



# LOS ANGELES FIRE DEPARTMENT

**RALPH M. TERRAZAS**  
FIRE CHIEF

October 29, 2020

BOARD OF FIRE COMMISSIONERS  
FILE NO. 20-101

TO: Board of Fire Commissioners

FROM:  Ralph M. Terrazas, Fire Chief

SUBJECT: PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE 2019  
BRUSH CLEARANCE ASSESSMENT APPEALS

FOR FINAL ACTION:

☐ Approved  
☐ Denied

☐ Approved w/Corrections  
☐ Received & Filed

☐ Withdrawn  
☐ Other

## SUMMARY

Invoices setting forth the cost of abatement, administrative fee and/or a noncompliance fee were mailed to property owners. A letter was included with the invoice informing the property owner if they wished to present evidence that shows cause why the property should not be assessed for the cost of abatement or not be assessed in the amount specified in the notice, the Fire Department would schedule them for a hearing.

The Brush Clearance Unit held the 2019 Brush Clearance Assessment Appeals at the Marvin Braude Center located in Van Nuys from June through October 2020.

This year the Fire Department received 97 requests from property owners who chose to appeal the imposed assessment. The cases were randomly distributed to the hearing officers in keeping with the recent California Supreme Court's decision regarding administrative hearings and the City Attorney's recommendation in the matter.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Board:

1. Approve the Hearing Officer's "Proposed Decision and Recommendations." (Attachment 1)
2. Direct the Fire Department to mail the notification letter (Attachment 2), to the property owner regarding the Board of Fire Commissioners findings, conclusion and proposed assessment.
3. Transmit the Board of Fire Commissioners approved report and proposed decision of the hearing officers to the City Attorney.

4. Transmit the Board of Fire Commissioners approved report to the Mayor for placement on the City Council calendar.
5. Upon City Council adoption of the report, direct the Fire Department to forward the report to the Los Angeles County Tax Collector for placement on the Los Angeles County 2020 Property Tax rolls.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT**

Property owner are assess an administrative fee of \$1,312.00 and the cost of clearance to abate the hazard for failure to comply with brush clearance requirements. The average cost of clearance is \$1,000.00 to 5,000.00.

For the 2019 brush season, 97 appeal requests were received from property owners electing to appeal their assessments. Of the 97 appeals received, 7 were granted or reduced.

The Brush Clearance Assessment Hearings estimated total revenue is \$517,128.00.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The Hearing Officers have considered the evidence and have received testimony from departmental personnel with respect to the existence of a nuisance and cost of abatement.

The report identifies and includes the name and mailing address of the owner of each parcel from which a fire nuisance was abated. In addition, the reports include the substance of protest, departmental information and proposed decision and recommendation as to whether or not the proposed assessment should be: (1) confirmed in the amount set forth in the invoice, (2) waived, or (3) confirmed in an amount less than set forth in the invoice.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Upon approval, a transmittal to the attached "Report, Proposed Decision and Recommendations" by the Board of Fire Commissioners will be sent to the City Council for adoption and placement on the Los Angeles County 2020 Tax rolls. This will conclude the 2019 Brush Clearance Assessment Appeals.

Board report was prepared by Bryan R. Nassour, Captain I, Brush Clearance Unit.

Attachments:

- Attachment 1: Proposed Decision and Recommendation  
Attachment 2: Notification Letter

**Table of contents:**

APN	Owner Name	Council District	Page No
2017021004	KLAYMAN,DAVID A	FS 106	1
2076016039	DUKKER ALEXANDER AND BAINIAKSHINA MARINA	FS 84	2
2174030003	Westchester Country Home Owners Association	FS 84	3
2175008019	BACHSIAN,JOHN AND COHEN,MEIRAV	FS 93	4
2175008020	BACHSIAN,JOHN AND COHEN,MEIRAV	FS 93	5
2175012026	LAC INVESTMENTS LLC	FS 93	6
2175027016	TAL,MICHELE	FS 84	7
2176026024	LAHAV GUY AND DAFNA	FS 93	8
2176026025	18807 EDLEEN DR LLC I NONAHAL AND N NONAHAL	FS 93	9
2176026026	OFIR KROVKOVSKI	FS 93	10
2184009014	PANDE PROPERTIES LLC	FS 93	11
2184015007	POLI,PAUL S	FS 93	12
2190009054	VALERIO,REGALADO JR AND ROSARIO	FS 84	13
2190009055	VALERIO,REGALADO JR AND ROSARIO	FS 84	14
2274019028	ARTHUR CLAYBON AND WAI YI CHAN	FS 78	15
2289030007	MUNJACK,JEFFREY D TR JDM TRUST	FS 83	16
2385023030	CE PARTNERS LLC	FS 108	17
2428027018	BAEZ HUGO A	FS 76	18
2428028026	SKRBIC,LOU	FS 76	19
2428028034	REINHARD J LICHTER	FS 76	20
2428028037	SKRBIC,LOU	FS 76	21
2429012007	MUSTAFA ANDAR	FS 76	22
2543014009	GOLDEN MOUNTAIN LLC ATTN WIN NAING	FS 24	23
2543034010	EMERSON,WILLIAM K TR WILLIAM K EMERSON TRUST	FS 24	24
2543034011	WILLIAM K EMERSON	FS 24	25
2545006018	LEIVA,PEDRO AND EDITH	FS 24	26
2569014035	BEGLARYAN,HRACH	FS 74	27
2569014036	BEGLARYAN,HRACH	FS 74	28
2569014046	BEGLARYAN,HRACH	FS 74	29
2601032032	KRAMER STUART B (TE)	FS 18	30
2601032045	MEMON ASMA M	FS 18	31
2601077002	DESERT CANDLE LP	FS 18	32
2701066021	SORRENTO AT PORTER RANCH COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION	FS 28	33
2706006002	KEMMER,BRANDON L AND NICOLE L AND KEMMER,GARRY L AND MARY A	FS 107	34
2722004008	GHERMEZI,ROBIN	FS 96	35
4355004030	PAUL W WYLIE - CEO	FS 71	36
4368023021	SOUMEESH EBRAHIM	FS 19	37

4371010024	NAYLOR,SUSAN AND	FS 71	38
4377002009	NGUYEN,ANDREW D AND CAO,KATIE T	FS 37	39
4392006018	FLICKER LAND LLC	FS 41	40
4392009012	JAMES H SCHNEIDER	FS 41	41
4408036001	LEMOINE,GORDON S	FS 69	42
4409008008	WILL ROGERS ESTATE LLC	FS 69	43
4409008015	VLADIMIR TEVLOVSKI	FS 69	44
4420020006	ROBINSON,HOWARD S CO TR ROBINSON LEHRMAN FAMILY TRUST	FS 69	45
4420024038	16680 CHARMELE LLC	FS 23	46
4429027003	SILVER,JOEL AND KARYN A TRS SILVER TRUST C/O PAUL MCCLOSKEY	FS 19	47
4490024014	MOUNTAINGATE LLC	FS 109	48
4493018047	VIPPERMAN RAMONA M (TE)	FS 19	49
5026004004	Zachary John Darwish	FS 94	50
5031012003	SUDOL,ANDREW T AND ALICIA	FS 94	51
5209002003	ALFARO,SONIA A	FS 47	52
5209004023	SAMAWI,MUSA S	FS 1	53
5209005008	QIAN LIU	FS 47	54
5213007003	OH,SUZIE	FS 47	55
5213024030	DOV LEHAVI	FS 47	56
5214005009	EASTGATE TOWN CENTER LLC	FS 47	57
5214005010	MESHKANIAN,GEORGE	FS 47	58
5214015002	CIVASH MATTHEW LOGHMANI	FS 47	59
5216018013	SAMIA,HULBERT	FS 16	60
5304001012	PETRA G LOPEZ	FS 1	61
5304001013	PETRA G LOPEZ	FS 47	62
5306004022	CULLEN,JAMES A CO TR CULLEN FAMILYM TRUST	FS 47	63
5306008005	MARTIN,BARBARA A	FS 47	64
5307007014	JUAREZ,ANDY	FS 47	65
5309020014	MEDINA,RAYMOND TR	FS 47	66
5419001022	AMERICAN PACIFIC INVESTMENT GROUP LLC	FS 20	67
5422011007	ARNOLD,HOPE	FS 56	68
5431024010	CHANEL Summers	FS 56	69
5434025027	SIM,RAYMOND J	FS 56	70
5467024012	FARAH SADEGHI	FS 12	71
5472003046	KLEINMAN,ILYA	FS 55	72
5479002033	TAMBORRINO ARMOUR,MARIA TR ET AL TAMBORRINO PROPERTY TRUST AND	FS 42	73
5479002035	M & D VENTURES LLC	FS 42	74
5481009013	ADAIMY,EDMOND TR MARIA BOTHAM TRUST	FS 12	75

5482008007	POPPY PEAK 26 LLC	FS 12	76
5551003005	102 INVESTMENTS LLC	FS 41	77
5551010038	DON HANKEY CEO	FS 41	78
5555013012	LYNNE A SEGALL	FS 41	79
5556035011	NAR INVESTMENT INC	FS 41	80
5556035012	NAR INVESTMENT INC	FS 41	81
5556035014	NAR INVESTMENT INC	FS 41	82
5558001011	ASAD DURRANI	FS 41	83
5558017009	HH PROPERTIES LP	FS 41	84
5560002004	LA DEV GROUP INC	FS 41	85
5561025012	FRANK CORRENTE	FS 41	86
5563018001	CRESCENT VENTURES LLC	FS 97	87
5567029030	HOLLYWOOD PARK LAUREL CANYON LLC	FS 97	88
5569014003	SUGARMAN, IAN K	FS 41	89
5569035009	TODD B BENIA	FS 41	90
5571010005	ALBERT LITEWKA AND ERIKA ROTHENBERG	FS 41	91
5572034008	NPJ PROPERTIES LLC	FS 76	92
5579001014	SCHMIT, STEVEN C	FS 76	93
5581020003	FRED DUST	FS 82	94
5583007019	TOBA PROPERTIES LLC	FS 82	95
5683024014	SUNCIN, SHARON G	FS 42	96
5716025033	MARRA, LISA A AND UNDERDAHL, JENNIFER L	FS 12	97

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 30, 2020 08:00      PACKAGE NO: 2019172017  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: KLAYMAN, DAVID A

MAILING ADDRESS: 07932 SALE AVE  
WEST HILLS CA 91304 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 8631 North HILLCROFT DR  
WEST HILLS CA 91304

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2017021004** / INVOICE NO: BC200000150

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,912.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$600.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,912.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: August 5, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 3, 2019.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 24, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 6, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on November 6, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$1,912.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 30, 2020 08:30 PACKAGE NO: 2019178049  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84  
NAME: DUKKER ALEXANDER AND BAINIAKSHINA MARINA  
MAILING ADDRESS: 22523 MARGARITA DR  
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364  
SITUS ADDRESS: 22523 MARGARITA DR  
LOS ANGELES 91364  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2076016039** / INVOICE NO: BC200000155  
ASSESSMENT: **\$2,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 13, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 27, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 31, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 13, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on November 13, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. The photographs that were taken by the Fire Department were geotagged using the County Assessor's record to ensure that the work performed was within the boundaries of APN 2076016039. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand

Total assessment due is **\$2,312.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 29, 2020 13:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019184011  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: Westchester Country Home Owners Association

MAILING ADDRESS: 20631 VENTURA BLVD STE 202  
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: Pvt 50 acre V/L S&W/O Westchester County Estates 20680 Wells Dr  
Woodland Hills CA 91367

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2174030003** / INVOICE NO: BC200000192

ASSESSMENT: **\$13,212.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$11,900.00	\$1,312.00	\$13,212.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts there were two small areas out of compliance but the cost to clear them by the City contractor is excessive.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 12, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 18, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. The City takes the lowest responsible bidder to do the work for the amount bid. There is no formula or per square foot maximum cost as each property and circumstance is different. City contractor costs can be avoided by the property owner maintaining compliance on their own.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the



City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$13,212.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:00 PACKAGE NO: 2019184009  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: BACHSIAN,JOHN AND COHEN,MEIRAV

MAILING ADDRESS: 05706 CALVIN AVE  
TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L SE/O 4942 Calvin Ave  
Tarzana CA 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2175008019** / INVOICE NO: BC200000193

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees assessed for 2019 clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: July 17, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 8, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 12, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 26, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2175008019 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The Appellant asserts several points:

1. Upon receipt of the Notice to Abate, they asked the inspector for more time to clear the property due to the steep terrain and a beehive being present. Given the risk to life and property that brush hazards present, the Inspectors are not allowed to grant additional time to clear hazards.
2. The Appellant explained that they were not aware their property would be cleared by a City contracted vendor, without their knowledge. Examination of the Notice to Abate that was posted on the Appellant's parcel reveals that the first sentence of the document explains that absent immediate clearance of hazards by the Appellant, the city contracted clearance would take place at the owner's expense.
3. The Appellant further argued that because the initial notices of noncompliance were sent to the wrong address, notice was insufficient. Most relevant to the issue of Brush Clearance penalties is the red tag, Notice to Abate, that was properly posted. The Appellant confirmed receipt of this notice during the hearing. Furthermore, it is the property owners' responsibility to notify the County Assessor

of any change of address/name to ensure proper receipt of all city-related notifications.

4. Lastly, the Appellant declared that payment of the assessed fees would create a financial hardship. The Hearing Officer requested proof of financial hardship no later than September 4th, 2020 to consider the Appellant's claim. No proof was provided

Upon reviewing evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant it is clear that the Appellant made certain efforts to clear their parcel however despite those efforts, hazards that had been specifically cited were not cleared by the Appellant, before the City's contracted clearance. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$2,312.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 23, 2020 14:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019184009  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: BACHSIAN,JOHN AND COHEN,MEIRAV

MAILING ADDRESS: 05706 CALVIN AVE  
TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S/O 4887 Palo Dr  
Tarzana CA 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2175008020** / INVOICE NO: BC200000194

ASSESSMENT: **\$3,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$2,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$3,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that (1) they asked for more time to clear the property due to steep terrain and a beehive being present but never heard back and were not aware their property would be cleared by a City contractor without their knowledge, (2) notices were sent to wrong addresses, and (3) the assessment is a financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: July 17, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 8, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 12, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 26, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2175008020 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The Appellant asserts several points:

1. Upon receipt of the Notice to Abate, they asked the inspector for more time to clear the property due to the steep terrain and a beehive being present. Given the risk to life and property that brush hazards present, the Inspectors are not allowed to grant additional time to clear hazards.
2. The Appellant explained that they were not aware their property would be cleared by a City contracted vendor, without their knowledge. Examination of the Notice to Abate that was posted on the Appellant's parcel reveals that the first sentence of the document explains that absent immediate clearance of hazards by the Appellant, the city contracted clearance would take place at the owner's expense.
3. The Appellant further argued that because the initial notices of noncompliance were sent to the

wrong address, notice was insufficient. Most relevant to the issue of Brush Clearance penalties is the red tag, Notice to Abate, that was properly posted. The Appellant confirmed receipt of this notice during the hearing. Furthermore, it is the property owners' responsibility to notify the County Assessor of any change of address/name to ensure proper receipt of all city-related notifications.

4. Lastly, the Appellant declared that payment of the assessed fees would create a financial hardship. The Hearing Officer requested proof of financial hardship no later than September 4th, 2020 to consider the Appellant's claim. No proof was provided

Upon reviewing evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant it is clear that the Appellant made certain efforts to clear their parcel however despite those efforts, hazards that had been specifically cited were not cleared by the Appellant, before the City's contracted clearance. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$3,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 23, 2020 14:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019184009  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: LAC INVESTMENTS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 571975  
TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E/O 4940 Calvin Ave  
Tarzana CA 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2175012026** / INVOICE NO: BC200000195

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$500.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that (1) they asked for more time to clear the property due to steep terrain and a beehive being present but never heard back and were not aware their property would be cleared by a City contractor without their knowledge, (2) they were not provided with a detailed invoice from the City's contractor for work done, (3) notices were sent to wrong addresses, and (4) the assessment is a financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 8, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 1, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 12, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 26, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2175012026 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The Appellant asserts several points:

1. Upon receipt of the Notice to Abate, they asked the inspector for more time to clear the property due to the steep terrain and a beehive being present. Given the risk to life and property that brush hazards present, the Inspectors are not allowed to grant additional time to clear hazards.
2. The Appellant explained that they were not aware their property would be cleared by a City contracted vendor, without their knowledge. Examination of the Notice to Abate that was posted on the Appellant's parcel reveals that the first sentence of the document explains that absent immediate clearance of hazards by the Appellant, the city contracted clearance would take place at the owner's expense.

3. The Appellant further argued that because the initial notices of noncompliance were sent to the wrong address, notice was insufficient. Most relevant to the issue of Brush Clearance penalties is the red tag, Notice to Abate, that was properly posted. The Appellant confirmed receipt of this notice during the hearing. Furthermore, it is the property owners' responsibility to notify the County Assessor of any change of address/name to ensure proper receipt of all city-related notifications.

4. Lastly, the Appellant declared that payment of the assessed fees would create a financial hardship. The Hearing Officer requested proof of financial hardship no later than September 4th, 2020 to consider the Appellant's claim. No proof was provided

Upon reviewing evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant it is clear that the Appellant made certain efforts to clear their parcel however despite those efforts, hazards that had been specifically cited were not cleared by the Appellant, before the City's contracted clearance. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$1,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 14, 2020 10:30      PACKAGE NO: 2019184007  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: TAL, MICHELE

MAILING ADDRESS: 04752 ADELE CT  
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 4752 ADELE CT  
LOS ANGELES 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2175027016** / INVOICE NO: BC200000196

ASSESSMENT: **\$6,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$5,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$6,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

The Appellant believes that the clearance they performed in June 2019 should have been sufficient to satisfy brush clearance requirements.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 26, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 15, 2019.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 29, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 12, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2175027016 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

Upon reviewing evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant it is clear that the Appellant made certain efforts to clear their parcel however despite those efforts, photographs that were taken by the Inspector and the City Contractor depict the hazardous conditions that were repeatedly cited and existed at the time of the City Contracted Clearance. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$6,312.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 21, 2020 08:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019184017  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: LAHAV GUY AND DAFNA

MAILING ADDRESS: 19324 OXNARD ST  
TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: 18813 EDLEEN DR  
LOS ANGELES 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2176026024** / INVOICE NO: BC200000198

ASSESSMENT: **\$4,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$3,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$4,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fee for 2019. The Appellant explained that upon receipt of the Red Tag notice they scheduled their own clearance to take place between October 16- 18, 2019, and informed the Inspector of their plan. They argued that they should not have to pay the fees assessed as a result of city contracted clearance because they should have been given the chance to clear the parcel themselves, October 16-18, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: August 29, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 3, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 10, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 24, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2176026024 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 18, 2019.

Upon reviewing evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant it is clear that the Appellant failed to abate all hazards by the Compliance Due Date of September 18, 2019. As a result of this failure, the Appellant was sent a second notice of Noncompliance on October 3, 2019, at which point they were notified that the hazards on their parcel needed to be cleared immediately or they would be cleared by the city at the owner's expense. The second notice afforded the Appellants a chance to clear the parcel themselves by taking immediate action to remove all hazards. They failed to take this opportunity prior to the city's contracted clearance on October 11, 2019. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$4,312.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 28, 2020 08:00 PACKAGE NO: 2019184017  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93  
NAME: 18807 EDLEEN DR LLC I NONAHAL AND N NONAHAL  
MAILING ADDRESS: 18806 EDLEEN DR  
TARZANA CA 91356  
SITUS ADDRESS: 18807 EDLEEN DR  
LOS ANGELES 91356  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2176026025** / INVOICE NO: BC200000199  
ASSESSMENT: **\$4,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$4,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the cost of clearance by the city is illogical and excessive. Additionally, Appellant asserts their crews started the work and the City's crew stepped in and finished it and should not get full credit for the work done.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: August 29, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 3, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 10, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 24, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed in part and dismissed in part.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment in total.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

In this case, photos and emails by the LAFD show that some work was done by the Appellant's contractor prior to the City's contractor. At the same time, the City had to engage a contractor only because the Appellant failed to clear the brush in a timely manner. Therefore, it is recommended that the assessment for clearance will be reduced by half to \$1,500 from \$3,000, however, the administrative costs that could have been avoided if the City didn't have to contract at all will remain.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$2,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 22, 2020 08:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019184017  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: OFIR KROVKOVSKI

MAILING ADDRESS: 6860 CANBY AVE 111  
RESEDA CA 91335 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 18801 EDLEEN DR  
LOS ANGELES 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2176026026** / INVOICE NO: BC200000200

ASSESSMENT: **\$4,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$3,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$4,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fee for 2019. The Appellant explained that upon receipt of the Red Tag notice they scheduled their own clearance to take place between October 16- 18, 2019, and informed the Inspector of their plan. They argued that they should not have to pay the fees assessed as a result of city contracted clearance because they should have been given the chance to clear the parcel themselves, October 16-18, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: August 29, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 3, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 10, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 24, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2176026026 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 18, 2019.

Upon reviewing evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant it is clear that the Appellant failed to abate all hazards by the Compliance Due Date of September 18, 2019. As a result of this failure, the Appellant was sent a second notice of Noncompliance on October 3, 2019, at which point they were notified that the hazards on their parcel needed to be cleared immediately or they would be cleared by the city at the owner's expense. The second notice afforded the Appellants a chance to clear the parcel themselves by taking immediate action to remove all hazards. They failed to take this opportunity prior to the city's contracted clearance on October 11, 2019. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$4,312.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 21, 2020 10:15                      PACKAGE NO: 2019184014  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: PANDE PROPERTIES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 01605 PINE AVE  
MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266

SITUS ADDRESS: 4631 Dunas Ln  
Tarzana CA 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2184009014** / INVOICE NO: BC200000207

ASSESSMENT: **\$4,512.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$3,200.00	\$1,312.00	\$4,512.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared their property in July 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 9, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 1, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 26, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 10, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$4,512.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 29, 2020 14:30

PACKAGE NO: 2019184014

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: POLI, PAUL S

MAILING ADDRESS: 03306 DEER CREEK LN  
GLENDALE CA 91208

SITUS ADDRESS: 1800 Boris Dr  
Tarzana CA 91316

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2184015007** / INVOICE NO: BC200000209

ASSESSMENT: **\$4,512.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$3,200.00	\$1,312.00	\$4,512.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he never received any notices regarding noncompliance and never saw an inspector on the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 9, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 25, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 26, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 10, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Photos of the violation are on file. Notices were sent to Appellants address and no return notice was received.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$4,512.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 24, 2020 10:15                      PACKAGE NO: 2019184019  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: VALERIO, REGALADO JR AND ROSARIO

MAILING ADDRESS: 23953 RUSTICO CT  
VALENCIA CA 91354

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4525 Ensenada Dr  
Woodland Hills CA 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2190009054** / INVOICE NO: BC200000214

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,012.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$700.00	\$656.00	\$2,012.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that (1) initially he wasn't aware which property the notices were referring to, and (2) that he was given the wrong information when he inquired what the noncompliance issues were.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 13, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 26, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 24, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 6, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed in part and dismissed in part. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment in total. The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org). The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections. In this case, the Appellant gave credible testimony that he was given wrong information after inquiring what his compliance issues were. However, Appellant admitted he did not visit the property after receiving the noncompliance notices to check on compliance. Therefore, the Administrative fees will be reduced by half for the clerical errors but the other assessments for compliance failure will remain. To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](http://vms3.lafd.org).



Total assessment due is **\$1,356.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 30, 2020 08:00 PACKAGE NO: 2019184019  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84  
NAME: VALERIO, REGALADO JR AND ROSARIO  
MAILING ADDRESS: 23953 RUSTICO CT  
VALENCIA CA 91354  
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N/O 4519 Ensenada Dr  
Woodland Hills CA 91364  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2190009055** / INVOICE NO: BC200000215  
ASSESSMENT: **\$2,012.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$700.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,012.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that (1) initially he wasn't aware which property the notices were referring to, and (2) that he was given the wrong information when he inquired what the noncompliance issues were.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 26, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 24, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 6, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed in part and dismissed in part.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment in total.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

In this case, the Appellant gave credible testimony that he was given wrong information after inquiring what his compliance issues were. However, Appellant admitted he did not visit the property after receiving the noncompliance notices to check on compliance. Therefore, the Administrative fees will be reduced by half for the clerical errors but the other assessments for compliance failure will remain.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$2,012.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 28, 2020 08:00      PACKAGE NO: 2019176046  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 78

NAME: ARTHUR CLAYBON AND WAI YI CHAN

MAILING ADDRESS: 550 VANDERBILT AVENUE #1615  
BROOKLYN NY 11238 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3747 North Camino de la Cumbre st  
Sherman Oaks California 91423

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2274019028** / INVOICE NO: BC200000223

ASSESSMENT: **\$5,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$4,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$5,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 31, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 1, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 7, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 21, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on November 21, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$5,312.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 21, 2020 14:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019184016  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 83

NAME: MUNJACK,JEFFREY D TR JDM TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 16350 VENTURA BLVD STE D554  
ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 16972 OAK VIEW DR  
LOS ANGELES 91436

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2289030007** / INVOICE NO: BC200000241

ASSESSMENT: **\$4,112.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$2,800.00	\$1,312.00	\$4,112.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he never received notice of noncompliance and that a landscaper cleared all brush from the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: August 15, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 11, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 3, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 24, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$4,112.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: October 6, 2020 08:30 PACKAGE NO: 2019176039  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108

NAME: CE PARTNERS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 03301 N COLDWATER CANYON AVE  
STUDIO CITY CA 91604

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT North OF 3251 COLDWATER CYN AVE  
STUDIO CITY 91604

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2385023030** / INVOICE NO: BC200000264

ASSESSMENT: **\$24,302.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$22,990.00	\$1,312.00	\$24,302.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: August 20, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 25, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 3, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 17, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on October 17, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$24,302.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: October 6, 2020 09:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019179012  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: BAEZ HUGO A

MAILING ADDRESS: 12747 MERCER ST UNIT A17  
PACOIMA CA 91331

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6905 West ROUNDUP TR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428027018** / INVOICE NO: BC200000300

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 13, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 20, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on October 3, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$2,312.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 28, 2020 08:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019179012  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: SKRBIC, LOU

MAILING ADDRESS: 03230 S OCEAN BLVD                      APT 103  
PALM BEACH FL 33480

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6906 West CASH TR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428028026** / INVOICE NO: BC200000301

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts (1) the work was already done by Appellant's contractors, (2) the City's fees are excessive, and (3) a neighbor wouldn't let them cut down a large bush for fear of erosion issues.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 13, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 20, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$2,312.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 28, 2020 09:30

PACKAGE NO: 2019179012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: REINHARD J LICHTER

MAILING ADDRESS: 11741 LERDO AVE  
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2906 North OARK RUN TR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428028034** / INVOICE NO: BC200000304

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,212.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$900.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,212.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant made no statement.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 13, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 20, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire

inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$2,212.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 28, 2020 10:15                      PACKAGE NO: 2019179012  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: SKRBIC, LOU

MAILING ADDRESS: 03230 OCEAN BLVD                      APT 103  
PALM BEACH FL 33480 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6804 West CASH TR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2428028037** / INVOICE NO: BC200000305

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,212.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$900.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,212.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts (1) the work was already done by Appellant's contractors, (2) the City's fees are excessive, and (3) a neighbor wouldn't let them cut down a large bush for fear of erosion issues.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 13, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 20, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$2,212.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 10:15                      PACKAGE NO: 2019179031  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: MUSTAFA ANDAR

MAILING ADDRESS: 3522 ELLERY CMN  
FREMONT CA 94538

SITUS ADDRESS: 3216 BENNETT DR  
LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2429012007** / INVOICE NO: BC200000306

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that the brush was cleared after the notice of noncompliance and before the City's vendor did their work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: November 14, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on November 20, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 5, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 19, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$2,312.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 17, 2020 09:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019172002  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: GOLDEN MOUNTAIN LLC ATTN WIN NAING

MAILING ADDRESS: 5219 FARAGO AVE  
TEMPLE CITY CA 91780

SITUS ADDRESS: 10131 JOHANNA AVE  
LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2543014009** / INVOICE NO: BC200000314

ASSESSMENT: **\$6,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$5,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$6,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019 clearance. The Appellant did not appear for their hearing instead, offering a written summary of their position. The Appellant argued that the clearance they performed in July of 2019 was sufficient enough to have precluded any city contracted clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 19, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 15, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on August 30, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2543014009 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

Upon reviewing evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant it is clear that the Appellant made certain efforts to clear their parcel however despite those efforts, photographs that were taken by the Inspector and the city contractor depict the hazardous conditions that existed at the time of the city contracted clearance. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$6,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 28, 2020 08:30      PACKAGE NO: 2019172023  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: EMERSON, WILLIAM K TR WILLIAM K EMERSON TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 2808 ALTA TER  
LA CRESCENTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: 10419 North Mary Bell Av  
Sunland Ca 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2543034010** / INVOICE NO: BC200000316

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,712.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,400.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,712.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 19, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on December 5, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$2,712.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 28, 2020 08:30      PACKAGE NO: 2019172023  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: WILLIAM K EMERSON

MAILING ADDRESS: 2808 ALTA TER  
LA CRESCENTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: 10421 North Mary Bell Av  
Sunland Ca 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2543034011** / INVOICE NO: BC200000317

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,712.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,400.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,712.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 19, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on December 5, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$2,712.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 30, 2020 09:30

PACKAGE NO: 2019172020

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: LEIVA, PEDRO AND EDITH

MAILING ADDRESS: 09701 SOMBRA TERRACE  
SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 9701 SOMBRA TERRACE  
LOS ANGELES 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2545006018** / INVOICE NO: BC200000319

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,262.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$950.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,262.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that all brush was cleared after May 25, 2019 and that no City crews did clearance work on the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 24, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 17, 2019.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 31, 2019.

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 13, 2019.

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$2,262.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 09:30

PACKAGE NO: 2019173012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: BEGLARYAN, HRACH

MAILING ADDRESS: 01355 ALAMEDA AVE  
GLENDALE CA 91201

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6125 West SISTER ELSIE DR  
TUJUNGA CA 91402

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569014035** / INVOICE NO: BC200000368

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,562.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$250.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,562.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and were unaware of their responsibility to clear the brush from an unimproved easement of the City.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 6, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 10, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 12, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 26, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$1,562.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 09:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019173012  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: BEGLARYAN, HRACH

MAILING ADDRESS: 01355 ALAMEDA AVE  
GLENDALE CA 91201

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6127 West SISTER ELSIE DR  
TUJUNGA CA 91402

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569014036** / INVOICE NO: BC200000369

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,562.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$250.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,562.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and were unaware of their responsibility to clear the brush from an unimproved easement of the City.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 6, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 10, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 12, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 26, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$1,562.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 09:30

PACKAGE NO: 2019173012

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: BEGLARYAN, HRACH

MAILING ADDRESS: 01355 ALAMEDA AVE  
GLENDALE CA 91201

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 6141 West SISTER ELSIE DR  
TUJUNGA CA 91402

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2569014046** / INVOICE NO: BC200000370

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,562.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$250.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,562.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and were unaware of their responsibility to clear the brush from an unimproved easement of the City.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 6, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 10, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 12, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 26, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$1,562.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 30, 2020 10:15                      PACKAGE NO: 2019175005  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: KRAMER STUART B (TE)

MAILING ADDRESS: 11751 ZELZAH AVE  
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 11751 ZELZAH AVE  
LOS ANGELES 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2601032032** / INVOICE NO: BC200000382

ASSESSMENT: **\$31,262.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$29,950.00	\$1,312.00	\$31,262.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 20, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 24, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on July 11, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on August 1, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, and granted additional days for clearance, affording the Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

Brush Hazard Notices that were sent and received by the Appellant listed with specificity, the hazards that needed to be abated. All hazards needed to be cleared no later than June 9, 2019.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on August 1, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$31,262.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 27, 2020 08:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019172013  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: MEMON ASMA M

MAILING ADDRESS: 19136 CASTLEBAY LN  
PORTER RANCH CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 17853 RIDGEWAY RD  
LOS ANGELES 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2601032045** / INVOICE NO: BC200000384

ASSESSMENT: **\$3,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$2,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$3,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property was sold on November 27, 2019, and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: August 22, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 18, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 3, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 17, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. While the property was sold on November 27, 2019, the work required to inspect and clear the brush all took place before that date. Financial hardship is not a basis to dismiss the claims and shift the burden to the taxpayers when alternative payment plans may be available.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the

City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$3,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 23, 2020 13:00

PACKAGE NO: 2019172007

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: DESERT CANDLE LP

MAILING ADDRESS: 01000 S DOVE ST  
NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

SITUS ADDRESS: LOT @ 12166 North HIGHWATER RD  
GRANDA HILLS CA 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2601077002** / INVOICE NO: BC200000385

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,207.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$895.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,207.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices regarding noncompliance and contracted out the brush removal themselves.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 22, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 18, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 29, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 12, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

In this case, the record shows that the first and second noncompliance notices went to the wrong address and were not received by Appellant, denying them proper notice. For this reason, it is recommended that the assessment be dismissed.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 14, 2020 10:00      PACKAGE NO: 2019178055  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 28  
NAME: SORRENTO AT PORTER RANCH COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION  
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 802920  
SANTA CLARITA CA 91380 USA  
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S of 20824 West VERCELLI WAY  
PORTER RANCH CA 91326  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2701066021** / INVOICE NO: BC200000393  
ASSESSMENT: **\$10,062.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$8,750.00	\$1,312.00	\$10,062.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance fees for 2019. The Appellant's representative argued that the clearance they performed in May of 2019 was sufficient to satisfy brush clearance requirements.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 23, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 1, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2701066021 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

Upon reviewing evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant it is clear that the Appellant made certain efforts to clear their parcel however despite those efforts, photographs that were taken by the Inspector and the City Contractor depict the hazardous conditions that were repeatedly cited and existed at the time of the City Contracted Clearance. The photographs that were taken were geotagged using the County Assessor's record to ensure that the work performed was within the boundaries of APN 2701066021. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$10,062.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: October 6, 2020 10:00 PACKAGE NO: 2019175009  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107  
NAME: KEMMER, BRANDON L AND NICOLE L AND KEMMER, GARRY L AND MARY A  
MAILING ADDRESS: 10938 INDEPENDENCE AVE  
CHATSWORTH CA 91311  
SITUS ADDRESS: 10938 INDEPENDENCE AVE  
LOS ANGELES 91311  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2706006002 / INVOICE NO: BC200000397  
ASSESSMENT: **\$3,112.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,800.00	\$1,312.00	\$3,112.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019. The Appellant did not dispute that clearance took place.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 6, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 11, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 29, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 12, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2706006002 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The Appellant confirmed that they did not clear their parcel of brush hazards in 2019.

In 2019, the property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on September 12, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$3,112.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 21, 2020 13:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019175010  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 96

NAME: GHERMEZI,ROBIN

MAILING ADDRESS: 10859 ANDORA AVE  
CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 10859 ANDORA AVE  
LOS ANGELES 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **2722004008** / INVOICE NO: BC200000400

ASSESSMENT: **\$5,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$4,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$5,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant did not dispute the facts but instead declared financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 21, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 11, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 29, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 12, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 2722004008 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 10, 2019. Photographs taken by the City's vendor and the Inspector depict the hazardous conditions that were cleared by the City's vendor on September 12, 2019.

During the hearing, the Appellant did not dispute the facts offered by the Fire Department but instead suggested that the fees assessed would present an undue financial hardship. The Hearing Officer requested proof of financial hardship no later than September 4th, 2020 to consider the Appellant's claim. No proof was provided. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$5,312.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 24, 2020 13:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019174016  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: PAUL W WYLIE - CEO

MAILING ADDRESS: 2811 STOKES CYN ROAD  
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91302` USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1635 FERRARI DR  
LOS ANGELES 90210

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4355004030** / INVOICE NO: BC200000408

ASSESSMENT: **\$7,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$6,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$7,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was done by their contractor at or near the same time as the City's. Further, Appellant asserts they were in contact with LAFD regarding their contractor's work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 20, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 25, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 26, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 10, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Appellant could not show that the work was the result of their contractor, nor that the work done was not done by the City's contractor, who provided photos of the work done.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.



To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$7,312.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 28, 2020 13:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019181033  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: SOUMEEH EBRAHIM

MAILING ADDRESS: 1743 ROSCOMARE RD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90077 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 869 LINDA FLORA DR  
LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4368023021** / INVOICE NO: BC200000417

ASSESSMENT: **\$16,762.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$15,450.00	\$1,312.00	\$16,762.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts all brush was cleared on May 25, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 23, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 24, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 26, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 10, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was initially inspected on May 23, 2019, and found to be non-compliant. At the time of re-inspection on June 24, 2019, the property was still non-compliant. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during, and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$16,762.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 13:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019174026  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: NAYLOR,SUSAN AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 11681 BELLAGIO RD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90049 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 10480 West SANDAL LANE  
LOS ANGELES CA 90077

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4371010024** / INVOICE NO: BC200000424

ASSESSMENT: **\$9,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$4,250.00	\$1,312.00	\$9,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts (1) they have a 30 year track record of compliance, (2) they did not receive notice prior to the brush clearance by the City's vendor, (3) the City's vendor removed more than they should have and protected trees were removed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 29, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 1, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 12, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed in part and denied in part. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment in its entirety.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and

inspections. In this case, there is an open question as to whether the City's vendor did work beyond what was necessary and required. Therefore, in the interests of justice, the cost for the brush clearance is recommended to be reduced by half to \$4,250. The cost of the inspections remains.

Total assessment due is **\$5,562.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 24, 2020 14:30 PACKAGE NO: 2019174010  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37  
NAME: NGUYEN, ANDREW D AND CAO, KATIE T  
MAILING ADDRESS: 9611 PORT CLYDE DR  
HUNTINGTON BEACH CA 92646  
SITUS ADDRESS: V.L @ 1379 North LINDA FLORA DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90049  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4377002009** / INVOICE NO: BC200000430  
ASSESSMENT: **\$3,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$2,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$3,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts financial hardship and that he can't afford to pay the assessment.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 3, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 1, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 15, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on August 29, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire

inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$3,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 23, 2020 10:15                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183012  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: FLICKER LAND LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 9701 West PICO BLVD 201a  
LOS ANGELES CA 90035 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: FLICKER WAY  
LOS ANGELES 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4392006018** / INVOICE NO: BC200000477

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,912.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$600.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,912.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that his landscaper regularly maintains the brush and that the City's contractors damaged his irrigation system.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 13, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 10, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 29, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 12, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. If Appellant has a claim for damages against the City, it is not the subject of this appeal and must be presented to the City separately.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.



To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$1,912.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 17, 2020 08:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183017  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: JAMES H SCHNEIDER

MAILING ADDRESS: 9267 CORDELL DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: 9267 CORDELL DR  
LOS ANGELES 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4392009012** / INVOICE NO: BC200000478

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,862.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,550.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,862.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance fees resulting from city contracted clearance on September 16, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 13, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 10, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 12, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 26, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 4392009012 has been confirmed for the amount set forth in the initial notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required.

During the hearing, the Appellant argued that notice was improper and not sufficient to afford them their due process. The Fire Department records reflect that the initial notices of Noncompliance were mailed to the parcel address and not the Appellant's mailing address however the Fire Department also emailed the same notices to jhs@pacbell.net. It should be noted that the email address used by the Brush Clearance Unit was provided by the Appellant himself when he registered for digital brush clearance notifications on March 4, 2019. The Fire Department provided evidence that these emails were properly delivered.

Most relevant to the issue of Brush Clearance penalties is the Red Tag notice to abate was properly posted. The Appellant confirmed receipt of this notice during the hearing. Upon reviewing evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant it is clear that the notice to abate was properly provided, that the Appellant received said notice, and that clearance contracted by the city did in fact take place. Accordingly, the fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$2,862.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 26, 2020 09:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019174027  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: LEMOINE, GORDON S

MAILING ADDRESS: 05300 BEACH BLVD                      #110-541  
BUENA PARK CA 90621

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S. of 602 North KINGMAN AVE  
LOS ANGELES CA 90402

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4408036001 / INVOICE NO: BC200000480

ASSESSMENT: \$25,307.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$23,995.00	\$1,312.00	\$25,307.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019. The Appellant's attorney disputes that clearance took place on the Appellant's land and argues that the fees charged for clearance were unconscionable.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 21, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor's records were used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org). Using this information the Fire Inspector cited hazards on parcel APN 4408036001 that needed to be cleared by June 17, 2019.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on January 23, 2020. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. The photographs that were taken by the Fire Department were geotagged using satellites and the County Assessor's record to ensure that the work performed was within the boundaries of APN 4408036001. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration

fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$25,307.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 27, 2020 13:45 PACKAGE NO: 2019179034  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: WILL ROGERS ESTATE LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 00201 W WILSHIRE BLVD 2ND FL  
SANTA MONICA CA 90401

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S. of 1061 North WILL ROGERS STATE PARK ROAD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4409008008** / INVOICE NO: BC200000482

ASSESSMENT: **\$6,112.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$4,800.00	\$1,312.00	\$6,112.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared on December 26, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 29, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 1, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on January 2, 2020

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire

inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$6,112.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 27, 2020 13:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019179034  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: VLADIMIR TEVLOVSKI

MAILING ADDRESS: 171 PIER AVE #505  
SANTA MONICA CA 90405 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1001 WILL ROGERS STATE PARK RD  
LOS ANGELES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4409008015** / INVOICE NO: BC200000483

ASSESSMENT: **\$19,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$18,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$19,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no notices because they have their mail forwarded to them and it may have been thrown out inadvertently. Appellant asserts they have since hired a contractor to clear the property going forward.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 10, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 24, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on January 2, 2020

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$19,812.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 30, 2020 13:00 PACKAGE NO: 2019179034  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69  
NAME: ROBINSON, HOWARD S CO TR ROBINSON LEHRMAN FAMILY TRUST  
MAILING ADDRESS: 1218 TELLEM DR  
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272  
SITUS ADDRESS: 1218 TELLEM DR  
LOS ANGELES 90272  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4420020006 / INVOICE NO: BC200000495  
ASSESSMENT: \$10,812.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$9,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$10,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant did not believe that the City's contracted vendor performed any clearance on their parcel.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 20, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 21, 2019.

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 19, 2019.

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on January 2, 2020.

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 4420020006 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 9, 2019.

The Appellant confirmed that they did not clear their parcel of brush hazards in 2019. They did clear the parcel in 2020 and based on the work that the Appellant's contractors performed in 2020 the Appellant did not believe that the City's contracted vendor cleared their parcel at any point. During the hearing, the Appellant requested proof of clearance in the form of pictures and requested the date/s that the service was rendered.

The Fire Department provided bid sheets and photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor. The photographs show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on January 2, 2020. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. The photographs that were taken by the Fire Department and the contractor were geotagged using the County Assessor's record to ensure that the work performed was within the boundaries of APN 4420020006. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$10,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 23, 2020 09:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019177026  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: 16680 CHARMEL LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 01719 STEWART ST  
SANTA MONICA CA 90404

SITUS ADDRESS: 16680 CHARMEL LANE  
PACIFIC PALISADES 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4420024038** / INVOICE NO: BC200000498

ASSESSMENT: **\$5,912.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$4,600.00	\$1,312.00	\$5,912.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that some of the clearance work done by the City's contractor was on his neighbor's property and that he should not have to pay for that.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 14, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 25, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. No evidence was provided to show that work was done off property.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$5,912.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 27, 2020 08:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019179023  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: SILVER,JOEL AND KARYN A TRS SILVER TRUST C/O PAUL MCCLOSKEY

MAILING ADDRESS: 1601 MAIN ST  
VENICE CA 90291

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L E of 445 North LAYTON WAY  
LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4429027003** / INVOICE NO: BC200000505

ASSESSMENT: **\$7,212.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$5,900.00	\$1,312.00	\$7,212.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant states they received no notices and their contractors cleared the property before the City did.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 25, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 31, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 13, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$7,212.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 08:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019177025  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: MOUNTAINGATE LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 800 W 6TH ST 500  
LOS ANGELES CA 90017

SITUS ADDRESS: 2132 North CANYONBACK RD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4490024014** / INVOICE NO: BC200000512

ASSESSMENT: **\$35,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$34,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$35,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts (1) an LAFD Inspector verbally told them their property was in compliance after hiring a landscaper to clear the brush, (2) the notices posted on the property were hidden and difficult to get to, and (3) the City's vendor went over areas already completed by Appellant's landscaper.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 3, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 28, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 7, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 21, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 4490024014 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

During the hearing, the Appellant's representative argued that the Appellant's failure to clear the parcel was due in part to reliance on verbal communication with an Inspector who assured them that the parcel was compliant and that no further work needed to be done. A review of the Fire Department's records does not support that the parcel was considered to be cleared by any inspector responsible for assessing parcel 4490024014. The Appellant's representative also suggested that notice was imperfect because the notices posted on the property were hidden and difficult to get to. If the notices posted on the property were the only notices issued, there could be an argument that imperfect notice precluded clearance of the parcel by the Appellant however notice was not only posted but was mailed to the Appellant's address of record and made available on VMS3.lafd.org. Lastly, the Appellant's representative believed that the City's contracted vendor cleared areas outside of the scope of work and that these same areas had already been cleared by the Appellant's landscaper. The County Assessor's property records were used to determine the property boundaries that were subject to inspection and clearance. Photographs taken by the contracted vendor before, during, and after clearance indicate that the areas the contracted vendor cleared were non-compliant at the time that

clearance took place. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.  
Total assessment due is **\$35,812.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 14, 2020 12:00      PACKAGE NO: 2019177026  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: VIPPERMAN RAMONA M (TE)

MAILING ADDRESS: 1072 CARRARA PL  
LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 1072 CARRARA PL  
LOS ANGELES 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **4493018047** / INVOICE NO: BC200000521

ASSESSMENT: **\$3,512.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$2,200.00	\$1,312.00	\$3,512.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Administrative error.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 5, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 6, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The assessment was due to an administrative error which has now been corrected and the assessment is waived. We apologize for any inconvenience.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: October 6, 2020 08:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019174013  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94

NAME: Zachary John Darwish

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 8836  
NEWPORT BEACH CA 92658 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 4171 DON MARIANO DR  
LOS ANGELES 90008

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5026004004** / INVOICE NO: BC200000524

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,512.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,200.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,512.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 3, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 1, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant asserts that the City's contracted clearance took place after they became the owners of parcel APN 5026004004 however the fees associated with City Contracted Brush Clearance run with and encumber the land despite ownership and possession.

Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on October 3, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$2,512.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 14:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019174012  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94

NAME: SUDOL, ANDREW T AND ALICIA

MAILING ADDRESS: 00137 E CARMEL VALLEY RD                      APT 1  
CARMEL VALLEY CA 93924

SITUS ADDRESS: 4001 DON FELIPE DR  
LOS ANGELES 90008

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5031012003** / INVOICE NO: BC200000526

ASSESSMENT: **\$8,112.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$6,800.00	\$1,312.00	\$8,112.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no notices regarding brush clearance issues.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 30, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 27, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$8,112.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 22, 2020 09:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019173021  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: ALFARO, SONIA A

MAILING ADDRESS: 00970 W 43RD ST  
LOS ANGELES CA 90037

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3125 North EVA TER  
LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5209002003** / INVOICE NO: BC200000550

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,062.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$750.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,062.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the property between inspections, that they didn't receive a second notice, and that because their other parcel cleared inspection, they thought this one did too. Appellant also asserts that the brush in the photos was on their neighbor's property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 22, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 18, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 7, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 21, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$2,062.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 24, 2020 08:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178061  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: SAMAWI, MUSA S

MAILING ADDRESS: 940 CLOVERDALE DR  
ANAHEIM CA 92808 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2820 North EVA TER  
LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5209004023** / INVOICE NO: BC200000556

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared in accordance with City guidelines.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 16, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 14, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on January 2, 2020

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire

inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$2,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 26, 2020 08:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178057  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: QIAN LIU

MAILING ADDRESS: 830 KUHN DRIVE #210506  
CHULA VISTA CA 91921 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2710 North SIERRA ST  
LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5209005008** / INVOICE NO: BC200000558

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,412.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,100.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,412.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance fees for 2019. The Appellant argued that notice was not sufficient.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 20, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 5, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 19, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5209005008 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 17, 2019.

The Appellant argued that because the initial notice of noncompliance was sent to the wrong address, notice was insufficient. Each notice of Noncompliance was sent to all the addresses that the Appellant had listed with the County Assessor. Mail from one of the two addresses was returned while the other was delivered. The Fire Department took additional steps to provide timely and detailed notices on the VMS website. It is the property owners' responsibility to notify the County Assessor of any change of address/name to ensure proper receipt of all notices. The Appellant argued that such notification was provided to the County Assessor but did not have proof.

Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on December 18, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and



inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$2,412.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 21, 2020 08:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178057  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: OH,SUZIE

MAILING ADDRESS: 05057 FULTON AVE  
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4731 East HUNTINGTON DR NORTH  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5213007003** / INVOICE NO: BC200000595

ASSESSMENT: **\$3,912.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$2,600.00	\$1,312.00	\$3,912.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts (1) that they cleared the brush after the first notice of noncompliance, (2) that they contacted LAFD after the second notice and sent their gardener out again, and (3) that they weren't aware they weren't in compliance when the City's vendor cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 6, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 13, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 5, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 19, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$3,912.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 20, 2020 13:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178054  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: DOV LEHAVI

MAILING ADDRESS: 5771 VALERIE AVE  
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4777 East TOPAZ ST  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5213024030** / INVOICE NO: BC200000597

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,212.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$900.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,212.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was completed by their own landscaper.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 10, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 13, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5213024030 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 30, 2019.

During the hearing, the Appellant acknowledged that their parcel was not cleared by the Compliance Due Date. The Appellant argued that despite their delay, the landscaping and clearance that they paid for on June 19, 2019, was sufficient and should have satisfied Brush Clearance requirements.

Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on November 23, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of cited hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$2,212.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: October 8, 2020 10:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178056  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: EASTGATE TOWN CENTER LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 491575  
LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L SW of 4531 East TOURMALINE ST  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5214005009** / INVOICE NO: BC200000610

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,512.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,200.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,512.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant contends that the parcel could not be cleared because there is no access that he is aware of and that the parcels were joined on August 17, 2019, so only one fee is appropriate.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 20, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 12, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5214005009 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The parcel currently in dispute was at the time of citation two separate parcels, APN 5214005009 and APN 5214005010. Subsequent to citation and the Appellant's failure to comply, the parcels were joined and were assigned one APN. This assignment took place after the citations were issued and therefore does not warrant the reduction of fees.

The Appellant argued that they were unable to clear the parcel in 2019 because they were unaware of a point of access that could be used for egress and regress, however, this does not absolve the Appellant of their responsibility to keep the parcel clear of all brush hazards. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on December 12, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's two parcels in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$2,512.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: October 8, 2020 10:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178056  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MESHKANIAN, GEORGE

MAILING ADDRESS: 02932 WILSHIRE BLVD                      STE 205  
SANTA MONICA CA 90403

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L SW OF 4535 East TOURMALINE ST  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5214005010** / INVOICE NO: BC200000611

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,512.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,200.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,512.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant contends that the parcel could not be cleared because there is no access that he is aware of and that the parcels were joined on August 17, 2019, so only one fee is appropriate.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 20, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 12, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5214005010 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The parcel currently in dispute was at the time of citation two separate parcels, APN 5214005009 and APN 5214005010. Subsequent to citation and the Appellant's failure to comply, the parcels were joined and were assigned one APN. This assignment took place after the citations were issued and therefore does not warrant the reduction of fees.

The Appellant argued that they were unable to clear the parcel in 2019 because they were unaware of a point of access that could be used for egress and regress, however, this does not absolve the Appellant of their responsibility to keep the parcel clear of all brush hazards. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on December 12, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's two parcels in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$2,512.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 21, 2020 08:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178054  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CIVASH MATTHEW LOGHMANI

MAILING ADDRESS: 5883 West 77TH PLACE  
LOS ANGELES CA 90045 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4618 East BEDILION ST  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5214015002** / INVOICE NO: BC200000615

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,062.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$750.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,062.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that they thought they were in compliance after they cleared the brush after the second notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 24, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$2,062.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 21, 2020 09:30 PACKAGE NO: 2019178044  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16

NAME: SAMIA,HULBERT

MAILING ADDRESS: 01803 E WARDLOW RD UNIT 11  
LONG BEACH CA 90807

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4932 East LA CALANDRIA WAY  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5216018013** / INVOICE NO: BC200000620

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,912.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$600.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,912.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their workers cleared the brush in March 2019 and that they showed pictures to the LAFD to clear the noncompliance notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 13, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 10, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 10, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 24, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$1,912.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 14, 2020 09:30      PACKAGE NO: 2019178037  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: PETRA G LOPEZ

MAILING ADDRESS: 9056 GUNN AVE  
WHITTIER CA 90605 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4381 North LATONA AVE  
LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5304001012** / INVOICE NO: BC200000637

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,712.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$400.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,712.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 16, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 21, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. The initial notices were returned and additional notices were sent to the Appellant's forwarding address of record. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be non-compliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during, and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$1,712.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 14, 2020 09:00      PACKAGE NO: 2019178037  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: PETRA G LOPEZ

MAILING ADDRESS: 9056 GUNN AVE  
WHITTIER CA 90605 United States

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L@ 4385 North LATONA AVE  
LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5304001013** / INVOICE NO: BC200000638

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,712.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$400.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,712.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 21, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 7, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. The initial notices were returned and additional notices were sent to the Appellant's forwarding address of record. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be non-compliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during, and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$1,712.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 23, 2020 08:00 PACKAGE NO: 2019178052  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47  
NAME: CULLEN,JAMES A CO TR CULLEN FAMILYM TRUST  
MAILING ADDRESS: 237 PASQUAL AVE  
SAN GABRIEL CA 91775  
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4053 North HARRIMAN AVE  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5306004022 / INVOICE NO: BC200000674  
ASSESSMENT: \$1,892.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$580.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,892.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property cleared by the City's contractor was not theirs and that they should not pay for work not done for their property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 22, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 13, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 7, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 21, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. Appellant made verbal claims that the work was not done on their property but no photos or other evidence was provided to support the claims and the property was deemed to be in compliance by LAFD inspectors after the work was completed.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the

City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$1,892.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 28, 2020 13:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178048  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MARTIN, BARBARA A

MAILING ADDRESS: 706 RIVERSIDE DR UNIT 4D  
NEW YORK NY 10031

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4036 North RANDOLPH AVE  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5306008005** / INVOICE NO: BC200000678

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,912.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$600.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,912.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their property was cleared by June 13, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 21, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 13, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 31, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 13, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire

inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$1,912.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 28, 2020 14:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178046  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: JUAREZ, ANDY

MAILING ADDRESS: 03964 VAN HORNE AVE  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W. of 4215 North CORONA DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5307007014** / INVOICE NO: BC200000694

ASSESSMENT: **\$13,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$12,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$13,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant argued that the property that was cleared did not belong to him.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: September 9, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 7, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 24, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 6, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5307007014 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than September 29, 2019.

The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$13,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 24, 2020 09:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019177010  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MEDINA, RAYMOND TR

MAILING ADDRESS: 9073 EVERGREEN CT  
FONTANA CA 92335 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 4502 LOWELL AVE  
LOS ANGELES 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5309020014** / INVOICE NO: BC200000706

ASSESSMENT: **\$5,562.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$4,250.00	\$1,312.00	\$5,562.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the City contractor's price for work done was excessive and profiteering.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 6, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 21, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 29, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 12, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. The City contracted with the lowest qualified bidder to do the work.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$5,562.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: October 6, 2020 09:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019171012  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: AMERICAN PACIFIC INVESTMENT GROUP LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 9061 BOLSA AVE                      STE 204  
WESTMINSTER CA 92683 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1921 DELTA ST  
LOS ANGELES 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5419001022** / INVOICE NO: BC200000710

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,752.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$440.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,752.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: August 13, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 5, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on October 3, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$1,752.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 29, 2020 08:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019171010  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: ARNOLD,HOPE

MAILING ADDRESS: 02376 LOMA VISTA PL  
LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2376 LOMA VISTA PL  
LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5422011007** / INVOICE NO: BC200000718

ASSESSMENT: **\$12,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$11,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$12,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 30, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 24, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 12, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 26, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5422011007 has been confirmed for the amount set forth in the initial notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required.

During the hearing, the Appellant argued that notice was vague and lacked specificity regarding exactly which trees needed to be removed from the parcel. During her appeal, the Appellant declared that she believed that the items to be removed were not known by the Fire Department until the day of contracted clearance. The Appellant argued that if the Fire Department didn't know which tree was in violation before the day of clearance then she was not capable of knowing and was thereby not afforded due process. The Fire Department offered photographic evidence sufficient to establish that the tree in question had been identified before the contracted clearance. These photographs were available for the Appellant to view online at the time that the initial Notice of Noncompliance was issued. The website to view these pictures was provided to the Appellant on each notice that was sent. No mail was returned. The Fire Department also offered evidence showing that the Notices of Noncompliance that were sent to the Appellant specified that "all" dead trees needed to be cleared in the "front and back of the home." Satellite images were made available online for the Appellant to reference the locations of the dead trees that were at issue.

Upon reviewing evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant it is clear that the Appellant made certain efforts to clear her parcel however despite those efforts, hazards that had been

specifically listed were not cleared by the Appellant, before the City Contracted Clearance. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$12,312.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 20, 2020 08:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019171018  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: CHANEL Summers

MAILING ADDRESS: 714 N. 59TH  
SEATTLE WA 98103 UNITED STATES

SITUS ADDRESS: 1936 REDCLIFF ST  
LOS ANGELES 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5431024010** / INVOICE NO: BC200000722

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,592.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,280.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,592.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance for 2019. The Appellant submitted a contractor's bid sheet with the appeal form to evidence that their parcel was cleared in 2019 and that their own contracted clearance would have precluded the need for the City's contracted clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 30, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on November 18, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor's records were used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org). Using this information the Fire Inspector cited hazards on parcel APN 5431024010 that needed to be cleared by June 19, 2019. The Appellant stated that their contractor cleared the parcel on August 25, 2019, but photographs taken by the Fire Inspector on November 19, 2019, reveal that the cited hazards still existed.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on November 27, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. The photographs that were taken by the Fire Department were geotagged using the County Assessor's record to ensure that the work performed was within the boundaries of APN 5431024010. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place

on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$2,592.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 29, 2020 08:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019171014  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: SIM, RAYMOND J

MAILING ADDRESS: 07000 LA PALMA AVE                      # 11205  
BUENA PARK CA 90620

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L BEHIND 2839 WAVERLY DR.,  
LOS ANGELES CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5434025027 / INVOICE NO: BC200000728

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,562.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,250.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,562.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 24, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire

inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$2,562.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 14, 2020 08:30      PACKAGE NO: 2019178061  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: FARAH SADEGHI

MAILING ADDRESS: 1 BEAMISH CRESCENT  
KANATA ONTARIO K2K 2R5 CANADA K2K 2R5 CANADA

SITUS ADDRESS: 4800 MONTE VISTA ST  
LOS ANGELES 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5467024012** / INVOICE NO: BC200000796

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,712.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,400.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,712.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant asserts that they never received notice because they live in a different country.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 20, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 8, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on January 2, 2020

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. The initial notices were returned and additional notices were sent to the Appellant's forwarding address of record. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be non-compliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during, and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is **\$2,712.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 22, 2020 13:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019182025  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: KLEINMAN,ILYA

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 2548  
VAN NUYS CA 91404

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S.of 1437 West AVENUE 43  
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5472003046** / INVOICE NO: BC200000798

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$500.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared prior to the City hiring a vendor to do it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: July 15, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 26, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on November 21, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 5, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](http://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$1,812.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 30, 2020 13:45 PACKAGE NO: 2019182012  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42  
NAME: TAMBORRINO ARMOUR, MARIA TR ET AL TAMBORRINO PROPERTY TRUST AND  
MAILING ADDRESS: 16187 TUDE RD  
APPLE VALLEY CA 92307  
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4637 North LOLETA PLACE  
LOS ANGELES CA 90041  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5479002033 / INVOICE NO: BC200000804  
ASSESSMENT: \$6,212.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$4,900.00	\$1,312.00	\$6,212.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts there were no notices sent to their home address, that the brush was cleared by their private contractor, and that they are experiencing financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 6, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 27, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5479002033 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than May 26, 2019.

During the hearing, the Appellant argued that notice was improper and not sufficient to afford them their due process. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. The notices of Noncompliance were mailed to the owner's address on file with the County Assessor. No mail was returned. It is the property owner's responsibility to notify the County Assessor of any change of address. Most relevant to the issue of Brush Clearance penalties is the Red Tag notice that was properly and conspicuously posted in a manner sufficient as to afford the Appellant their due process.

The Appellant acknowledged that their parcel was not cleared by the Compliance Due Date but believed that despite their delay, the landscaping and clearance that they did was sufficient and should have satisfied Brush Clearance requirements. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on June 26, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor.

The Appellant declared that payment of the assessed fees would create a financial hardship. The Hearing Officer requested proof of financial hardship no later than September 4th, 2020 in order to consider the Appellant's claim. No proof was provided. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$6,212.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 22, 2020 13:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019182013  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42

NAME: M & D VENTURES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 8383 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 646  
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211

SITUS ADDRESS: 4630 North LOLETA AVE  
LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5479002035** / INVOICE NO: BC200000805

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,857.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$545.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,857.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they have never owned this property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: July 8, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 9, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire

inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$1,857.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 24, 2020 13:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178042  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: ADAIMY, EDMOND TR MARIA BOTHAM TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 00212 S GRAMERCY PL  
LOS ANGELES CA 90004

SITUS ADDRESS: 972 North ROCKDALE AVE  
LOS ANGELES 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5481009013** / INVOICE NO: BC200000806

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$500.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance fees for 2019. The Appellant felt that their own clearance was sufficient.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 16, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 14, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 3, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 17, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5481009013 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than June 5, 2019.

During the hearing, the Appellant acknowledged receipt of all notices of Noncompliance and further acknowledged that their parcel was not cleared by the Compliance Due Date. The Appellant argued that despite their delay, the landscaping and clearance that they did on July 8, 2019, was sufficient and should have satisfied Brush Clearance requirements. The Appellant also suggested that any clearance that might have been done by the City's vendor was not on their parcel but was instead on a neighbor's parcel.

Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on October 12, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$1,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 17, 2020 09:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178059  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: POPPY PEAK 26 LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 4844 BRIGGS AVE  
LA CRESCENTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W of 6230 East POPPY PEAK DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5482008007** / INVOICE NO: BC200000807

ASSESSMENT: **\$6,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$5,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$6,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance fees for 2019. The Appellant believes that there was no City contracted clearance in 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: October 22, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on November 18, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on January 2, 2020

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5482008007 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

A review of the evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant confirms that the Appellant made certain efforts to clear their parcel. Despite those efforts, photographs that were taken by the Inspector and the City's contracted vendor depict the hazardous conditions that had previously been cited and still existed at the time of the City's contracted clearance. Accordingly, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$6,312.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 30, 2020 14:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183021  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: 102 INVESTMENTS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 01717 MCKINNEY AVE                      STE 1450  
DALLAS TX 75202

SITUS ADDRESS: 7956 West Granito Dr  
Hollywood 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5551003005** / INVOICE NO: BC200000824

ASSESSMENT: **\$3,112.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,800.00	\$1,312.00	\$3,112.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they are not the legal owners of the property and therefore are not responsible for the assessment.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 25, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 22, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 26, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 10, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$3,112.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 26, 2020 08:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183019  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: DON HANKEY CEO

MAILING ADDRESS: 2553 SUMMITRIDGE DRIVE  
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 7640 CURSON TER  
LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5551010038** / INVOICE NO: BC200000825

ASSESSMENT: **\$5,912.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$4,600.00	\$1,312.00	\$5,912.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance for 2019. The Appellant has proffered photographs of clearance and receipts for 2020.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 20, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 12, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The year at issue in this dispute is 2019, not 2020.

The proposed assessment against property APN# 5551010038 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs depicting the hazardous condition that existed on the Appellant's property at the time of clearance in 2019. The Appellant provided no evidence sufficient to waive or reduce the fee as originally assessed.

Total assessment due is **\$5,912.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 31, 2020 10:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183029  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: LYNNE A SEGALL

MAILING ADDRESS: 8436 HAROLD WAY  
LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 8430 West HAROLD WAY  
LOS ANGELES CA 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **555013012** / INVOICE NO: BC200000827

ASSESSMENT: **\$3,112.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,800.00	\$1,312.00	\$3,112.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fee for 2019. The Appellant believes that their own work performed in October 2019 was sufficient to meet brush clearance requirements.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 23, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 17, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 31, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 13, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor's records were used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org). Using this information the Fire Inspector cited hazards on parcel APN 555013012 that needed to be cleared by June 12, 2019.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on November 7, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. The photographs that were taken by the Fire Department were geotagged using the County Assessor's record to ensure that the work performed was within the boundaries of APN 555013012. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$3,112.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 27, 2020 09:30 PACKAGE NO: 2019183024  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41  
NAME: NAR INVESTMENT INC  
MAILING ADDRESS: 23679 CALABASAS RD NO 209  
CALABASAS CA 91302  
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 1936 North CRESCENT HEIGHTS BLVD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90069  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556035011 / INVOICE NO: BC200000836  
ASSESSMENT: \$20,812.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$19,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$20,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they hired a contractor to clear the brush and the work was done on 6/24/19.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 5, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 1, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 3, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 17, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire

inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$20,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 27, 2020 09:30 PACKAGE NO: 2019183024  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41  
NAME: NAR INVESTMENT INC  
MAILING ADDRESS: 23679 CALABASAS RD NO 209  
CALABASAS CA 91302  
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 1916 CRESCENT HEIGHTS BLVD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90069  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556035012 / INVOICE NO: BC200000837  
ASSESSMENT: \$5,812.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$4,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$5,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they hired a contractor to clear the brush and the work was done on 6/24/19.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 5, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 1, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 3, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 17, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire

inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$5,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 27, 2020 09:30 PACKAGE NO: 2019183024  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41  
NAME: NAR INVESTMENT INC  
MAILING ADDRESS: 23679 CALABASAS RD NO 209  
CALABASAS CA 91302  
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N of 1908 North CRESCENT HEIGHTS BLVD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90069  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556035014 / INVOICE NO: BC200000838  
ASSESSMENT: \$5,812.00

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$4,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$5,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they hired a contractor to clear the brush and the work was done on 6/24/19.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: June 5, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on July 1, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 3, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 17, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire

inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$5,812.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 30, 2020 08:45

PACKAGE NO: 2019183032

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: ASAD DURRANI

MAILING ADDRESS: 1890 BLUE HEIGHTS DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: vacant lot 8594 West brier dr  
los angeles ca 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5558001011** / INVOICE NO: BC200000839

ASSESSMENT: **\$7,112.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$5,800.00	\$1,312.00	\$7,112.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that while the clearance was done by them after the deadline, it was done and that the City contractor never performed work on their property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: September 30, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on November 5, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 5, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on December 19, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5558001011 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned. The clearance of hazards was to be performed no later than October 20, 2019.

During the hearing, the Appellant acknowledged receipt of all notices of Noncompliance and further acknowledged that their parcel was not cleared by the Compliance Due Date. The Appellant argued that despite their delay, the landscaping and clearance that they did in November was sufficient and should have satisfied Brush Clearance requirements. The Appellant also suggested that any clearance that might have been done by the City's vendor was not on their parcel but was instead on a neighbor's parcel.

Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on December 27, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. The photographs that were taken by the Fire Department and the contractor were geotagged using the County Assessor's record and thus accurately depict the parcel, APN 5558001011. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$7,112.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 22, 2020 10:15                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183028  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: HH PROPERTIES LP

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 762  
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90213

SITUS ADDRESS: 8719 West Hollywood Bl  
West Hollywood Ca 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5558017009** / INVOICE NO: BC200000840

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,312.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,000.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,312.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they paid a landscaper to remove the brush but some areas were too steep to access.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 21, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 12, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 24, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 6, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$2,312.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 17, 2020 10:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183017  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: LA DEV GROUP INC

MAILING ADDRESS: 606 S HILL ST 701  
LOS ANGELES CA 90014

SITUS ADDRESS: 1327 SUNSET PLAZA DR  
LOS ANGELES 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5560002004** / INVOICE NO: BC200000848

ASSESSMENT: **\$2,862.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,550.00	\$1,312.00	\$2,862.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance fees for 2019. The Appellant argued that there was no clearance done by the City's vendor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 7, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 10, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 12, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 26, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5560002004 has been confirmed in the amount previously set forth in the notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required. No mail was returned.

The Appellant argued that since he cleared the parcel in November there could not possibly have been any previous clearance done by the City's vendor in September. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on September 16, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. The photographs that were taken by the Fire Department and the contractor were geotagged using the County Assessor's record and thus accurately depict the parcel APN 5560002004. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$2,862.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 21, 2020 13:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183017  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: FRANK CORRENTE

MAILING ADDRESS: 1511 RISING GLEN RD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: 1511 RISING GLEN RD  
LOS ANGELES 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5561025012** / INVOICE NO: BC200000854

ASSESSMENT: **\$4,712.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,700.00	\$1,312.00	\$4,712.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they removed the dead tree in back that was noticed on the property and they were unaware there were more dead trees to remove in the front yard. Appellant further asserts that he tried to contact the LAFD repeatedly to discuss what else needed to be done and never got a call back.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 23, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 17, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 12, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 26, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed in part and dismissed in part.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment in total.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

In this case, the Appellant gave credible testimony that they made a good faith effort to clarify which trees needed to be removed by repeatedly attempting to contact LAFD. Moreover, the posted notice only referred to a tree in the “back” and did not discuss any trees in the front. As such, the administrative fees are recommended to stay the same, but the cost of tree removal is recommended to be reduced by half as indicated in this notice.

Total assessment due is **\$3,012.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 22, 2020 14:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183027  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: CRESCENT VENTURES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 5248 HUNTINGTON DRIVE SOUTH  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: VACANT LOT 8815 W CRESCENT DRIVE  
LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5563018001** / INVOICE NO: BC200000861

ASSESSMENT: **\$11,112.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$9,800.00	\$1,312.00	\$11,112.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that he sold the property on January 8, 2019, and therefore is not responsible for the assessment.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 10, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 17, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 10, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 24, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant provided evidence that the property was sold on January 8, 2019, before the assessment attached to the property. Therefore, it is recommended that the assessment be dismissed.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**



REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: September 14, 2020 08:00      PACKAGE NO: 2019183018  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: HOLLYWOOD PARK LAUREL CANYON LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 8383 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 510  
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211 United States

SITUS ADDRESS: VL @ 2255 North LAUREL CANYON BLVD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5567029030** / INVOICE NO: BC200000869

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,912.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$600.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,912.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance fees for 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 20, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on September 10, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor's records were used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org). Using this information the Fire Inspector cited hazards on parcel APN 5567029030 that needed to be cleared by June 9, 2019.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on October 3, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. The photographs that were taken by the Fire Department were geotagged using the County Assessor's record to ensure that the work performed was within the boundaries of APN 5567029030. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$1,912.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 27, 2020 10:15                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183019  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: SUGARMAN, IAN K

MAILING ADDRESS: 1103 TOWER DR  
EDGEWATER NJ 07020

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 2301 North NICHOLAS CYN RD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5569014003** / INVOICE NO: BC200000877

ASSESSMENT: **\$3,912.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$2,600.00	\$1,312.00	\$3,912.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts his landscaper does constant maintenance and clears the brush twice a year. Appellant submitted an invoice from his landscaper dated April 30, 2020, and photos showing the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 20, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 11, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. The invoice and photos for proof of work submitted was dated for this year but the assessment is for the year 2019.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the

City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$3,912.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 20, 2020 08:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183021  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: TODD B BENIA

MAILING ADDRESS: 2001 MOUNT OLYMPUS DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 2001 MOUNT OLYMPUS DR  
LOS ANGELES 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5569035009** / INVOICE NO: BC200000884

ASSESSMENT: **\$3,112.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$1,800.00	\$1,312.00	\$3,112.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fees for 2019. The Appellant stated via appeal form that they had cleared for 2020. No mention was made regarding 2019, the year at issue.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 28, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 24, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 26, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 10, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor's records were used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org). Using this information the Fire Inspector cited hazards on parcel APN 5569035009 that needed to be cleared by June 17, 2019.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on October 2, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. The photographs that were taken by the Fire Department were geotagged using the County Assessor's record to ensure that the work performed was within the boundaries of APN 5569035009. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$3,112.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 29, 2020 09:30                      PACKAGE NO: 2019183019  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: ALBERT LITEWKA AND ERIKA ROTHENBERG

MAILING ADDRESS: 2020 N NICHOLS CANYON ROAD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90046 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 2070 North NICHOLS CYN RD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5571010005** / INVOICE NO: BC200000887

ASSESSMENT: **\$3,912.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,912.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no notices as they were sent to his old consultant's address.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 20, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 11, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on September 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on October 3, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

As of September 2, 2020, the Accounting department has informed the Brush Clearance unit that payment has been remitted by the previous owner Justin Klentner. Albert Litewka is not responsible.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 24, 2020 08:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019179020  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: NPJ PROPERTIES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 624 N WEST KNOLL DRIVE  
WEST HOLLYWOOD CA 90069 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 7080 West MULHOLLAND DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5572034008** / INVOICE NO: BC200000891

ASSESSMENT: **\$3,762.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$2,450.00	\$1,312.00	\$3,762.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was done in accordance with LAFD guidelines.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 6, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 20, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 31, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 13, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire

inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$3,762.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 23, 2020 13:45                      PACKAGE NO: 2019179008  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: SCHMIT, STEVEN C

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 17928  
LOS ANGELES CA 90017

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 3309 North HILLOCK DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5579001014** / INVOICE NO: BC200000906

ASSESSMENT: **\$1,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$500.00	\$1,312.00	\$1,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they paid someone to clear the brush twice and got a verbal approval from an LAFD Inspector. Appellant also asserts that it was their vendor that did the work and that the City's contractor did not do it.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 6, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 19, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 29, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 12, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.



To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$1,812.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 17, 2020 08:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019179019  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: FRED DUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 558 JEFFERSON AVENUE  
BROOKLYN NY 11221 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 6174 MULHOLLAND HWY  
LOS ANGELES 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5581020003** / INVOICE NO: BC200000910

ASSESSMENT: **\$4,112.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$2,800.00	\$1,312.00	\$4,112.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

The Appellant asserts that the work they performed in September should have been sufficient to satisfy the brush clearance requirements and preclude the need for any city contracted clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: July 29, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on August 21, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 24, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 6, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appellant failed to appear for their Brush Hearing as scheduled. The evidence submitted with the appeal form was insufficient to dismiss the assessment.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor's records were used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org). Using this information the Fire Inspector cited hazards on parcel APN 5581020003 that needed to be cleared by August 18, 2019.

The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. Photographs that were taken by both the Fire Inspector and the City's vendor show the condition of the Appellant's parcel before, during, and after the City's contracted clearance on November 11, 2019. These photographs confirm the presence of hazards that were abated by the City's contracted vendor. The photographs that were taken by the Fire Department were geotagged using the County Assessor's record to ensure that the work performed was within the boundaries of APN 5581020003. Having determined that City contracted clearance of cited brush hazards took place on the Appellant's parcel in 2019, the clearance fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$4,112.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: August 20, 2020 09:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019179021  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: TOBA PROPERTIES LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 18034 VENTURA BLVD UNIT 512  
ENCINO CA 91316

SITUS ADDRESS: 6108 West RODGERTON                      DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5583007019** / INVOICE NO: BC200000919

ASSESSMENT: **\$4,612.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$3,300.00	\$1,312.00	\$4,612.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Brush Clearance Fee for 2019. Appellant argues that though they knew the parcel was in a brush hazard zone, they should not be responsible because they did not receive notice that their parcel was in noncompliance or notice that city contracted clearance was going to take place.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: September 24, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 17, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on October 24, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on November 6, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against property 5583007019 has been confirmed for the amount set forth in the initial notice. The Fire Department has shown that due process was afforded the Appellant with all notices being sent and posted to the property as legally required.

During the hearing, the Appellant argued that notice was improper and not sufficient to afford them their due process. The Fire Department records reflect that the initial notices of Noncompliance were mailed to the previous owners of the parcel however, most relevant to the issue of Brush Clearance penalties is the Red Tag notice that was properly and conspicuously posted in a manner sufficient as to afford the Appellant their due process.

Upon reviewing evidence offered by the Fire Inspector and the Appellant it is clear that the Notice to Abate was properly provided, and that the Appellant when purchasing the parcel, was aware that the parcel was located in a brush hazard zone and as such, would be responsible for the abatement of all fire risks that were present at the time of purchase.

Notice to Abate was properly provided and clearance contracted by the city took place. Accordingly, the fees as initially assessed, stand.

Total assessment due is **\$4,612.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 29, 2020 10:15                      PACKAGE NO: 2019182010  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 42

NAME: SUNCIN, SHARON G

MAILING ADDRESS: 04959 COLLEGE VIEW AVE  
LOS ANGELES CA 90041

SITUS ADDRESS: 4959 COLLEGE VIEW AVE  
LOS ANGELES 90041

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5683024014** / INVOICE NO: BC200000927

ASSESSMENT: **\$11,912.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$10,600.00	\$1,312.00	\$11,912.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts there was a verbal agreement from LAFD that their property was in compliance except for a dead tree which Appellant believes straddles an adjacent property as well. Appellant asserts the LAFD told them the City's contractor would remove the tree and the cost could be split with the adjacent property owner.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: May 8, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on June 6, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on August 29, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on September 12, 2019

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant's evidence submitted with the appeal was insufficient to dismiss the assessment. No evidence of an agreement was submitted, nor any records of correspondence showing an agreement or that the property was in compliance. To the contrary, the record shows the LAFD Inspector continued to find the property noncompliant after each inspection until it was cleared by City contractors.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at [zimas.lacity.org](http://zimas.lacity.org).

The property was shown to be noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2019. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring

the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. Fire inspection photos are geo-tagged to the parcel APN. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City's vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

To avoid future penalties, it is strongly recommended that property owners sign up to view the fire inspections status of their property condition at [vms3.lafd.org](https://vms3.lafd.org).

Total assessment due is **\$11,912.00**

REPORT AND PROPOSED DECISION  
ON ASSESSMENT HEARING FOR  
2019 BRUSH CLEARANCE

HEARING DATE: July 29, 2020 13:00                      PACKAGE NO: 2019178059  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: MARRA,LISA A AND UNDERDAHL,JENNIFER L

MAILING ADDRESS: 00916 EASY ST  
LOS ANGELES CA 90042

SITUS ADDRESS: 916 EASY ST  
LOS ANGELES 90042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: **5716025033** / INVOICE NO: BC200000929

ASSESSMENT: **\$8,812.00**

Cost of Clearance	Administrative Fee	Total Assessment Amount
\$7,500.00	\$1,312.00	\$8,812.00

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellants contend that clearance never took place.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

The Department issued an F-1308 Notice of Noncompliance on: September 18, 2019.

There was no record of an F-1307 Cleared-By-Owner Inspection Report on file. Therefore, a Second Notice of Noncompliance was issued on October 10, 2019 .

A work order was prepared and the property was posted on December 19, 2019

The property was subsequently contracted to a City Contractor and work was completed on January 2, 2020

Photographs are on file showing the condition of the property before, during and after clearance.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

During the hearing on September 1, 2020, the Appellants argued that contracted city clearance never took place. The Fire Department presented their case offering no pictures evidencing work done by the contractor. Further investigation revealed that the Appellant was correct and no contractor clearance was performed. Accordingly, the fees assessed have been reversed.

Total assessment due is **\$0.00**