JAMES A CO TR CULLEN FAMILYM TRUST	FS 47	355
5306008005	MARTIN,BARBARA A	FS 47	356
5306008006	MARTIN,BARBARA A	FS 47	357
5307002009	PUEBLO PROPERTIES LLC	FS 47	358
5307007014	JUAREZ,ANDY	FS 47	359
5307007016	MI CASA PROPERTY LLC	FS 47	360
5307011033	CATHERINE C GARZA	FS 47	361
5309002027	TSE,JACKSON AND LIM,ELAINE	FS 47	362
5309002028	TSE,JACKSON AND LIM,ELAINE	FS 47	363
5309009011	GUTIERREZ,ANA M	FS 47	364
5309020014	MEDINA,RAYMOND TR	FS 47	365
5419001014	LORETTA ALMAZAN	FS 20	366
5419003011	SHLOMI DAVID AND DANIEL	FS 20	367
5420008035	BRIGHOUSE,JEB AND SONDRA C	FS 20	368
5422008001	NANCY J SHULER	FS 56	369
5422011001	CHRISTINE M LEPERA	FS 56	370
5422011006	ARNOLD,HOPE	FS 56	371
5422011007	ARNOLD,HOPE	FS 56	372
5422013006	O SHEA,BRIAN C TR BRIAN O SHEA TRUST	FS 56	373
5422025026	MCLEOD,LENORE M TR LENORE M MCLEOD TRUST	FS 20	374
5423004018	CASTORINO, HORTENSE F TR HORTENSE F CASTORINO TRUST	FS 20	375
5423004019	DENNIS LIM	FS 20	376
5423005001	JEAN R MILANT	FS 20	377
5423007008	WAYSER,HENESSY CO TR WAYSER FAMILY TRUST	FS 20	378
5423007033	CARLOS COTA	FS 20	379
5423019005	LE ANTHONY	FS 20	380
5424008012	MCKENNA FAMILY TRUST	FS 20	381
5424011024	MENDEZ,BRENDEN AND ALANA J	FS 20	382
5429016001	GRIFFITH PARK SLS LLC	FS 35	383
5430009008	DITTRICH SCOTT & SHARON TRUST	FS 56	384
5430012018	HYPERION AVENUE LP	FS 35	385
5430013031	LISA M HENSCHEL	FS 35	386
5430015009	BOSTON,PATSY A TR ROBERT AND MARY POLLOCK TRUST	FS 35	387

5431024010	CHANEL Summers	FS 56	388
5432012005	JOHN G SULLIVAN	FS 56	389
5433016004	STEPHEN R HAMILTON	FS 56	390
5434017008	MILLER CHRISTEL S AND GARTH HANNA F	FS 56	391
5434025027	SIM,RAYMOND J	FS 56	392
5438011004	YAKOVCHIK,MICHAEL	FS 56	393
5438015012	ALDERMAN,EMILY	FS 56	394
5440017020	KIRSTEN,SVEN A AND HENDRICKSEN,LAURIE A	FS 56	395
5440019017	BAGAY,RICHARD A AND BERNADETTE G	FS 56	396
5443003005	BRIGHOUSE,JEB AND SONDRA C	FS 20	397
5443003006	BRIGHOUSE,JEB AND SONDRA	FS 20	398
5443003007	BRIGHOUSE,JEB AND SONDRA C	FS 20	399
5443003015	JEB AND SONDRA BRIGHOUSE	FS 20	400
5443003016	JEB & SONDRA BRIGHOUSE	FS 20	401
5443005009	FOSTER,KATHRYN D	FS 20	402
5443005014	JEB & SONDRA BRIGHOUSE	FS 20	403
5443005015	JEB & SONDRA BRIGHOUSE	FS 20	404
5443005018	BRIGHOUSE,JEB AND SONDRA	FS 20	405
5443005019	JEB & SONDRA BRIGHOUSE	FS 20	406
5443005020	BRIGHOUSE,JEB AND SONDRA	FS 20	407
5443005026	BRIGHOUSE,JEB AND SONDRA	FS 20	408
5443005030	BRIGHOUSE,JEB AND SONDRA	FS 20	409
5443007010	DALZELL,DAVID V AND PLOCHERE,MICHELLE	FS 20	410
5443027032	LEVY,ELIRAN AND LIBESMAN,NATALIE	FS 56	411
5443030027	KWONG KA KUI	FS 56	412
5443034005	MESSEX,JACOB A AND	FS 56	413
5443034006	MESSEX,JACOB A AND	FS 56	414
5443034047	MESSEX,JACOB A AND	FS 56	415
5443034048	MESSEX,JACOB A AND	FS 56	416
5454010001	PAJA,WILLIAM	FS 44	417
5454013010	3550 TACOMA LLC	FS 44	418
5456005039	CANTA,PLACIDO H	FS 50	419
5456015013	VARAPORN SIRIPUN	FS 50	420
5456017039	JOE J RODRIGUEZ	FS 50	421
5456019006	GORDON,HARVEY G TR HARVEY GORDON TRUST	FS 50	422
5458030030	BRISENO,RAFAEL AND EFFY O	FS 50	423
5459012003	IRMGARD MARKAU	FS 50	424
5459012009	RASMUSSEN,CRAIG A AND JULIE E	FS 50	425
5460020018	SHIZAE ZHENG	FS 50	426
5460021001	SHIZAE ZHENG	FS 50	427

5460021002	SHIZAE ZHENG	FS 50	428
5460021003	SHIZAE ZHENG	FS 50	429
5460021004	SHIZAE ZHENG	FS 50	430
5460021006	SHIZAE ZHENG	FS 50	431
5460021008	SHIZAE ZHENG	FS 50	432
5460022001	WEST HILLS HOLDINGS LLC	FS 55	433
5460022021	SHIZAE ZHENG	FS 50	434
5460022022	SHIZAE ZHENG	FS 50	435
5460022023	SHIZAE ZHENG	FS 50	436
5460023022	GHOBAD & SORAYA FAMILY TRUST	FS 55	437
5462004030	MINO,TREVOR J AND LAURA A	FS 50	438
5462008040	JEREMIC,DOBROSAV D	FS 50	439
5462016007	GARZA,OSCAR AND MARGARITA	FS 50	440
5462026002	MI CASA HOLDINGS LTD	FS 55	441
5465011008	SMITH,JORDAN	FS 44	442
5466004008	MARMOUNT INVESTMENTS LLC	FS 44	443
5467024012	FARAH SADEGHI	FS 12	444
5471001011	GRIFFITH,GREGORY E AND MINATO,SUSAN	FS 55	445
5471001059	MICHAEL A ZAKIAN	FS 55	446
5472003046	KLEINMAN,ILYA	FS 55	447
5472003047	KLEINMAN,ILYA	FS 55	448
5472003068	KLEINMAN,ILYA	FS 55	449
5473011030	SITARA & JAMIE NISSLY FALCON	FS 55	450
5474032014	GHARIB, DARIUS AND NEGAR B TRS G AND N GHARIB TRUST	FS 55	451
5475019007	LISA GAO	FS 55	452
5479002033	TAMBORRINO ARMOUR,MARIA TR ET AL TAMBORRINO PROPERTY TRUST AND	FS 42	453
5479002035	M & D VENTURES LLC	FS 42	454
5480003041	EUGENIA O ROMO	FS 12	455
5480003053	RICHARD E WHITE	FS 12	456
5483007009	FORTUNATO,DEAN	FS 12	457
5493036023	CORONADO,LEONARD AND IRENE	FS 12	458
5549021023	CAPPE,JAMES	FS 41	459
5549022019	KARIN MARIA SCHAEFERS	FS 76	460
5551003005	102 INVESTMENTS LLC	FS 41	461
5551006024	CEDARBOIS LLC	FS 41	462
5551007025	FRANCESCA VAN HORNE	FS 41	463
5551010038	DON HANKEY CEO	FS 41	464
5555013012	LYNNE A SEGALL	FS 41	465
5556001014	KAHANA YORAM	FS 41	466

			1
5556001031	WEITZ,BENJAMIN D	FS 41	467
5556002009	NAPLES,KATHLEEN V	FS 41	468
5556003014	AMY SHOCH	FS 41	469
5556004033	VELOVICI,CARMEN	FS 41	470
5556035011	NAR INVESTMENT INC	FS 41	471
5556035012	NAR INVESTMENT INC	FS 41	472
5556035014	NAR INVESTMENT INC	FS 41	473
5558001011	ASAD DURRANI	FS 41	474
5558017009	HH PROPERTIES LP	FS 41	475
5560002004	LA DEV GROUP INC	FS 41	476
5560005005	COHEN,ELEDA TR ELEDA COHEN TRUST	FS 41	477
5560005015	JAGLOM, VICTORIA F TR VICTORIA F JAGLOM TRUST	FS 41	478
5561001014	FASTLICHT,MICHAELLE	FS 41	479
5561025012	FRANK CORRENTE	FS 41	480
5562014010	641 LAS CASAS LLC C/O MICHAEL AND BARBARA DANCYGER	FS 97	481
5563018001	CRESCENT VENTURES LLC	FS 97	482
5567004014	OFFER ALMANY	FS 97	483
5567006027	HESTER,CLAIRE M	FS 97	484
5567029003	SKRBIC,LJUBO	FS 41	485
5567029030	HOLLYWOOD PARK LAUREL CANYON LLC	FS 97	486
5569013060	PRASAD RAJ & SUSHMA C 2007 TRUST	FS 41	487
5569014003	SUGARMAN,IAN K	FS 41	488
5569035009	TODD B BENIA	FS 41	489
5571010005	ALBERT LITEWKA AND ERIKA ROTHENBERG	FS 41	490
5571022029	NEWMAN, JEANNE TR THOMAS E ROGERS TRUST	FS 97	491
5572015002	HALL DANIEL B	FS 41	492
5572021013	BILLIE BARNUM	FS 76	493
5572034008	NPJ PROPERTIES LLC	FS 76	494
5575004009	WEYER,FRANK M	FS 27	495
5575005026	1959 GRACE AVENUE LLC	FS 27	496
5575015010	DAWN SPROUT	FS 27	497
5576006017	DREIFUSS,DANIEL M	FS 27	498
5576006018	DREIFUSS,DANIEL M	FS 27	499
5576010023	GONG,YUBO	FS 82	500
5577030004	RITTER,NINA L	FS 27	501
5577037018	DANIEL FIROOZBAKHSH	FS 76	502
5579001041	ISAAC GOLI	FS 76	503
5579005018	KIMRIDGE DEVELOPMENT LLC	FS 76	504
5579005019	KIMRIDGE DEVELOPMENT LLC	FS 76	505

5579032008	CELENTANO,THOMAS	FS 76	506
5579035024	FICKES,BRADLEY AND SANAA TRS FICKES FAMILY TRUST AND	FS 76	507
5579035026	ORILONISE,LANRE	FS 76	508
5580024020	SHIN FAMILY TRUST	FS 82	509
5582014013	KWONG,DAVID	FS 82	510
5583002002	ASTURIAS EDUARDO	FS 82	511
5583007019	TOBA PROPERTIES LLC	FS 82	512
5583010008	SANDRA L GRAY	FS 82	513
5585011002	MEYER,THOMAS AND ROSNER,LOUISE E	FS 82	514
5585016028	SCHWARTZ,HARVEY G	FS 82	515
5585017014	MACEDO,ROSEMARY	FS 82	516
5585029010	MILLER & SOSNICK TEMPLE HILL L	FS 82	517
5587002022	HAZELDINE,SAMUEL	FS 82	518
5587015011	MARGARET M EHRIG	FS 82	519
5587021003	JAMES P COLLINS	FS 82	520
5588020003	JUAN G MARTINEZ	FS 35	521
5588034002	ROBERT B NENKOV	FS 35	522
5591003003	PAUL MARTIN	FS 56	523
5591003006	BENJAMIN LEMON	FS 56	524
5592001017	INTL-WEST LLC	FS 56	525
5592005013	SCOTT J HOFMAN	FS 56	526
5592007034	DAVID M WEINSTEIN	FS 56	527
5592017033	CHARLES C CHU	FS 56	528
5592019019	ELISABETH D KLOCK	FS 56	529
5592025020	HAGOP BEZIKIAN	FS 56	530
5679020002	NAGEL,ARIK	FS 55	531
5683024017	ARLETTE EKSTRAND	FS 42	532
5691024022	ALCANTARA R O LIVING TRUST	FS 42	533
7559023001	TOM DUESUND	FS 101	534
7559023002	LIU,POLENE TR POLENE LIU FAMILY TRUST	FS 101	535
7559023006	MICHAEL ESPINOSA	FS 101	536
7559037018	MAY,JOHN L AND MARGARET TRS MAY FAMILY TRUST	FS 101	537
7559037022	CRACCHIOLO,GIUSEPPE AND AMBER	FS 101	538

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: KLAYMAN, DAVID A

MAILING ADDRESS: 07932 SALE AVE

WEST HILLS CA 91304 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 8631 North HILLCROFT DR

WEST HILLS CA 91304

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2017021004 / INVOICE NO: BN200000130

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to clear the brush and attempted to make contact with the LAFD regarding the work but never heard back.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: FARKHONDEH, SHAHRIAR AND BAHRAMSHAHI, PARISA

MAILING ADDRESS: 07700 MARQUAND AVE

WEST HILLS CA 91304

SITUS ADDRESS: 7700 MARQUAND AVE

LOS ANGELES 91304

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2020028041 / INVOICE NO: BN200000137

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to clear the property and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: MICHAELS, TAMMY L AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 07249 ANGELA AVE

WEST HILLS CA 91307

SITUS ADDRESS: 7249 ANGELA AVE

LOS ANGELES 91307

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2027010031 / INVOICE NO: BN200000140

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they paid to have the brush cleared and attempted to contact LAFD to no avail.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: SHAHSAVARI KAMRAN (TE)

MAILING ADDRESS: 7264 HYANNIS DR

WEST HILLS CA 91307

SITUS ADDRESS: 7264 HYANNIS DR

LOS ANGELES 91307

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2027015008 / INVOICE NO: BN200000141

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as soon as their gardener could do it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: MCSPEDON, EDWARD AND JOANN C

MAILING ADDRESS: 24690 WOODED VIS

WEST HILLS CA 91307

SITUS ADDRESS: 24690 WOODED VISTA RD

LOS ANGELES 91307

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2032004024 / INVOICE NO: BN200000148

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the passing of his wife delayed him in hiring his gardener to clear the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: SARA B WOLVEK

MAILING ADDRESS: 603 7TH ST

SANTA MONICA CA 90402

SITUS ADDRESS: 6200 PAT AVE

LOS ANGELES 91307

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2032007001 / INVOICE NO: BN200000154

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they hired a contractor to clear the brush and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: DOUGLAS E SOBEL

MAILING ADDRESS: 24544 GILMORE ST WEST HILLS CA 91307

24544 OH MODE ST

SITUS ADDRESS: 24544 GILMORE ST

LOS ANGELES 91307

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2032009013 / INVOICE NO: BN200000155

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared and upon receiving the notice of noncompliance, they attempted several times to contact the LAFD to no avail.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: SHMULIK PELER

MAILING ADDRESS: 16830 VENTURA BLVD 500

ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 24654 EILAT ST

LOS ANGELES 91367

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2032022022 / INVOICE NO: BN200000156

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they hired a contractor to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: BECKER LIEB, JOANN F TR LIEB FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 4950 DUNMAN AVE

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 4950 DUNMAN AVE

LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2074005015 / INVOICE NO: BN200000161

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the **\$508.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The record reveals that the hazard that remained during the second inspection was not located on parcel 2074005015. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: ABS SINAI LIVING TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 4711 BIZET PL

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 4711 BIZET PL

LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2074027037 / INVOICE NO: BN200000163

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared and they thought they were in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: SAMPSON, KENNETH AND DEY

MAILING ADDRESS: 23615 WELBY WAY

WEST HILLS CA 91307

SITUS ADDRESS: v/l @ 4989 North CERRILLOS DR

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2075037001 / INVOICE NO: BN200000177

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 8, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: SKILLMAN, AARON R AND MONG T

MAILING ADDRESS: 22644 CAVALIER ST

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 22644 CAVALIER ST

LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2076002042 / INVOICE NO: BN200000179

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: DUKKER ALEXANDER AND BAINIAKSHINA MARINA

MAILING ADDRESS: 22523 MARGARITA DR

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 22523 MARGARITA DR

LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2076016039 / INVOICE NO: BN200000189

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No Mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors, on June 11, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: HAZAN,LORETTA L

MAILING ADDRESS: 20866 KELVIN PL

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367

SITUS ADDRESS: 20866 KELVIN PL

LOS ANGELES 91367

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2149014026 / INVOICE NO: BN200000191

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: GIRALDO LUDWING D AND MELODY

MAILING ADDRESS: 5704 COMANCHE AVE

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367

SITUS ADDRESS: 5704 COMANCHE AVE

LOS ANGELES 91367

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2151009008** / INVOICE NO: BN200000192

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 30, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Appellant did not own the parcel, APN 2151009008 at the time that hazards were cited. All notices to clear and abate were properly sent to the owner of record at the time that the hazards existed.

At issue is whether the Appellant had sufficient notice of citations issued against the parcel before their possession and property interest such that the Appellant, as the new owner of parcel 2151009008 would now be obligated to remit payment. A citation that is properly recorded as an encumbrance with the County Assessor, runs with the land and would be the responsibility of subsequent owners until satisfied and canceled. In this instance, it is clear that the encumbrance at issue, the Noncompliance Fee, was not recorded at the time the Appellant purchased the parcel. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: NAIM, YONATAN TR YONA NAIM TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 19044 SANTA RITA ST

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: 19044 SANTA RITA ST

LOS ANGELES 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2163023014 / INVOICE NO: BN200000199

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their monthly gardener cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: ROBERT M KAY

MAILING ADDRESS: 5143 DEL MORENO DR

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 5143 DEL MORENO DR

LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2166037005 / INVOICE NO: BN200000204

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than July 7, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on August 19, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: ELIAS BROUMANDI

MAILING ADDRESS: 1445 25TH ST 101

SANTA MONICA CA 90404

SITUS ADDRESS: 5155 CAMPO RD

LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2168005009 / INVOICE NO: BN200000211

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the majority owner is elderly and failed to respond to the noncompliance notices. Once learning this, the minority owners contracted to clear the brush and have changed the address where the notices are received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 3, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: ELIAS BROUMANDI

MAILING ADDRESS: 1445 25TH ST 101

SANTA MONICA CA 90404

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S of 5171 North CAMPO RD

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2168005048 / INVOICE NO: BN200000213

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the majority owner failed to respond to the notices and once discovered, the minority owners hired contractors to clear the brush and they've since changed the address for the notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 3, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: C/O NATHAN LAVIAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 854 HARVARD STREET

SANTA MONICA CA 90403 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 5147 North CAMPO RD

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2168005049 / INVOICE NO: BN200000214

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the majority owner is elderly and failed to respond to the noncompliance notices. Once the minority owners discovered this, they had the brush cleared and the address for notices changed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 3, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: STEPHEN A JOB

MAILING ADDRESS: 5076 CAMPO RD

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 5076 CAMPO RD

LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2168008033 / INVOICE NO: BN200000216

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 3, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 11, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on July 3, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: NOSRATI,ALIREZA
MAILING ADDRESS: 19048 INGOMAR ST
RESEDA CA 91335

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 5000 North CERRILLOS DR WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2170001010 / INVOICE NO: BN200000225

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: NOSRATI,ALIREZA
MAILING ADDRESS: 19048 INGOMAR ST

RESEDA CA 91335

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E. of 4980 North CERRILLOS DR

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2170001012 / INVOICE NO: BN200000226

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: MAZROUEE,BITA

MAILING ADDRESS: 12301 DUTCH FOREST PL

EDMOND OK 73013

SITUS ADDRESS: VL @ 4995 North LLANO DR

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91346

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2170001056 / INVOICE NO: BN200000229

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: JADIDOLAHI,MAHNAZ

MAILING ADDRESS: 24025 CALABASAS RD

CALABASAS CA 91302

SITUS ADDRESS: 21765 YBARRA RD

LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2171018071 / INVOICE NO: BN200000237

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 3, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Appellant has raised the issue of proper notice. A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the Appellant's address of record. No mail was returned. It is the land owner's responsibility to file all address changes/details with the office of the County Assessor. It was the land owner's responsibility to ensure that the clearance of all hazards was performed no later than June 23, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on July 3, 2019, violation/s were still present. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: BUTLER, HUGH M AND RHONDA F

MAILING ADDRESS: 04115 CACHALOTE ST

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 4115 CACHALOTE ST

LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2172026026 / INVOICE NO: BN200000262

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than October 10, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on October 23, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: DEL RAE L FREEMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 707 WEYBURN DRIVE

BISMARCK ND 58503 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 20151 PHAETON DR

LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2174025017 / INVOICE NO: BN200000283

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 28, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: DON E DJOMEH

MAILING ADDRESS: 4875 PALO DR

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L w/driveway to 4875 Dr

Tarzana CA 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2175008005 / INVOICE NO: BN200000286

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant contends that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 2175008005. The boundaries of 2175008005 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 2175008005. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than August 6, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on August 7, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: BACHSIAN, JOHN AND COHEN, MEIRAV

MAILING ADDRESS: 05706 CALVIN AVE

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L SE/O 4942 Calvin Ave

Tarzana CA 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2175008019 / INVOICE NO: BN200000287

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Appellant has raised the issue of proper notice. A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the Appellant's address of record. No mail was returned. It is the land owner's responsibility to file all address changes/details with the office of the County Assessor. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than August 6, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on August 7, 2019, violation/s were present. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To avoid future penalties and manage details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: BACHSIAN, JOHN AND COHEN, MEIRAV

MAILING ADDRESS: 05706 CALVIN AVE

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S/O 4887 Palo Dr

Tarzana CA 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2175008020 / INVOICE NO: BN200000288

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Appellant has raised the issue of proper notice. A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the Appellant's address of record. No mail was returned. It is the land owner's responsibility to file all address changes/details with the office of the County Assessor. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than August 6, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on August 7, 2019, violation/s were present. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To avoid future penalties and manage details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: LAC INVESTMENTS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 571975

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E/O 4940 Calvin Ave

Tarzana CA 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2175012026 / INVOICE NO: BN200000289

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the Appellant's address of record. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 28, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, violation/s were present. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To avoid future penalties and manage details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: TAL, MICHELE

MAILING ADDRESS: 04752 ADELE CT

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 4752 ADELE CT

LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2175027016 / INVOICE NO: BN200000291

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to comply, the have a history of fire safety on the property and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: KEVIN COHEN

MAILING ADDRESS: 18824 EDLEEN DR

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: 18824 EDLEEN DR

LOS ANGELES 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2176025005 / INVOICE NO: BN200000293

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than October 10, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on October 22, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: LAHAV GUY AND DAFNA

MAILING ADDRESS: 19324 OXNARD ST

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: 18813 EDLEEN DR

LOS ANGELES 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2176026024 / INVOICE NO: BN200000295

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 3, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 18, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on October 3, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: 18807 EDLEEN DR LLC I NONAHAL AND N NONAHAL

MAILING ADDRESS: 18806 EDLEEN DR

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: 18807 EDLEEN DR

LOS ANGELES 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2176026025 / INVOICE NO: BN200000296

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 3, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 18, 2019.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors on October 3, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: OFIR KROVKOVSKI

MAILING ADDRESS: 6860 CANBY AVE 111

RESEDA CA 91335 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 18801 EDLEEN DR

LOS ANGELES 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2176026026 / INVOICE NO: BN200000297

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 3, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 18, 2019. The record reveals that the Inspector communicated with Appellants via email, the hazards that needed to be cleared and the date by which clearance needed to happen.

Upon re-inspection on October 3, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: MILANI HOMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 4466 VANALDEN AVE

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: 4466 VANALDEN AVE

LOS ANGELES 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2177003018 / INVOICE NO: BN200000299

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. It is the land owner's responsibility to file all address changes/details with the office of the County Assessor. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than July 17, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on August 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: MEHRAN YAGHOUBZAR

MAILING ADDRESS: 4245 VANALDEN AVE

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: 4245 VANALDEN AVE

LOS ANGELES 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2178022013 / INVOICE NO: BN200000309

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 3, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 21, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: CSIZMADIA,RITA

MAILING ADDRESS: 04632 CONCHITA WAY

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: 4632 CONCHITA WAY

LOS ANGELES 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2178029013 / INVOICE NO: BN200000310

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where the Appellant could view details about the inspection including photographs and a list of the hazards that needed to be cleared. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 23, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on August 22, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To avoid future penalties and manage details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 83

NAME: NASSER MELAMED MAILING ADDRESS: 4372 CORONET DR

ENCINO CA 91316

SITUS ADDRESS: 4372 CORONET DR LOS ANGELES 91316

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2182026012 / INVOICE NO: BN200000315

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: JAVAHERIFAR, MANSOUR

MAILING ADDRESS: 18155 KAREN DR

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: 18145 KAREN DR

LOS ANGELES 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2184007013 / INVOICE NO: BN200000317

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared and the property is now scraped for redevelopment.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: PANDE PROPERTIES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 01605 PINE AVE

MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266

SITUS ADDRESS: 4631 Dunas Ln

Tarzana CA 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2184009014 / INVOICE NO: BN200000318

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 29, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: POLI,PAUL S

MAILING ADDRESS: 03306 DEER CREEK LN

GLENDALE CA 91208

SITUS ADDRESS: 1800 Boris Dr

Tarzana CA 91316

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2184015007 / INVOICE NO: BN200000319

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Appellant has raised the issue of proper notice. A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to two different addresses, one on Dear Creek and another on Boris Drive. It is the Appellant's responsibility to update and maintain their mailing address with the County Assessor.

Additionally, the Appellant contends that the cited hazards were not within the boundaries of their parcel, APN 2184015007. The boundaries of 2184015007 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 2184015007. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 29, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: ELVA J CIMARUSTI

MAILING ADDRESS: 18123 GREEN MEADOW DR

ENCINO CA 91316

SITUS ADDRESS: 18123 GREEN MEADOW DR

LOS ANGELES 91316

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2184038025** / INVOICE NO: BN200000322

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of the Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than October 7, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on October 8, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: RIYAZATI,ARMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 00430 S BURNSIDE AVE UNIT 11A

LOS ANGELES CA 90036

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 4310 North CANOGA DR

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2190004025** / INVOICE NO: BN200000323

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Appellant has raised the issue of proper notice. A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the Appellant's address of record. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 30, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, violation/s were present. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: RIYAZATI,ARMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 00430 S BURNSIDE AVE UNIT 11A

LOS ANGELES CA 90036

SITUS ADDRESS: 4314 North CANOGA DR

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2190004026 / INVOICE NO: BN200000324

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Appellant has raised the issue of proper notice. A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the Appellant's address of record. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 30, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, violation/s were present. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: WATKINS LAURA C AND WHITESIDE DAVID R

MAILING ADDRESS: 4429 CANOGA AVE

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 4429 North CANOGA DR

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2190014014 / INVOICE NO: BN200000340

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Appellant did not own the parcel, APN 2190014014 at the time that hazards were cited. All notices to clear and abate were properly sent to the owner of record at the time that the hazards existed.

At issue is whether the Appellant had sufficient notice of citations issued against the parcel before their possession and property interest such that the Appellant, as the new owner of parcel 2190014014 would now be obligated to remit payment. A citation that is properly recorded with the County Assessor as a lien, runs with the land and would be the responsibility of subsequent owners until it had been satisfied and canceled. However, in this instance, it is not clear that the encumbrance at issue, the Noncompliance Fee, was recorded as a lien at the time the Appellant purchased the parcel. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: DESILVA, EDITHA CO TR BABA TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 22570 WATERBURY ST

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 21411 West ALAMO ST

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2190015029 / INVOICE NO: BN200000341

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: DESILVA, EDITHA CO TR BABA TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 22570 WATERBURY ST

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 21415 West ALAMO ST

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2190015030 / INVOICE NO: BN200000342

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: STEVEN S SADD

MAILING ADDRESS: 3811 OAKFIELD DR

SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423

SITUS ADDRESS: 3811 OAKFIELD DR

LOS ANGELES 91423

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2274013013 / INVOICE NO: BN200000350

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: TERI DROST

MAILING ADDRESS: 3801 OAKFIELD DR

SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423

SITUS ADDRESS: 3801 OAKFIELD DR

LOS ANGELES 91423

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2274013017 / INVOICE NO: BN200000351

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: RUIZ,AMANDA TR AMANDA RUIZ TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 1309

STUDIO CITY CA 91614

SITUS ADDRESS: 3626 OAKFIELD DR

LOS ANGELES 91423

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2274015030 / INVOICE NO: BN200000354

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: September 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than August 27, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on September 6, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Log on to vms3.lafd.org to view the status of your parcel throughout the year.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 78

NAME: ARTHUR CLAYBON AND WAI YI CHAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 550 VANDERBILT AVENUE #1615

BROOKLYN NY 11238 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3747 North Camino de la Cumbre st

Sherman Oaks California 91423

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2274019028 / INVOICE NO: BN200000359

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that due to orders from the LA Planning Dept, they were prohibited from clearing their property and therefore the assessment should be waived. Appellant provided emails from CD4 and between LAFD showing Appellant should not be assessed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 30, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Based on the Appellant's statement and evidence, it is recommended that the assessment be waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: LYNN A WILLIAMS IV

MAILING ADDRESS: 3266 COY DRIVE

SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3266 COY DR

LOS ANGELES 91423

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2274022003 / INVOICE NO: BN200000364

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 28, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 18, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88

NAME: SHAWN S SHAYFER

MAILING ADDRESS: 3839 SCADLOCK LN

SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403

SITUS ADDRESS: 3839 SCADLOCK LN

LOS ANGELES 91403

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2279032035 / INVOICE NO: BN200000388

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 17, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88

NAME: SAVAGE L M & J F FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 15471 BRIARWOOD DR

SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403

SITUS ADDRESS: 15471 BRIARWOOD DR

LOS ANGELES 91403

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2281019001 / INVOICE NO: BN200000391

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 3, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 7, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88

NAME: KLAVER, JOSEPH AND GALINA

MAILING ADDRESS: 16060 VENTURA BLVD STE 110 PMB 513

ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 15970 HIGH KNOLL RD

LOS ANGELES 91436

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2285011010 / INVOICE NO: BN200000401

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 29, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88

NAME: JEFFREY COWAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 15824 WOODVALE RD

ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 15824 WOODVALE RD

LOS ANGELES 91436

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2285012011 / INVOICE NO: BN200000402

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 29, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88

NAME: NOSRATI, RAMA TR MAYORS TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 15963 WOODVALE RD

ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 15963 WOODVALE RD

LOS ANGELES 91436

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2285013021 / INVOICE NO: BN200000405

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 29, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: KOUYOUMJIAN VARANT

MAILING ADDRESS: 16840 SEVERO PL

ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 16840 SEVERO PL

LOS ANGELES 91436

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2287006023 / INVOICE NO: BN200000407

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: September 25, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 11, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on September 25, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: ABDELNOUR SHADI AND LAMA N

MAILING ADDRESS: 16720 ENCINO HILLS DR

ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 16720 ENCINO HILLS DR

LOS ANGELES 91436

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2287008016 / INVOICE NO: BN200000408

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not own the property at the time the noncompliance notices were issued and provided property transfer documents for verification.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Based on Appellant's statements and evidence, it is recommended that the assessment be waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: KLAPPER, ROBERT C AND ELLEN B TRS ROBERT AND ELLEN KLAPPER

TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 16928 DORMIE PL

ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 3500 GREEN VISTA DR

LOS ANGELES 91436

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2287009050 / INVOICE NO: BN200000409

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 27, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 83

NAME: MUNJACK, JEFFREY D TR JDM TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 16350 VENTURA BLVD STE D554

ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 16972 OAK VIEW DR

LOS ANGELES 91436

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2289030007 / INVOICE NO: BN200000411

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: September 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 4, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on September 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 83

NAME: ALEXIS M GEVORGIAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 17164 OAK VIEW DRIVE VAN NUYS CA 91316 USA

16839 ADLON RD

SITUS ADDRESS: 16839 ADLON RD

LOS ANGELES 91436

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2291010033 / INVOICE NO: BN200000414

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 3, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on September 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 83

NAME: MONDA R MORAMI

MAILING ADDRESS: 16952 ADLON RD

ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 16952 ADLON RD

LOS ANGELES 91436

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2292007002 / INVOICE NO: BN200000416

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 30, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86

NAME: YAVROUIAN, ERIC

MAILING ADDRESS: 03702 WILLOWCREST AVE

STUDIO CITY CA 91604

SITUS ADDRESS: 3702 WILLOWCREST AVE

LOS ANGELES 91604

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2378002007 / INVOICE NO: BN200000434

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 27, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view the status of your parcel for the current year, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86

NAME: RAKSIN,ALEX

MAILING ADDRESS: 3833 RIDGEMOOR DR

STUDIO CITY CA 91604

SITUS ADDRESS: 3833 RIDGEMOOR DR

LOS ANGELES 91604

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2378032020 / INVOICE NO: BN200000436

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 25, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: PHOENIX, SUMMER TR LIBERTY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 16133 VENTURA BLVD STE 545

ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 8301 MULHOLLAND DR

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2381031001 / INVOICE NO: BN200000456

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: October 9, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 78

NAME: 3701 ALTA MESA LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 7525 ETHEL AVENUE STE K

N HOLLYWOOD CA 91606 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: v/l @ 3795 North ALTA MESA DR

STUDIO CITY CA 91604

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2384015028 / INVOICE NO: BN200000461

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush was required and before the due date.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 78

NAME: KATHLEEN M PERKINS

MAILING ADDRESS: 4022 ALCOVE AVE

STUDIO CITY CA 91604

SITUS ADDRESS: 4022 ALCOVE AVE

LOS ANGELES 91604

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2384016024 / INVOICE NO: BN200000462

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 3, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108

NAME: CE PARTNERS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 03301 N COLDWATER CANYON AVE

STUDIO CITY CA 91604

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT North OF 3251 COLDWATER CYN AVE

STUDIO CITY 91604

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2385023030 / INVOICE NO: BN200000471

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 9, 2019.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors, on September 24, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. The Appellant failed to provide evidence that would warrant a reversal of fees. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 78

NAME: SACCHI, JOHN CO TR SACCHI FRITZ FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 13056 GREENLEAF ST

STUDIO CITY CA 91604

SITUS ADDRESS: 13062 GREENLEAF ST

LOS ANGELES 91604

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2385029008 / INVOICE NO: BN200000473

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The Appellant declares that they cleared hazards in August of 2019 however the clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 18, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 78

NAME: LILY VOXUAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 13304 NEWCOMB DR

SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423

SITUS ADDRESS: 13304 NEWCOMB DR

LOS ANGELES 91423

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2386031015 / INVOICE NO: BN200000482

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards cited in 2019 was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: CHA,EUN SUG TR EUN SUG CHA TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 12039 EDDLESTON DR

PORTER RANCH CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L SW of 8640 West LA TUNA CYN RD

TUJUNGA CA 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2401025011 / INVOICE NO: BN200000485

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Upon review of the record, the Fire Department has rescinded all non-compliance citations and associated fees for 2019.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: CHA,EUN SUG TR EUN SUG CHA TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 12039 EDDLESTON DR

PORTER RANCH CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W. of 8660 West LA TUNA CYN RD

TUJUNGA CA 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2401025012 / INVOICE NO: BN200000486

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

A review of the record reveals that as of June 2019, all fees had been rescinded.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: KILBRIDE, SHARON M TR GEORGE G KILBRIDE DECD TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 245 ENTRADA DR

SANTA MONICA CA 90402

SITUS ADDRESS: 10123 OLIVIA TER

LOS ANGELES 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2403007039 / INVOICE NO: BN200000497

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

Appellant received the initial notice and immediately complied, abating hazards so significantly that when the Inspector returned notes were made indicating that the second notice was not issued in response to a failure to abate but was instead required because of a regrowth. Accordingly, the Noncompliance Fee assessed for 2019, is reversed.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: CONTRERAS, PHILIP C AND LETICIA R

MAILING ADDRESS: 09971 EDMORE PL

SUN VALLEY CA 91352

SITUS ADDRESS: 9971 EDMORE PL

LOS ANGELES 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2403008022** / INVOICE NO: BN200000500

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant contends that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 2403008022. The boundaries of 2403008022 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 2403008022. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: ZEYNALVAND, ROBERT AND KHACHATOURIAN, HERMANA

MAILING ADDRESS: 10146 ROSCOE BLVD

SUN VALLEY CA 91352

SITUS ADDRESS: 10146 ROSCOE BLVD

LOS ANGELES 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2403009041 / INVOICE NO: BN200000501

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: POSTIK TALIN

MAILING ADDRESS: 10178 SULLY DR

SUN VALLEY CA 91352 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 10178 SULLY DR

LOS ANGELES 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2403019033 / INVOICE NO: BN200000506

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant assert they did not own the property until October 2019, after the inspections took place.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the **\$508.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Based on the statement and evidence provided by Appellant, it is recommended that they assessment be waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: VICTOR FRENDT

MAILING ADDRESS: 10071 SULLY DR

SUN VALLEY CA 91352

SITUS ADDRESS: 10071 SULLY DR

LOS ANGELES 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2403020007** / INVOICE NO: BN200000507

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant believes that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 2403020007. The boundaries of 2403020007 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 2403020007. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: KHODAGHOLIAN, SHAHIN AND DARABEDIAN, VALENTEN

MAILING ADDRESS: 10165 SULLY DR

SUN VALLEY CA 91352

SITUS ADDRESS: 10165 SULLY DR

LOS ANGELES 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2403020018 / INVOICE NO: BN200000508

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 14, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on July 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: TCT LIVING TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 9423 WHEATLAND AVE

SUNLAND CA 91040 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 8576 North VINEVALLEY DR

SUNLAND CA 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2404005002** / INVOICE NO: BN200000517

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush and believed they were in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: MKHITARYAN,GAGIK

MAILING ADDRESS: 08732 WHEATLAND AVE

SUN VALLEY CA 91352

SITUS ADDRESS: 8732 WHEATLAND AVE

LOS ANGELES 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2404010026 / INVOICE NO: BN200000518

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 28, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: TRAINOR, JO ANNE TR JO ANNE TRAINOR TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 08550 VINE VALLEY DR

SUN VALLEY CA 91352

SITUS ADDRESS: 8550 VINE VALLEY DR

LOS ANGELES 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2404030014 / INVOICE NO: BN200000525

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: WEINSTEIN, DONALD B AND CHERYL L

MAILING ADDRESS: 00927 N CITRUS AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90038

SITUS ADDRESS: 3920 KENTUCKY DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2425002027 / INVOICE NO: BN200000528

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 11, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 17, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: NORA GIRALT

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 991

HAWTHORNE CA 90251

SITUS ADDRESS: 3802 MULTIVIEW DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2425009026 / INVOICE NO: BN200000531

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 13, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 17, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: AVICASIS, YOAV

MAILING ADDRESS: 3717 BROADLAWN DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 3717 BROADLAWN DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2425017006** / INVOICE NO: BN200000532

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 11, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 17, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: October 2, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: 3327 IONE LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 5062 LANKERSHIM BLVD 157

NORTH HOLLYWOOD CA 91601

SITUS ADDRESS: 3327 IONE PLACE

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2425020025 / INVOICE NO: BN200000534

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices based on information obtained from the County Assessor. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than October 21, 2019.

Photographs taken on October 28, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: KONSKI, JOSI AND ETHY C TRS KONSKI FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 03261 OAKSHIRE DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 3261 OAKSHIRE DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2425029024 / INVOICE NO: BN200000538

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019. Photographs taken during reinspection on June 17, 2019, revealed that the cited hazard had not yet been abated.

Upon re-inspection on June 17, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: BEHNAZ NONAHAL

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 40685

DOWNEY CA 90239

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 7113 West HOCKEY TR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428004009 / INVOICE NO: BN200000549

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their neighbor has denied them access to their property to clear the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: NONAHAL BEHNAZ

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 98

STANTON CA 90680 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 7119 West HOCKEY TR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428004043 / INVOICE NO: BN200000551

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their neighbor has denied them access to their property to clear the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: NONAHAL BEHNAZ

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 98

STANTON CA 90680 United States

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 7101 West HOCKEY TR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428004044 / INVOICE NO: BN200000552

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their neighbor has denied them access to their property to clear the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: STEPHEN T HEAD

MAILING ADDRESS: 7210 SUNNYDIP TRL

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 7210 SUNNYDIP TRL

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428010013 / INVOICE NO: BN200000556

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019. Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors on June 13, 2019, and June 19, 2019, efforts to abate hazardous conditions were not completed/maintained.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: BEHNAZ NONAHAL

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 40685

DOWNEY CA 90239

SITUS ADDRESS: 2966 North GOODVIEW TR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428022019 / INVOICE NO: BN200000564

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their neighbor has denied access to the property to clear the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: BEHNAZ NONAHAL

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 40685

DOWNEY CA 90239

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2962 North GOODVIEW TR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428022020 / INVOICE NO: BN200000565

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their neighbor has prevented them from accessing their property to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: October 9, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: BAEZ HUGO A

MAILING ADDRESS: 12747 MERCER ST UNIT A17

PACOIMA CA 91331

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6905 West ROUNDUP TR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428027018 / INVOICE NO: BN200000570

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they never received notices of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: SKRBIC,LOU

MAILING ADDRESS: 03230 S OCEAN BLVD APT 103

PALM BEACH FL 33480

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6906 West CASH TR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428028026 / INVOICE NO: BN200000576

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: SKRBIC,LJUBO

MAILING ADDRESS: 03230 S OCEAN BLVD APT 103

PALM BEACH FL 33480

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2912 North OARK RUN TR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428028032 / INVOICE NO: BN200000578

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: REINHARD J LICHTER

MAILING ADDRESS: 11741 LERDO AVE

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2906 North OARK RUN TR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428028034 / INVOICE NO: BN200000579

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: SKRBIC,LOU

MAILING ADDRESS: 03230 OCEAN BLVD APT 103

PALM BEACH FL 33480 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6804 West CASH TR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2428028037 / INVOICE NO: BN200000580

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: October 2, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: MUSTAFA ANDAR

MAILING ADDRESS: 3522 ELLERY CMN

FREMONT CA 94538

SITUS ADDRESS: 3216 BENNETT DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2429012007 / INVOICE NO: BN200000583

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: November 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than November 18, 2019.

Photographs that were taken on November 19, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: SAMIR BERTEWA

MAILING ADDRESS: 1643 N ORANGE GROVE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 3153 OAKCREST DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2429018004 / INVOICE NO: BN200000585

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors on June 20, 2019, it is clear that any efforts the Appellant may have made to abate hazardous conditions did not meet the 2019 brush requirements. The complete clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 12, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: FRATICELLI GIAMPAOLO

MAILING ADDRESS: 13688 GARRICK AVE

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 13688 GARRICK AVE

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2511021009 / INVOICE NO: BN200000594

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Appellant did not own the parcel at the time that hazards were cited. All notices to clear and abate were properly sent to the owner of record at the time that the hazards existed.

At issue is whether the Appellant had sufficient notice of citations issued against the parcel before their possession and property interest such that the Appellant, as the new owner of parcel 2511021009 would now be obligated to remit payment. A citation that is properly recorded as an encumbrance with the County Assessor, runs with the land and would be the responsibility of subsequent owners until satisfied and canceled. In this instance, it is not clear that the encumbrance at issue, the Noncompliance Fee, was recorded as a lien at the time the Appellant purchased the parcel. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: BAUM, LARRY S AND JAVAKHISHVILI BAUM, MANANA

MAILING ADDRESS: 13066 SAYRE ST

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 13066 SAYRE ST

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2511032039** / INVOICE NO: BN200000600

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: June 29, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: RICHARD P ORTEGA

MAILING ADDRESS: 12576 HUNNEWELL AVE

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 12576 HUNNEWELL AVE

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2525016055** / INVOICE NO: BN200000603

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: June 29, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: HOLY TRINITY ROMANIAN CHURCH CORP

MAILING ADDRESS: 01101 KILDONAN DR

GLENDALE CA 91207

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT South 10600 Wentworth st

Sunland CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2528036005** / INVOICE NO: BN200000615

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 27, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: June 29, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: JOHN M MARSHALL

MAILING ADDRESS: 11310 PALOMINO CT

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 11310 PALOMINO CT

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2529001042** / INVOICE NO: BN200000620

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of the Brush Clearance Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 8, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: June 29, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: STANFORD T CHAPMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 10659 LEDEEN DR

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 10659 LEDEEN DR

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2529017014** / INVOICE NO: BN200000630

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 8, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: INIGUEZ, JOSE AND SERRANO, NANCIE

MAILING ADDRESS: 11438 ORCAS AVE

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 11438 ORCAS AVE

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2529020033** / INVOICE NO: BN200000632

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellants assert they received no notices but the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: DOUGLAS HINES

MAILING ADDRESS: 11511 ORCAS AVE

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 11511 ORCAS AVE

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2529025016 / INVOICE NO: BN200000635

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 7, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: DAVID ELSON

MAILING ADDRESS: 10270 MCBROOM ST

SHADOW HILLS CA 91040 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 10270 MCBROOM ST

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543006006 / INVOICE NO: BN200000655

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: GALOUSTIAN HENRY

MAILING ADDRESS: 6426 VALMONT ST

TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E. of 10040 West WENTWORTH ST

SUNLAND CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543008030 / INVOICE NO: BN200000661

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts a number family health issues delayed the brush clearance and ask for the assessment to be waived.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: MUSAKHANYAN,ARMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 09939 LA CANADA WAY

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 9939 LA CANADA WAY

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543010043 / INVOICE NO: BN200000666

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: DAVID HARTSON

MAILING ADDRESS: 9850 RANCHO CABALLO DR

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 9850 RANCHO CABALLO DR

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543015067 / INVOICE NO: BN200000671

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 18, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 20, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: TAKUI FILIKYAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 10230 LA CANADA WAY

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 10230 LA CANADA WAY

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2543016037** / INVOICE NO: BN200000672

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Photographs taken by the Inspectors on June 19, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: DAVID P DEVRIES

MAILING ADDRESS: 9999 BARLING ST

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 9999 BARLING ST

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543021002 / INVOICE NO: BN200000676

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 20, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 20, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: STEVEN STRUTHERS

MAILING ADDRESS: 9829 1/2 SUNLAND BLVD

SUNLAND CA 91040 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 9829 SUNLAND BLVD

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543023019 / INVOICE NO: BN200000680

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 18, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 20, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: EMERSON, WILLIAM K TR WILLIAM K EMERSON TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 2808 ALTA TER

LA CRESCENTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: 10419 North Mary Bell Av

Sunland Ca 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543034010 / INVOICE NO: BN200000686

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: WILLIAM K EMERSON

MAILING ADDRESS: 2808 ALTA TER

LA CRESCENTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: 10421 North Mary Bell Av

Sunland Ca 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543034011 / INVOICE NO: BN200000687

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no second notice and the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the **\$508.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: EMERSON, WILLIAM K TR WILLIAM K EMERSON TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 2808 ALTA TER

LA CRESCENTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: 10425 North MARY BELL AVE

SUNLAND CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543034012 / INVOICE NO: BN200000688

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no second notice and that the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: EMERSON, WILLIAM K TR WILLIAM K EMERSON TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 2808 ALTA TER

LA CRESCENTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L south of 10433 North Mary Bell Av

Sunland Ca 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543034021 / INVOICE NO: BN200000689

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no second notice and that the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: BERGMAN, CHRISTINA J TR BERGMAN FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 10325 ORMOND ST

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 10325 ORMOND ST

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2544005021** / INVOICE NO: BN200000693

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 18, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: GHALILI,SHAHRAM

MAILING ADDRESS: 11540 DONA EVITA DR

STUDIO CITY CA 91604

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W. of 9608 North SUNLAND PLACE

SUNLAND CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2544009007** / INVOICE NO: BN200000695

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 27, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

At issue is whether the Appellant was afforded proper notice. Appellant is correct in their assertion that initial notices were sent to the previous owner of parcel 2544009007 however the record indicates that as of July 25, 2019, notice was properly delivered to the Appellant at the correct address. Accordingly, the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than August 14, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on August 19, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: LOPEZ, RUBEN JR

MAILING ADDRESS: 06515 CHERRY AVE # 2

LONG BEACH CA 90805

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S. of 10816 West LAMKINS ST

SUNLAND CA 91352

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2544026011 / INVOICE NO: BN200000701

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019. Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors on June 21, 2019, efforts to abate hazardous conditions were not completed/maintained.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view and manage details relating to your parcel visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: LEIVA, PEDRO AND EDITH

MAILING ADDRESS: 09701 SOMBRA TERRACE

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 9701 SOMBRA TERRACE

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2545006018 / INVOICE NO: BN200000704

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required after receiving a notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: October 2, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: MICHAEL PATRICK NILSEN

MAILING ADDRESS: 130 MACMURTY DRIVE

MARTINEZ CA 94553 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 9918 1/8 SUNLAND BLVD

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2545008006** / INVOICE NO: BN200000706

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than July 29, 2019.

Photographs taken on August 6, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: ZARGARYAN, RAYMOND TR RAYMOND ZARGARYAN TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 9856 SUNLAND BLVD

SUNLAND CA 91040 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 9856 North SUNLAND PLACE

SUNLAND CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2545014014** / INVOICE NO: BN200000710

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 13, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: RAYMOND ZARGARYAN TR

MAILING ADDRESS: 9856 SUNLAND BLVD

SUNLAND CA 91040 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 9856 SUNLAND BLVD

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2545014024 / INVOICE NO: BN200000711

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 13, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: STEPHANIE L MINES

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 4498

SUNLAND CA 91041

SITUS ADDRESS: 8823 RIDERWOOD DR

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2547003015** / INVOICE NO: BN200000733

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: DESANTIS, JOY E TR JOY E DESANTIS TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 8829 RIDERWOOD DR

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 8829 RIDERWOOD DR

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2547003016 / INVOICE NO: BN200000734

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: OH, JIN SOOK TR JIN SOOK OH TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 3921 MARKRIDGE RD

LA CRESCENTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: 8911 RIDERWOOD DR

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2547030003 / INVOICE NO: BN200000744

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant has not owned the parcel since December of 2018 and therefore is not responsible for hazards present on the parcel in 2019. Accordingly, the Noncompliance Fee is reversed.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: TAMAZYAN, SAMUEL AND LARISA

MAILING ADDRESS: 09063 RIDERWOOD DR

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 9063 RIDERWOOD DR

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2547031007 / INVOICE NO: BN200000746

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors on June 11, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: GEORGE J GAMBLE

MAILING ADDRESS: 9915 MCBROOM ST

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 9915 MCBROOM ST

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2549006012 / INVOICE NO: BN200000754

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required and believe they were told they were in compliance by the LAFD.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: GAMBLE, JACQUELYN AND GEORGE

MAILING ADDRESS: 09915 MCBROOM ST

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 9919 West MCBROOM ST

SUNLAND CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2549006016** / INVOICE NO: BN200000757

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: SIRIKINGKAEW, SAHARATA AND SIRIKING, NIDA

MAILING ADDRESS: 09515 HILLROSE ST

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 9515 HILLROSE ST

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2549012002** / INVOICE NO: BN200000763

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 11, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view the status of your parcel in a given year, please go to vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: AKOPIAN, PAUL P AND JENIK TRS AKOPIAN FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 03217 DORA VERDUGO DR

GLENDALE CA 91208

SITUS ADDRESS: 9600 West WENTWORTH ST

SUNLAND CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2549012005** / INVOICE NO: BN200000765

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 11, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: GARABEDIAN ANNIE

MAILING ADDRESS: 11215 SUNBURST ST

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 9360 West WAYSIDE DR

SUNLAND CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2549017013 / INVOICE NO: BN200000774

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors on June 11, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: SZABO, TAMAS AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 01875 CENTURY PARK E STE 600

LOS ANGELES CA 90067

SITUS ADDRESS: VL @ 10100 North SUNLAND BLVD

SUNLAND CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2549020020** / INVOICE NO: BN200000776

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant contends that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 2549020020. The boundaries of 2549020020 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 2549020020. It is the Appellant's responsibility to make sure that the records held by the County assessor are accurate. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: BRUTE TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 3667 HONOLULU AVE

LA CRESCENTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: 10400 JOHANNA AVE

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2549025016** / INVOICE NO: BN200000782

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 12, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: LILIAN PINHO

MAILING ADDRESS: 11400 SKYLAND RD

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L SW of 8200 BIG TUJUNGA CYN RD

SUNLAND CA 91400

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2551011007** / INVOICE NO: BN200000795

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 29, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: KIRAKOSYAN,SUSANNA

MAILING ADDRESS: 11100 SCOVILLE AVE

SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 11100 SCOVILLE AVE

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2551019006 / INVOICE NO: BN200000799

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: MARDIROSIAN HAYASTAN A (TE)

MAILING ADDRESS: 7731 GROVE ST

TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 7731 GROVE ST

LOS ANGELES 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2552021009 / INVOICE NO: BN200000811

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: ROZIK BAGHRAMIAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 11003 IRMA AVE

TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 11003 IRMA AVE

LOS ANGELES 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2552040021 / INVOICE NO: BN200000816

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant does not know if he received the noncompliance notices and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: DILANCHYAN RAYMOND AND AGHAYAN POULET

MAILING ADDRESS: 10837 OWENS PL

TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 10837 OWENS PL

LOS ANGELES 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2553007020 / INVOICE NO: BN200000819

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the **\$508.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Appellant did not own the parcel at the time that hazards were cited. All notices to clear and abate were properly sent to the owner of record at the time that the hazards existed.

At issue is whether the Appellant had sufficient notice of citations issued against the parcel before their possession and property interest such that the Appellant, as the new owner of parcel 2553007020 would now be obligated to remit payment. A citation that is properly recorded as an encumbrance with the County Assessor, runs with the land and would be the responsibility of subsequent owners until satisfied and canceled. In this instance, it is not clear that the encumbrance at issue, the Noncompliance Fee, was recorded as a lien at the time the Appellant purchased the parcel. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: LIDA ARMEN

MAILING ADDRESS: 10829 OWENS PL

TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 10829 OWENS PL

LOS ANGELES 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2553007021 / INVOICE NO: BN200000820

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the **\$508.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant provided photographs in an effort to prove that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 2553007021. The boundaries of 2553007021 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 2553007021. It is the Appellant's responsibility to make sure that the records held by the County assessor are accurate. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than August 11, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on August 23, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: MIN JU JUNG

MAILING ADDRESS: 1065 LOMITA BLVD #148

HARBOR CITY GA 90710 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT 10700 SAMOA

TUJUNGA CA 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2553009012 / INVOICE NO: BN200000821

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared by June 30, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: MIN JU JUNG

MAILING ADDRESS: 1065 LOMITA BLVD #148

HARBOR CITY CA 90710 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 10710 North SAMOA AVE

SUNLAND CA 91402

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2553009013** / INVOICE NO: BN200000822

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared on June 30, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: MIN JU JUNG

MAILING ADDRESS: 1065 LOMITA BLVD #148

HARBOR CITY CA 90710 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 10708 North SAMOA AVE

SUNLAND CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2553009014** / INVOICE NO: BN200000823

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the property on June 30, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: MIN JU JUNG

MAILING ADDRESS: 1065 LOMITA BLVD #148

HARBOR CITY CA 90710 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 10702 North SAMOA AVE

SUNLAND CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2553009016 / INVOICE NO: BN200000824

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property was cleared on June 30, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: AGUILAR, ADRIAN AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 06716 APPERSON ST

TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 10164 JARDINE AVE

LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2559014022 / INVOICE NO: BN200000828

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the the tree is not on their property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: REZAEI,FARIDEH

MAILING ADDRESS: 00144 VERDUGO RD

GLENDALE CA 91206 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L@ 9737 North OAK BEND DR

SUNLAND CA 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2563038022 / INVOICE NO: BN200000854

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than July 21, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on July 22, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: KHANJIAN GARBIS AND TINA

MAILING ADDRESS: 9540 CEDARVALE RD

TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 9540 CEDARVALE RD

LOS ANGELES 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2564032001 / INVOICE NO: BN200000871

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts (1) it is hard to distinguish between his property and his neighbor's, (2) the property was cleared three times in 2019, and (3) an LAFD Inspector verbally said they were in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: BEGLARYAN,HRACH
MAILING ADDRESS: 01355 ALAMEDA AVE
GLENDALE CA 91201

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6125 West SISTER ELSIE DR

TUJUNGA CA 91402

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569014035** / INVOICE NO: BN200000892

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and were unaware of their responsibility to clear brush from an adjacent easement of the City.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 09:30 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: BEGLARYAN,HRACH
MAILING ADDRESS: 01355 ALAMEDA AVE

GLENDALE CA 91201

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6127 West SISTER ELSIE DR

TUJUNGA CA 91402

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569014036** / INVOICE NO: BN200000893

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and were unaware of their responsibility to clear brush from an adjacent easement of the City.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: BEGLARYAN,HRACH MAILING ADDRESS: 01355 ALAMEDA AVE

GLENDALE CA 91201

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6141 West SISTER ELSIE DR

TUJUNGA CA 91402

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2569014046 / INVOICE NO: BN200000894

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and were unaware of their responsibility to clear brush from an adjacent easement of the City.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

HEARING DATE: July 6, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: BALAH, ROSTYSLAV

MAILING ADDRESS: 00642 GLENMORE BLVD

GLENDALE CA 91206 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6435 West BLANCHARD CYN RD

TUJUNGA CA 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2569019038 / INVOICE NO: BN200000896

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: MEHRABI,M REZA AND STERN,RACHEL D

MAILING ADDRESS: 10517 HAINES CANYON AVE

TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 10517 HAINES CANYON AVE

LOS ANGELES 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2569024047 / INVOICE NO: BN200000898

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors on May 8, 2019, it is clear that any efforts the Appellant may have made to abate hazardous conditions did not meet the 2019 brush requirements. The complete clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 29, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 17, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: HENRIK GREGORIAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 7010 ESTEPA DR

TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 7010 ESTEPA DR

LOS ANGELES 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2572032025 / INVOICE NO: BN200000908

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: PEREZ,ARMANDO O AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 13556 POLK ST

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 13556 POLK ST

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2582013009 / INVOICE NO: BN200000938

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No Mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors on July 2, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: RUIZ 2017 FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 14027 CLAYWOOD AVE

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 14027 CLAYWOOD AVE

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2582018006 / INVOICE NO: BN200000945

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that debris bags were removed and LAFD staff cleared their property from additional inspections.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The appeal is recommended to be granted. LAFD records show that compliance was achieved the day the second inspection was scheduled, and therefore was not required. This was confirmed by correspondence by LAFD staff.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: ELVIRA VALENZUELA

MAILING ADDRESS: 14109 CLAYWOOD AVE

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 14109 CLAYWOOD AVE

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2582018013 / INVOICE NO: BN200000946

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned and the record shows that on June 8, 2019, notice was posted on the Appellant's front door. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 8, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: DELLA R DAVID

MAILING ADDRESS: 14120 BADGER AVE

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 14120 BADGER AVE

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2582018036 / INVOICE NO: BN200000949

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush after failing their reinspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: DUNG P LE

MAILING ADDRESS: 849 CRESCENT DR

MONROVIA CA 91016

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 13322 West TREGO ST

SYLMAR CA 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2582019045 / INVOICE NO: BN200000950

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their brush was cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 3, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: LISBERG EUNICE S LIVING TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 5298 BELL AVE

JURUPA VALLEY CA 92509

SITUS ADDRESS: 13871 GRABER AVE

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2582021003 / INVOICE NO: BN200000952

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive the first notice and that the brush was cleared after receiving the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: MANRIQUEZ, JULIAN R AND NADINE M

MAILING ADDRESS: 14131 TUCKER AVE

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 14131 TUCKER AVE

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2582024001** / INVOICE NO: BN200000953

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he did not receive a second notice and that he cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: PEREZ RIGOBERTO AND PAREDES MARISSA

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 18852

ENCINO CA 91416

SITUS ADDRESS: 13892 North TUCKER AVE

SUNLAND CA 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2582027048 / INVOICE NO: BN200000959

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: NEREIDA A ORTEGA

MAILING ADDRESS: 15854 YARNELL ST

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 15854 YARNELL ST

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2582030026** / INVOICE NO: BN200000962

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required and claim financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: WOODY, DARRELL AND CHERRY

MAILING ADDRESS: 13476 POLK ST

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 13476 POLK ST

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2582031056 / INVOICE NO: BN200000965

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as required however the Appellant has provided documentation evidencing undue hardship. Accordingly, the noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: WORLD STATUS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 7317 RESEDA BLVD

RESEDA CA 91335 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 14936 SAN FERNANDO RD

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601003016 / INVOICE NO: BN200000972

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did no receive a second notice and that some brush was across a fence adjacent to a railroad track and too dangerous to clear.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 26, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: PSYCHOLOGICAL CORP

MAILING ADDRESS: 5950 CANOGA AVE STE 500

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L NW of 17924 West TUSCAN CT

GRANADA HILLS 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2601008010** / INVOICE NO: BN200000973

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices for certain properties and that they cleared the property regardless.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: PSYCHOLOGICAL CORP

MAILING ADDRESS: 5950 CANOGA AVE STE 500

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S of 17915 West TUSCAN CT

GRANADA HILLS 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601009019 / INVOICE NO: BN200000975

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were notified of noncompliance for just one of their two adjoining properties but had them both cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Mail was sent to the address listed with the County Assessor. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geotagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: ARUTYUNYAN, SAKO AND MARGARIT TRS ARUTYUNYAN FAMILY

TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 720 CAVANAGH RD

GLENDALE CA 91207

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 18015 DALI DR

GRANADA HILLS 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601023065 / INVOICE NO: BN200000979

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their brush was cleared after the first notice of noncompliance and that they informed the LAFD of the clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: NALBANDIAN, ARAM AND MARGARIT

MAILING ADDRESS: 17591 REGENCY WAY

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 17591 REGENCY WAY

LOS ANGELES 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2601027034** / INVOICE NO: BN200000982

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the house was under construction and nobody was living on the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: MEMON ASMA M

MAILING ADDRESS: 19136 CASTLEBAY LN

PORTER RANCH CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 17853 RIDGEWAY RD

LOS ANGELES 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601032045 / INVOICE NO: BN200000991

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete. Appellant was the owner of record/responsible person at the time that re-inspection occurred.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 11, 2019.

Photographs taken on September 17, 2019, at 2:30 pm, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: EDWARD F MCGONAGLE

MAILING ADDRESS: 11586 VIMY RD

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 11586 VIMY RD

LOS ANGELES 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601038056 / INVOICE NO: BN200000997

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the LAFD Inspector cleared their property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The LAFD Inspector provided an email to the Appellant verifying compliance, so no additional charges are warranted.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: BILLOO NAEEM

MAILING ADDRESS: 10545 WHITE OAK AVE

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 11534 JENNY LN

LOS ANGELES 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601040073 / INVOICE NO: BN200001000

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required after the initial notice of noncompliance and that the property was then sold.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: PAUL ZEROUNIAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 18522 OLDENBURG LN

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 17372 SUNSET RIDGE CIR

LOS ANGELES 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601052043 / INVOICE NO: BN200001004

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 17, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: TRACE, JAMES L JR TR JAMES L TRACE JR TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 17558 MAHONEY PL

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 17558 MAHONEY PL

GRANADA HILLS 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601058001 / INVOICE NO: BN200001005

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices, that the brush was cleared and that they were told by the LAFD that their property would be cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: CIFUENTES, ANGEL A AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 17666 BRYAN PL

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 17666 BRYAN PL

LOS ANGELES 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601058013 / INVOICE NO: BN200001008

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they paid to clear the brush and attempted to contact LAFD after receiving a notice of noncompliance to no avail.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: DESERT CANDLE LP

MAILING ADDRESS: 01000 S DOVE ST

NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

SITUS ADDRESS: LOT @ 12166 North HIGHWATER RD

GRANDA HILLS CA 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601077002 / INVOICE NO: BN200001015

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices of noncompliance and contracted for the brush removal, which was done.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

In this case, the record shows that the first and second notices went to a different address than that of Appellant, denying Appellant proper notice. For these reasons, it is recommended that the assessment be dismissed.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: DESERT CANDLE LP

MAILING ADDRESS: 01000 S DOVE ST

NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 12115 North SHOSHONE AVE

GRANDA HILLS CA 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601077009 / INVOICE NO: BN200001016

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices of noncompliance and that they had cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: DE LA MARE ENGINEERING INC

MAILING ADDRESS: 1910 1ST ST

SAN FERNANDO CA 91340

SITUS ADDRESS: 17011 FOOTHILL BLVD

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2603003005 / INVOICE NO: BN200001017

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that they clear the brush annually but that adjacent DWP properties are assumed to be their responsibility as well when they are not.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: .

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: MICHAEL ROTSTEN

MAILING ADDRESS: 14026 WAGON MOUND RD

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 14026 WAGON MOUND RD

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2603022026 / INVOICE NO: BN200001023

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts there were errors in the notices and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: HERRERA, JUAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 14176 SADDLETREE CT

SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 14176 SADDLETREE CT

LOS ANGELES 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2603024024 / INVOICE NO: BN200001027

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive the first notice and the brush was cleared as required in September 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: CHOPRA, BALRAJ AND NEELAM TRS CHOPRA FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 11936 LOUISE AVE

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 11936 LOUISE AVE

LOS ANGELES 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2606028036 / INVOICE NO: BN200001038

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant contends that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 2606028036. The boundaries of 2606028036 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 2606028036. It is the Appellant's responsibility to make sure that the records held by the County assessor are accurate. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: TOROSYAN, MARTIROS M

MAILING ADDRESS: 12647 NOLA PL

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 12647 North NOLA PL

GRANDA HILLS CA 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2608029046 / INVOICE NO: BN200001040

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared after the first notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: October 9, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: KEMMER, BRANDON L AND NICOLE L AND KEMMER, GARRY L AND

MARY A

MAILING ADDRESS: 10938 INDEPENDENCE AVE

CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 10938 INDEPENDENCE AVE

LOS ANGELES 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2706006002 / INVOICE NO: BN200001054

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant requests a reduction or payment plan. It is recommended that inquiries as to a payment plan be done outside the hearing process at the discretion of the City.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: KUMAR, NARINDER AND NANDINI

MAILING ADDRESS: 20700 NORTHRIDGE RD

CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 20700 NORTHRIDGE RD

LOS ANGELES 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2707002001 / INVOICE NO: BN200001058

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required and were told they were in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: ARORA, SUDESH K AND CHITRA TRS ARORA TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 11035 WINNETKA AVE

CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 11035 WINNETKA AVE

CHATSWORTH 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2707002015 / INVOICE NO: BN200001059

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: LARKIN, JAMES AND HANNA

MAILING ADDRESS: 08933 ENFIELD AVE

NORTHRIDGE CA 91325

SITUS ADDRESS: 9814 North BADEN AVE

CHATSWORTH CA 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2707003046 / INVOICE NO: BN200001064

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they forgot their property was as big as it is but later completed the brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: ROBERT C SPITZ

MAILING ADDRESS: 20645 CELTIC ST

CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 20645 CELTIC ST

LOS ANGELES 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2707033064 / INVOICE NO: BN200001068

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: JOHNSON, GORDON D AND ROMACK, CATHERINE A

MAILING ADDRESS: 19540 CHATSWORTH ST

NORTHRIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 19540 CHATSWORTH ST

LOS ANGELES 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2708005012 / INVOICE NO: BN200001069

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts brush was cleared by LA Parks and Recreation Department.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 13, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: GURNICK, DAVID C AND PAMELA B

MAILING ADDRESS: 19631 KINGSBURY ST

CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 19631 KINGSBURY ST

LOS ANGELES 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2708013018 / INVOICE NO: BN200001074

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was done as soon as could be scheduled.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: EPSTEIN, RUSSELL E

MAILING ADDRESS: 10549 NORTHRIDGE HILL DR

CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 10549 NORTHRIDGE HILL DR

LOS ANGELES 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2708015005 / INVOICE NO: BN200001076

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant contends that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 2708015005. The boundaries of 2708015005 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 2708015005. It is the Appellant's responsibility to make sure that the records held by the County assessor are accurate. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: SOODJANI,KAYHAN TR KAYHAN SOODJANI TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 10301 STEVEN PL

CHATSWORTH CA 91311 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 10301 STEVEN PL

LOS ANGELES 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2708026031 / INVOICE NO: BN200001091

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No Mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 29, 2019.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors, on June 6, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: HOVANESIAN, AREG AND ADRINEH

MAILING ADDRESS: 10332 STEVEN PL

CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 10332 STEVEN PL

LOS ANGELES 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2708026036** / INVOICE NO: BN200001092

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that notices were not received and the brush was cleared once they saw the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: NADIR,ADNAN AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 17920 RINALDI ST

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 17916 West RINALDI ST

GRANDA HILLS CA 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2715018039 / INVOICE NO: BN200001096

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and then grew back.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Brush clearance is a year-round requirement and must be cleared if it grows back.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: TONY J & QUYNH M TRAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 11160 NEW CASTLE AVENUE

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 11160 NEWCASTLE AVE

LOS ANGELES 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2715035057 / INVOICE NO: BN200001099

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were unaware of the brush clearance requirements when they purchased the property and that the notices were addressed to the former owner and were ignored.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 96

NAME: GHERMEZI, ROBIN

MAILING ADDRESS: 10859 ANDORA AVE

CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 10859 ANDORA AVE

LOS ANGELES 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2722004008** / INVOICE NO: BN200001102

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were ill and not aware of whether they received any noncompliance notices and that their tenant cleared the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 30, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 96

NAME: KUMOR,ANNA M

MAILING ADDRESS: 22245 CHATSWORTH ST

CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 22245 CHATSWORTH ST

LOS ANGELES 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2723006027 / INVOICE NO: BN200001108

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they clear the brush every year and they don't understand why they keep getting noncompliance notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: MOLLAREZA, CANDICE M

MAILING ADDRESS: 19257 CASTLEBAY LN

NORTHRIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 19257 CASTLEBAY LN

LOS ANGELES 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2820008003** / INVOICE NO: BN200001123

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they tried to do the work themselves but then hired someone to complete it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: SOODJANI,KAVEH S

MAILING ADDRESS: 19136 MERION DR

NORTHRIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 19136 MERION DR

LOS ANGELES 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2822034003 / INVOICE NO: BN200001130

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he was unaware of the full brush clearance requirements and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: MANSOUR, MANSOUR S AND NAGAT S

MAILING ADDRESS: 11325 YOLANDA AVE

NORTHRIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 11325 YOLANDA AVE

LOS ANGELES 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2871002008 / INVOICE NO: BN200001134

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant does not recall receiving notices and asserts that the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: TABAK, SOFIA

MAILING ADDRESS: 11434 YOLANDA AVE

NORTHRIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 11434 YOLANDA AVE

LOS ANGELES 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2871004018** / INVOICE NO: BN200001137

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: LEE, DONG H AND OH, ANGIE J

MAILING ADDRESS: 11453 YOLANDA AVE

NORTHRIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 11453 YOLANDA AVE

LOS ANGELES 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2871004026 / INVOICE NO: BN200001138

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared in June 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: SALVATORE, DAVID AND WILKINSON, KELLY A

MAILING ADDRESS: 11535 AMIGO AVE

NORTHRIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 11535 AMIGO AVE

LOS ANGELES 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2871006010 / INVOICE NO: BN200001140

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they never received photos or a report of their noncompliance until after receiving the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: FADIN,LUCIANA

MAILING ADDRESS: 18826 DUKAS ST

NORTHRIDGE CA 91326 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 18826 DUKAS ST

LOS ANGELES 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2871015010** / INVOICE NO: BN200001143

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: BHAIL, SABH S AND KALWANTY

MAILING ADDRESS: 18512 DYLAN ST

PORTER RANCH CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 18512 DYLAN STT

LOS ANGELES 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2872017019 / INVOICE NO: BN200001150

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared after the first notice of noncompliance and a second notice was not received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: SALVADOR H F & C LIVING TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 18518 ENTRADA CT

NORTHRIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 18518 ENTRADA CT

LOS ANGELES 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2872021012 / INVOICE NO: BN200001151

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared after the first notice of noncompliance and another notice was not received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: HAGOPIAN, HRAIR AND AGHAVNI

MAILING ADDRESS: 11755 CASTILLO LN

NORTHRIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 11755 CASTILLO LN

LOS ANGELES 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2872022032 / INVOICE NO: BN200001152

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush clearance was complete after the first notice and no additional notices were received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 67

NAME: GALES TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 7808 BERGER AVE

PLAYA DEL REY CA 90293

SITUS ADDRESS: 8007 HULBERT AVE

LOS ANGELES 90293

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4114021001 / INVOICE NO: BN200001153

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 67

NAME: RAFI AND ADA GIRGIS

MAILING ADDRESS: 1024 HARDING AVENUE STE 202

VENICE CA 90291 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 8104 South CALABAR AVE

PLAYA DEL REY CA 90293

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4115014022 / INVOICE NO: BN200001154

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 24, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 27, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 67

NAME: RAFI AND ADA GIRGIS

MAILING ADDRESS: 1024 HARDING AVENUE STE 202

VENICE CA 90291 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 8100 South CALABAR AVE

PLAYA DEL REY CA 90293

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4115014023 / INVOICE NO: BN200001155

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 24, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 27, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: PAUL W WYLIE - CEO

MAILING ADDRESS: 2811 STOKES CYN ROAD

SHERMAN OAKS CA 91302` USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1635 FERRARI DR

LOS ANGELES 90210

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4355004030 / INVOICE NO: BN200001163

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the property after receiving the first and second noncompliance notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: October 9, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: PROMETHEUS TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 1323 MORAGA DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 1323 MORAGA DR

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4368002023 / INVOICE NO: BN200001193

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and all that could be reasonably cleared was.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: BRANDON YADEGAR

MAILING ADDRESS: 1040 MONTEGO DRIVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90049 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1040 MONTEGO DR

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4368008016 / INVOICE NO: BN200001196

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 6, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: FANI, FARID AND FIROOZMAND, HENGAMEH

MAILING ADDRESS: 04531 LOUISE AVE

ENCINO CA 91316

SITUS ADDRESS: 1090 ACANTO PL

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4368009053 / INVOICE NO: BN200001200

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts no notices were received and the brush was cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: CHAMCHOUM, ERIC AND MANUELA S TRS E AND M S CHAMCHOUM

TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 2934 1/2 BEVERLY GLEN CIR #494

LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 811 LINDA FLORA DR

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4368023015 / INVOICE NO: BN200001208

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices as they changed their mailing address in 2017 and provided a copy of a filled out change of address form.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. While the form is dated by the Appellant in 2017, there is no stamp or evidence that it was submitted or accepted by the County Assessor. LAFD records show the mailing address was not changed until 2019, and the second notice was sent to the new address.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: SOUMEEH EBRAHIM

MAILING ADDRESS: 1743 ROSCOMARE RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 869 LINDA FLORA DR

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4368023021 / INVOICE NO: BN200001209

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors on June 23, 2019, it is clear that any efforts the Appellant may have made to abate hazardous conditions did not meet the 2019 brush requirements. The complete clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 12, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: RAWI BEAU G

MAILING ADDRESS: 11100 SANTA MONICA BLVD STE 525

LOS ANGELES CA 90025

SITUS ADDRESS: 985 LINDA FLORA DR

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4368024002 / INVOICE NO: BN200001212

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Appellant did not own the parcel, APN 4368024002 at the time that hazards were cited. All notices to clear and abate were properly sent to the owner of record at the time that the hazards existed.

At issue is whether the Appellant had sufficient notice of citations issued against the parcel prior to their possession and property interest such that the Appellant, as the new owner of parcel 4368024002 would now be obligated to remit payment. A citation that is properly recorded with the County Assessor as a lien, runs with the land and would be the responsibility of subsequent owners. However, in this instance, it is not clear that the encumbrance at issue, the Noncompliance Fee, was recorded as a lien at the time the Appellant purchased the parcel. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37

NAME: DARMIENTO, FRANK R III

MAILING ADDRESS: 01048 IRVINE AVE 369

NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

SITUS ADDRESS: 1177 LINDA FLORA DR

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4368026019 / INVOICE NO: BN200001216

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was completed after receiving notices of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37

NAME: ELIASER, JAMES R AND JULIE S

MAILING ADDRESS: 1041 CHANTILLY RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: 1041 CHANTILLY RD

LOS ANGELES 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4369035004 / INVOICE NO: BN200001224

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they completed the work after receiving notices of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37

NAME: BABAJOONI, DAVID AND CAROLIN TRS BABAJOONI FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 956 CHANTILLY RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 956 CHANTILLY RD

LOS ANGELES 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4369036014 / INVOICE NO: BN200001227

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did the brush clearance as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: FLEMAN MANNY (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 10702 LEVICO WAY

LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 10702 LEVICO WAY

LOS ANGELES 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4370007053 / INVOICE NO: BN200001231

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asked for the LAFD to contact their contractor who did the brush clearance on their property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: ASHLEY RIDGE LAND LP

MAILING ADDRESS: 9701 W PICO BLVD STE 201A

LOS ANGELES CA 90035

SITUS ADDRESS: 1200 BEL AIR RD

LOS ANGELES 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4370029013 / INVOICE NO: BN200001237

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 3, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: MACKAY MYRA L TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 4136 JEFFERSON ST

RIVERSIDE CA 92504

SITUS ADDRESS: 1557 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD

LOS ANGELES 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4371009015 / INVOICE NO: BN200001240

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they hired a landscaper to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: KIM, NANCY

MAILING ADDRESS: 10451 SANDALL LN

LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: 10451 SANDALL LN

LOS ANGELES 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4371010005 / INVOICE NO: BN200001241

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and were not able to hire a contractor for safety reasons due to COVID-19.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. The assessment is for inspections conducted in 2019, prior to COVID-19.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: PERATT, MERCEDES M TR PERATT TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 00614 AURORA DR

CLAREMONT CA 91711 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S.of 1301 North BEVERLY GLEN BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4371016006 / INVOICE NO: BN200001248

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made all efforts to clear the property and the work was done as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37

NAME: NGUYEN, ANDREW D AND CAO, KATIE T

MAILING ADDRESS: 9611 PORT CLYDE DR

HUNTINGTON BEACH CA 92646

SITUS ADDRESS: V.L @ 1379 North LINDA FLORA DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4377002009 / INVOICE NO: BN200001268

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts financial hardship and he cannot afford the payment.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Financial hardship is not a legal basis to dismiss the assessment. Appellant may have other payment options or installment plans available to them.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: JAYADEVAN, TALA AND RAJIV TRS TALA AND RAJIV JAYADEVAN

TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 2108 LINDA FLORA DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: 2139 ROSCOMARE RD

LOS ANGELES 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4377013011 / INVOICE NO: BN200001271

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared their brush after the first notice of noncompliance and that some tress were on their neighbor's property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: November 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: October 9, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37

NAME: FRIEDMAN, SIDNEY G TR FRIEDMAN TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 01331 LINDA FLORA DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90049 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1331 LINDA FLORA DR

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4377020009 / INVOICE NO: BN200001272

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts it is an active construction site and they feel they have cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: JOAN S CHEN

MAILING ADDRESS: 3006 TIFFANY CIR

LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: 3006 TIFFANY CIR

LOS ANGELES 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4379034020 / INVOICE NO: BN200001283

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that they believed the brush was cleared as required and that they were out of the country on family matters at different times of the year and had their mail held and may have missed the notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: BOUDAI KAYVAN AND GABAY NATALIE

MAILING ADDRESS: 2990 TIFFANY CIR

LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: 2990 TIFFANY CIR

LOS ANGELES 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4379034022 / INVOICE NO: BN200001284

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that his son-in-laws cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: CALIFORNIA RIAL LANE LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 49976

LOS ANGELES CA 90049 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 10541 West HEBRON LANE

LOS ANGELES CA 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4380018027 / INVOICE NO: BN200001291

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush and were told they were in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: HARRISON, WENDY

MAILING ADDRESS: 01847 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: 1847 N BEVERLY GLEN BLVD

LOS ANGELES 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4380019025 / INVOICE NO: BN200001292

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: DRISCOLL, WILLIAM N JR

MAILING ADDRESS: 00311 N ROBERTSON BLVD STE 155

BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 9841 West EASTON DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90210

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4383008030 / INVOICE NO: BN200001315

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that they did not receive any notice of noncompliance until it was given to them by their tenants and thereafter had the brush cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: NATALIE STONE

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 114

COTATI CA 94931

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @10034 West WESTWANDA DRR

LOS ANGELES CA 90210

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4383019009 / INVOICE NO: BN200001317

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not clear all items due to an appeal of their tree removal under CEQA.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

In this case, credible evidence was provided showing the tree removal under appeal and the delay was not due to the actions of the property owner.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: GENE SCHWAM

MAILING ADDRESS: 2956 DEEP CANYON DR

BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210

SITUS ADDRESS: 2956 DEEP CANYON DR

LOS ANGELES 90210

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4385006051 / INVOICE NO: BN200001328

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was completed on a timely basis.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: SOBEL, WILLIAM AND LISA

MAILING ADDRESS: 09749 BLANTYRE DR

BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210

SITUS ADDRESS: 9749 BLANTYRE DR

LOS ANGELES 90210

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4385018015 / INVOICE NO: BN200001331

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was done after receiving their first notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: M REZA ATIGEHCHI

MAILING ADDRESS: 2802 DEEP CANYON DR

BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210

SITUS ADDRESS: 2802 DEEP CANYON DR

LOS ANGELES 90210

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4385022029 / INVOICE NO: BN200001334

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he cleared the brush and was not sure what else needed to be done to gain compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: FLICKER LAND LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 9701 West PICO BLVD 201a

LOS ANGELES CA 90035 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: FLICKER WAY

LOS ANGELES 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4392006018 / INVOICE NO: BN200001346

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 8, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: JAMES H SCHNEIDER

MAILING ADDRESS: 9267 CORDELL DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: 9267 CORDELL DR

LOS ANGELES 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4392009012 / INVOICE NO: BN200001347

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: RICHARD HEINRICHS

MAILING ADDRESS: 463 N GREENCRAIG RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 457 North GREENGRAIG RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4403018017 / INVOICE NO: BN200001351

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts clearance was delayed due to their landscaping project and the work was corrected and completed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: BRIAN A HALPERN

MAILING ADDRESS: 552 N GREENCRAIG RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 552 N GREENCRAIG RD

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4403020007 / INVOICE NO: BN200001352

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property inspected and found noncompliant is not their sbut their neighbors and provided photos of their compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the appeal be granted. The photos in the record are of the neighboring property and was confirmed by Google earth photos of the address.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: NADA SAFADY

MAILING ADDRESS: 121 N ROCKINGHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 121 N ROCKINGHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4406001010 / INVOICE NO: BN200001355

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that added time was needed to address the oak trees on the property due to their protected status.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: WARD ELISABETH B AND TIMOTHY J

MAILING ADDRESS: 241 N ROCKINGHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 241 N ROCKINGHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4406002001 / INVOICE NO: BN200001356

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Appellant did not own the parcel, APN 4406002001 at the time that hazards were cited. All notices to clear and abate were properly sent to the owner of record at the time that the hazards existed.

At issue is whether the Appellant had sufficient notice of citations issued against the parcel prior to their possession and property interest such that the Appellant, as the new owner of parcel 4406002001 would now be obligated to remit payment. A citation that is properly recorded with the County Assessor as a lien, runs with the land and would be the responsibility of subsequent owners until it had been satisfied and canceled. However, in this instance, it is not clear that the encumbrance at issue, the Noncompliance Fee, was recorded as a lien at the time the Appellant purchased the parcel. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: LEMOINE, GORDON S

MAILING ADDRESS: 05300 BEACH BLVD #110-541

BUENA PARK CA 90621

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S. of 602 North KINGMAN AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90402

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4408036001 / INVOICE NO: BN200001360

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that they are being held responsible for the result of an illegal lot split and that the brush clearance may potentially destabilize the slope and any penalty should be voided.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: WILL ROGERS ESTATE LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 00201 W WILSHIRE BLVD 2ND FL

SANTA MONICA CA 90401

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S. of 1061 North WILL ROGERS STATE PARK ROAD

LOS ANGELES CA 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4409008008 / INVOICE NO: BN200001362

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 18, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 28, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: VLADIMIR TEVLOVSKI

MAILING ADDRESS: 171 PIER AVE #505

SANTA MONICA CA 90405 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1001 WILL ROGERS STATE PARK RD

LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4409008015 / INVOICE NO: BN200001363

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no notices because they have their mail forwarded to them and it may have been thrown out inadvertently. Appellant asserts they have since hired a contractor to clear the property going forward.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: JOHN F TAYLOR

MAILING ADDRESS: 14370 W SUNSET BLVD

PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272

SITUS ADDRESS: 14370 W SUNSET BLVD

LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4409012016 / INVOICE NO: BN200001367

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they didn't receive a second notice of noncompliance and that the brush was cleared over 6 months.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: CHABAD CAMPUS FOR JEWISH LIFE

MAILING ADDRESS: 17315 W SUNSET BLVD

PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 17311 West SUNSET BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4416003021 / INVOICE NO: BN200001389

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush on their property after receiving both notices of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: JWJ REAL ESTATE LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 2836 MAY AVE

REDONDO BEACH CA 90278

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 17564 West POSETANO ROAD

LOS ANGELES CA 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4416010016 / INVOICE NO: BN200001395

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 28, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 18, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: OMALLEY, JAMES AND GRACE

MAILING ADDRESS: 16321 PACIFIC COAST HWY UNIT 36

PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272

SITUS ADDRESS: VL@ 17561 West POSETANO ROAD

LOS ANGELES CA 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4416011020 / INVOICE NO: BN200001399

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, the initial notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 28, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 18, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: AMAR, MOTI

MAILING ADDRESS: 11024 BALBOA BLVD UNIT 105

GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 17828 West LECCO LANE

LOS ANGELES CA 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4416013018 / INVOICE NO: BN200001401

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete. The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Despite the seasonal nature of inspections, brush clearance is a year-round responsibility. On May 7, 2019, the parcel was inspected and found in violation. The Appellant was notified of the need to clear their parcel. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: CASTELLAMMARE OCEANVIEW LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 2731 S ROBERTSON BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90035 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 17884 CASTELLAMMARE DR

LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4416015001 / INVOICE NO: BN200001402

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 28, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 18, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: REVELLO LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 4207 S HUDSON PKWY

ENGLEWOOD CO 80113

SITUS ADDRESS: 17639 West REVELLO DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4416021040 / INVOICE NO: BN200001419

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and believes the LAFD made a mistake.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: GOLPA, MIKE M TR MIKE M GOLPA TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 16900 ENCHANTED PL

PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272

SITUS ADDRESS: 16900 ENCHANTED PL

LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4419001044 / INVOICE NO: BN200001420

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 23, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: KAYE STEINSAPIR

MAILING ADDRESS: 663 JACON WAY

PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272

SITUS ADDRESS: 663 JACON WAY

LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4419012054 / INVOICE NO: BN200001426

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they never received a second notice of noncompliance and made a good faith effort to clear their brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: RONALD W STENLAKE

MAILING ADDRESS: 8425 CRESTHILL RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: 1142 TELLEM DR

LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4420021023 / INVOICE NO: BN200001433

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not see the first notice of noncompliance and that it was sent in winter rather when they usually received it in the spring.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: December 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Brush clearance is a year round responsibility.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: 16680 CHARMEL LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 01719 STEWART ST

SANTA MONICA CA 90404

SITUS ADDRESS: 16680 CHARMEL LANE

PACIFIC PALISADES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4420024038 / INVOICE NO: BN200001440

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the LAFD may have been mistaken as to their property boundaries and that the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: DAVOODI,HOOMAN TR DSD TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 01416 LACHMAN LN

PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272

SITUS ADDRESS: 16770 CHARMEL LN

LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4420026007 / INVOICE NO: BN200001441

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 3, 2019.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: MICHEL W VALENTINE

MAILING ADDRESS: 16704 VIA PACIFICA

PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272

SITUS ADDRESS: 16581 VIA FLORESTA

LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4420028025 / INVOICE NO: BN200001443

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they're being held responsible for property that isn't theirs and their contact of the LAFD was ignored.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: ALMANZA RIDOLFI LIVING TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 1470 LACHMAN LN

PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272

SITUS ADDRESS: 1470 LACHMAN LN

LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4420035001 / INVOICE NO: BN200001445

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 3, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

You can review your property status at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: HEYMAN, JASON D AND HELENA T TRS HEYMAN TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 01467 VIA CRESTA

PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272

SITUS ADDRESS: 1467 VIA CRESTA

LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4420040009 / INVOICE NO: BN200001449

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 20, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: POLLARD, TRACY S

MAILING ADDRESS: 01424 CHAUTAUQUA BLVD

PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272

SITUS ADDRESS: 1424 CHAUTAUQUA BLVD

LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4422031025 / INVOICE NO: BN200001452

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he was late in clearing the property but that it took several people and trucks to accomplish it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: WILLIAM EDWARD AND JANICE LOUISE CRYSTAL

MAILING ADDRESS: 14531 West SUNSET BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90272 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 14531 West SUNSET BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4423024021 / INVOICE NO: BN200001455

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 10, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: CHILDRESS, JAMES AND SOMMER, AMY

MAILING ADDRESS: 01112 MONTANA AVE STE 610

SANTA MONICA CA 90403

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 1223 North AMALFI DR

WEST LOS ANGELES CA 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4425007009 / INVOICE NO: BN200001457

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was completed as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: JAMES KALMENSON

MAILING ADDRESS: 1880 KIMBERLY LN

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 1880 KIMBERLY LN

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4426012016 / INVOICE NO: BN200001463

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the same address. No mail was returned. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: ANTON AND NORMA BILCHIK TRS
MAILING ADDRESS: 1806 MANDEVILLE CANYON ROAD

LOS ANGELES CA 90049 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1808 MANDEVILLE CANYON RD

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4426020009 / INVOICE NO: BN200001465

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant contends that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 4426020009. The boundaries of 4426020009 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 4426020009. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 24, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 28, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: ABADI, DJALIL H AND MARYAM D

MAILING ADDRESS: 12443 W SUNSET BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 865 North NORMAN PLACE

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4429007037 / INVOICE NO: BN200001472

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the clearance was delayed by the unavailability of their contractor and confusion over the notices as there are several owners.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: PRESS, LEO C TR PRESS FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 00815 NORWAY LN

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 815 NORWAY LN

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4429013022 / INVOICE NO: BN200001476

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, the initial notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs of the hazards at issue, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 18, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: October 2, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: DK DEVELOPMENTS LLC C/O GORO LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 120 REEF MALL

MARINA DEL REY CA 90292

SITUS ADDRESS: 499 HALVERN DR

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4429021046 / INVOICE NO: BN200001481

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Notice was sent to the Appellant's address on file with the County Assessor, DK DEVELOPMENTS LLC C/O GORO LLC, 120 REEF MALL MARINA DEL REY CA 90292. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 18, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: ERNEST M LEE

MAILING ADDRESS: 11990 CHALON RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 11990 CHALON RD

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4429039010 / INVOICE NO: BN200001487

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their first contractor failed to gain compliance, however, their second contractor cleared the brush under the guidance of an LAFD Inspector.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: OSHANIN, HASSAN AND REZAIE, SAMIRA

MAILING ADDRESS: 03990 MANDEVILLE CANYON RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 3990 MANDEVILLE CANYON RD

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4490014006 / INVOICE NO: BN200001501

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required but they had difficulty putting together the information.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: MOUNTAINGATE LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 800 W 6TH ST 500

LOS ANGELES CA 90017

SITUS ADDRESS: 2132 North CANYONBACK RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4490024014 / INVOICE NO: BN200001504

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 27, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the same address. No mail was returned.

A review of the record does not indicate that the parcel had been noted as compliant by an Inspector at any point after the initial inspection. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 23, 2019. Brush clearance is a year-round responsibility and even after a parcel has been cleared, the Appellant is responsible for maintaining proper clearance.

Upon re-inspection on October 27, 2019, violation/s were present. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: MARVIN SLEVEN

MAILING ADDRESS: 13257 CHALON RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 13205 West CHALON RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4492007020 / INVOICE NO: BN200001510

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: HRANEK, NATHAN AND JENNIFER

MAILING ADDRESS: 02201 BANYAN DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 2201 BANYAN DR

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4492009022 / INVOICE NO: BN200001511

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were ordered to stop work on their hillside landscaping project, which was interpreted as also halting work that would be required by the brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. The stopwork order on the unpermitted landscaping did not negate the Appellant's responsibility to complete the required brush clearance.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: COHEN MANSOUR BEN

MAILING ADDRESS: 1135 S CARMELINA AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 2444 WESTRIDGE RD

LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4492026017 / INVOICE NO: BN200001514

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 23, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 29, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94

NAME: DUNNINGS, JAMES AND ROSEMARY TRS J M AND R DUNNINGS TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 4131 DON MARIANO DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90008

SITUS ADDRESS: 4131 DON MARIANO DR

LOS ANGELES 90008

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5026004010 / INVOICE NO: BN200001531

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their gardener clears the brush on a monthly basis and that the property was cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94

NAME: BENJAMIN, KATHERINE A AND SAMSON, JENNIFER L

MAILING ADDRESS: 04433 DON MIGUEL DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90008

SITUS ADDRESS: 4433 DON MIGUEL DR

LOS ANGELES 90008

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5026017001 / INVOICE NO: BN200001535

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and they attempted to confirm this with LAFD but received no response.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94

NAME: JETER, CARMELITA

MAILING ADDRESS: 05730 ALADDIN ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90008

SITUS ADDRESS: 5730 ALADDIN ST

LOS ANGELES 90008

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5029016029 / INVOICE NO: BN200001539

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 30, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 20, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 30, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94

NAME: KNIGHT, ROBERT O AND KNIGHT, EUGENE

MAILING ADDRESS: 18005 RAYEN ST

SHERWOOD FOREST CA 91325

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 5208 West SANCHEZ DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90008

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5029024026 / INVOICE NO: BN200001541

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 30, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 20, 2019.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors on June 30, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94

NAME: GAIL D PETERSON

MAILING ADDRESS: 1809 S VICTORIA AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90019

SITUS ADDRESS: 4137 MANTOVA DR

LOS ANGELES 90008

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5029036023 / INVOICE NO: BN200001544

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they never received any noncompliance notices and the property has never been noncompliant.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 30, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94

NAME: SUDOL, ANDREW T AND ALICIA

MAILING ADDRESS: 00137 E CARMEL VALLEY RD APT 1

CARMEL VALLEY CA 93924

SITUS ADDRESS: 4001 DON FELIPE DR

LOS ANGELES 90008

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5031012003 / INVOICE NO: BN200001549

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices, the work was done and financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: GARCIA, JESUS V

MAILING ADDRESS: 00584 E AVENUE 28

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 585 East CLIFTON ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5206018009 / INVOICE NO: BN200001566

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared and questions whether they are being responsible for their neighbor's brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 4, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: RIOS, DAVID A ET AL TRS JOSE AND LEOVIGILDA RIOS TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 3155 MINNESOTA ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

SITUS ADDRESS: 2811 PREWETT ST

LOS ANGELES 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5206024020 / INVOICE NO: BN200001582

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared and compliant as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: MANUEL GUZMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 3731 GRIFFIN AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

SITUS ADDRESS: 3731 GRIFFIN AVE

LOS ANGELES 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5207005004 / INVOICE NO: BN200001589

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was delayed due to medical issues.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: September 25, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: HULA FUNDING LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 10929 FIRESTONE BLVD NO 121

NORWALK CA 90650

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L NE of 3801 North GRIFFIN AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5207005014 / INVOICE NO: BN200001590

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property was sold on Nov. 26, 2019 and the new owner was responsible for the brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: November 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. The assessment is for the inspections, which took place before the reported sale.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: ZARA PARK

MAILING ADDRESS: 3538 GRIFFIN AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

SITUS ADDRESS: 3538 GRIFFIN AVE

LOS ANGELES 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5207021012 / INVOICE NO: BN200001596

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: TAVAKOLI,MEHRAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 04902 NE 139TH ST

VANCOUVER WA 98686

SITUS ADDRESS: VL @ 2731 North ABRIGO AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208014006 / INVOICE NO: BN200001614

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that they were unaware that their landscapers did not do the job to the City's satisfaction and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: BRAGG,ERIK

MAILING ADDRESS: 333 SCANNO DR

OAK PARK CA 91377

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2810 North PREWETT ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208015008 / INVOICE NO: BN200001615

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property was sold on April 12, 2019, prior to the first notice to clear the property and provided title transfer documents supporting the assertion.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

In this case, the Appellant provided sufficient proof that they did not own the property at the time the LAFD notices were sent. It is recommended that all penalties be waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: VIRGEN, VICTOR J

MAILING ADDRESS: 08205 CANTERBURY WAY

BUENA PARK CA 90620

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 3400 East POMONA ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208017023 / INVOICE NO: BN200001617

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work has not been done yet due to the COVID-19 shutdown.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: EDWARD R TAPIA

MAILING ADDRESS: 1613 CHELSEA ROAD UNIT 230

SAN MARINO CA 91108 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3100 North ALTA ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208018020 / INVOICE NO: BN200001619

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property was in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: VILLANUEVA,MLOU G

MAILING ADDRESS: 17539 ENADIA WAY

VAN NUYS CA 91406

SITUS ADDRESS: 3100 North LINCOLN PARK AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208019028 / INVOICE NO: BN200001625

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made every effort to comply.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: LOUIE, MUN M AND GLORIA

MAILING ADDRESS: 02516 LINCOLN PARK AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

SITUS ADDRESS: 2516 LINCOLN PARK AVE

LOS ANGELES 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208025003 / INVOICE NO: BN200001634

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: October 2, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: MABEL CHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 2519 ALTA ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

SITUS ADDRESS: 2519 ALTA ST

LOS ANGELES 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208027008 / INVOICE NO: BN200001640

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Photographs that were taken on June 13, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: ALFARO, SONIA A

MAILING ADDRESS: 00970 W 43RD ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90037

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3125 North EVA TER

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5209002003 / INVOICE NO: BN200001643

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush clearance was done as required and that the notice of noncompliance was in error.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: SAMAWI,MUSA S

MAILING ADDRESS: 940 CLOVERDALE DR

ANAHEIM CA 92808 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2820 North EVA TER

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5209004023 / INVOICE NO: BN200001652

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: QIAN LIU

MAILING ADDRESS: 830 KUHN DRIVE #210506

CHULA VISTA CA 91921 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2710 North SIERRA ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5209005008 / INVOICE NO: BN200001654

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

At issue is whether the Appellant was afforded proper notice. Notice of the 2019 inspection season was sent to the Appellant in April of 2019 at the new P.O. Box address provided by the Appellant. That mail was returned. Subsequent mail was sent out to the previously listed P.O. Box of record, 532067, and none of that mail was returned. The Appellant has also stated that P.O. Box 532067 is where notices of all fees associated with 2019 violations were received. Accordingly, the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: GEORGE LIANG AND MICHAEL CHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 15522 CLAYCLIFF COURT

LA PUENTE CA 91745 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3011`` North AMETHYST ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5209008003 / INVOICE NO: BN200001659

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The record reveals that the Appellant did not own the parcel at the time that citations were issued.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: HACOPIAN, VAHE CO TR HACOPIAN TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 00906 PENSHORE TER

GLENDALE CA 91207

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2665 North FOREST PARK DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5209021022 / INVOICE NO: BN200001696

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

The Appellant offered evidence of confusion regarding citations against the parcel at issue, APN 5209021022. A review of the Appellant's evidence reveals that the confusion was related to another of the Appellant's parcels. The parcel that is the subject of this Noncompliance Notice, APN 5209021022, was to be cleared no later than June 10, 2019. Geotagged photographs that were taken of APN 5209021022 on June 11, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: SMYTH, ANDREW E

MAILING ADDRESS: 645 S MCCADDEN PL

LOS ANGELES CA 90005

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2528 North FOREST PARK DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5209023012 / INVOICE NO: BN200001707

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: SMYTH, ANDREW E

MAILING ADDRESS: 645 S MCCADDEN PL

LOS ANGELES CA 90005

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2524 North FOREST PARK DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5209023013 / INVOICE NO: BN200001708

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MEILOON PROPERTIES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 713 W DUARTE RD G300

ARCADIA CA 91007

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4823 East HILLSDALE DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5213005026 / INVOICE NO: BN200001727

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was done but that illegal dumping required it to be done again.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: JSM LIVING LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 24653 CORDILLERA DR

CALABASAS CA 91302

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3686 North HARRIMAN AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5213006004 / INVOICE NO: BN200001728

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

All notices of noncompliance were mailed to the mailing address for JSM Living LLC. No mail was returned. The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 28, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: JSM LIVING LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 24653 CORDILLERA DR

CALABASAS CA 91302

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3680 North HARRIMAN AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5213006005 / INVOICE NO: BN200001729

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

All notices of noncompliance were mailed to the mailing address for JSM Living LLC. No mail was returned. The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 28, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: October 9, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: OH, SUZIE

MAILING ADDRESS: 05057 FULTON AVE

SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4731 East HUNTINGTON DR NORTH

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5213007003 / INVOICE NO: BN200001730

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared after receiving the noncompliance notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the **\$508.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: DOV LEHAVI

MAILING ADDRESS: 5771 VALERIE AVE

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4777 East TOPAZ ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5213024030 / INVOICE NO: BN200001739

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and that they received no second notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: EILEEN LEE

MAILING ADDRESS: 1313 N GRAND AVE 362

WALNUT CA 91789

SITUS ADDRESS: 4721 BUTTERFLY LN

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5213032012 / INVOICE NO: BN200001745

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 30, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: EASTGATE TOWN CENTER LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 491575

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L SW of 4531 East TOURMALINE ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5214005009** / INVOICE NO: BN200001762

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the properties were merged and that the received no notices regarding noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: October 2, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MESHKANIAN, GEORGE

MAILING ADDRESS: 02932 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 205

SANTA MONICA CA 90403

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L SW OF 4535 East TOURMALINE ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5214005010** / INVOICE NO: BN200001763

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 19, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: GRANILLO, JOSE E AND JOSEFINA

MAILING ADDRESS: 05445 HUBBARD ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90022

SITUS ADDRESS: 4349 East O'NEIL ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5214005022 / INVOICE NO: BN200001764

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they've always kept their two properties cleared and that they received no notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: HUNAG FANJIANG AND PING AND LAO QINGHUA

MAILING ADDRESS: 1406 S DEL MAR AVE UNIT 4

SAN GABRIEL CA 91776

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4516 North DUDLEY WAY

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5214008021 / INVOICE NO: BN200001767

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: VILLAVICENCIO ARMANDO J (TE)

MAILING ADDRESS: 10201 OVERHILL DR

SANTA ANA CA 92705

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4451 East KEWANEE ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5214010002 / INVOICE NO: BN200001776

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Brush clearance is a year-round responsibility and following the 2019 inspection, the clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MARTINEZ PEDRO P AND ALVAREZ DULCE M

MAILING ADDRESS: 267 E 49TH ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90011

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4555 East CARTER DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5214013027 / INVOICE NO: BN200001779

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the **\$508.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Appellant did not own the parcel at the time that hazards were cited.

At issue is whether the Appellant had sufficient notice of citations issued against the parcel before their possession and property interest such that the Appellant, as the new owner of parcel 5214013027 would now be obligated to remit payment. A citation that is properly recorded as an encumbrance with the County Assessor, runs with the land and would be the responsibility of subsequent owners until satisfied and canceled. In this instance, it is not clear that the encumbrance at issue, the Noncompliance Fee, was recorded as a lien at the time the Appellant purchased the parcel. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CIVASH MATTHEW LOGHMANI

MAILING ADDRESS: 5883 West 77TH PLACE

LOS ANGELES CA 90045 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4618 East BEDILION ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5214015002** / INVOICE NO: BN200001780

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16

NAME: SAMIA, HULBERT

MAILING ADDRESS: 01803 E WARDLOW RD UNIT 11

LONG BEACH CA 90807

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4932 East LA CALANDRIA WAY

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5216018013 / INVOICE NO: BN200001791

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Appellant declares that hazards on parcel 5216018013 were cleared in March of 2019. Accepting the Appellant's declaration as truth and upon reviewing photographs taken by the Inspector on May 11, 2019, brush hazards that may have been cleared in March were present in May. The brush hazards that were present on May 11, 2019, needed to be cleared no later than June 2, 2019. Despite the seasonal nature of inspections, brush clearance is a year-round responsibility. The Appellant was notified of the need to once more clear parcel 5216018013 via a notice that was mailed on May 13, 2019. No mail was returned.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 7, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16

NAME: GUILLERMO S GONZALEZ

MAILING ADDRESS: 2929 BALLARD ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: 2929 BALLARD ST

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5217008023 / INVOICE NO: BN200001796

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to complete the work as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: AIK MAY, NANCY T

MAILING ADDRESS: 02997 HAMPSHIRE CIR

CORONA CA 92879

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 5321 North LODGE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5302006005 / INVOICE NO: BN200001813

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they hired a contractor to complete the work and was unaware it wasn't done properly and was assured it was corrected the second time.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: BLAKELY, JEANNE A

MAILING ADDRESS: 05323 MONTEREY RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90042

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L@ 5319 North MONTEREY RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5302007010 / INVOICE NO: BN200001818

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: GONZALEZ ESTEFANIA AND ISMAEL

MAILING ADDRESS: 3924 MONTEREY RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: 3924 MONTEREY RD

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5302033001 / INVOICE NO: BN200001829

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Appellant did not own the parcel at the time that hazards were cited.

At issue is whether the Appellant had sufficient notice of citations issued against the parcel before their possession and property interest such that the Appellant, as the new owner of parcel 5302033001 would now be obligated to remit payment. A citation that is properly recorded as an encumbrance with the County Assessor, runs with the land and would be the responsibility of subsequent owners until satisfied and canceled. In this instance, it is not clear that the encumbrance at issue, the Noncompliance Fee, was recorded as a lien at the time the Appellant purchased the parcel. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: DAVID TRUJILLO

MAILING ADDRESS: 829 N OAKWAY AVE

SAN DIMAS CA 91773

SITUS ADDRESS: 4502 HOMER ST

LOS ANGELES 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303004004 / INVOICE NO: BN200001830

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: JOHN AND TARALEE HUNZIKER

MAILING ADDRESS: 860 MONTECITO DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90031 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4367 North LATONA AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303007001 / INVOICE NO: BN200001831

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: FLACKE, CHRISTOPHER J TR FLACKE FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 04026 BERENICE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

SITUS ADDRESS: 3932 North EVADALE DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303011015 / INVOICE NO: BN200001834

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive a second notice of noncompliance and that the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MILLOT, DIDIER

MAILING ADDRESS: 00252 SUMMERBROOKE CT

EL PASO TX 79932

SITUS ADDRESS: 4031 North ELDERBAND DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303012038 / INVOICE NO: BN200001836

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not get a response after asking the LAFD what the legal basis was for the assessment for failing the initial inspection after not receiving the initial notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MILLOT, DIDIER

MAILING ADDRESS: 00252 SUMMERBROOKE CT

EL PASO TX 79932

SITUS ADDRESS: 4033 North ELDERBANK DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303012039 / INVOICE NO: BN200001837

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant contacted the LAFD to dispute the legal basis for charging the initial inspection fee but did not get a response.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MILLOT, DIDIER

MAILING ADDRESS: 00252 SUMMERBROOKE CT

EL PASO TX 79932

SITUS ADDRESS: 4037 North ELDERBANK DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303012040 / INVOICE NO: BN200001838

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they asked the LAFD for the basis for the assessment and never got a response.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: LATROBE STREET LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 01560 HOMEWOOD DR

ALTADENA CA 91001

SITUS ADDRESS: 3925 North RUTHUPHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303014020 / INVOICE NO: BN200001839

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to clear all brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: December 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: LATROBE STREET LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 1624 WOODGLEN LANE

ALTADENA CA 91001 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3925 North RUTHUPHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303014032 / INVOICE NO: BN200001840

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to clear all brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: December 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: LATROBE STREET LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 1624 WOODGLEN LANE

ALTADENA CA 91001 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3919 North RUTHUPHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303014033 / INVOICE NO: BN200001841

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: December 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the **\$508.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: LATROBE STREET LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 1624 WOODGLEN LANE

ALTADENA CA 91001 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3919 North RUTHUPHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303014034 / INVOICE NO: BN200001842

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to remove all brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: December 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: LATROBE STREET LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1624 WOODGLEN LANE

ALTADENA CA 91001 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3919 North RUTHUPHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303014035 / INVOICE NO: BN200001843

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to remove all brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: December 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: LATROBE STREET LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 1624 WOODGLEN LANE

ALTADENA CA 91001 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3911 North RUTHUPHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303014036 / INVOICE NO: BN200001844

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to clear all brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: December 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: LATROBE STREET LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 1624 WOODGLEN LANE

ALTADENA CA 91001 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3911 North RUTHUPHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303014037 / INVOICE NO: BN200001845

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: December 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: LATROBE STREET LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 1624 WOODGLEN LANE

ALTADENA CA 91001 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3911 North RUTHUPHAM AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303014038 / INVOICE NO: BN200001846

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to clear the property as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: December 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: PETRA G LOPEZ

MAILING ADDRESS: 9056 GUNN AVE

WHITTIER CA 90605 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4381 North LATONA AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5304001012 / INVOICE NO: BN200001847

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: PETRA G LOPEZ

MAILING ADDRESS: 9056 GUNN AVE

WHITTIER CA 90605 United States

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L@ 4385 North LATONA AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5304001013 / INVOICE NO: BN200001848

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and that they received no second notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: EDWARD A ZAMARRIPA

MAILING ADDRESS: 4358 LATONA AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4352 North LATONA AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5304002015 / INVOICE NO: BN200001851

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they believe they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)

MAILING ADDRESS: 2725 VIA VICTORIA

PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274

SITUS ADDRESS: 4214 N. MONTECITO DR.

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5304002026 / INVOICE NO: BN200001852

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)

MAILING ADDRESS: 2725 VIA VICTORIA

PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274

SITUS ADDRESS: 4227 N. MONTECITO DR.

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5304003017 / INVOICE NO: BN200001855

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant Protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)

MAILING ADDRESS: 2725 VIA VICTORIA

PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274

SITUS ADDRESS: 4223 North MONTECITO DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5304003018 / INVOICE NO: BN200001856

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)

MAILING ADDRESS: 2725 VIA VICTORIA

PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274

SITUS ADDRESS: 4219 North MONTECITO DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5304003019 / INVOICE NO: BN200001857

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)

MAILING ADDRESS: 2725 VIA VICTORIA

PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274

SITUS ADDRESS: 4215 North MONTECITO DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5304003020 / INVOICE NO: BN200001858

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: TRAVIS, ASHLEY G AND BERRY, JUDITH G

MAILING ADDRESS: 03795 ROBERTA ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

SITUS ADDRESS: 3795 ROBERTA ST

LOS ANGELES 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5304011011 / INVOICE NO: BN200001868

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: ANA M PARADA, AND EDWIN E GARCIA,

MAILING ADDRESS: 01217 VIRGIL AVE UNIT 3

LOS ANGELES CA 90029 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT 4113 E MERCURY AVENUE

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5304033017 / INVOICE NO: BN200001876

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Based on photographs taken by the Inspectors on June 11, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: WAGNER, JOSEPH AND VON BOZZAY, VANESSA L

MAILING ADDRESS: 03632 ARMOUR AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: 3632 ARMOUR AVE

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5305004008 / INVOICE NO: BN200001881

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant posits that there is confusion regarding the cited hazards believing that an aerial inspection of their parcel misrepresents edible crops for brush hazards. Upon review of the photographs taken on the ground by the Inspector at the time of inspection, the hazards at issue were identified as separate from the edible crops and included the dried brush surrounding the crops and the failure to maintain five feet of vertical clearance between the roof surface and trees. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 17, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: PARKER ANDREW AND JEANNA E

MAILING ADDRESS: 3737 ROLLE ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90031

SITUS ADDRESS: 3737 ROLLE ST

LOS ANGELES 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5305014006 / INVOICE NO: BN200001889

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they purchased the property after the inspections and received no notices. Appellant provided evidence showing the property transfer occurred after the inspections.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Evidence shows the Appellant purchased the property after the inspection dates, therefore it is recommended that the assessment be waived due to lack of notice and ownership at the time of the inspections.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: JIMENEZ, HARVEY AND LYDA TRS JIMENEZ FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 04161 SOMERS AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 4116 MERCURY AVE

LOS ANGELES 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5305015038 / INVOICE NO: BN200001894

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that the health and age of their parents prevented the timely clearance of brush and that they have always been compliant.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: ROYAL TOWN DEVELOPMENT LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 91 VERDE ROSA DRIVE

HENDERSON NV 89011 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 4463 W ROSE HILL DR

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5305022019 / INVOICE NO: BN200001903

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the Appellant's address of record that was on file with the County Assessor in 2019. It is the Appellant's responsibility to notify the County Assessor of any change of address. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 18, 2019.

Upon re-inspection, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: ROYAL TOWN DEVELOPMENT LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 91 VERDE ROSA DRIVE

HENDERSON NV 89011 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 4457 W ROSE HILL DR

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5305022020 / INVOICE NO: BN200001904

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the Appellant's address of record that was on file with the County Assessor in 2019. It is the Appellant's responsibility to notify the County Assessor of any change of address. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 18, 2019.

Upon re-inspection, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: HENRY J CORTEZ

MAILING ADDRESS: 4218 MERCURY AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: 4218 MERCURY AVE

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5305027017 / INVOICE NO: BN200001911

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 14, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CLAUSTRO, CLAUDIA

MAILING ADDRESS: 04600 TOPAZ ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: 4602 TOPAZ ST

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5305041032 / INVOICE NO: BN200001914

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and cleared their brush as required and other brush was the requirement of the City.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CLAUDIA CLAUSTRO

MAILING ADDRESS: 4600 TOPAZ ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: 4600 TOPAZ ST

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5305041033 / INVOICE NO: BN200001915

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and that the City's trees overhang their property and they are not responsible for them.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: VIDALES, RUDY A

MAILING ADDRESS: 04642 COLLIS AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: 4000 North HELLMAN AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5306003014 / INVOICE NO: BN200001917

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they contracted to have the brush cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CULLEN, JAMES A CO TR CULLEN FAMILYM TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 237 PASQUAL AVE

SAN GABRIEL CA 91775

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4053 North HARRIMAN AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5306004022 / INVOICE NO: BN200001918

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant does not dispute the inspection fees but disputes the clearance of the property by City contractors.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MARTIN,BARBARA A

MAILING ADDRESS: 706 RIVERSIDE DR UNIT 4D

NEW YORK NY 10031

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4036 North RANDOLPH AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5306008005 / INVOICE NO: BN200001924

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant Protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 10, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MARTIN,BARBARA A

MAILING ADDRESS: 706 RIVERSIDE DR UNIT 4D

NEW YORK NY 10031

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4032 North RANDOLPH AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5306008006 / INVOICE NO: BN200001925

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 10, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: PUEBLO PROPERTIES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 4327 E CESAR CHAVEZ AVENUE

LOS ANGELES CA 90022 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3916 North PUEBLO AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5307002009 / INVOICE NO: BN200001944

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Each notice of noncompliance was mailed to the previous owner of the parcel depriving the Appellant of sufficient notice.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: JUAREZ,ANDY

MAILING ADDRESS: 03964 VAN HORNE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W. of 4215 North CORONA DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5307007014 / INVOICE NO: BN200001953

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 4, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the **\$508.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Appellant has raised the issue of proper notice. A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the Appellant's address of record. No mail was returned.

Additionally, the Appellant contends that the cited hazards were not within the boundaries of their parcel APN 5307007014. The boundaries of 5307007014 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 5307007014. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 29, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on October 4, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: October 2, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MI CASA PROPERTY LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 1330 OAK VIEW AVE

SAN MARINO CA 91108

SITUS ADDRESS: N/A

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5307007016 / INVOICE NO: BN200001954

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they do not own the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Per LAFD records, it was confirmed that the Appellant sent the notices does not own the property, therefore the assessment should be dismissed.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CATHERINE C GARZA

MAILING ADDRESS: 5017 NOVGOROD ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: 5017 NOVGOROD ST

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5307011033 / INVOICE NO: BN200001956

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and the owner died in November 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: TSE, JACKSON AND LIM, ELAINE

MAILING ADDRESS: 01922 HANSCOM DR

SOUTH PASADENA CA 91030

SITUS ADDRESS: 5215 East ALMONT ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5309002027 / INVOICE NO: BN200001960

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: TSE, JACKSON AND LIM, ELAINE

MAILING ADDRESS: 01922 HANSCOM DR

SOUTH PASADENA CA 91030

SITUS ADDRESS: 5209 East ALMONT ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5309002028 / INVOICE NO: BN200001961

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: GUTIERREZ, ANA M

MAILING ADDRESS: 04217 N FIGUEROA ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 4417 RICHARD DR

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5309009011 / INVOICE NO: BN200001968

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MEDINA, RAYMOND TR

MAILING ADDRESS: 9073 EVERGREEN CT

FONTANA CA 92335 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 4502 LOWELL AVE

LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5309020014 / INVOICE NO: BN200001977

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant disputes that notices were received and the extent of the brush clearance as it related to certain trees.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: LORETTA ALMAZAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 1631 LOBDELL PL

LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 1631 LOBDELL PL

LOS ANGELES 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5419001014 / INVOICE NO: BN200001992

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: SHLOMI DAVID AND DANIEL

MAILING ADDRESS: 1637 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 1637 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5419003011 / INVOICE NO: BN200001996

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: BRIGHOUSE, JEB AND SONDRA C

MAILING ADDRESS: 02240 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 1950 CERRO GORDO ST

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5420008035 / INVOICE NO: BN200002007

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than July 14, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on August 16, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: NANCY J SHULER

MAILING ADDRESS: 2280 EARL STREET

LOS ANGELES CA 90039 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 2323 LOMA VISTA PL

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5422008001 / INVOICE NO: BN200002028

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required and received no noncompliance notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

LAFD records show that notices were not sent to the correct address, thus Appellant was not afforded due process. It is recommended that the assessment be waived in the interests of justice.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: CHRISTINE M LEPERA

MAILING ADDRESS: 3545 ANGELUS AVE

GLENDALE CA 91208

SITUS ADDRESS: 2236 GLENDALE BLVD

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5422011001 / INVOICE NO: BN200002036

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: ARNOLD,HOPE

MAILING ADDRESS: 02376 LOMA VISTA PL

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L NEXT TO 2376 LOMA VISTA PL.,

LOS ANGELES, CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5422011006 / INVOICE NO: BN200002037

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 21, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 21, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: ARNOLD,HOPE

MAILING ADDRESS: 02376 LOMA VISTA PL

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2376 LOMA VISTA PL

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5422011007 / INVOICE NO: BN200002038

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: O SHEA,BRIAN C TR BRIAN O SHEA TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 2253 COVE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2253 COVE AVE

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5422013006 / INVOICE NO: BN200002044

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Appellant contends that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 5422013006. The boundaries of 5422013006 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 5422013006. It is the Appellant's responsibility to make sure that the records held by the County assessor are accurate. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 23, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: MCLEOD, LENORE M TR LENORE M MCLEOD TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 02274 ALLESANDRO ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2274 ALLESANDRO ST

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5422025026 / INVOICE NO: BN200002048

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: October 9, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: CASTORINO, HORTENSE F TR HORTENSE F CASTORINO TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 02122 CLIFFORD ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 2122 CLIFFORD ST

LOS ANGELES 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5423004018 / INVOICE NO: BN200002050

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they have medical issues and have been ill, and that their address book was stolen and they couldn't get a hold of their usual gardener. Additionally, Appellant asserts they attempted to comply in good faith.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: DENNIS LIM

MAILING ADDRESS: 2116 CLIFFORD ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 2116 CLIFFORD ST

LOS ANGELES 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5423004019 / INVOICE NO: BN200002051

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they completed the brush clearance as required in August.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: JEAN R MILANT

MAILING ADDRESS: 2047 PARK DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 1766 GLENDALE BLVD

LOS ANGELES 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5423005001 / INVOICE NO: BN200002052

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 15, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: WAYSER, HENESSY CO TR WAYSER FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 3940 LAUREL CANYON BLVD UNIT 466

STUDIO CITY CA 91604

SITUS ADDRESS: 2305 EFFIE ST

LOS ANGELES 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5423007008 / INVOICE NO: BN200002055

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not own the property until 2020, after the noncompliance notices and inspections for 2019, which should be the responsibility of the prior owner. Appellant provided proof of property transfer documents dated 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment against Appellant be waived as they were not the property owner in 2019 when the inspections and assessment attached to the property.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: CARLOS COTA

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 2207

HOLLYWOOD CA 90078

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2252 West AARON ST

LOS ANGELES, CA 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5423007033 / INVOICE NO: BN200002056

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant contends that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 5423007033. The boundaries of 5423007033 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 5423007033. It is the Appellant's responsibility to make sure that the records held by the County assessor are accurate. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: LE ANTHONY

MAILING ADDRESS: 1815 SILVERWOOD TERRACE

LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 1815 North SILVERWOOD TER

LOS ANGELES CA 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5423019005 / INVOICE NO: BN200002060

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared and it was recommended that he not trim the tree which might lead to it being unbalanced.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: MCKENNA FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 1525 SILVERWOOD TER

LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 1525 SILVERWOOD TER

LOS ANGELES 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5424008012 / INVOICE NO: BN200002067

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts all hazards were removed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: MENDEZ, BRENDEN AND ALANA J

MAILING ADDRESS: 01416 N OCCIDENTAL BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 1416 N OCCIDENTAL BLVD

LOS ANGELES 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5424011024 / INVOICE NO: BN200002073

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts all hazards were removed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35

NAME: GRIFFITH PARK SLS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 675 RIM ROAD

PASADENA CA 911707 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1754 GRIFFITH PARK BLVD

LOS ANGELES 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5429016001 / INVOICE NO: BN200002085

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than July 7, 2019.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: DITTRICH SCOTT & SHARON TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 3327 SUMAC RIDGE RD

MALIBU CA 90265

SITUS ADDRESS: 2211 LYRIC AVE

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5430009008 / INVOICE NO: BN200002088

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts all hazards were cleared by their gardener.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35

NAME: HYPERION AVENUE LP

MAILING ADDRESS: 02029 HYPERION AVE LOS ANGELES CA 90027

LOS ANGLELS CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 2029 HYPERION AVE

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5430012018 / INVOICE NO: BN200002090

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared in March 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35

NAME: LISA M HENSCHEL

MAILING ADDRESS: 2005 HYPERION AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 2005 HYPERION AVE

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5430013031 / INVOICE NO: BN200002091

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts she attempted to contact LAFD to clarify what needed to be cleared and how small cut vegetation needed to be to be considered mulch.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35

NAME: BOSTON, PATSY A TR ROBERT AND MARY POLLOCK TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 11404 S WILTON PL

LOS ANGELES CA 90047

SITUS ADDRESS: 2067 MAYVIEW DR

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5430015009 / INVOICE NO: BN200002093

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive a second notice and the brush was cleared on March 7, 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: CHANEL Summers

MAILING ADDRESS: 714 N. 59TH

SEATTLE WA 98103 UNITED STATES

SITUS ADDRESS: 1936 REDCLIFF ST

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5431024010 / INVOICE NO: BN200002099

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared on 8/25/2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: October 9, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: JOHN G SULLIVAN

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 1559

SACRAMENTO CA 95812

SITUS ADDRESS: 2537 MICHELTORENA ST

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5432012005 / INVOICE NO: BN200002109

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that they were out of the country and were not aware of the notice of noncompliance but had a tree trimmed upon their return as requested.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: STEPHEN R HAMILTON

MAILING ADDRESS: 2247 LYRIC AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 2247 LYRIC AVE

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5433016004 / INVOICE NO: BN200002112

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that they paid a contractor to clear the brush and thought they were in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: MILLER CHRISTEL S AND GARTH HANNA F

MAILING ADDRESS: 3143 WAVERLY DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L BEHIND 3143 WAVERLY DR.,

LOS ANGELES, CA 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5434017008 / INVOICE NO: BN200002124

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: SIM, RAYMOND J

MAILING ADDRESS: 07000 LA PALMA AVE # 11205

BUENA PARK CA 90620

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L BEHIND 2839 WAVERLY DR.,

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5434025027 / INVOICE NO: BN200002132

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts his property was cleared of brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: YAKOVCHIK, MICHAEL

MAILING ADDRESS: 1437 East LAMPLIGHTER LN

NORTH WALES PA 19454 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 2635 ADELBERT AVE

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5438011004 / INVOICE NO: BN200002137

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant was not the owner of record/responsible person at the time that re-inspection occurred on June 20, 2019.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: ALDERMAN, EMILY

MAILING ADDRESS: 2610 SILVER LAKE BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2610 SILVER LAKE BLVD

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5438015012 / INVOICE NO: BN200002140

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than July 23, 2019.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: KIRSTEN, SVEN A AND HENDRICKSEN, LAURIE A

MAILING ADDRESS: 2300 HIDALGO AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2300 HIDALGO AVE

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5440017020 / INVOICE NO: BN200002149

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they already paid the \$29 reinspection fee.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: BAGAY, RICHARD A AND BERNADETTE G

MAILING ADDRESS: 19264 RIVIERA DR

WALNUT CA 91789

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2286 North SILVER RIDGE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5440019017 / INVOICE NO: BN200002150

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 20, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: BRIGHOUSE, JEB AND SONDRA C

MAILING ADDRESS: 02240 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 1959 CERRO GORDO ST

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443003005 / INVOICE NO: BN200002154

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 22, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: BRIGHOUSE, JEB AND SONDRA

MAILING ADDRESS: 02240 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 1953 CERRO GORDO ST

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443003006 / INVOICE NO: BN200002155

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 22, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: BRIGHOUSE, JEB AND SONDRA C

MAILING ADDRESS: 02240 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 1949 CERRO GORDO ST

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443003007 / INVOICE NO: BN200002156

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 22, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: JEB AND SONDRA BRIGHOUSE

MAILING ADDRESS: 2240 LAKESHORE AVENUE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2238 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443003015 / INVOICE NO: BN200002157

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 27, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than July 18, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on July 23, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: JEB & SONDRA BRIGHOUSE

MAILING ADDRESS: 2240 LAKESHORE AVENUE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2240 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443003016 / INVOICE NO: BN200002158

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than June 7, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 22, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: FOSTER, KATHRYN D

MAILING ADDRESS: 02341 FELLOWSHIP PARK WAY

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2322 North RAVINE RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443005009 / INVOICE NO: BN200002162

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush after speaking to an LAFD Inspector about the requirements.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: JEB & SONDRA BRIGHOUSE

MAILING ADDRESS: 2240 LAKESHORE AVENUE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2315 FELLOWSHIP PARK WAY

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443005014 / INVOICE NO: BN200002163

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: JEB & SONDRA BRIGHOUSE

MAILING ADDRESS: 2240 LAKESHORE AVENUE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2314 North RAVINE RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443005015 / INVOICE NO: BN200002164

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: BRIGHOUSE, JEB AND SONDRA

MAILING ADDRESS: 02240 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2311 FELLOWSHIP PARK WAY

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443005018 / INVOICE NO: BN200002165

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: JEB & SONDRA BRIGHOUSE

MAILING ADDRESS: 2240 LAKESHORE AVENUE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2301 North FELLOWSHIP PARK WY

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443005019 / INVOICE NO: BN200002166

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: BRIGHOUSE, JEB AND SONDRA

MAILING ADDRESS: 02240 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L ABOVE 2300 LAKE SHORE AVE.,

LOS ANGELES, CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443005020 / INVOICE NO: BN200002167

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: BRIGHOUSE, JEB AND SONDRA

MAILING ADDRESS: 2240 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L BELOW 2311 FELLOWSHIP PARK WAY,

LOS ANGELES, CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443005026 / INVOICE NO: BN200002168

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: BRIGHOUSE, JEB AND SONDRA

MAILING ADDRESS: 02240 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2300 LAKE SHORE AVE

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443005030 / INVOICE NO: BN200002170

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Documentation provided by the Appellant confirms that notice was received and that the Inspector made additional efforts to assist the Appellant in the abatement of cited hazards by communicating via email and telephone what hazards had been cleared and what additional work was required. The complete clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than June 19, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee, automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: DALZELL, DAVID V AND PLOCHERE, MICHELLE

MAILING ADDRESS: 02312 LEMOYNE ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 2312 LEMOYNE ST

LOS ANGELES 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443007010 / INVOICE NO: BN200002174

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required but someone else caused debris to be left on the property that they shouldn't be held responsible for.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: LEVY,ELIRAN AND LIBESMAN,NATALIE

MAILING ADDRESS: 02358 LANDA ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2358 LANDA ST

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443027032 / INVOICE NO: BN200002192

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were out of the country and did not receive the second noncompliance notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: KWONG KA KUI

MAILING ADDRESS: 2576 1/2 TURNBULL CANYON RD

HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L Dead End North Modjeska st

Atwater Village Ca 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443030027 / INVOICE NO: BN200002195

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that clearance was done by their contractor prior to the second inspection on June 10, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Photos taken by LAFD clearly show the violation.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: MESSEX,JACOB A AND MAILING ADDRESS: 02015 ROSEBUD AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2014 West SUNFLOWER AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443034005 / INVOICE NO: BN200002196

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: MESSEX,JACOB A AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 02015 ROSEBUD AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2015 ROSEBUD AVE

LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443034006 / INVOICE NO: BN200002197

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: MESSEX,JACOB A AND MAILING ADDRESS: 02015 ROSEBUD AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2016 West SUNFLOWER AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443034047 / INVOICE NO: BN200002201

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: MESSEX,JACOB A AND MAILING ADDRESS: 02015 ROSEBUD AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L NE of 2015 West ROSEBUD AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443034048 / INVOICE NO: BN200002202

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: PAJA, WILLIAM

MAILING ADDRESS: 04200 HATFIELD PL

LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: 1326 North CLIFF DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5454010001 / INVOICE NO: BN200002211

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did their best to complete the brush clearance as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: 3550 TACOMA LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 2040 E ORANGE GROVE BLVD

PASADENA CA 91104

SITUS ADDRESS: 3550 TACOMA AVE

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5454013010 / INVOICE NO: BN200002215

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were out of the country and were not back until August 2019, which delayed the brush clearance. Appellant also asserts financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: CANTA,PLACIDO H

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 54

LAGUNITAS CA 94938

SITUS ADDRESS: 3399 CAZADOR ST

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5456005039 / INVOICE NO: BN200002219

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were out of the country when the notices were sent and once they were back they had the brush cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: VARAPORN SIRIPUN

MAILING ADDRESS: 3166 CAZADOR ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 3166 CAZADOR ST

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5456015013 / INVOICE NO: BN200002232

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts all hazards were removed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: JOE J RODRIGUEZ

MAILING ADDRESS: 2072 WOLLAM ST

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 2072 WOLLAM ST

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5456017039 / INVOICE NO: BN200002234

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he did not receive a second notice of noncompliance and that the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: GORDON, HARVEY G TR HARVEY GORDON TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 2042 ROME DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 2042 ROME DR

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5456019006 / INVOICE NO: BN200002235

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was done as required and they notified LAFD when it was completed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: BRISENO, RAFAEL AND EFFY O

MAILING ADDRESS: 3711 FLETCHER DRIVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90065 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3711 FLETCHER DR

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5458030030 / INVOICE NO: BN200002236

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices which were sent to their tenants instead of them. Appellant further asserts the brush is cleared monthly by their tenants.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: IRMGARD MARKAU

MAILING ADDRESS: 3123 WELDON AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 3123 WELDON AVE

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5459012003 / INVOICE NO: BN200002240

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they hired contractors and removed the hazards.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: RASMUSSEN, CRAIG A AND JULIE E

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 2528

RUNNING SPRINGS CA 92382 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3088 RODERICK PL

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5459012009 / INVOICE NO: BN200002241

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort but it took some time to find a contractor to complete the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: SHIZAE ZHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 177 E COLORADO BLVD STE 200

PASADENA CA 91105 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3634 North INGLIS DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460020018 / INVOICE NO: BN200002277

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 26, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 28, 2019, at 9:26 am, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured upon re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: SHIZAE ZHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 177 E COLORADO BLVD STE 200

PASADENA CA 91105 United States

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3661 North INGLIS DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021001 / INVOICE NO: BN200002280

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 26, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 28, 2019, at 9:01 am, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured upon re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: SHIZAE ZHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 177 E COLORADO BLVD STE 200

PASADENA CA 91105 United States

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT, INGLIS DR., Paper-street

LOS ANGELES, CA. 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021002 / INVOICE NO: BN200002281

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 26, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 28, 2019, at 9:13 am, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured upon re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: SHIZAE ZHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 177 E COLORADO BLVD STE 200

PASADENA CA 91105 United States

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3653 North INGLIS DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021003 / INVOICE NO: BN200002282

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than July 10, 2019.

Photographs taken on July 11, 2019, at 1:47 pm, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured upon re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: SHIZAE ZHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 177 E COLORADO BLVD STE 200

PASADENA CA 91105 United States

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT, INGLIS DR., Paper-Street,

LOS ANGELES, CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021004 / INVOICE NO: BN200002283

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 27, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 28, 2019, at 8:54 am, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured upon re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: SHIZAE ZHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 177 E COLORADO BLVD STE 200

PASADENA CA 91105 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT, BELOW INGLIS DR., Paper-Street,

LOS ANGELES, CA. 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021006 / INVOICE NO: BN200002284

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 27, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 28, 2019, at 8:50 am, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured upon re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: SHIZAE ZHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 177 E COLORADO BLVD STE 200

PASADENA CA 91105 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3623 North INGLIS DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460021008 / INVOICE NO: BN200002285

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 27, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 28, 2019, at 8:45 am, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured upon re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: WEST HILLS HOLDINGS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 6520 PLATT AVE PMB 551

WEST HILLS CA 91307 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L@ 3811 North BARRYKNOLL DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022001 / INVOICE NO: BN200002289

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they hired a contractor and removed all hazards.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: SHIZAE ZHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 177 E COLORADO BLVD STE 200

PASADENA CA 91105 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3642 North INGLIS DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022021 / INVOICE NO: BN200002290

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 27, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 28, 2019, at 9:24 am, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured upon re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: SHIZAE ZHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 177 E COLORADO BLVD STE 200

PASADENA CA 91105 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3652 North INGLIS DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022022 / INVOICE NO: BN200002291

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 27, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 28, 2019, at 9:19 am, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured upon re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: SHIZAE ZHENG

MAILING ADDRESS: 177 E COLORADO BLVD STE 200

PASADENA CA 91105 United States

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3660 North INGLIS DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460022023 / INVOICE NO: BN200002292

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 27, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 28, 2019, at 9:00 am, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: GHOBAD & SORAYA FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 19641 ANADALE DR

TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3816 North BARRYKNOLL DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460023022 / INVOICE NO: BN200002298

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they paid a contractor to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: MINO, TREVOR J AND LAURA A

MAILING ADDRESS: 3754 BRILLIANT DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 3754 North BRILLIANT DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5462004030 / INVOICE NO: BN200002303

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and that they received no notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: JEREMIC, DOBROSAV D

MAILING ADDRESS: 5601 SUNNYVIEW ST

TORRANCE CA 90505

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2313 North YORKSHIRE DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5462008040 / INVOICE NO: BN200002308

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 12, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: December 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than December 3, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on December 6, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: GARZA,OSCAR AND MARGARITA

MAILING ADDRESS: 00712 S GRETTA AVE

WEST COVINA CA 91790

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT RICHARDSON DR.

LOS ANGELES, CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5462016007 / INVOICE NO: BN200002334

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 11, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 2, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: MI CASA HOLDINGS LTD

MAILING ADDRESS: 1330 OAK VIEW AVE

SAN MARINO CA 91108

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 2207 West LOVELAND DR

LOS ANGELES Ca 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5462026002 / INVOICE NO: BN200002347

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they paid to have the brush cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: SMITH, JORDAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 01115 W SUNSET BLVD UNIT 612

LOS ANGELES CA 90012

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L 4337 East Glenmuir Av

Mount Washington Ca 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5465011008 / INVOICE NO: BN200002364

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as required however the Appellant provided proof of hardship. Accordingly, the noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: MARMOUNT INVESTMENTS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 5391

SHERMAN OAKS CA 91413

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 720 North CRANE BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5466004008 / INVOICE NO: BN200002365

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: FARAH SADEGHI

MAILING ADDRESS: 1 BEAMISH CRESCENT

KANATA ONTARIO K2K 2R5 CANADA K2K 2R5 CANADA

SITUS ADDRESS: 4800 MONTE VISTA ST

LOS ANGELES 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5467024012 / INVOICE NO: BN200002378

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts she lives in Canada and never received notices of noncompliance as they had been sent to the previous owner.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

LAFD records indicate the notices were returned undelivered. Accordingly, it is recommended that the assessment be waived as Appellant had no notice. LAFD records have since been updated.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: GRIFFITH, GREGORY E AND MINATO, SUSAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 4076 SEA VIEW AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 4076 SEA VIEW AVE

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5471001011 / INVOICE NO: BN200002384

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: MICHAEL A ZAKIAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 4047 SAN RAFAEL AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 4047 SAN RAFAEL AVE

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5471001059 / INVOICE NO: BN200002385

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their landscaper kept delaying the brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: KLEINMAN,ILYA

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 2548

VAN NUYS CA 91404

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S.of 1437 West AVENUE 43

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5472003046 / INVOICE NO: BN200002395

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 24, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: KLEINMAN,ILYA

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 2548

VAN NUYS CA 91404

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 1425 West AVENUE 43

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5472003047 / INVOICE NO: BN200002396

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 24, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than August 4, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on August 24, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: KLEINMAN,ILYA

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 2548

VAN NUYS CA 91404

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4267 North SCANDIA WAY

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5472003068 / INVOICE NO: BN200002401

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 24, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than August 4, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on August 24, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: SITARA & JAMIE NISSLY FALCON

MAILING ADDRESS: 362 CANYON CREST ROAD

ALTADENA CA 91001 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 4115 YORK BLVD

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5473011030 / INVOICE NO: BN200002404

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the lot was cleared after the initial notice by the other property owners as they only own a portion of the lot.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 16, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: GHARIB, DARIUS AND NEGAR B TRS G AND N GHARIB TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 5850 CANOGA AVE STE 400

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367

SITUS ADDRESS: 4838 TOLAND WAY

LOS ANGELES 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5474032014 / INVOICE NO: BN200002412

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: LISA GAO

MAILING ADDRESS: 4585 OTAY DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 4585 E OTAY DR

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5475019007 / INVOICE NO: BN200002423

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42

NAME: TAMBORRINO ARMOUR, MARIA TR ET AL TAMBORRINO PROPERTY

TRUST AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 16187 TUDE RD

APPLE VALLEY CA 92307

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4637 North LOLETA PLACE

LOS ANGELES CA 90041

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5479002033 / INVOICE NO: BN200002433

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Appellant has raised the issue of proper notice. A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the Appellant's address of record. No mail was returned.

The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2019, violation/s were present. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: October 9, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42

NAME: M & D VENTURES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 8383 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 646

BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211

SITUS ADDRESS: 4630 North LOLETA AVE

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5479002035 / INVOICE NO: BN200002434

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they don't own the property and never have. Appellant submitted correspondence from the LAFD in support, as well as a title report showing a different owner.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant has provided adequate documentation that they are not the owners of the property and never have been. Therefore it is recommended that the assessment be dismissed.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: EUGENIA O ROMO

MAILING ADDRESS: 1522 DOGWOOD PL

LOS ANGELES CA 90042

SITUS ADDRESS: 1522 DOGWOOD PL

LOS ANGELES 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5480003041 / INVOICE NO: BN200002438

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant contends that one of the hazards at issue was not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 5480003041. The boundaries of 5480003041 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 5480003041. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: RICHARD E WHITE

MAILING ADDRESS: 5835 BURWOOD AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90042

SITUS ADDRESS: 5835 BURWOOD AVE

LOS ANGELES 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5480003053 / INVOICE NO: BN200002439

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property owner died in August 2019 and his trust successor now owns the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: FORTUNATO, DEAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 01035 CRESTHAVEN DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90042

SITUS ADDRESS: 1035 CRESTHAVEN DR

LOS ANGELES 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5483007009 / INVOICE NO: BN200002450

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant contends that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 5483007009. The boundaries of 5483007009 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 5483007009. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: CORONADO, LEONARD AND IRENE

MAILING ADDRESS: 00849 CHESTNUT AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90042

SITUS ADDRESS: 849 CHESTNUT AVE

LOS ANGELES 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5493036023 / INVOICE NO: BN200002468

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. Records, including photographs dated May 23, 2019, and June 26, 2019, reveal that at the time of re-inspection, the clearance of hazards was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 13, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 7, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: CAPPE, JAMES

MAILING ADDRESS: 06928 LOS TILOS RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 2062 N SYCAMORE AVE

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5549021023 / INVOICE NO: BN200002483

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they never received noncompliance notices as mail gets stolen from his box and that the brush was cleared as required and they are experiencing financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: KARIN MARIA SCHAEFERS

MAILING ADDRESS: 2002 HILLCREST RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 2002 HILLCREST RD

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5549022019 / INVOICE NO: BN200002485

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: December 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than December 10, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on December 12, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: 102 INVESTMENTS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 01717 MCKINNEY AVE STE 1450

DALLAS TX 75202

SITUS ADDRESS: 7956 West Granito Dr

Hollywood 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5551003005 / INVOICE NO: BN200002487

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the **\$508.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant does not own APN 5551003005.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: CEDARBOIS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 3110 MAIN STREET STE 310

SANTA MONICA CA 90405 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L@ 7875 West GRANITO DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5551006024 / INVOICE NO: BN200002489

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and that they did not receive a second notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: FRANCESCA VAN HORNE

MAILING ADDRESS: 45 SUMMIT STREE STE 1B

BROOKLYN NEW YORK 11231 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1807 NICHOLS CANYON RD

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5551007025 / INVOICE NO: BN200002491

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: DON HANKEY CEO

MAILING ADDRESS: 2553 SUMMITRIDGE DRIVE

BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 7640 CURSON TER

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5551010038 / INVOICE NO: BN200002492

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Photographs taken by the Fire Inspector on June 11, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. The photographs were geotagged using the County Assessor's record to ensure that the cited hazards were within the boundaries of APN 5551010038. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: LYNNE A SEGALL

MAILING ADDRESS: 8436 HAROLD WAY

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 8430 West HAROLD WAY

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5555013012 / INVOICE NO: BN200002495

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 12, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 17, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: KAHANA YORAM

MAILING ADDRESS: 8193 GOULD AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 8197 West GOULD AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556001014 / INVOICE NO: BN200002501

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Appellant did not own the parcel at the time that hazards were cited. All notices to clear and abate were properly sent to the owner of record at the time that the hazards existed.

At issue is whether the Appellant had sufficient notice of citations issued against the parcel before their possession and property interest such that the Appellant, as the new owner of parcel 5556001014 would now be obligated to remit payment. A citation that is properly recorded as an encumbrance with the County Assessor, runs with the land and would be the responsibility of subsequent owners until satisfied and canceled. In this instance, it is not clear that the encumbrance at issue, the Noncompliance Fee, was recorded as a lien at the time the Appellant purchased the parcel. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: WEITZ,BENJAMIN D

MAILING ADDRESS: 03368 CHARLESTON WAY

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 1921 North LAUREL CANYON BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556001031 / INVOICE NO: BN200002503

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of all hazards was to be performed no later than May 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: NAPLES,KATHLEEN V

MAILING ADDRESS: 08100 KIRKWOOD DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 8120 West KIRKWOOD DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556002009 / INVOICE NO: BN200002504

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they hired an LAFD contractor to clear the brush and when they got a notice of noncompliance, the contractor was to pay half of the penalty but didn't, leading to a delay.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: AMY SHOCH

MAILING ADDRESS: 590 EVELYN PL

BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210

SITUS ADDRESS: 8259 MANNIX DR

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556003014 / INVOICE NO: BN200002509

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The appellant contends that the hazards at issue were not located on land included within the boundaries of their property, APN 5556003014. The boundaries of 5556003014 were determined using the LA County Assessor records and those records along with photographs stamped with geographic coordinates, indicate that the hazards at issue were located within the boundaries of 5556003014. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: VELOVICI, CARMEN

MAILING ADDRESS: 08264 MANNIX DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 8264 MANNIX DR

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556004033 / INVOICE NO: BN200002514

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: NAR INVESTMENT INC

MAILING ADDRESS: 23679 CALABASAS RD NO 209

CALABASAS CA 91302

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 1936 North CRESCENT HEIGHTS BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556035011 / INVOICE NO: BN200002542

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 25, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 28, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: NAR INVESTMENT INC

MAILING ADDRESS: 23679 CALABASAS RD NO 209

CALABASAS CA 91302

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 1916 CRESCENT HEIGHTS BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556035012 / INVOICE NO: BN200002543

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 25, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 28, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: NAR INVESTMENT INC

MAILING ADDRESS: 23679 CALABASAS RD NO 209

CALABASAS CA 91302

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 1908 North CRESCENT HEIGHTS BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556035014 / INVOICE NO: BN200002544

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 25, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 28, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 26, 2020 10:30 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: ASAD DURRANI

MAILING ADDRESS: 1890 BLUE HEIGHTS DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: vacant lot 8594 West brier dr

los angeles ca 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5558001011 / INVOICE NO: BN200002546

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their property was cleared as required and they feel their property is being mistaken for their neighbor's.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: November 4, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: HH PROPERTIES LP

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 762

BEVERLY HILLS CA 90213

SITUS ADDRESS: 8719 West Hollywood BI

West Hollywood Ca 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5558017009 / INVOICE NO: BN200002553

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they paid a landscaper to remove the brush but some areas are too steep to access.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: LA DEV GROUP INC

MAILING ADDRESS: 606 S HILL ST 701

LOS ANGELES CA 90014

SITUS ADDRESS: 1327 SUNSET PLAZA DR

LOS ANGELES 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5560002004 / INVOICE NO: BN200002583

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the clearance was delayed due to COVID-19.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. The assessment is for 2019, prior to COVID-19.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: COHEN, ELEDA TR ELEDA COHEN TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 01354 N WETHERLY DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: 1344 N WETHERLY DR

LOS ANGELES 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5560005005 / INVOICE NO: BN200002584

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 8, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: JAGLOM, VICTORIA F TR VICTORIA F JAGLOM TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 10250 CONSTELLATION BLVD STE 2600

LOS ANGELES CA 90067

SITUS ADDRESS: 1339 N WETHERLY DR

LOS ANGELES 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5560005015 / INVOICE NO: BN200002586

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: FASTLICHT, MICHAELLE

MAILING ADDRESS: 01420 RISING GLEN RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: 1420 RISING GLEN RD

LOS ANGELES 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5561001014 / INVOICE NO: BN200002590

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they had the brush cleared in September 2019 and was out of the country from then until 2020; Appellant also asserts that his documents proving his absence were damaged.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: September 4, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: FRANK CORRENTE

MAILING ADDRESS: 1511 RISING GLEN RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: 1511 RISING GLEN RD

LOS ANGELES 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5561025012 / INVOICE NO: BN200002598

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he removed the dead tree in his rear yard as notified by LAFD, but was unaware of any notice to remove a dead tree in his front yard. Appellant further asserts that he called LAFD repeatedly but got no answer.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: 641 LAS CASAS LLC C/O MICHAEL AND BARBARA DANCYGER

MAILING ADDRESS: 430 N SALTAIR AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 8742 APPIAN WAY

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5562014010 / INVOICE NO: BN200002605

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 28, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: CRESCENT VENTURES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 5248 HUNTINGTON DRIVE SOUTH

LOS ANGELES CA 90032 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT 8815 W CRESCENT DRIVE

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5563018001 / INVOICE NO: BN200002623

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he sold the property on January 8, 2019, and therefore is not responsible for the assessment.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant provided evidence that the property sale was finalized on January 8, 2019. Therefore, it is recommended that the assessments be dismissed as Appellant was not the legal owner at the time they attached to the property.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: OFFER ALMANY

MAILING ADDRESS: 16226 BERTELLA DR

ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 8516 West Lookout Mountain Av

Beverly Hills ca 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5567004014 / INVOICE NO: BN200002634

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: November 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than October 13, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on November 6, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: HESTER, CLAIRE M

MAILING ADDRESS: 02240 N STANLEY HILLS DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 2240 N STANLEY HILLS DR

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5567006027 / INVOICE NO: BN200002635

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: SKRBIC,LJUBO

MAILING ADDRESS: 03230 S OCEAN BLVD APT 103

PALM BEACH FL 33480

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2117 North LAUREL CANYON BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5567029003 / INVOICE NO: BN200002654

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 18, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: HOLLYWOOD PARK LAUREL CANYON LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 8383 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 510

BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211 United States

SITUS ADDRESS: VL @ 2255 North LAUREL CANYON BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5567029030 / INVOICE NO: BN200002656

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Photographs taken on June 17, 2019 and September 9, 2019, reveal that the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: PRASAD RAJ & SUSHMA C 2007 TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 215 S WILLAMAN DR

BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211

SITUS ADDRESS: 2100 HERCULES DR

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5569013060 / INVOICE NO: BN200002669

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and that they satisfactorily cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: SUGARMAN,IAN K
MAILING ADDRESS: 1103 TOWER DR

EDGEWATER NJ 07020

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2301 North NICHOLAS CYN RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5569014003 / INVOICE NO: BN200002670

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

A review of the record reveals that the Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, mailing both the initial notice of noncompliance and the second notice of noncompliance to the Appellant's address of record. It is the land owner's responsibility to file all address changes/details with the office of the County Assessor. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, violation/s were present. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: TODD B BENIA

MAILING ADDRESS: 2001 MOUNT OLYMPUS DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 2001 MOUNT OLYMPUS DR

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5569035009 / INVOICE NO: BN200002684

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they have been disabled by COVID-19.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. The brush clearance and inspections were for 2019, prior to COVID-19.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: ALBERT LITEWKA AND ERIKA ROTHENBERG

MAILING ADDRESS: 2020 N NICHOLS CANYON ROAD

LOS ANGELES CA 90046 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 2070 North NICHOLS CYN RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5571010005 / INVOICE NO: BN200002694

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices as they were sent to an old address.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

As of 9/3/2020, the Accounting department has informed the Brush Clearance unit that payment has been remitted by the previous owner Justin Klentner. Albert Litewka is not responsible.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: NEWMAN, JEANNE TR THOMAS E ROGERS TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 1990 S BUNDY DR STE 200

LOS ANGELES CA 90025

SITUS ADDRESS: 2675 ASTRAL DR

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5571022029 / INVOICE NO: BN200002696

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they purchased the property in June 2019 and that the previous owner was supposed to clear the brush during escrow.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: HALL DANIEL B

MAILING ADDRESS: 2123 OUTPOST DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 2123 OUTPOST DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5572015002 / INVOICE NO: BN200002703

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not own the property until October 2019 and provided documentation supporting their contention.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

LAFD inspections took place before Appellant owned the property as shown by the documents Appellant provided. Accordingly, it is recommended that the assessment be waived.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: BILLIE BARNUM

MAILING ADDRESS: 4711 PLACIDIA AVE

TOLUCA LAKE CA 91602

SITUS ADDRESS: 7300 MULHOLLAND DR

LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5572021013 / INVOICE NO: BN200002704

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared several times a year and they just received the notice because their sister is ill.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: NPJ PROPERTIES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 624 N WEST KNOLL DRIVE

WEST HOLLYWOOD CA 90069 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 7080 West MULHOLLAND DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5572034008 / INVOICE NO: BN200002707

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant disputes that notices were received and asserts that the work was done as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 27

NAME: WEYER,FRANK M

MAILING ADDRESS: 02032 WHITLEY AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 2032 WHITLEY AVE

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5575004009 / INVOICE NO: BN200002708

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were out of the country and did not receive any notice until a neighbor texted them about a sign on their property, whereupon they hired a landscaper to remove the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 27

NAME: 1959 GRACE AVENUE LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 177 S FULLER AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90036

SITUS ADDRESS: 1959 GRACE AVE

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5575005026 / INVOICE NO: BN200002712

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and cleared all hazards in March 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 27

NAME: DAWN SPROUT

MAILING ADDRESS: 2015 N SERRANO AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 2037 WHITLEY AVE

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5575015010 / INVOICE NO: BN200002713

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts all hazards were removed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 7, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 27

NAME: DREIFUSS, DANIEL M

MAILING ADDRESS: 05009 GREENBUSH AVE

SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S. of 2340 North STANLEY HILLS DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5576006017 / INVOICE NO: BN200002715

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they tried in good faith to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 24, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 27

NAME: DREIFUSS, DANIEL M

MAILING ADDRESS: 08017 HEMET PL

LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 2404 SAN MARCO DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5576006018 / INVOICE NO: BN200002716

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 27, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 8, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, register on vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: GONG,YUBO

MAILING ADDRESS: 05639 ROSINWEED LN

NAPERVILLE IL 60564

SITUS ADDRESS: 6432 QUEBEC DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5576010023 / INVOICE NO: BN200002723

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they had the brush cleared as required and they ask for more time as they can't visit the property due to COVID-19.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 27

NAME: RITTER, NINA L

MAILING ADDRESS: 63 CREST RD E

ROLLING HILLS CA 90274

SITUS ADDRESS: 2413 SAN MARCO DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5577030004 / INVOICE NO: BN200002734

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared prior to the second inspection, and that the second inspection occurred prior to the deadline.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: July 6, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: DANIEL FIROOZBAKHSH

MAILING ADDRESS: 6315 TAHOE DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 6315 TAHOE DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5577037018 / INVOICE NO: BN200002755

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 26, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the **\$508.00** Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Upon review of the Inspector's records, the Appellant had cleared all hazards by the due date.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: ISAAC GOLI

MAILING ADDRESS: 3810 W VERDUGO AVE

BURBANK CA 91505

SITUS ADDRESS: 3267 North CRAIG DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5579001041 / INVOICE NO: BN200002762

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive the initial notices and were waiting for a permit to clear the oak trees and were made to clear other trees that were not on their property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: September 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: KIMRIDGE DEVELOPMENT LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 02934 N BEVERLY GLEN CIR UNIT 357

LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: 3451 North BARHAM BLVD

STUDIO CITY CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5579005018 / INVOICE NO: BN200002768

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no second notice and the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: KIMRIDGE DEVELOPMENT LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 02934 N BEVERLY GLEN CIR UNIT 357

LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: 3445 North BARHAM BLVD

STUDIO CITY CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5579005019 / INVOICE NO: BN200002769

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no second notice and that the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: CELENTANO, THOMAS

MAILING ADDRESS: 00546 W COLORADO ST UNIT 202

GLENDALE CA 91204

SITUS ADDRESS: 3324 N KNOLL DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5579032008 / INVOICE NO: BN200002774

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they had engaged a contractor to complete the brush clearance, however, the contractor kept putting off the work until finally completing it. Appellant also claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: FICKES, BRADLEY AND SANAA TRS FICKES FAMILY TRUST AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 03201 TARECO DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: VL S. of 3201 North TARECO DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5579035024 / INVOICE NO: BN200002776

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that the brush was cleared as required and after the notice of noncompliance they attempted to contact the LAFD to discuss to no avail.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: ORILONISE,LANRE

MAILING ADDRESS: 03016 HOLLYCREST DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3016 HOLLYCREST DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5579035026 / INVOICE NO: BN200002777

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was ultimately cleared and claim financial hardship due to COVID-19.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: SHIN FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 2435 CAZAUX PL

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 2435 CAZAUX PL

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5580024020 / INVOICE NO: BN200002784

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their gardener works on their property monthly to maintain the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: KWONG, DAVID

MAILING ADDRESS: 02821 BELDEN DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 2821 BELDEN DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5582014013 / INVOICE NO: BN200002795

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they completed the work that the initial notice pointed out, but then received a second notice for additional work that was not described in the first notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: ASTURIAS EDUARDO

MAILING ADDRESS: 3662 BARHAM BLVD # M215

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6053 West RODGERTON DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5583002002 / INVOICE NO: BN200002798

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were initially unaware of the brush clearance requirements and did not get the initial notices, but eventually cleared it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 30, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: TOBA PROPERTIES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 18034 VENTURA BLVD UNIT 512

ENCINO CA 91316

SITUS ADDRESS: 6108 West RODGERTON DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5583007019 / INVOICE NO: BN200002801

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not own the property at the time of the initial notices and did not receive any.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 23, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant provided a grant deed showing a recording date of September 12, 2019. As it takes several months for ownership records to be updated, Appellant would have received no notice of the brush clearance requirements. Therefore it is recommended that the assessment be waived.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: SANDRA L GRAY

MAILING ADDRESS: 3084 BELDEN DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 3084 BELDEN DR

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5583010008 / INVOICE NO: BN200002802

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the first two inspections were two days apart, not giving them enough time to initially comply.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended the appeal be granted. The record shows two inspections two days apart, which appears to be an unreasonably short period of time given the accumulation of penalties.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: MEYER, THOMAS AND ROSNER, LOUISE E

MAILING ADDRESS: 02701 CRESTON DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 2652 North HARGRAVE DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5585011002 / INVOICE NO: BN200002804

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that the property was cleared as required before the second notice was sent.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: September 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: SCHWARTZ,HARVEY G

MAILING ADDRESS: 2445 EL CONTENTO DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: L @ 2429 North EL CONTENTO DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5585016028 / INVOICE NO: BN200002809

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts there was more rain than usual in 2019 and the brush was cleared when the rains stopped.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: MACEDO, ROSEMARY

MAILING ADDRESS: 02458 CRESTON WAY

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 2458 CRESTON WAY

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5585017014 / INVOICE NO: BN200002810

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and that they are not responsible for the neighboring property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: MILLER & SOSNICK TEMPLE HILL L

MAILING ADDRESS: 1755 WOODEN VALLEY RD

NAPA CA 94558

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S of 2317 North VASANATA WAY

LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5585029010 / INVOICE NO: BN200002814

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made a good faith effort to clear the brush at great expense and tried to contact LAFD regarding their status.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: HAZELDINE, SAMUEL

MAILING ADDRESS: 01314 N HAYWORTH AVE UNIT 403

WEST HOLLYWOOD CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 5750 West TUXEDO TER

SUNLAND CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5587002022 / INVOICE NO: BN200002817

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their representative did not receive a first notice of noncompliance but that the brush was cleared after receiving a second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: September 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: MARGARET M EHRIG

MAILING ADDRESS: 1968 N WILTON PL

HOLLYWOOD CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 1968 N WILTON PL

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5587015011 / INVOICE NO: BN200002818

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they like to keep the yard natural for wildlife while maintaining compliance with a hired gardener.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 7, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: August 30, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: JAMES P COLLINS

MAILING ADDRESS: 450 N BRAND BLVD 600

GLENDALE CA 91203

SITUS ADDRESS: 1966 N GRAMERCY PL

LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5587021003 / INVOICE NO: BN200002819

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no initial notice of noncompliance and attempted to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35

NAME: JUAN G MARTINEZ

MAILING ADDRESS: 2547 GLENDOWER AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 2547 GLENDOWER AVE

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5588020003 / INVOICE NO: BN200002821

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they never received any notices of noncompliance and made a good faith effort to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35

NAME: ROBERT B NENKOV

MAILING ADDRESS: 6619 LELAND WAY 204

HOLLYWOOD CA 90028

SITUS ADDRESS: 2400 N VERMONT AVE

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5588034002 / INVOICE NO: BN200002822

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no first notice because they were out of the country and their mail was not forwarded as it should have been and that the work was completed as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 9, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: PAUL MARTIN

MAILING ADDRESS: 3480 ROWENA AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 3480 ROWENA AVE

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5591003003 / INVOICE NO: BN200002824

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: BENJAMIN LEMON

MAILING ADDRESS: 3468 ROWENA AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 3468 ROWENA AVE

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5591003006 / INVOICE NO: BN200002825

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: INTL-WEST LLC MAILING ADDRESS: 3933 W 7TH ST

3933 W 7TH ST LOS ANGELES CA 90005

SITUS ADDRESS: 3645 LOS FELIZ BLVD

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5592001017 / INVOICE NO: BN200002830

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: SCOTT J HOFMAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 3652 CADMAN DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 3652 CADMAN DR

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5592005013 / INVOICE NO: BN200002831

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: DAVID M WEINSTEIN

MAILING ADDRESS: 3676 LOWRY RD

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 3676 LOWRY RD

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5592007034 / INVOICE NO: BN200002832

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: CHARLES C CHU

MAILING ADDRESS: 4131 DUNDEE DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 4131 DUNDEE DR

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5592017033 / INVOICE NO: BN200002836

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: ELISABETH D KLOCK

MAILING ADDRESS: 1933 1/2 HILLHURST AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 4234 PARVA AVE

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5592019019 / INVOICE NO: BN200002838

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 2, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: HAGOP BEZIKIAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 4152 CROMWELL AVE

LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 4152 CROMWELL AVE

LOS ANGELES 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5592025020 / INVOICE NO: BN200002843

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were not aware their gardener did not complete the work as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: NAGEL, ARIK

MAILING ADDRESS: 6273 DEL VALLE DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90048

SITUS ADDRESS: 3280 PALMER DR

LOS ANGELES 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5679020002 / INVOICE NO: BN200002853

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon review of the record, each notice sent to the Appellant listed the hazards to be cleared along with the link to the vms3.lafd.org website where further details about the inspection, including photographs, could be viewed. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 11, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42

NAME: ARLETTE EKSTRAND

MAILING ADDRESS: 4902 ONTEORA WAY

LOS ANGELES CA 90041

SITUS ADDRESS: 4902 ONTEORA WAY

LOS ANGELES 90041

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5683024017 / INVOICE NO: BN200002857

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 13, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than October 6, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on October 17, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42

NAME: ALCANTARA R O LIVING TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 1483 HILL DR

LOS ANGELES CA 90041

SITUS ADDRESS: 1483 HILL DR

LOS ANGELES 90041

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5691024022 / INVOICE NO: BN200002865

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 21, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: October 17, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as required, however, a review of the record reveals that there was an electrical wire down that directly impacted the Appellant's timely execution of clearance. Accordingly, the noncompliance fee assessed for 2019, is waived.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 101

NAME: TOM DUESUND

MAILING ADDRESS: 3080 TARA

COSTA MESA CA 92626

SITUS ADDRESS: 1700 EL REY RD

LOS ANGELES 90732

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **7559023001** / INVOICE NO: BN200002872

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 101

NAME: LIU, POLENE TR POLENE LIU FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 01704 EL REY RD

SAN PEDRO CA 90732

SITUS ADDRESS: 1704 EL REY RD

LOS ANGELES 90732

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **7559023002** / INVOICE NO: BN200002873

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 3, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 101

NAME: MICHAEL ESPINOSA

MAILING ADDRESS: 1730 EL REY RD

SAN PEDRO CA 90732

SITUS ADDRESS: 1730 EL REY RD

LOS ANGELES 90732

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 7559023006 / INVOICE NO: BN200002874

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2019, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

To view details relating to your parcel/s, visit vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 101

NAME: MAY, JOHN L AND MARGARET TRS MAY FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 2760 PEAVINE CREEK RD

RENO NV 89523 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1529 CRAIG PL

LOS ANGELES 90732

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 7559037018 / INVOICE NO: BN200002875

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they overpaid to have the brush cleared and it took some time to do it as contractors were contacted and some failed to show or respond.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.

HEARING DATE: August 10, 2020 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 101

NAME: CRACCHIOLO, GIUSEPPE AND AMBER

MAILING ADDRESS: 01601 CRAIG PL

SAN PEDRO CA 90732

SITUS ADDRESS: 1601 CRAIG PL

LOS ANGELES 90732

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 7559037022 / INVOICE NO: BN200002876

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive the second notice and that the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2019.

Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2019.

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;

therefore, the \$508.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete. The record includes photos taken by the Fire Inspector at the time of reinspection showing the violation.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at vms3.lafd.org.