March 2, 2020

TO: Board of Fire Commissioners

FROM: Ralph M. Terrazas, Fire Chief

SUBJECT: PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE 2018 NONCOMPLIANCE FEE APPEALS

FOR FINAL ACTION: ______ Approved ______ Approved w/Corrections ______ Withdrawn
                    ______ Denied           ______ Received & Filed ______ Other

SUMMARY
The process in which the Fire Department enforces the Fire Code is through the inspection process. Properties or businesses not in compliance with the Fire Code during the initial inspection, a notice of noncompliance is issued indicating the violations found during the inspection.

A follow-up inspection is conducted, and if the nuisance has not been abated, then a second notice is issued. At this point, the property owner is subjected to a Noncompliance fee. Each property/business owner is given an opportunity to challenge the imposed Noncompliance fee by completing a questionnaire and submitting it to the Fire Department.

RECOMMENDATIONS
That the Board:
1. Approve the hearing officers “Proposed Decision and Recommendations.” (Attachment 1)

2. Direct the Fire Department to mail the notification letter, “Granted” (Attachment 2) or “Denied” (Attachment 3), to the property/business owner regarding the Board of Fire Commissioners findings, conclusion and proposed assessment.

3. Direct the Fire Department to complete all accounting transactions.

4. Direct the Department to forward the unpaid Noncompliance Inspection Fee to the Los Angeles County Tax Collector for placement on the Los Angeles County 2020 Property Tax rolls.
FISCAL IMPACT
The Noncompliance Assessment Fee of $432.00 is assessed once a property owner fails to comply by their scheduled due date or re-inspection. For the 2018 Brush Clearance Season, 538 appeal requests were received from property owners electing to appeal their assessment, 47 appeals were granted.

The total amount of Noncompliance Assessment Fees revenue is $212,112.00.

DISCUSSION
This year the Fire Department received 538 completed questionnaires from property owners. The Board of Fire Commissioners designees have reviewed the questionnaires and have prepared the “Proposed Decision and Recommendation.” The Board of Fire Commissioners has the authority to modify or rescind the fees, or impose and require the owner to pay the fee. In regards to the Noncompliance Fee Appeals, the Board of Fire Commissioners’ determination is final.

CONCLUSION
Upon approval of this report by the Board of Fire Commissioners, the 2018 Noncompliance Inspection Fee Appeals will be completed. Any unpaid invoices can be forwarded to the Los Angeles County Tax Collector for placement on the 2020 Property Tax rolls.

Board report was prepared by Sidney T. Chambers, Captain I, Brush Clearance Unit.

Attachments:
- Attachment 1: Proposed Decision and Recommendation
- Attachment 2: Notification Letter - “Granted”
- Attachment 3: Notification Letter - “Denied”
February 3, 2020

Dear Property Owner:

As President of the Los Angeles Board of Fire Commissioners, I am writing to inform you of the decision regarding your written appeal of the Noncompliance Inspection Fee assessed toward your property for the 2018 Brush Clearance Season. Due to the volume of Noncompliance Inspection Fee written appeals that were received by my office, the task of reviewing each appeal became a very time consuming job, I thank you for your patience.

Los Angeles Municipal Code, Section 57.03.05, provides the Board of Fire Commissioners the authority to review the Noncompliance Inspection Fee Written Appeals. The Code also provides that the determination by the Board of Fire Commissioners shall be final.

The Hearing Officer assigned to your appeal has completed a thorough review of your written appeal and the Los Angeles Fire Department records concerning your property. Based on the Hearing Officer’s recommendation, the Los Angeles Board of Fire Commissioners has determined that your Noncompliance Inspection Fee Written Appeal has been GRANTED.

Thank you for your courtesy and patience throughout the appeal process, and please remember that brush clearance is a year-round responsibility.

Very truly yours,

Delia Ibarra, President
Board of Fire Commissioners

Attachment
February 3, 2020

Dear Property Owner:

On behalf of the Los Angeles Board of Fire Commissioners, I am writing to inform you of the decision regarding your written appeal of the noncompliance fee assessed on your property for the 2018 Brush Clearance Season.

The Los Angeles Municipal Code, Section 57.104.12, authorizes the Board of Fire Commissioners or their representative to review the Noncompliance Inspection Fee Written Appeals. The Municipal Code also stipulates that the determination by the Board is final.

The Hearing Officer assigned to your appeal has completed a thorough review of the documentation that you provided and the Los Angeles Fire Department’s records concerning your property. Based on the Hearing Officer’s recommendation, the Los Angeles Board of Fire Commissioners has determined that your Noncompliance Inspection Fee Written Appeal is DENIED.

If you have any further questions, please contact Accounting Services Section @ 213-978-3424 or email: lafd.brushacctg@lacity.org. Thank you for your courtesy and patience throughout the appeal process. Please remember that brush clearance is a year-round responsibility.

Very truly yours,

Delia Ibarra, President
Board of Fire Commissioners

Attachment
Table of contents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APN</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Council District</th>
<th>Page No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005018029</td>
<td>VALERIE AND MAURICE IBE</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005021004</td>
<td>MAZUR,MARILYN TR MARILYN MAZUR TRUST</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017018032</td>
<td>DOCHET CAREY,JACQUELINE P TR J P DOCHET CAREY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017018041</td>
<td>ELVIE RAMIREZ</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017018044</td>
<td>ANTONY R WHITING</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017020017</td>
<td>NANCY L JORDAN</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017020024</td>
<td>ELLIE KAZEMI</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017020025</td>
<td>ELLIE KAZEMI</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017020026</td>
<td>ENTEWAN AND FARAGALIA</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017020027</td>
<td>ENTEWAN AND FARAGALLA</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017025046</td>
<td>Evelina Martirosova</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017025058</td>
<td>SCOTT C AND TAMERA A BARKER</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027007011</td>
<td>STEVE R AND RENETTE M CHRISTENSEN</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027015024</td>
<td>FAZLLOLLAH NOORI</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027016025</td>
<td>RITA BROWN</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027021015</td>
<td>STEVIE B AND ANNA K SORIANOM</td>
<td>FS 106</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028010012</td>
<td>MARIHART,MICHAEL E CO TR MICHAEL MARIHART FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028044003</td>
<td>GROSS,DAVID A TR DAVID A GROSS TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2032025006</td>
<td>REUBEN PASANDI</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2032030030</td>
<td>GELLER,MARILYN G CO TR M G AND M L GELLER TRUST</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2032032004</td>
<td>ENTEZARI,VAHID H TR ET AL VAHID H ENTEZARI TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2032032013</td>
<td>THOMAS AND MICAELA MCLEAN</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2046003013</td>
<td>MICHELLE KASIK</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2046005010</td>
<td>EVELIA S FARIA</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2046014009</td>
<td>WAYNE A J ULIT</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2046014019</td>
<td>ALI YAZDANI</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2046017007</td>
<td>SHAMSI HARSINI</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047003025</td>
<td>BIJAN MOGHANIAN</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047005003</td>
<td>ALEXANDER POLILLO</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047017017</td>
<td>BLOOM,STEPHEN AND ADRIENNE TRS S AND A BLOOM FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047017018</td>
<td>BACA,RICHARD TR RICHARD E BACA TRUST</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047017033</td>
<td>TURNER,MAX L TR TURNER TRUST</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2074004038</td>
<td>KAHREN AND NERSISYAN</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2074004041</td>
<td>AVENUE SAN LUIS PARTNERS</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2074010020</td>
<td>GEORGE MEKHJIAN</td>
<td>FS 105</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Number</td>
<td>Name of the 1st Person</td>
<td>Name of the 2nd Person</td>
<td>Name of the 3rd Person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2074014012</td>
<td>FORBES T AND BROWN</td>
<td>GABRIELLE A GRANAROLI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2074027015</td>
<td>IMAN AND CORREA</td>
<td>GOLIAN ANNE N GOLIAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2074037019</td>
<td>MEHLMAN,BENJAMIN AND</td>
<td>MARY R TRS MEHLMAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2074043004</td>
<td>CHARLES C AND ZELMAN</td>
<td>BETHIA E WENDORF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2074043021</td>
<td>MITRA VAHID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2075010008</td>
<td>MIGUEL AND ORTIZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2075013031</td>
<td>JOURABCHI,MOHAMMAD</td>
<td>CO TR MOHAMMAD JOURABCHI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2075014054</td>
<td>VELAS,JOSEPH S AND LAURA TRS VELAS FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2075025005</td>
<td>WILLIAM S AND SAGHEB</td>
<td>SOHAILA EDIC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2075029008</td>
<td>KLOHE,CHARMAINE M TR CHARMAINE KLOHE TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2075035051</td>
<td>GHAZALEH SHAFAZAND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2076001004</td>
<td>TRACY,ERIC TR ERIC</td>
<td>TRACY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2149010014</td>
<td>LUCY,JOHN W AND NORMA H TRS LUCY FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2151009009</td>
<td>MANOOOCEHR NAMDAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2151013074</td>
<td>MATTHEW C O D D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2151021029</td>
<td>SUZY AIVAZIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2151030040</td>
<td>MASOUD ZARGARAF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2151030054</td>
<td>KHO SRO SALEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2151031039</td>
<td>SHA EFER,MELVIN L AND JOYCE A TRS SHA EFER FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2161024025</td>
<td>SOMMER,JOHN L TR JOHN L SOMMER TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2164003023</td>
<td>OMRI MOALEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2164016027</td>
<td>GRAND PACIFIC 7 28 LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2164020007</td>
<td>TAYLOR,ALAN E CO TR TAYLOR SKLAR FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2166006015</td>
<td>THOMULKA,RUSSELL J TR RUSSELL J THOMULKA TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2167018023</td>
<td>MINAGAR,FRED CO TR MINAGAR ZOLRIASTEN TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2167019007</td>
<td>JACQUES BINA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2169021072</td>
<td>ANDREW L AND AMANDA G SINAGRA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2170001041</td>
<td>GOLOMBEK,FABIO AND LIZBETH K TRS GOLOMBEK TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2172021055</td>
<td>HAMEED E SEZAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2174003036</td>
<td>ARTHUR AND ANDREA J DRAKE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2175034010</td>
<td>ROKHSAN S TAHERPOUR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2178002004</td>
<td>JERRY E AND SYLVIA BERGH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2182019004</td>
<td>RYAN J AND COLLEEN A BOWLING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2184013004</td>
<td>ATOOSA NASSIMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2184016008</td>
<td>HESPERIDES LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number</td>
<td>Name and Description</td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2184024020</td>
<td>KASE, MICHAEL J AND TAMARA TRS KASE FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 93</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2184024030</td>
<td>YOUREE, SHELLY A TR SHELLY A YOUREE TRUST</td>
<td>FS 93</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2184024035</td>
<td>FARAZ AND TEHRANIZADEH SEPODEH MOBASSERNA</td>
<td>FS 93</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2184025026</td>
<td>YOUREE, SHELLY A TR SHELLY A YOUREE TRUST</td>
<td>FS 93</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2184025036</td>
<td>SEWITZ, DAVID AND ROBYN TRS SEWITZ FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 93</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2190015014</td>
<td>ABBAS M AND SAEDI SOOSAN GHASIRI</td>
<td>FS 84</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2274015012</td>
<td>EDWARD SERRA</td>
<td>FS 99</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2275029006</td>
<td>VOSOGHI, JOSEPH B TR JOSEPH B VOSOGHI TRUST</td>
<td>FS 99</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2276036040</td>
<td>ARMINA DERDIARIAN</td>
<td>FS 88</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2278024005</td>
<td>CARUSO, HENRY J TR RICK AND TINA CARUSO TRUST</td>
<td>FS 99</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2279025005</td>
<td>ZERNER, LARRY CO TR EDBERG ZERNER TRUST</td>
<td>FS 99</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2287004009</td>
<td>LU AND WANG XUEWEN SONG</td>
<td>FS 109</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2287006015</td>
<td>JOHN AND JEANINE SENGUL</td>
<td>FS 109</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2287015053</td>
<td>RASHTIZADEH, BESHARAT TR BESHARAT RASHTIZADEH TRUST</td>
<td>FS 109</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2293003002</td>
<td>DAVID AND VANESSA YAKOBIAN</td>
<td>FS 109</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2369038006</td>
<td>LANZARONE, BENJAMIN A CO TR LANZARONE FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 86</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2373020002</td>
<td>GDL HOMES LLC</td>
<td>FS 78</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2376002015</td>
<td>MEHRDAD AJDARI</td>
<td>FS 78</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2377011009</td>
<td>KEVIN AND DESANTIS</td>
<td>FS 86</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2378017011</td>
<td>ERBSEN, BARRY AND PHYLLIS TRS ERBSEN FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 86</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2378018002</td>
<td>KATHERINE S WELSH</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2378023002</td>
<td>JESS H AND ROCHELLE S PERLMAN</td>
<td>FS 86</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2378029010</td>
<td>11401 DECENTE COURT LLC</td>
<td>FS 86</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2380011004</td>
<td>SCHLOCKER, DAVID AND NATALIE TRS D AND N SCHLOCKER TRUST</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2382009009</td>
<td>LOCKRIDGE ESTATES HOMEOWNERS ASSN</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2384015005</td>
<td>GILDA REINERT</td>
<td>FS 78</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2385021008</td>
<td>DANIEL TARVER</td>
<td>FS 108</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2385021010</td>
<td>DAVID E AND JENNIE K KIM</td>
<td>FS 108</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2386020001</td>
<td>PELL, AMY TR AMY PELL TRUST</td>
<td>FS 78</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2401028006</td>
<td>APRIL D CRAWFORD</td>
<td>FS 77</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2401035004</td>
<td>MT REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS LLC</td>
<td>FS 77</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2404016004</td>
<td>LEVON AYRIKYAN</td>
<td>FS 77</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2425011010</td>
<td>NATIONAL IMPROVEMENT FUND LLC</td>
<td>FS 76</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2429004017</td>
<td>SCOTT, PATRICK J TR PATRICK J SCOTT TRUST</td>
<td>FS 76</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2429004045</td>
<td>SANG S AND ANNE A CHO</td>
<td>FS 76</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2511014021</td>
<td>ALEXANDRA ZAMORA</td>
<td>FS 91</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Number</td>
<td>Name/Information</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2528032005</td>
<td>SPKBOC LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2528033020</td>
<td>TRAVIS PANARISI</td>
<td></td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2529001040</td>
<td>RICHARD A AND TAMARA S PETTY</td>
<td></td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2529007026</td>
<td>WALTER L AND MAPLE L GRAY</td>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2529012012</td>
<td>BLAKE, JOSEPH TR JOSEPH BLAKE TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2529023001</td>
<td>MEARS, SHEILA U TR MEARS FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2529025016</td>
<td>DOUGLAS HINES</td>
<td></td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2530019054</td>
<td>DONG SHIK AND YOON HAEYOUNG CHOI</td>
<td></td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2530021001</td>
<td>GUMBINER, MARSHALL AND SALLY TRS GUMBINER FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2530024011</td>
<td>WILLIAM K AND EMERSON</td>
<td></td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2543006006</td>
<td>DAVID ELSON</td>
<td></td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2543006007</td>
<td>STEPHEN C AND SUZANNE L MOORADIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2543006032</td>
<td>AUGUST JR AND DEIDRE SIMIEN</td>
<td></td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2543017001</td>
<td>FRANK C AND JENNIE R KLEIN</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2543034010</td>
<td>EMERSON, WILLIAM K TR WILLIAM K EMERSON TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2543034011</td>
<td>EMERSON, WILLIAM K TR WILLIAM K EMERSON TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2543034021</td>
<td>EMERSON, WILLIAM K TR WILLIAM K EMERSON TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2545026007</td>
<td>PALAMARA, ROCCO J TR ROCCO J PALAMARA TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2546002002</td>
<td>JOSE JR Duenas</td>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2546012005</td>
<td>JOANA A TAHMASIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2546012008</td>
<td>NORIK AND ARLEDA HACOPIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2547002001</td>
<td>JOHN M AND BARBARA J MENA</td>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2547002012</td>
<td>RAY S JR ET AL JONES</td>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2547031008</td>
<td>SCHOENFELDT, LOIS J TR SCHOENFELDT TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2549012006</td>
<td>ARAM AND SILVA KARAKASHIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2549013021</td>
<td>EDWIN AND BAKTANIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2549013024</td>
<td>JAMIL H HARB</td>
<td></td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2549014022</td>
<td>HAMO AND ANI HAMAMJIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2549017015</td>
<td>MICHAEL AND JACKSON VALERIE ALCARAZ</td>
<td></td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2549019035</td>
<td>Naira A TEROUNIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2549020024</td>
<td>ALLA PETROSYAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2549020026</td>
<td>HOVHANES AND VAHANIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2549022001</td>
<td>DILL, JOHN B AND SHELLEY M TRS JOHN B DILL TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2549024031</td>
<td>MITRA ALIKHANI</td>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2550023004</td>
<td>JAMES AND JANET AND SHINKLE</td>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2551006004</td>
<td>WOGAN, IRMA TR IRMA WOGAN TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2551006008</td>
<td>THOMAS D PORTER</td>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parcel Number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2551007004</td>
<td>PETER F MCGRATH</td>
<td>FS 24</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2551018053</td>
<td>PECK RAY</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2551034015</td>
<td>GORDON C WRAY</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2552031015</td>
<td>SF INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2553007060</td>
<td>EDIK AND HARTOONI FLORA PARIA</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2558042026</td>
<td>P AND J HOME SOLUTIONS LLC</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2559022004</td>
<td>TERRY L AND LINDA R MOORE</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2560030010</td>
<td>KESHISHYAN, VARUZH AND ANAHIT TRS KESHISHYAN TRUST</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2561005002</td>
<td>EMIELI INVESTMENT LLC AND</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563005005</td>
<td>ROXANNE CNSRV MURNANE MICHAEL CNSEE AND GUNther</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563005008</td>
<td>ROXANNE CNSRV MURNANE MICHAEL CNSEE AND GUNther</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563022004</td>
<td>VARTAN BEREJIKYAN</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563022014</td>
<td>KERAMAT ZAGHI</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563032010</td>
<td>WVJ INC</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563037001</td>
<td>DANIEL AND FERRAN ASLEIGH RAMSPACHER</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563037003</td>
<td>DANIEL AND FERRAN ASLEIGH RAMSPACHER</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563037009</td>
<td>ALVARO FERREIRA</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563037015</td>
<td>DANIEL AND FERRAN ASLEIGH RAMSPACHER</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563037016</td>
<td>DANIEL AND FERRAN ASLEIGH RAMSPACHER</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563042009</td>
<td>RAfi AND ISSAGHOLIAN</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2563042010</td>
<td>RAfi AND ISSAGHOLIAN</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2564018013</td>
<td>ADEYEMI HUSTON</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2564029004</td>
<td>DANIELLE N AND LOZANO</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2564029016</td>
<td>DANIELLE N AND LOZANO</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2566013069</td>
<td>FRITZ BAHN</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2570001005</td>
<td>DURAN, MARIANO AND MARIA A TRS DURAN FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2572021016</td>
<td>SNOWBALL WEST INVESTMENTS L P</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2572021020</td>
<td>SNOWBALL WEST INVESTMENTS L P</td>
<td>FS 74</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2582010015</td>
<td>MARVIN AND DENISE KNIGHT</td>
<td>FS 91</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2582015036</td>
<td>JEFFREY AND SHARON SECOR</td>
<td>FS 91</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2582018006</td>
<td>RUIZ, CHARLES JR AND LINDA S TRS RUIZ FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 91</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2582023001</td>
<td>RUBEN M AND RODRIGUE MARIA D ORTIZ</td>
<td>FS 91</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2582023002</td>
<td>LEHR, MICHAEL J AND ROSEMARIE TRS LEHR FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 91</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2582024011</td>
<td>ROBERT M AND MARTHA J CATHERS</td>
<td>FS 91</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2582028022</td>
<td>BOYLE, F ALLISON TR F ALLISON BOYLE TRUST</td>
<td>FS 91</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2601012036</td>
<td>VALENTIN AND CAROLINE K CASTILLO</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2601026052</td>
<td>TIBOR AND SUZANNE W ZADA</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2601026053</td>
<td>TIBOR AND SUZANNE W ZADA</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2601026054</td>
<td>TIBOR AND SUZANNE W ZADA</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2601061031</td>
<td>KHOURY, FOUAD AND JUMANA TRS KHOURY FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2606014023</td>
<td>COLLINS, ARTHUR F TR NINA DENNESKIO LD DECD TRUST</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2606014025</td>
<td>COLLINS, ARTHUR F TR NINA DENNESKIO LD DECD TRUST</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2606016040</td>
<td>SOUZI M ZERONIAN</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2606017024</td>
<td>FEIGES, LOIS TR LOIS FEIGES TRUST</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2606027057</td>
<td>FARRAJ, SAM A TR SAM A FARRAJ TRUST</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2606027058</td>
<td>MEHRDAD AND YASAMAN RADMEHR</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2608030018</td>
<td>MIKE AND ESPOSITO</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2608033013</td>
<td>PHILIP Y AND SUSIE J LEE</td>
<td>FS 18</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2701054024</td>
<td>MOJGAN AND SAREMI AHMADREZA GHIAI</td>
<td>FS 107</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2706033018</td>
<td>YAU, ALFRED S AND HAYLEY H TRS YAU FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 96</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2706034012</td>
<td>AMADOR J AND LORENA BARRIOS</td>
<td>FS 96</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2707002022</td>
<td>DIATCHKOVA, INGA TR VLADIMIR KUPENKO TRUST</td>
<td>FS 107</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2707003015</td>
<td>CINDY C AHN</td>
<td>FS 107</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2708014008</td>
<td>CHRISTOPHER F DESBOROUGH</td>
<td>FS 107</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2708014029</td>
<td>SHAHAN AND MARY SOMUNDJIAN</td>
<td>FS 107</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2727020026</td>
<td>MOHAMMED A AND ZABOLI NOUSHIN FARD</td>
<td>FS 96</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2727022049</td>
<td>MOHAMMADI, PARVIZ CO TR MOHAMMADI TRUST</td>
<td>FS 96</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2820003012</td>
<td>ALEJANDRO AND BLAIRE B GONZALEZ</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2822030032</td>
<td>HUDSON, ROBERT K AND JULIE M TRS HUDSON FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2870004002</td>
<td>SADIE SADEGHI</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2870011001</td>
<td>ANDREW UDVARNOKI</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2871002001</td>
<td>RUDY AND FLORES</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2871002027</td>
<td>DANIEL C AND ADRIANA M RODRIGUEZ</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2871003006</td>
<td>SAIS, SERGIO AND JACQUELINE TRS S AND J SAIS TRUST</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2871013020</td>
<td>NAND AMAL AND MISSIRLIAN CAROLE RATNAIKE</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2871015010</td>
<td>LUCIANA FADIN</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2871019019</td>
<td>GAREN G AVAGYAN</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2872002017</td>
<td>ALAN L AND JULIE F CORTEZ</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2872003012</td>
<td>MYUNGSUN AND JAY Y SHIM</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2872004001</td>
<td>DAVID H CHUN</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2872005004</td>
<td>MARINA V AND MODELL DAVID BOGORAD</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2872017021</td>
<td>MELISSA AND CEPEDA</td>
<td>FS 8</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4115006022</td>
<td>SACHIN H JAIN</td>
<td>FS 67</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4115008001</td>
<td>SACHIN H JAIN</td>
<td>FS 67</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Filing Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4115008014</td>
<td>BRANDON LIGHTHART</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4115008018</td>
<td>MARY M HSU</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4115019007</td>
<td>JUNCOSA,MARK R TR MARK R JUNCOSA TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4355008044</td>
<td>RONALD S RESNICK</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4368002023</td>
<td>MOORE,SCHUYLER M TR PROMETHEUS TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4368003021</td>
<td>ANTON AND DEMETRA NATSIS</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4368009055</td>
<td>NASRI,MOHAMMAD CO TR NASRI TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4368024009</td>
<td>KAMBAKHSH REZAI</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4368025014</td>
<td>GUIDE,LEON AND LILLIAN TRS GUIDE FAMILY</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4368026002</td>
<td>TAMAR GELLER</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4368026004</td>
<td>SWEENEY,CECILY CO TR LOIS SWEENEY DECD</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4368026007</td>
<td>NEW WORLD ADHC INC</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4370002014</td>
<td>RICH,R MICHAEL TR R MICHAEL RICH TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4370029010</td>
<td>NOSRATIAN,FARSHAD CO TR NOSRATIAN FAMILY</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4370029013</td>
<td>ASHLEY RIDGE LAND LP</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4377020018</td>
<td>RAHA AND JAVAHERIAN RAHA AKHAVAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4377025034</td>
<td>FEALY,JANE C CO TR ZACHARIADIS AND FEALY</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4377032007</td>
<td>MARC KRIGSMAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4377039001</td>
<td>JONES,CYNTHIA L TR CYNTHIA L JONES TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4377039002</td>
<td>LADD,LARA L TR HOWARD AND LARA LADD TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4378002033</td>
<td>IRON HORSE DEVELOPMENT LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4378003026</td>
<td>DAVID E AND JENNIE K KIM</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4380003010</td>
<td>FRIEDRICH,BARRY R CO TR RIEDRICH FAMILY</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4382007021</td>
<td>SHAPIRO,ROBERT L TR ROBERT L SHAPIRO TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4382008009</td>
<td>RICHARD R BELL</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4382024003</td>
<td>NICOLAS C GUTSUE</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4382033025</td>
<td>RAYAN AND JESSICA S SONGHORIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4383007015</td>
<td>TENG AND TAYLOR SHAUN MA</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4383009023</td>
<td>NICHOLAS III CORDASCO</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4384007008</td>
<td>LEVIN,JOHN TR JOHN LEVIN TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4384007014</td>
<td>LEVIN,JOHN TR JOHN LEVIN TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4384015026</td>
<td>LARI JONI,MENELY Y TR MLJ TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4384015031</td>
<td>KEVIN R BISCH</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4385008020</td>
<td>SHARON O AND MANALANSAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4385018013</td>
<td>RAYMOUND AND SEFARADI DEBORAH FOULADIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4388012066</td>
<td>CHANG,DO W AND JIN S TRS CHANG FAMILY</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4391004020</td>
<td>AMID,BAHMAN AND CLARA TRS AMID FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Trust Description</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4392016009</td>
<td>BEVERLY HILLS ESTATE LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4402010032</td>
<td>LASKER, JOHN H AND FRANCINE TRS FJL FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4403010008</td>
<td>KONRAD REDHEFFER, HOLLY A TR HOLLY A KONRAD REDHEFFER TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4407030008</td>
<td>SHAVASH AND LADAN SAFVATI</td>
<td></td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4407030013</td>
<td>STEPHEN H AND KAREN L CHASE</td>
<td></td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4409025006</td>
<td>FEIL, JANE TR JANE FEIL TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4409025016</td>
<td>RICHARDS, STEVEN M AND MAYA I TRS RICHARDS FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4411028006</td>
<td>LEVITAS, YETTA M TR YETTA M LEVITAS TRUST AND</td>
<td></td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4412014027</td>
<td>ADRIAN D AND JENNIFER KANAAR</td>
<td></td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4412014031</td>
<td>FARZIN HALAVY</td>
<td></td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>441401002</td>
<td>HARDY PROPERTY LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4414010017</td>
<td>BROWN, DANIEL CO TR BROWN FAMILY DECD TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4414004012</td>
<td>YORK, GARY A AND LOIS TRS GARY AND LOIS YORK TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4414007021</td>
<td>SMITH, STEPHANIE TR LOVELY FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4414013012</td>
<td>KAROU, RICHARD L AND MARIANE TRS KAROU FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4414014017</td>
<td>AMMER, MIA TR MIA AMMER TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4415020001</td>
<td>NANNY ONE LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4416019045</td>
<td>SUSAN ORENSTEIN</td>
<td></td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4419005041</td>
<td>RS FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LP</td>
<td></td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4419012048</td>
<td>CORNELIA GRANATH</td>
<td></td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4419012058</td>
<td>HOOVER, ROBERT T AND AMANDA M TRS ROBERT AND AMANDA HOOVER TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4420013005</td>
<td>BIBB, JOHN AND CAROL A TRS BIBB TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4420025001</td>
<td>DALIAN, DIKRAN AND ARPY TRS DALIAN FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4420025003</td>
<td>REA, JAMES A AND JODIE S TRS J A REA FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4422007023</td>
<td>ERIC J AND ANTONELLA A WELLS</td>
<td></td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4422008004</td>
<td>GALAIF, RICHARD AND MARTHA TRS GALAIF FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4422018013</td>
<td>WINTHROP, ERIC AND SILVI TRS WINTHROP FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4422031026</td>
<td>MAGUR, LOUIS CO TR LOUIS AND WENDY I MAGUR TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4425025009</td>
<td>GAGE, MARY F TR MARY F AND ROBERT V GAGE TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4425027008</td>
<td>RABBANI, IRAJ AND JOLI TRS IRAJ AND JOLI RABBANI TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4426020003</td>
<td>HANASAB, ROBERT TR SORAIYA HANASAB TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4426031022</td>
<td>BEHAIN, SORAYA F TR SORAYA F BEHAIN TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4429033025</td>
<td>#N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Trust or Entity</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4431001001</td>
<td>NEGIN FARASATPOUR</td>
<td></td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4431018001</td>
<td>AMY B SAXON</td>
<td></td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4431027004</td>
<td>PALISADES DRIVE RECREATION ASSN</td>
<td></td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4490014001</td>
<td>ROBERT T STILES</td>
<td></td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4490014002</td>
<td>SEBEL, ADAM TR 13020 SKY VALLEY ROAD TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4491006001</td>
<td>3439 MANDEVILLE CANYON LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4492004009</td>
<td>VAUGHAN, PORTER D CO TR VAUGHAN FAMILY TRUST AND</td>
<td></td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4492027008</td>
<td>SAXON, JOHN TR JOHN SAXON TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4493018024</td>
<td>ZEITLIN, MAURICE TR M AND M ZEITLIN TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4493023022</td>
<td>NOBEL, EIKO M TR EIKO M NOBEL TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4493024022</td>
<td>SKYLANE LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4494001007</td>
<td>DARYA FAMILY LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5026001002</td>
<td>JMDB HOLDINGS AND</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5026002005</td>
<td>FONSECA, MARILYN B TR MARILYN B FONSECA TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5026002007</td>
<td>3849 DON TOMASO LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5029023004</td>
<td>WILLIAMS, MARY H TR MARY H WILLIAMS TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5031009013</td>
<td>HILL, JOHN F TR JOHN F HILL TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5031010003</td>
<td>JONES, VERLENE S TR JONES FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5031011012</td>
<td>ROOSEVELT DAVIS</td>
<td></td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5031015020</td>
<td>WILSHIRE INVESTMENT GROUP LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5206014018</td>
<td>BRIAN STORY</td>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5206015002</td>
<td>GEORGE F AND ELIZABETH B GREEN</td>
<td></td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5206018019</td>
<td>PHILLIPPE AND HUYNH</td>
<td></td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5208013017</td>
<td>GEORGE AND BELLA MORRIS</td>
<td></td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5209004007</td>
<td>VU T LY</td>
<td></td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5209005002</td>
<td>HYUN B ET AL SUH</td>
<td></td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5209011024</td>
<td>FREDERIK JACOBI</td>
<td></td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5209017016</td>
<td>LOURDES ALMADA</td>
<td></td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5209020019</td>
<td>IKECHUKWU MBELU</td>
<td></td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5209023016</td>
<td>EMILIO A AND MARIA A ROJAS</td>
<td></td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5209024001</td>
<td>EUN, SUG CHA TR EUN SUG CHA TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5209028007</td>
<td>LILIA AND SANTIAGO GONZALEZ</td>
<td></td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5209034009</td>
<td>JULIO C ORELLANA</td>
<td></td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5209034024</td>
<td>TAI K PHAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5211016025</td>
<td>RITA M AND ROBERTO ALVAREZ</td>
<td></td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5213007006</td>
<td>PEDRO CHAVEZ</td>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5213007007</td>
<td>CANON EQUITY PARTNERS LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5213007008</td>
<td>CANON EQUITY PARTNERS LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5213007023</td>
<td>RAUL PACHECO</td>
<td></td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pin Code</td>
<td>Name and Address</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5213007032</td>
<td>CANON EQUITY PARTNERS LLC</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5213007033</td>
<td>CANON EQUITY PARTNERS LLC</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5213025019</td>
<td>MARCELA AND SAUCEDO JORGE MONTES</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5213033008</td>
<td>ROBERT R AND CARMEN C CANDERLARIA</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5214005030</td>
<td>AMANDA GRANDE</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5214008030</td>
<td>MIKE G SHEN</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5214010019</td>
<td>RODOLFO SANCHEZ</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5214010020</td>
<td>RODOLFO SANCHEZ</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5214010022</td>
<td>TEKLETSION, TESHOME CO TR TEKLETSION AND AKLILE TRUST</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5214013004</td>
<td>LUIS R AND CASTELAN MARIA F BUSTAMANTE</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5215005008</td>
<td>JOEL AND LAURA FELIX</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5216007038</td>
<td>VERONICA TAPIA</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5216018028</td>
<td>CARE FOR ENVIRONMENT GREEN SOURCE CONSTRUCTIONS INC</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5216018029</td>
<td>CARE FOR ENVIRONMENT GREEN SOURCE CONSTRUCTIONS INC</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5216018031</td>
<td>JEFF J YE</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5216018032</td>
<td>JEFF J YE</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5216018033</td>
<td>JEFF J YE</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5216018034</td>
<td>JEFF J YE</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5216018035</td>
<td>JEFF J YE</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5216018036</td>
<td>JEFF J YE</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5216018037</td>
<td>JOE AND TONYA DILLON</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5217003018</td>
<td>TO NING WU</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5217016008</td>
<td>ROBERT I JR MOTHERSHE</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5217016009</td>
<td>ROBERT I JR MOTHERSHE</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5217016011</td>
<td>IKECHUKWU MBELU</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5218005047</td>
<td>ANAHIT BALLYAN</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5221028013</td>
<td>ECKLES, HEDY Z TR HEDY Z ECKLES TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5223007008</td>
<td>CARE OF ENVIRONMENT GREEN SOURCE CONSTRUCTION INC</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5223007010</td>
<td>CARE FOR ENVIRONMENT GREEN SOURCE CONSTRUCTION INC</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5223027006</td>
<td>ORLANDO JR PALOMO</td>
<td>FS 16</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5302008028</td>
<td>ALANIS, MICHAEL TR MONTEREY ROAD TRUST</td>
<td>FS 12</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5302012030</td>
<td>BEVEN AND BROCK PROPERTY MANAGER</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5303013040</td>
<td>9DEVELOPMENT INC</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5304002025</td>
<td>EHTESSABIAN, FARHAD CO TR ET AL EHTESSABIAN FAMILY TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5304002026</td>
<td>EHTESSABIAN, FARHAD CO TR ET AL EHTESSABIAN FAMILY TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 1</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5304003017</td>
<td>EHTESSABIAN, FARHAD CO TR ET AL EHTESSABIAN FAMILY TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 1</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document ID</td>
<td>Name and Description</td>
<td>File</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5304003018</td>
<td>EHTESSABIAN, FARHAD CO TR ET AL EHTESSABIAN FAMILY TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 1</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5304003019</td>
<td>EHTESSABIAN, FARHAD CO TR ET AL EHTESSABIAN FAMILY TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 1</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5305005015</td>
<td>CIPRIANO AND MARIA T DELGADO</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5305021004</td>
<td>CHRISTIE A AND RAINY</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5306004014</td>
<td>JOHN CINA</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5306008010</td>
<td>BABILONIA, CONSTANCIO CO TR BABILONIA TRUST</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5306008011</td>
<td>BABILONIA, CONSTANCIO CO TR BABILONIA TRUST</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5306008036</td>
<td>MARTHA A VIZCARRA</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5306008038</td>
<td>SECURITY FIRST CORPORATION</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5306008039</td>
<td>SECURITY FIRST CORP</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5307002005</td>
<td>BRESENDEN, EUGENE AND NINA TRS E AND N BRESENDEN TRUST</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5307002007</td>
<td>WAN, KELLY K AND WINNIE L TRS WAN FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5307005025</td>
<td>MARTINIANO MARES</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5307009020</td>
<td>DAVID JR AND RAMONA MALDONADO</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5307010019</td>
<td>ANDY LUU AND HAN</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5309002021</td>
<td>ROCIO C AND ARMANDO J ROJAS</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5309005035</td>
<td>SYLVANNA CANCINO</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5309012039</td>
<td>CASE, CAROL TR CAROL CASE TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5309012044</td>
<td>ROBERTO V GAETA</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5309013006</td>
<td>NEWTONIA LLC</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5309013033</td>
<td>JUAN C AND LUCERO</td>
<td>FS 47</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5312019006</td>
<td>KEVIN J AND KAREN D TYRRELL</td>
<td>FS 12</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5415019019</td>
<td>REYES, PETER J TR PETER J REYES TRUST</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5419011007</td>
<td>DAVID B WHITELAW</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5420029007</td>
<td>REINE RIVER</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5420030028</td>
<td>FRANK S AND MUSGRAVE MARJORY M AND PETERS</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5420032006</td>
<td>HOPE ARNOLD</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5422011006</td>
<td>BALBOA R/E HOLDINGS LLC</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5422015008</td>
<td>MIMI D LESSEOS</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5423006003</td>
<td>PHILIP E AND SANTIAGO</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5423006006</td>
<td>PHILIP E AND SANTIAGO</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5423007003</td>
<td>KTT INVESTMENTS LLC</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5423014015</td>
<td>SMALDINO, DEANNA S TR SEELEY FAMILY DECD TRUST</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5423017003</td>
<td>IHH LLC</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5423018009</td>
<td>PETRIE, CLARENCE AND JUSTINE TRS PETRIE TRUST</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5423019003</td>
<td>BOK, JONATHAN A TR JONATHAN A BOK TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number</td>
<td>Name of Owner</td>
<td>Trust Name</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5432005012</td>
<td>GLENN A DANAS</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5432017012</td>
<td>DUMONT, BRADLEY CO TR NORTON DUMONT TRUST</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5432025013</td>
<td>HARUNK, TIIU P TR TIIU P HARRUNK TRUST</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5433017002</td>
<td>PETER AND BONERZ</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5433017003</td>
<td>UNRUH, ANTONY M CO TR BOYER UNRUH FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5433017009</td>
<td>LILIANE D FRANKS</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5433017030</td>
<td>ARAM AND SUZIE BALIAN</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5433024015</td>
<td>PIEDRAHITA, JOSE R TR ESPINOSA TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5434001005</td>
<td>KARAMANUKYAN, GEVORK D CO TR KARAMANUKYAN TRUST</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5434024002</td>
<td>GARRITY, JOHN F JR TR JOHN F GARRITY JR TRUST</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5439002005</td>
<td>YOSHIMURA, BETTY M TR BETTY M YOSHIMURA TRUST</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5439007024</td>
<td>YOUNUS I LALA</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5439008026</td>
<td>FARAH JULIANA</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443007010</td>
<td>DAVID V AND PLOCHERE MICHELLE DALZELL</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443022003</td>
<td>ELYSIAN SUMMIT LLC</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443022007</td>
<td>ELYSIAN SUMMIT LLC</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443022008</td>
<td>ELYSIAN SUMMIT LLC</td>
<td>FS 20</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443030027</td>
<td>HARLAN HELVEY</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443030028</td>
<td>HARLAN HELVEY</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443030029</td>
<td>HARLAN HELVEY</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443030030</td>
<td>HARLAN HELVEY</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443030031</td>
<td>HARLAN HELVEY</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443030032</td>
<td>HARLAN HELVEY</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443034027</td>
<td>DAN C GIGUERE</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5443034028</td>
<td>DAN C GIGUERE</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5452010010</td>
<td>TEOFILO L AND MARCELINA F SOLAMO</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5452017042</td>
<td>EVA AND YANG CHONG AND LIU</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5452018001</td>
<td>JOSEPH LIU</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5455011002</td>
<td>MIKE G SHEN</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5455013027</td>
<td>ROBIN WHITE</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5456006010</td>
<td>TAI LI REALTY INC</td>
<td>FS 50</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5456007027</td>
<td>GREENTEK INVESTMENTS II LLC AND</td>
<td>FS 50</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5456009028</td>
<td>KTM RE HOLDINGS LLC</td>
<td>FS 50</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5456009029</td>
<td>KTM RE HOLDINGS LLC</td>
<td>FS 50</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5460014004</td>
<td>BEHZAD FORAT</td>
<td>FS 50</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5460016004</td>
<td>SIMON C AND JUDY YUAN</td>
<td>FS 50</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5460017010</td>
<td>WOHOP INC</td>
<td>FS 50</td>
<td>435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5460017013</td>
<td>EL JSL LLC</td>
<td>FS 50</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number</td>
<td>Name(s) and Address</td>
<td>File Number</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5460019032</td>
<td>RICHARD AND AMANDA RUBIO</td>
<td>FS 50</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5460023021</td>
<td>ANDRANIK TATEVOSYAN</td>
<td>FS 55</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5462009008</td>
<td>DAVID D LAWRENCE</td>
<td>FS 50</td>
<td>439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5462009023</td>
<td>MICHAEL J AND HACIOGULLARI ERDI AND CURTIS</td>
<td>FS 50</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5464007008</td>
<td>EDWIN MENASAKA</td>
<td>FS 55</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5465002029</td>
<td>ROBINDER G AND SONAL R KHEMANI</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5465008029</td>
<td>COLIN AND RUSSO YOUNG RY SPOELMAN</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5465010030</td>
<td>ALEJANDRO SANDOVAL</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5465011006</td>
<td>MARK C MATHIAS</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5465011008</td>
<td>JORDAN SMITH</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5465024024</td>
<td>ESTHER L GONZALEZ</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5466013025</td>
<td>YING CHIEN AND YANG HUEI TZU AND CHEN</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5466013026</td>
<td>YING CHIEN AND YANG HUEI TZU AND CHEN</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5466013027</td>
<td>YING CHIEN AND YANG HUEI TZU AND CHEN</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5466013028</td>
<td>YING CHIEN AND YANG HUEI TZU AND CHEN</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5466013029</td>
<td>YING CHIEN AND YANG HUEI TZU AND CHEN</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5466019010</td>
<td>STEPHEN M RUMPH</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5466019048</td>
<td>DESERT SHORE CONSTRUCTION INC</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5466020022</td>
<td>HAMON,ANNICK TR ANNICK HAMON TRUST</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5466023016</td>
<td>TORMERO,EMMA P TR EMMA P TORMERO TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5467022006</td>
<td>RICHARD J AND KYLE SHARON M PRICE</td>
<td>FS 44</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5475012008</td>
<td>MAVMAR LLC</td>
<td>FS 55</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5475013013</td>
<td>MIKE G SHEN</td>
<td>FS 55</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5475015003</td>
<td>ROBERT E NEWTON</td>
<td>FS 55</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5475018036</td>
<td>RENOVATION PROPERTIES LLC</td>
<td>FS 55</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5480003003</td>
<td>MATTHEW GIRARDI</td>
<td>FS 12</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5485018008</td>
<td>LEIGH HOLMES</td>
<td>FS 12</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5485018024</td>
<td>NAYLOR,CHARLES M AND MARY E TRS NAYLOR TRUST</td>
<td>FS 12</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5486002009</td>
<td>LEIGH HOLMES</td>
<td>FS 12</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5549012001</td>
<td>S MARTIN KELETI</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5549013007</td>
<td>VELMA THOMPSON</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5549030005</td>
<td>RLJ III HGN HOLLYWOOD LP</td>
<td>FS 76</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5549030006</td>
<td>RLJ III HGN HOLLYWOOD LP</td>
<td>FS 76</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5551007022</td>
<td>GARLAND,GARY W TR PURA VIDA TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5555015002</td>
<td>PETER ISRAEL</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5556001023</td>
<td>IGOR AND WHITTEN JOSEPH NAGEZ</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5556003048</td>
<td>SARAH SCOUGAL</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5556010013</td>
<td>SCOTT KING</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5556013004</td>
<td>COLBY AND LAURA KANE</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>File</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5556015012</td>
<td>GURBINDER SINGH</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5556015014</td>
<td>SUNIT K GUPTA</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5556015018</td>
<td>MAHTAB AND MOATAMER</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5556015046</td>
<td>EUN SUNG ENTERPRISES LLC</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5556019022</td>
<td>HYNNDIE WALI</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5556026023</td>
<td>SUJIN NAM</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5556031006</td>
<td>VAN VAERENEWYCK,DAVID J TR DAVID J VAN VAERENEWYCK TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5558006003</td>
<td>BONNIE GREENE</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5558013001</td>
<td>ADLER NATURE PROPERTY LLC</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5558013003</td>
<td>CALHOUN,CHERYL CO TR DANIEL KONIGSBerg DECD TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5558013016</td>
<td>GORBIS,BORIS AND EDA TRS GORBIS FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5558016003</td>
<td>BHANSALI,ASHA TR BHANSALI FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5558016047</td>
<td>BHANSALI,ASHA TR BHANSALI FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5558016050</td>
<td>BHANSALI,ASHA TR BHANSALI FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5558017009</td>
<td>HH PROPERTIES LP</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5558017025</td>
<td>HH PROPERTIES LP</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5558020017</td>
<td>MONA SABETI</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5558025019</td>
<td>CORY AND JILLIAN CLEMMONS</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5559011015</td>
<td>HAGOP SARGISIAN</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5559017004</td>
<td>CARLSON,GARY R TR GARY R CARLSON TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5560005014</td>
<td>DOUGLAS S ROUTH</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5560019018</td>
<td>BARBIS,SUZANNE G TR BARBIS TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5561012022</td>
<td>SPENCER M RUDIN</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5561023002</td>
<td>TOMAR,STEPHEN CO TR MARLIN S AND S TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5561025012</td>
<td>FRANK CORRENTE</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5562017015</td>
<td>FIGILIS,KAREN AND WILLIAM TRS FIGILIS FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5562017027</td>
<td>CALIFORNIA HOLDINGS BH INC</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5563003015</td>
<td>DJALIL ABADI</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5564032036</td>
<td>ROSENMAN,JUDITH TR JUDITH G RSOENMAN TRUST</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5565015002</td>
<td>KIM AND YI INVESTMENT CORP</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5565022030</td>
<td>TINA AND MAJIDINEJAD Omid Maleki</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5565027019</td>
<td>EB PENSION FUND</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5565035031</td>
<td>TURK,CRAIG AND ANNE M TRS TURK FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5567004014</td>
<td>ALMANY,OFFER AND SHARON TRS OFFER FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5567004035</td>
<td>2361 STANLEY HILLS LLC</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5567008023</td>
<td>ARNAUD AND DILSHAD ROUSSEAU</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5567014037</td>
<td>VON FURSTENBERG, ALEXANDRE TR A VON FURSTENBERG TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5567015017</td>
<td>VON FURSTENBERG, ALEXANDRE TR A VON FURSTENBERG TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5567029011</td>
<td>K AND L STALLION RANCH LLC</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5569011063</td>
<td>ALLA SHLAIN</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5569013051</td>
<td>1006 LEXINGTON LLC</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5569014044</td>
<td>PERETZIAN, MICHAEL TR AND MICHAEL PERETZIAN TRUST AND</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5569014050</td>
<td>SHALOM, BETTY S CO TR SHALOM FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5569017002</td>
<td>ALAIN R DEMEESTERE</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5569032055</td>
<td>PRESENT, PAULA L TR PAULA PRESENT TRUST</td>
<td>FS 97</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5571027013</td>
<td>WOLF, RANDALL C TR RANDALL C WOLF TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5571029022</td>
<td>MASAHIKO KANEKO</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5571030018</td>
<td>GARY AND VERA K GUSS</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5572015006</td>
<td>WOSS, BEVERLY A TR BEVERLY A WOSS TRUST</td>
<td>FS 41</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5576007045</td>
<td>SURAJ DEVELOPMENT CORP</td>
<td>FS 27</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5580013024</td>
<td>KEVIN AND INGRID A TANJI</td>
<td>FS 82</td>
<td>526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5580024020</td>
<td>SHIN, PETER S AND SUNG SOON TRS SHIN FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>FS 82</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5581023017</td>
<td>NORA ARNEZEDER</td>
<td>FS 82</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5583018004</td>
<td>SCOLLARD, GORDON AND JOYCE TRS SCOLLARD TRUST</td>
<td>FS 82</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5585024015</td>
<td>SINGLETON, PATRICIA TR PATSY SHERMAN DECD TRUST</td>
<td>FS 82</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5588023031</td>
<td>888 COCKERHAM LLC</td>
<td>FS 35</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5588031006</td>
<td>GORDON E AND ELLEN B PEARLMAN</td>
<td>FS 35</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5591012008</td>
<td>JOHN AND KAARI ZABALA</td>
<td>FS 35</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5592015006</td>
<td>BRAEBURN LLC</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5592015007</td>
<td>BRAEBURN LLC</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5592015010</td>
<td>BRAEBURN LLC</td>
<td>FS 56</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5716020017</td>
<td>MARICELA GONZALEZ</td>
<td>FS 12</td>
<td>537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5716022028</td>
<td>MANUEL L AND GONZALEZ</td>
<td>FS 12</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: VALERIE IBE
MAILING ADDRESS: 23614 NADIR ST
WEST HILLS CA 91304

SITUS ADDRESS: 23614 NADIR ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91304

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2005018029 / INVOICE NO: BN190000001

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required mailings and notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection, it was still in noncompliance and the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: MARILYN MAZUR
MAILING ADDRESS: 23730 NADIR ST
WEST HILLS CA 91304

SITUS ADDRESS: 23730 NADIR ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91304
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2005021004 / INVOICE NO: BN190000002

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts she is blind and notices should be in Braille. Additionally, Appellant asserts that the LAFD Inspector would not respond to questions and that their property was cleared of brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The boundaries of parcel 2017018032 were identified using the records held by the County Assessor and can be viewed at www.zimas.lacity.org.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection, it was still in noncompliance and the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: RAMIREZ, ELVIE
MAILING ADDRESS: 09308 3/4 SAN JUAN AVE
SOUTH GATE CA 90280

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L W. of 8665 North VALLEY CIRCLE BLVD
WEST HILLS CA 91304

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2017018041 / INVOICE NO: BN190000019

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed
the property for re-inspection, it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 2, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: WHITING, ANTONY R
MAILING ADDRESS: 08650 LIMEROCK TRL
WEST HILLS CA 91304

SITUS ADDRESS: 8650 LIMEROCK TRL
LOS ANGELES CA 91304

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2017018044 / INVOICE NO: BN190000021

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection, it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Hearing Date: August 9, 2019 08:00  
Council District: FS 106

Name: JORDAN NANCY L  
Mailing Address: 8444 HILLCROFT DR  
CANOGA PARK CA 91304 USA  
Situs Address: 8444 HILLCROFT DR  
CANOGA PARK CA 91304  
Assessor's ID No: 2017020017  
Invoice No: BN190000027

Substance of Protest

Appellant asserts they received no notices regarding brush clearance in 2018.

Department Information

First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018  
Second Inspection performed on: July 17, 2018  
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. The initial notice described all work to be done. The record indicates the brush clearance notices were sent but returned by mail as undeliverable. It is the responsibility of the property owner to update the Department regarding any address changes.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is not a penalty for noncompliance, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
**SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST**

Appellant asserts his escrow company paid the noncompliance fees by check dated June 6, 2018. The property failed re-inspection on June 16, 2018, and final inspection was made on August 22, 2018.

**DEPARTMENT INFORMATION**

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

**PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION**

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: KAZEMI, ELLIE
MAILING ADDRESS: 04966 TOPANGA CANYON BLVD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 8591 North Hillcroft Dr
Canoga Park Ca 91304

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2017020025 / INVOICE NO: BN190000029

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: ENTEWAN FARAGALA AND MAIKEL FARAGALLA
MAILING ADDRESS: 24836 HIDDEN HILLS DRIVE
MENIFEE CA 92584 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 8542 North HILLCROFT DR
WEST HILLS CA 91304
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2017020026 / INVOICE NO: BN190000030

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 16, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on
the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in
noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: ENTEWAN FARAGALLA
MAILING ADDRESS: 24836 HIDDEN HILLS DRIVE 
MENIFEE CA 92584 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 8548 North HILLCROFT DR 
WEST HILLS CA 91304
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2017020027 / INVOICE NO: BN19000031

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 16, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts her husband broke his leg in June 2018, which delayed the clearance but that it was completed before the end of June.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. The initial notice described all work to be done. The record indicates no returned mail for the notices issued.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is not a penalty for noncompliance, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: BARKER, SCOTT C AND TAMERA A
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 4627
WEST HILLS CA 91308
SITUS ADDRESS: 8473 VALLEY CIRCLE BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 91304
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2017025058 / INVOICE NO: BN190000045

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 22, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant disagrees that his property was not properly cleared after the first inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. The initial notice described all work to be done. The record indicates no returned mail for the notices issued.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is not a penalty for noncompliance, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00                  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: NOURI, JAVID
MAILING ADDRESS: 23655 SANDALWOOD ST
WEST HILLS CA 91307

SITUS ADDRESS: 23655 SANDALWOOD ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91307

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2027015024 / INVOICE NO: BN190000063

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018. The Appellant believes that the hazards cited were not on their property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

According to the county records the cited property, parcel 2027015024, belongs to the Appellant and has a Situs Address of 23655 Sandalwood St., Los Angeles, CA 91307.

Upon re-inspection on July 30, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken of the Appellant's property on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on July 30, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 106

NAME: SORIANOM, STEVIE B AND ANNA K
MAILING ADDRESS: 07215 DARNOCCH WAY
WEST HILLS CA 91307
SITUS ADDRESS: 7215 DARNOCCH WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 91307
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2027021015 / INVOICE NO: BN190000066

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 30, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: MARIHART, MICHAEL E CO TR MICHAEL MARIHART FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 07124 BRIARSTONE LN
WEST HILLS CA 91307
SITUS ADDRESS: 24322 SHREWSBURY CIR
LOS ANGELES CA 91307
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2028010012 / INVOICE NO: BN190000067

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the
Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally
required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost
of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Upon re-inspection on August 15, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: MORAN, RAFAEL AND MARIA TRS MORAN FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 6442 ELLENVIEW AVE
WEST HILLS CA 91307
SITUS ADDRESS: 6442 ELLENVIEW AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91307
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2032025006 / INVOICE NO: BN190000081

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on September 22, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on August 29, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Confirmed the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 19, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: MCLEAN, THOMAS AND MICHELA

MAILING ADDRESS: 24684 GILMORE ST
WEST HILLS CA 91307

SITUS ADDRESS: 24684 GILMORE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91307

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2032032013 / INVOICE NO: BN190000085

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee for 2018. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 19, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: MICHELLE KASIK
MAILING ADDRESS: 5800 VALERIE AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 5800 VALERIE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2046003013 / INVOICE NO: BN190000090

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on August 29, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: FARIAS, EVELIA S
MAILING ADDRESS: 05801 VALLEY CIRCLE BLVD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367

SITUS ADDRESS: 5801 VALLEY CIRCLE BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 91367

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2046005010 / INVOICE NO: BN19000096

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on July 20, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

On June 2, 2018, the Fire Inspector inspected and found in violation, parcel 2046014009. The boundaries of parcel 2046014009 were distinguished by the County Assessor and can be found at www.zimas.lacity.org. Upon re-inspection on August 29, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: YAZDANI, ALI
MAILING ADDRESS: 28838 EAGLETON ST
AGOURA HILLS CA 91301
SITUS ADDRESS: 5550 PATTILAR AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91367
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2046014019 / INVOICE NO: BN190000103

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on July 10, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts she attempted to notify the Inspector that she needed more time and that it wouldn't be done by the due date. Actions did not negate the need for a reinspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
NAME: MOGHANIAN BIJAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 23700 CANZONET ST
                  WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 23700 CANZONET ST
               LOS ANGELES CA 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2047003025 / INVOICE NO: BN190000108

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was
returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 22, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on
the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in
noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they purchased the property in September 5, 2018; that they were not the property owners when notices were given; and that they never received notice regarding brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appeal granted. The property passed re-inspection in August 2018. Appellant did not take ownership of property until September 2018.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: STEPHEN BLOOM
MAILING ADDRESS: 3934 CORAL PL
CALABASAS CA 91302
SITUS ADDRESS: 24356 CRESTLAWN ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91367
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2047017017 / INVOICE NO: BN190000112

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

In 2018, the due date for compliance was June 18, 2018. Upon re-inspection on August 30, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they didn't receive a second notice and that the brush was cleared on May 23, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: MAX L TURNER
MAILING ADDRESS: 24355 CRESTLAWN ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 24355 CRESTLAWN ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2047017033 / INVOICE NO: BN190000114

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they were compliant as of 5/20/19.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance for the year 2018. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 25, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: CROSS, MATTHEW A AND LUNDBERGH, SHALYN I
MAILING ADDRESS: 22960 CRESPI ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22960 CRESPI ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91364
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2074004038 / INVOICE NO: BN190000115

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 22, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: AVENUE SAN LUIS PARTNERS
MAILING ADDRESS: 24007 VENTURA BLVD STE 115
CALABASAS CA 91302

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L AVE. SAN LUIS X CRESPI ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2074004041 / INVOICE NO: BN190000116

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 22, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

NAME: MEKHJIAN, GEORGE
MAILING ADDRESS: 22955 CASS AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22955 CASS AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2074010020 / INVOICE NO: BN190000119

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 16, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts he was not aware of exactly what needed to be done in terms of brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: GOLIAN, IMAN AND CORREA GOLIAN, ANNE N
MAILING ADDRESS: 20929 VENTURA BLVD 47-270
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 4833 DUNMAN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2074027015 / INVOICE NO: BN190000121

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were out of the country when the first notice was sent and the brush has since been cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: MEHLMAN, BENJAMIN TR MEHLMAN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 4607 STARK AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4607 STARK AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91364
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2074037019 / INVOICE NO: BN190000124

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he was unaware that he had any property subject to brush clearance and he cleared it as soon as he could.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts the brush was cleared by May 31, 2018, and that they did not receive a second notice of noncompliance.

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The property failed re-inspection on June 16, 2018, and final inspection was required on September 19, 2018.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: VAHID, MITRA
MAILING ADDRESS: 4601 ROMBERG PL
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4601 ROMBERG PL
LOS ANGELES CA 91364
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2074043021 / INVOICE NO: BN190000126

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 16, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: RUDY ALFARO
MAILING ADDRESS: 232 South COVINA BLVD
LA PUENTE CA 91746 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 22636 West Avenue San Luis St
Woodland Hills Ca 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2075010008 / INVOICE NO: BN190000140

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: JOURABCHI MOHAMMAD (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 22631 MACFARLANE DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 22631 MACFARLANE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2075013031 / INVOICE NO: BN190000141

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment of Noncompliance Fee for 2018. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: JOSEPH S VELAS

MAILING ADDRESS: 5066 FALLBROOK AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 5066 FALLBROOK AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2075014054 / INVOICE NO: BN190000142

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant acknowledged receiving the notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: SAGHEB, SOHAILA
MAILING ADDRESS: 22450 MACFARLANE DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22450 MACFARLANE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2075025005 / INVOICE NO: BN190000144

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on September 18, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00       COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: KLOHE CHARMAINE TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 22455 MAYCOTTE RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 22401 W DOMINGO ROAD
LOS ANGELES CA 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2075029008 / INVOICE NO: BN190000145

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Using satellite technology and county assessor records, the Fire Inspector identified parcel 2075029008 and issued an initial violation to Appellant on May 12, 2018. Upon re-inspection on September 18, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 17, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
TRACY ERIC TRUST
4951 REFORMA RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 105

NAME: TRACY ERIC TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 4951 REFORMA RD
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 4951 REFORMA RD
LOS ANGELES CA 91364

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2076001004 / INVOICE NO: BN190000148

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

Due to the Appellant's health battle and ultimate compliance, the fee for 2018 is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: LUCY, JOHN W AND NORMA H TRS LUCY FAMILY TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 20404 AETNA ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367

SITUS ADDRESS: 20404 AETNA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91367

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2149010014 / INVOICE NO: BN190000158

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant’s son asserts his 90 year old father, who owns the property, isn’t always aware of notices in the mail and that he cleared it as soon as he could.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: MANOOCHEHR NAMDAR
MAILING ADDRESS: 5700 COMANCHE AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367
SITUS ADDRESS: 5700 COMANCHE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2151009009 / INVOICE NO: BN190000167

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: Matthew CODD
MAILING ADDRESS: 5633 Keokuk Ave
Woodland Hills CA 91367 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 5633 KEOKUK AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2151013074 / INVOICE NO: BN190000171

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the property has been cleared and is in compliance and they can't afford the fee.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 17, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: ZARGARAF MASOUD

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 461
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91365

SITUS ADDRESS: 05445 CROMER PL
LOS ANGELES CA 91367

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2151030040 / INVOICE NO: BN190000184

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he thought he did everything necessary to comply and that the vendors he hired for brush clearance said there was nothing more to do, so he was surprised to get a noncompliance notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: SALEM, KHOSRO
MAILING ADDRESS: 20634 CLARENDON ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367

SITUS ADDRESS: 20634 CLARENDON ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91367

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2151030054 / INVOICE NO: BN190000185

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 12, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: MELVIN L SHAEFER
MAILING ADDRESS: 20714 CLARENDON ST
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367

SITUS ADDRESS: 20714 CLARENDON ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91367
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2151031039 / INVOICE NO: BN190000186

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts her husband has dementia and she was not aware of the notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: 5426 PENFIELD LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 5426 PENFIELD AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364

SITUS ADDRESS: 5426 PENFIELD AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91364

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2164003023 / INVOICE NO: BN190000192

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

At the time that the notice to re-inspect was provided, the Appellant was not the owner of the parcel at issue and thus did not receive notice sufficient to comply and abate prior to re-inspection. This leaves at issue whether Appellant was afforded proper notice sufficient enough to warrant an assessment of the Noncompliance Fee. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

No statement regarding Appellant’s appeal was made, however, the appeal application indicates Appellant may not have owned the property in 2018, although no further information is given.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 31, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the \$432.00\ Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is \$432.00\n
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: c/o Diony Rebuta
MAILING ADDRESS: 206 West 6TH ST Apt #100
LOS ANGELES CA 90014 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 19522 VENTURA BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 91356

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2164016027 / INVOICE NO: BN190000194

2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: c/o Diony Rebuta
MAILING ADDRESS: 206 West 6TH ST Apt #100
LOS ANGELES CA 90014 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 19522 VENTURA BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 91356

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2164016027 / INVOICE NO: BN190000194
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: Alan E. TAYLOR
MAILING ADDRESS: 5209 MELVIN AVE
                 TARZANA CA 91356 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 5209 MELVIN AVE
                LOS ANGELES CA 91356

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2164020007 / INVOICE NO: BN190000197

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: THOMULKA RUSSELL J TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 5261 WINNETKA AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 5261 WINNETKA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2166006015 / INVOICE NO: BN190000198

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the work was completed and therefore he shouldn't have to pay additional fees.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: July 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00        COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: MINAGAR, FRED CO TR MINAGAR ZOLRIASTEN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 31727 PACIFIC COAST HWY
                    MALIBU CA 90265
SITUS ADDRESS: 21115 West Lopez St
                   Canoga Park Ca 91364
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2167018023 / INVOICE NO: BN190000201

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 24, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no noncompliance notices and that the brush was cleared in May 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: SINAGRA, ANDREW L AND AMANDA G
MAILING ADDRESS: 22243 CASS AVE
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 22243 CASS AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2169021072 / INVOICE NO: BN190000212

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 17, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts the brush clearance work was completed on April 25, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 24, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: ROKHSAN S TAHERPOUR
MAILING ADDRESS: 5042 CALVIN AVE
TARZANA CA 91356

SITUS ADDRESS: 5042 CALVIN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91356

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2175034010 / INVOICE NO: BN190000255

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts brush clearance was resolved on August 20, 2018. The record shows several inspections were necessary before compliance was achieved.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: Jerry and Sylvia BERGH
MAILING ADDRESS: 4811 CORBIN AVE
TARZANA CA 91356 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 4811 CORBIN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91356

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2178002004 / INVOICE NO: BN19000262

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant provided evidence of significant efforts made to comply before the Compliance Due date. Accordingly, the Noncompliance Fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 83

NAME: KANDELA RAMI AND BRITTANY E

MAILING ADDRESS: 4515 WHITE OAK PL
ENCINO CA 91316

SITUS ADDRESS: 4515 WHITE OAK PL
LOS ANGELES CA 91316

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2182019004 / INVOICE NO: BN190000268

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on October 10, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 8, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on August 8, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: HESPERIDES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 1 STONYTOWN RD
MANHASSET NY 11030

SITUS ADDRESS: SE/O 4530 Gable Dr
Encino CA 91316

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2184016008 / INVOICE NO: BN190000275

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the notices were defective and unclear and that it took several months to get clarification.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

Overall requirements and certain specifications were identified in the June 2018 notice and the specific property was identified in the notice. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: Michael & Tamara KASE
MAILING ADDRESS: 17998 Boris Dr
Encino CA 91316 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 17998 BORIS DR
LOS ANGELES CA 91316

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2184024020 / INVOICE NO: BN190000276

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on July 26, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: Shelly Ann YOUREE
MAILING ADDRESS: 4552 Martson Dr
Encino CA 91316 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L @ 4500 Block of Grimes Pl
Tarzana CA 91316
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2184024030 / INVOICE NO: BN190000281

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 93

NAME: MOBASSERNA,FARAZ AND TEHRANIZADEH,SEPIDEH
MAILING ADDRESS: 4580 MARTSON DR
ENCINO CA 91316
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L North of 4548 North Grimes Pl
Encino Ca 91316
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2184024035 / INVOICE NO: BN190000284

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned and based on correspondence shared by the Appellant, all notices to abate were received.

The initial inspection of parcel 2184024035 took place on May 17, 2018, resulting in an initial Notice to Abate addressed to the Appellant at 4580 Marston Dr., Encino, CA 91316. The Compliance Due Date for abatement of all hazards was June 10, 2018. As required by the municipal code governing Brush Clearance, the Fire Inspector reinspected the parcel on June 15, 2018, to ensure that all hazards had been properly abated. Upon re-inspection, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection on June 15, 2018, it was still in noncompliance. The Noncompliance Fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $\text{432.00}$ Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on October 10, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $\text{432.00}$
Appellant asserts he cleared the brush as required and that the City's contractor did not clear his property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 84

NAME: ABBAS M GHASIRI
MAILING ADDRESS: 4554 ENSENADA DR
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364
SITUS ADDRESS: 4554 ENSENADA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 91364
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2190015014 / INVOICE NO: BN190000302

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts their gardener misinformed them as to the due date for brush clearance and rains delayed completion.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 14, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The due date is included in the notice to property owners, who are responsible for work completion. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts that weather delayed completion of the work but that it would be completed by the end of May 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The initial inspection was done in June 2018, after the property owner said it would be complete. Moreover, the parcel failed reinspection. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: JOSEPH B VOSOGHI
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 1401
STUDIO CITY CA 91614 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3375 BEVERLY GLEN BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 91423
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2275029006 / INVOICE NO: BN190000326

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he did not get the initial notice and noted a change of address.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

It is the responsibility of the Appellant to notify the Department regarding a change of address. No return mailed was received. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 88

NAME: ARMINE DERDIARIAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 14530 VALLEY VISTA BLVD
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403

SITUS ADDRESS: 14530 VALLEY VISTA BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 91403
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2276036040 / INVOICE NO: BN190000328

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on May 31, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: CARUSO, HENRY J TR RICK AND TINA CARUSO TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 03637 CODY RD
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403
SITUS ADDRESS: 3637 CODY RD
LOS ANGELES CA 91403
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2278024005 / INVOICE NO: BN190000331

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00                  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: ZERNER LARRY (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 3411 WOODCLIFF RD
                 SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403

SITUS ADDRESS: 3411 WOODCLIFF RD
                LOS ANGELES CA 91403

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2279025005 / INVOICE NO: BN190000334

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts property was cleared in December 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: SONG, LU AND WANG, XUEWEN

MAILING ADDRESS: 17132 ESCALON DR
ENCINO CA 91436

SITUS ADDRESS: 17132 ESCALON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 91436

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2287004009

INVOICE NO: BN190000336

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 26, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

NAME: SENGUL, JOHN AND JEANINE
MAILING ADDRESS: 05168 SKY RIDGE DR
GLendale CA 91214
SITUS ADDRESS: 16875 SEVERO PL
LOS ANGELES CA 91436
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2287006015 / INVOICE NO: BN190000337

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: August 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on September 22, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos
taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in
noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: 3821 23 GREEN VISTA LLC C/O ROBERT MOBASSERI ESQ
MAILING ADDRESS: 1055 W 7TH ST STE 2140
                  LOS ANGELES CA 90017
SITUS ADDRESS: 3821 North GREEN VISTA DR
                ENCINO CA 91436
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2287015053 / INVOICE NO: BN190000343

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Appellant received notice that all hazards needed to be cured by June 10, 2018. Upon re-inspection on September 16, 2018 the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Substance of Protest
Appellant asserts the noncompliance notices were related to a adjoining property they don't own.

Department Information
First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The Department was made aware of Appellant's assertion but still failed reinspection. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86

NAME: LANZARONE BENJAMIN A (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 11455 SUNSHINE TER
STUDIO CITY CA 91604

SITUS ADDRESS: 11455 SUNSHINE TER
LOS ANGELES CA 91604

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2369038006 / INVOICE NO: BN190000356

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The Compliance Due Date was May 23, 2018. Upon re-inspection on October 15, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 78

NAME: GDL HOMES LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 19324 OXNARD ST TARZANA CA 91356
SITUS ADDRESS: 3915 DIXIE CANYON AVE LOS ANGELES CA 91423
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2373020002 / INVOICE NO: BN190000357

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on May 30, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
NAME: MEHRDAD AJDARI DBA VRE SOLUTIONS
MAILING ADDRESS: 25348 FITZGERALD AVE
STEVENSON RANCH CA 91381 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 12428 West LAUREL TERRACE DR.
NORTH HOLLYWOOD CA 91604
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2376002015 / INVOICE NO: BN190000359

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

The Fire Inspector determined the property was non compliant, however notice was sent to the incorrect address and subsequently returned. This leaves at issue whether Appellant was afforded proper notice. Accordingly, the Noncompliance Fee assessed for 2018 is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86

NAME: KEVIN DESANTIS
MAILING ADDRESS: 11400 LAURELCREST DR
STUDIO CITY CA 91604

SITUS ADDRESS: 11400 LAURELCREST DR
LOS ANGELES CA 91604

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2377011009 / INVOICE NO: BN190000362

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

Despite considerable health and financial constraints, the Appellant made considerable efforts to abate
hazards and has consistently done so for years. Accordingly, the Noncompliance Fee for 2018 is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE  
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86

NAME: ERBSEN,BARRY AND PHYLLIS TRS ERBSEN FAMILY TRUST  
MAILING ADDRESS: 03855 EUREKA DR  
STUDIO CITY CA 91604

SITUS ADDRESS: 3855 EUREKA DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 91604

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2378017011 / INVOICE NO: BN190000365

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was completed as directed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018  
Second Inspection performed on: October 15, 2018  
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;  
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the  
Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally  
required, affording Appellant due process.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost  
of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: WELSH,KATHERINE S
MAILING ADDRESS: 11279 LAURIE DR
STUDIO CITY CA 91604
SITUS ADDRESS: 11279 LAURIE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 91604
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2378018002 / INVOICE NO: BN190000366

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee associated with 2018 hazards. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 2, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86

NAME: FARRELL MELINDA A
MAILING ADDRESS: 11422 SUNSHINE TERRACE
STUDIO CITY CA 91604
SITUS ADDRESS: 11422 SUNSHINE TER
LOS ANGELES CA 91604
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2378023002 / INVOICE NO: BN190000367

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on October 15, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 86

NAME: C/O FAIZA MEDINA
MAILING ADDRESS: 3645 OAKFIELD DR
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 11401 DECENTE CT
LOS ANGELES CA 91604

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2378029010 / INVOICE NO: BN190000368

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work is complete and that he did not receive the notices and provided change of address information.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices of noncompliance and that the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Substance of protest

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

Department Information

First inspection performed on: May 7, 2018
Second inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 2, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 12, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 78

NAME: GILDA REINERT
MAILING ADDRESS: 3733 AVENIDA DEL SOL
STUDIO CITY CA 91604
SITUS ADDRESS: 3733 AVENIDA DEL SOL Av
STUDIO CITY CA 91604
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2384015005 / INVOICE NO: BN190000396

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108

NAME: DANIEL TARVER
MAILING ADDRESS: 3210 COLDWATER CANYON LN BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 3210 COLDWATER CANYON LN LOS ANGELES CA 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2385021008 / INVOICE NO: BN190000408

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108

NAME: KIM, DAVID E AND JENNIE K
MAILING ADDRESS: 2350 NALIN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 3373 COLDWATER CANYON AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91604
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2385021010 / INVOICE NO: BN190000409

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 78

NAME: AMY PELL
MAILING ADDRESS: 3907 VENTURA CANYON AVE
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S.of 3915 North VENTURA CANYON AVE
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2386020001 / INVOICE NO: BN190000416

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he spent a lot of money to complete compliance and the additional noncompliance fee should be waived.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018

Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned and based on correspondence shared by the Appellant, all notices to abate were received.

Upon re-inspection on September 25, 2018, the cited violation remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 77

NAME: Mario Tudela
MAILING ADDRESS: 2219 W. Olive ave 282
Burbank CA 91506 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 8970 West La Tuna Canyon
Sun Valley Ca 91352
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2401035004 / INVOICE NO: BN190000420

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no notices regarding brush clearance requirements and what work needed to be done.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Hearing Date: August 9, 2019 08:00

Council District: FS 77

Name: Ayrikyan Levon

Mailing Address: 10305 Roscoe Blvd
    Sun Valley CA 91352

Situs Address: 10305 Roscoe Blvd
    Los Angeles CA 91352

Assessor's ID No: 2404016004 / Invoice No: BN190000437

Substance of Protest

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

Department Information

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Hearing Date: August 12, 2019 08:00

Council District: FS 76

Name: MONSTERCAT USA INC

Mailing Address: 380 RAILWAY ST VANCOUVER BC
V6A 4E3 CANADA 99999

Situs Address: 3587 MULTIVIEW DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

Assessor's ID No: 2425011010 / Invoice No: BN190000447

Substance of Protest

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018. Appellant asserts that notice was never received.

Department Information

First Inspection performed on: July 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Despite the Appellant's assertion that notice was never received, the record reflects phone calls made to the Fire Department by the Appellant in an effort to clarify the details of the notice that they received in the mail.

Upon re-inspection on August 16, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant representing the property owner asserts his client was out of the country and no notices were received on his behalf.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00       COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: CHO, SANG S AND ANNE A
MAILING ADDRESS: 15707 VAN NESS AVE
                 GARDENA CA 90249
SITUS ADDRESS: 7207 WOODROW WILSON DR
                LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2429004045 / INVOICE NO: BN190000468

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

All notices went to the former owner leaving at issue whether Appellant was afforded proper notice. When
Appellant received posted notice of noncompliance, the cited violation/s were cured.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: ZAMORA, ALEXANDRA
MAILING ADDRESS: 11047 WHITE OAK AVE
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344
SITUS ADDRESS: 13227 RAVEN ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91342
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2511014021 / INVOICE NO: BN190000470

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts she did not receive the notices for brush clearance and submitted a change of address request to the Department.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

It is the responsibility of the property owner to notify the department regarding a change of address when it happens. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they purchased the property on 5/23/2018 and the previous owner did not forward the brush clearance notices in a timely manner.

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

Property records confirm Appellant purchased the property on May 23, 2018, thus they would not have receive proper notice to conduct the clearance as required by the notices that went to the previous owner.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00       COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: PANARISI, TRAVIS
MAILING ADDRESS: 10503 MAHONEY DR
                 SHADOW HILLS CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 10503 MAHONEY DR
                LOS ANGELES CA 91040
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2528033020 / INVOICE NO: BN190000484

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on
the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in
noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that the work was completed after they received the second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: WALTER L GRAY
MAILING ADDRESS: 10412 NADINA ST
SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 10412 NADINA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91342

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2529007026 / INVOICE NO: BN19000493

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 12, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: BLAKE, JOSEPH TR JOSEPH BLAKE TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 10622 JIMENEZ ST
SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 10622 JIMENEZ ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91342

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2529012012 / INVOICE NO: BN190000496

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 7, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
NAME: Mears, Sheila U TR Mears Family Trust
MAILING ADDRESS: 11350 Clybourn Ave
SYLMAR CA 91342
SITUS ADDRESS: 11350 Clybourn Ave
LOS ANGELES CA 91342
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2529023001 / INVOICE NO: BN190000497

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts he is elderly and received no notices of noncompliance but cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: August 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: DOUGLAS HINES
MAILING ADDRESS: 11511 ORCAS AVE
SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 11511 ORCAS AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2529025016 / INVOICE NO: BN190000498

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the work was completed after the initial inspection and they did not receive a second notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. There is no record of returned mail regarding the notices.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 98

NAME: DONG SHIK CHOI AND HAE YOUNG YOON
MAILING ADDRESS: 11488 KAGEL CANYON RD
SYLMAR CA 91342 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 11488 W KAGEL CANYON ST

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2530019054 / INVOICE NO: BN190000499

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: September 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on October 19, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE:  August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 98

NAME:  MARSHALL GUMBINER
MAILING ADDRESS:  1030 N FAIRFAX AVE
WEST HOLLYWOOD CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS:  11790 KAGEL CANYON ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91342

ASSESSOR’S ID NO:  2530021001 / INVOICE NO:  BN190000500

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 16, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 98

NAME: WILLIAM K EMERSON
MAILING ADDRESS: 2808 ALTA TER
LA CRESCENTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: 11331 CLYBOURN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91342

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2530024011 / INVOICE NO: BN190000501

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: August 24, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was
returned.

Upon re-inspection on October 16, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken
on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it
was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: DAVID ELSON

MAILING ADDRESS: 10270 MCBROOM ST
SHADOW HILLS CA 91040 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 10270 MCBROOM ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543006006 / INVOICE NO: BN190000519

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he is new to the neighborhood and talked to the Inspector on the reinspection to understand what was missed and made corrections.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The assessment is not a penalty for noncompliance, it is to recover the inspections costs. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: STEPHEN C MOORADIAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 10262 MCBROOM ST
SHADOW HILLS CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 10262 MCBROOM ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543006007 / INVOICE NO: BN190000520

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

Due to extraordinary circumstances surrounding the Appellant's health and finances, the fee assessed for 2018 should be waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: SIMIEN, AUGUST JR AND DEIDRE
MAILING ADDRESS: 10158 MCBROOM ST
SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 10158 West Mcbroom St
Sunland Ca 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543006032 / INVOICE NO: BN190000521

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018. Appellant asserts that the land cited was not theirs.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached. Upon review of the ZIMAS map used by the Fire Inspector, the land cited as being in violation matches the boundary lines as described by Appellant. Accordingly, the Noncompliance Fee should be paid as set forth in the notice.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

The Appellant's health was severely compromised at the time that the initial Notice to Abate was issued. Subsequent to that notice, the Appellant passed away. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached. However, due to the extraordinary circumstances, the fee has been waived for 2018.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: WILLIAM K EMERSON
MAILING ADDRESS: 2808 ALTA TER
LA CRESCESTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: 10419 North Mary Bell Av
Sunland Ca 91040

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2543034010 / INVOICE NO: BN190000540

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The records made by the Fire Inspector indicate that there were four inspections made of parcel 2543034010. At the time of the second inspection on June 11, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. Subsequent to the third inspection, the Fire Inspector of record noted clearance was done. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for the second inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached. Accordingly the assessed Noncompliance Fee should be paid in full.

Total assessment due is $432.00
NAME: WILLIAM K EMERSON
MAILING ADDRESS: 2808 ALTA TER
LA CRESCENTA CA 91214
SITUS ADDRESS: 10421 North Mary Bell Av
Sunland Ca 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543034011 / INVOICE NO: BN190000541

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The records made by the Fire Inspector indicate that there were four inspections made of parcel 2543034011. At the time of the second inspection on June 11, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. Subsequent to the third inspection, the Fire Inspector of record noted clearance was done. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for the second inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached. Accordingly the assessed Noncompliance Fee should be paid in full.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: WILLIAM K EMERSON
MAILING ADDRESS: 2808 ALTA TER
LA CRESCENTA CA 91214

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L south of 10433 North Mary Bell Av
Sunland Ca 91040

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2543034021 / INVOICE NO: BN190000542

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The records made by the Fire Inspector indicate that there were three inspections made of parcel
2543034021. At the time of the second inspection on June 11, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured.
Subsequent to the third inspection, the Fire Inspector of record noted clearance was done. When the Fire
Inspector viewed the property for the second inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee
automatically attached. Accordingly the assessed Noncompliance Fee should be paid in full.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: ROCCO J PALAMARA
MAILING ADDRESS: 9711 SOMBRA VALLEY DR
                  SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 9711 SOMBRA VALLEY DR
                LOS ANGELES CA 91040
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2545026007 / INVOICE NO: BN190000572

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on
the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was
still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: DUENAS, JOSE JR
MAILING ADDRESS: 00445 S WOODS AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90022
SITUS ADDRESS: 10354 North Hidden Oak Dr
Sunland Ca 91040
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2546002002 / INVOICE NO: BN190000573

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the brush was cleared after receiving a notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: TAHMASIAN, JOANA A
MAILING ADDRESS: 10278 SUNLAND BLVD
                   SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 10278 SUNLAND BLVD
                LOS ANGELES CA 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2546012005 / INVOICE NO: BN190000581

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018. Appellant claims that the first and second notices were never received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Upon review, the initial notice of noncompliance was never received and instead was returned via the U.S. Postal Service to the Fire Department as undeliverable. Proper notice was not received until the Red Tag notice was posted on the Appellant's door, after which the cited hazard/s were cured. Accordingly, the Noncompliance Fee resulting from the failure to comply with a notice that was never received has been waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: MENA, JOHN M AND BARBARA J
MAILING ADDRESS: 08826 WENTWORTH ST
SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 8826 Wentworth St
Sunland Ca 91040

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2547002001 / INVOICE NO: BN190000584

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee, reduced by 50%. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached however due to Appellant’s health issues and associated limitations, the Noncompliance Fee for 2018 is reduced by 50%.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 7, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: KARAKASHIAN, ARAM AND SILVA
MAILING ADDRESS: 01611 GREENBRIAR RD
GLENDALE CA 91207
SITUS ADDRESS: 9618 West Wentworth St
Sunland Ca 91040
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2549012006 / INVOICE NO: BN190000610

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he is new to the area and was not aware of all the requirements. Appellant asserts he tried contacting the LAFD Inspector but received no response and found out through his neighbor what else needed to be done for compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Name: BAKTANIAN, EDWIN AND

Mailing Address: 09327 HILLROSE ST
SUNLAND CA 91040

Situs Address: 9327 North Hillrose St
Sunland Ca 91040

Assessor's ID No: 2549013021 / Invoice No: BN190000615

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they purchased the property on May 11, 2018 and did not receive notices for noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. While Appellant asserts they purchased the property on May 11, 2018, they provided no proof of the purchase date. The responsibility for brush clearance runs with the title and any failure of disclosure is an issue between the buyer and seller.

The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: HAR, JAMIL H
MAILING ADDRESS: 09359 HILLROSE ST
                SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 9359 HILLROSE ST
                SUNLAND CA 91040
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2549013024 / INVOICE NO: BN190000618

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they received no brush clearance notices in 2018 and that they cleared the brush in May 2018 to be compliant.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. The record shows no returned mail regarding issued notices.

The assessment is not a penalty for noncompliance, it is to recover the inspections costs. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: HAMAMJIAN, HAMO AND ANI
MAILING ADDRESS: 09418 HILLROSE ST
SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 9418 HILLROSE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91040
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2549014022 / INVOICE NO: BN190000620

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. Upon re-inspection on July 25, 2018, the cited violation remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: NAIRA A TEROUNIAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 12938 RATNER ST
NORTH HOLLYWOOD CA 91605

SITUS ADDRESS: 10116 North Sunland Bl
Sunland Ca 91040
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2549019035 / INVOICE NO: BN190000625

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the notice was unclear as to the extent of work required and that they got a noncompliance notice after spending to get it cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. The initial notice described all work to be done. The record indicates no returned mail for the notices issued.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is not a penalty for noncompliance, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: PETROSYAN, ALA TR ALLA PETROSYAN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 10074 SUNLAND BLVD
                       SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 10074 SUNLAND BLVD
                       LOS ANGELES CA 91040
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2549020024 / INVOICE NO: BN190000629

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Appellant asserts that compliance work was done in April 2018. The initial inspection took place, May 2018, at which point the Fire Inspector determined that there were brush hazard violations. Upon re-inspection in June 2018, the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, at which point the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: HOVHANES VAHANIAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 10058 SUNLAND BLVD
SUNLAND CA 91040

SITUS ADDRESS: 10058 SUNLAND BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 91040

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2549020026 / INVOICE NO: BN190000630

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for noncompliance. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the work was not complete.

The Fire Inspector mailed and posted all notices as legally required affording Appellant their due process.

When the property was viewed for re-inspection and it was still in non-compliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: JOHN B DILL
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 998
FRAZIER PARK CA 93225

SITUS ADDRESS: 10426 PEARSON PL
LOS ANGELES CA 91040
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2549022001 / INVOICE NO: BN190000631

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for noncompliance fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: ALIKHANI MITRA
MAILING ADDRESS: 29 TROPHY RDG
SAN ANTONIO TX 78258

SITUS ADDRESS: 10440 JOHANNA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91040

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2549024031 / INVOICE NO: BN190000632

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Non-Compliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SHINKLE, JAMES AND JANET AND

27864 PINE CREST PL
CASTAIC CA 91384

10150 FOOTHILL BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 91342

2550023004 / INVOICE NO: BN190000646

SHINKLE, JAMES AND JANET AND
27864 PINE CREST PL
CASTAIC CA 91384
10150 FOOTHILL BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 91342
2550023004 / INVOICE NO: BN190000646

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices, affording the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: IRMA WOGAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 11411 EBY CANYON RD
                  SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS:  11411 EBY CANYON RD
                  SUNLAND CA 91040
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2551006004 / INVOICE NO: BN19000648

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: PORTER, THOMAS D
MAILING ADDRESS: 04850 1/2 MASCOT ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90019

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L east of 11337 North Riverwood Dt
Sylmar CA 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2551006008 / INVOICE NO: BN190000649

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 24

NAME: PETER F MCGRATH
MAILING ADDRESS: 11301 EBY CANYON RD
       SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 11301 EBY CANYON RD
       SUNLAND CA 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2551007004 / INVOICE NO: BN190000651

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018. The Appellant asserts that they were unclear about what hazards needed abatement.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

The initial Notice to Abate was sent to the Appellant on May 14, 2018. Listed on the notice was the LAFD's Vimas web address which allows all parcel owners to see detailed descriptions and pictures of those hazard/s that have been cited. Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: RAY PECK
MAILING ADDRESS: 8133 ELLENBOGEN ST
SUNLAND CA 91040
SITUS ADDRESS: 8133 ELLENBOGEN ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2551018053 / INVOICE NO: BN190000658

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: GORDON C WRAY
MAILING ADDRESS: 10215 FOOTHILL BLVD SYLMAR CA 91342
SITUS ADDRESS: 10937 ORO VISTA AVE LOS ANGELES CA 91040
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2551034015 / INVOICE NO: BN190000659

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he never received notices of noncompliance and cleared the brush once he learned he was noncompliant.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

 Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: MINASIAN SEVAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 7753 BECKETT ST
TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 7753 BECKETT ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91042

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2558042026 / INVOICE NO: BN190000680

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 4, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the \$432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee for 2018. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 4, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is \$432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: VARUZH KESHISHYAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 12823 MCLENNAN AVE
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 8206 CORA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2560030010 / INVOICE NO: BN190000685

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 4, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: EMIELI INVESTMENT LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 18375 VENTURA BLVD #852
TARZANA CA 91356 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L south of 8422 West Mcgroarty St
Sunland Ca 91040
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2561005002 / INVOICE NO: BN190000690

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed
the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 3, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: GUNTHER, ROXANNE CNSRV MURNANE, MICHAEL CNSEE AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 00928 N SAN FERNANDO BLVD STE J PMB 264
BURBANK CA 91504

SITUS ADDRESS: 7572 MCGROARTY TER
LOS ANGELES CA 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2563005008 / INVOICE NO: BN190000700

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 3, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: BERJEKYAN, VARTAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 00632 N 4TH ST
MONTEBELLO CA 90640

SITUS ADDRESS: 9913 N. REDMONT AVE
TUJUNGA CA 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2563022004 / INVOICE NO: BN190000708

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was
returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 1, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed
the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: ZAGHI, KERAMAT AND NAHID TRS ZAGHI TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 0 PO BOX 48401
LOS ANGELES  CA 90048

SITUS ADDRESS: 7366 West Valaho Lane
Tujunga Ca 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2563022014 / INVOICE NO: BN190000709

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 4, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE:  August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME:  WVJ INC
MAILING ADDRESS:  09034 W SUNSET BLVD
WEST HOLLYWOOD CA 90069
SITUS ADDRESS:  9828 North Redmont Ave
Tujunga Ca 91042
ASSESSOR’S ID NO:  2563032010 / INVOICE NO:  BN190000714

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they recently purchased the property for cash and received no notices regarding brush clearance requirements or noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on:  May 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on:  June 1, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be upheld. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. If a change of ownership has occurred, the responsibility for any fees accrues to the owner of record at the time the fee is assessed and any failure of disclosure is an issue between the buyer and seller of the property. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: DANIEL RAMSPACHER

MAILING ADDRESS: 1325 LINDEN COURT NORTH EAST
WASHINGTON DC 20002 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 9619 North HILLHAVEN AVE
TUJUNGA CA 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2563037001 / INVOICE NO: BN190000723

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 8, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: DANIEL RAMSPACHER
MAILING ADDRESS: 1325 LINDEN COURT NORTH EAST
WASHINGTON DC 20002 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 9625 HILLHAVEN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91042

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2563037003 / INVOICE NO: BN190000724

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 8, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
NAME: FERREIRA ALVARO
MAILING ADDRESS: 505 North FIGUEROA STREET #402
LOS ANGELES CA 90010 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 9631 North Oakbend Dr
Sunland Ca 91042
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2563037009 / INVOICE NO: BN190000725

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as required however at the time that the re-inspection notice was provided, the Appellant’s ill health prevented them from curing cited hazard/s prior to the second inspection. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: ISSAGHOLIAN, RAFI AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 09681 HILLHAVEN AVE
TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 9638 North Oak Bend Dr
Tujunga Ca 91042

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2563042010 / INVOICE NO: BN190000743

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as required however at the time that the re-inspection notice was provided, the Appellant’s ill health prevented them from curing cited hazard/s prior to the second inspection. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: HUSTON, ADEYEMI
MAILING ADDRESS: 05780 GOLF RD
MERCED CA 95340
SITUS ADDRESS: 7020 West WALPOLE DR
TUJUNGA CA 91042
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2564018013 / INVOICE NO: BN190000750

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. The boundaries of parcel 2564018013 were identified using the records held by the County Assessor and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

Upon re-inspection on October 4, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: DANIELLE N LOZANO
MAILING ADDRESS: 7207 VIVA DR
TUJUNGA CA 91042
SITUS ADDRESS: 7200 West VIVA DR
TUJUNGA CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2564029004 / INVOICE NO: BN19000753

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: September 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
The mailing address on record was not the owner's current address resulting in improper notice. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
NAME: DANIELLE N LOZANO AND PRABHVIR S WALIA
MAILING ADDRESS: 7207 VIVA DR
TUJUNGA CA 91042 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 7207 VIVA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 91042
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2564029016 / INVOICE NO: BN190000754

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 29, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: BAHN, FRITZ
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 113
TUJUNGA CA 91043

SITUS ADDRESS: 10910 CARDAMINE PL
LOS ANGELES CA 91042

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2566013069 / INVOICE NO: BN190000760

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no second notice of noncompliance and completed brush clearance by 8/31/2018. Moreover, Appellant asserts he was told by LAFD staff to ignore a notice of noncompliance he received after 8/31/2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The property failed re-inspection prior to the date Appellant states that the brush clearance was completed.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: MARIANO DURAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 10168 LEONA ST
TUJUNGA CA 91042

SITUS ADDRESS: 10168 LEONA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91042

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2570001005 / INVOICE NO: BN190000779

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 11, 2018, the cited violation's remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant admitted at hearing that he failed to clear the brush as required in the notices he received.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 74

NAME: SNOWBALL WEST INVESTMENTS L P
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 64277 LOS ANGELES CA 90064
SITUS ADDRESS: 6433 LA TUNA CANYON RD LOS ANGELES CA 91042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2572021020 / INVOICE NO: BN190000785

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts neither he nor his clearance workers saw the LAFD posting on the property directing further clearance work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

In this case, Appellant admitted at hearing that he received the noncompliance notices by mail. The LAFD posted the property in the last instance because of the failed inspections prior to bidding the work to a contractor per LAFD policy. LAFD also posted a notice on one of the structures on the property, thus adequate notice was given.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: KNIGHT, MARVIN AND DENISE

MAILING ADDRESS: 13807 ALMETZ ST
SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 13807 ALMETZ ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91342

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2582010015 / INVOICE NO: BN190000796

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for noncompliance fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The Compliance due date was May 28, 2018. In June of 2018, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: JEFFREY SECOR
MAILING ADDRESS: 21224 PLACERITA CANYON RD NEWHALL CA 91321
SITUS ADDRESS: 13930 AULTS AVE LOS ANGELES CA 91342
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2582015036 / INVOICE NO: BN190000800

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that her husband was out of the country when the notices were received and that her son cleared the property as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: RUIZ 2017 FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 14027 CLAYWOOD AVE
SYLMAR CA 91342

SITUS ADDRESS: 14027 CLAYWOOD AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91342
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2582018006 / INVOICE NO: BN190000807

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The Compliance due date was May 28, 2018. In early June of 2018, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared their property as always but were told to do more on property they felt they did not own.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Hearing Date: August 9, 2019 08:00

Council District: FS 91

Name: Michael J Lehr

Mailing Address: 14003 Candlewood Dr

Sylmar CA 91342

Situs Address: 14003 Candlewood Dr

Los Angeles CA 91342

Assessor's ID No: 2582023002 / Invoice No: BN190000812

Substance of Protest

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

Department Information

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: CATHERS, ROBERT M AND MARTHA J
MAILING ADDRESS: 14137 JANNA WAY
SYLMAR CA 91342
SITUS ADDRESS: 14137 JANNA WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 91342
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2582024011 / INVOICE NO: BN190000817

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that LA Flood Control used to reimburse them for clearance until the 1990’s, when LAFD then took over brush clearance for the area. Appellant asserts that he wasn’t aware that LAFD would stop assisting them in clearing the property and have cleared the brush themselves going forward.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 91

NAME: BOYLE F ALLISON TR
MAILING ADDRESS: 842 SIERRA MADRE VILLA AVE
               PASADENA CA 91107 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 13738 GAVINA AVE
               LOS ANGELES CA 91342
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2582028022 / INVOICE NO: BN190000819

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice.

At issue is whether notice was sufficiently provided to the Appellant. Typically if prior to a second inspection, a cited parcel is not cleared of all the hazards/violations that are cited in the initial Notice of Noncompliance, the Noncompliance Fee automatically attaches. Where the initial notice to comply and abate is not properly delivered, the issue becomes whether sufficient notice was provided to warrant an assessment of the Noncompliance Fee. The record reflects that the initial Notice of Noncompliance was sent to an incorrect address. As a result, the Appellant was not made aware of their noncompliance until after the date of the second inspection. Accordingly, the fee is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: CASTILLO, VALENTIN AND CAROLINE K
MAILING ADDRESS: 13420 MISSION TIERRA WAY
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 13420 MISSION TIERRA WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 91344
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601012036 / INVOICE NO: BN190000829

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 13, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Upon re-inspection on June 25, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant Protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 25, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: ZADA, TIBOR AND SUZANNE W
MAILING ADDRESS: 01634 TOWER GROVE DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S of 12166 North HIGHWATER RD
GRANDA HILLS CA 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2601026054 / INVOICE NO: BN190000838

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 25, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: FOUAD KHOURY

MAILING ADDRESS: 12321 JEREMY PL
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 12321 JEREMY PL
LOS ANGELES CA 91344

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2601061031 / INVOICE NO: BN190000850

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for noncompliance fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The violation was not remedied prior to the compliance due date of September 2, 2018. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and that the noncompliance notices went to a trust whose executor is deceased. Appellant has since reported an address change to LAFD.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they received no notices and that the noncompliance notices went to a trust whose executor is deceased. Appellant has since reported an address change to LAFD.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00          COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: SOUZI M ZERONIAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 11831 BABBITT AVE
                 GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 11831 BABBITT AVE
                LOS ANGELES CA 91344

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2606016040 / INVOICE NO: BN190000873

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for noncompliance fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: SAM A FARRAJ
MAILING ADDRESS: 12065 LOUISE AVE
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344
SITUS ADDRESS: 12065 LOUISE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91344
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2606027057 / INVOICE NO: BN190000882

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: July 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: ESPOSITO, MIKE AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 17608 TROSA ST
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344
SITUS ADDRESS: 17608 TROSA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2608030018 / INVOICE NO: BN190000887

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 18

NAME: LEE, PHILIP Y AND SUSIE J
MAILING ADDRESS: 12131 MISSION RIDGE WAY
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

SITUS ADDRESS: 12131 MISSION RIDGE WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 91344

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2608033013  /  INVOICE NO: BN190000888

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on September 20, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 29, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE  
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 12, 2019 08:00  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 96

NAME: ALFRED S YAU
MAILING ADDRESS: 12416 PROMONTORY RD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 11048 ETON AVE  
LOS ANGELES CA 91311
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2706033018 / INVOICE NO: BN190000909

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018  
Second Inspection performed on: July 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;  
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined  
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was  
returned.

Upon re-inspection on July 10, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on  
the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was  
still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: KUPENKO VLADIMIR TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 20600 NORTHRIDGE RD
                    CHATSWORTH CA 91311
SITUS ADDRESS: 20600 NORTHRIDGE RD
                LOS ANGELES CA 91311
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2707002022 / INVOICE NO: BN190000914

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 5, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was
returned.

The records made by the Fire Inspector indicate that there were multiple inspections made of parcel
2707002022. At the time of the second inspection on November 5, 2018, the cited violation/s remained
uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for the second inspection and it was still in
noncompliance, the fee automatically attached. Accordingly the assessed Noncompliance Fee should be paid
in full.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 12, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: CINDY C AHN
MAILING ADDRESS: 10851 WINNETKA AVE
CHATSWORTH CA 91311
SITUS ADDRESS: 10851 WINNETKA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91311
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2707003015 / INVOICE NO: BN190000916

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts she paid a gardener to clear the property and does not know what more to do or why she received a notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 22, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: DESBOROUGH, CHRISTOPHER F
MAILING ADDRESS: 10530 NORTHRIDGE HILL DR
CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 10530 NORTHRIDGE HILL DR
LOS ANGELES CA 91311

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2708014008 / INVOICE NO: BN190000925

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 107

NAME: SHAHAN SOMUNDJIAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 10522 NORTHRIDGE HILL DR
CHATSWORTH CA 91311
SITUS ADDRESS: 10522 NORTHRIDGE HILL DR
LOS ANGELES CA 91311
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2708014029 / INVOICE NO: BN190000928

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 96

NAME: FARD, MOHAMMED A AND ZABOLI, NOUSHIN
MAILING ADDRESS: 9435 OAK LEAF DR
CHATSWORTH CA 91311
SITUS ADDRESS: 9435 OAK LEAF DR
CHATSWORTH CA 91311
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2727020026 / INVOICE NO: BN190000955

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 17, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 96

NAME: MOHAMMADI PARVIZ (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 22503 S SUMMIT RIDGE CIR
CHATSWORTH CA 91311

SITUS ADDRESS: 22503 S SUMMIT RIDGE CIR
LOS ANGELES CA 91311

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2727022049 / INVOICE NO: BN19000959

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: GONZALEZ, ALEJANDRO AND BLAIRE B
MAILING ADDRESS: 18928 KILFINAN ST
NORTH RIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 18928 KILFINAN ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2820003012 / INVOICE NO: BN190000964

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018. Appellant asserts that the cited property is not theirs.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

On May 21, 2018, the Fire Inspector inspected and found in violation, parcel 2820003012. The boundaries of parcel 2820003012 were distinguished by the County Assessor and are further identified as having Situs Address, 18928 Kilfinan St., Los Angeles, CA 91326. Upon re-inspection on July 2, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: ROBERT K HUDSON
MAILING ADDRESS: 11633 DORAL AVE
NORTHRIDGE CA 91326
SITUS ADDRESS: 11633 DORAL AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91326
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2822030032 / INVOICE NO: BN190000973

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they travel and do not always read their mail in a timely manner. Appellant also asserts that they felt they cleared the brush as required and were not aware of any need to remove the tree in front until later notice was given after they attempted to contact LAFD inspector.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they received no second notice of noncompliance and have since cleared the brush.

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Hearing Date: August 9, 2019 08:00
Council District: FS 8

Name: Udvarnoki, Andrew
Mailing Address: 11410 Reseda Blvd
Northridge CA 91326

Situs Address: 11410 Reseda Blvd
Los Angeles CA 91326

Assessor's ID No: 2870011001 / Invoice No: BN190000980

Substance of Protest
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

Department Information
First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 2, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: FLORES, RUDY AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 11247 YOLANDA AVE
NORTH RIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 11247 YOLANDA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2871002001 / INVOICE NO: BN190000981

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 18, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 25, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: SAIS, SERGIO AND JACQUELINE TRS S AND J SAIS TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 11350 YOLANDA AVE
NORTHRIIDGE  CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 11350 YOLANDA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91326

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2871003006 / INVOICE NO: BN190000985

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 2, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 25, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts he shouldn't have to pay a fee to appeal and that his son notified the Department when the brush was cleared and doesn't understand why there is an assessed fee.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: AVAGYAN, GAREN G
MAILING ADDRESS: 11318 DULCET AVE
                  NORTHridge CA 91326
SITUS ADDRESS: 11318 DULCET AVE
                LOS ANGELES CA 91326
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 2871019019 / INVOICE NO: BN190000992

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

At the time that the re-inspection notice was provided, the Appellant was not the owner of the parcel at issue and thus did not receive notice sufficient to comply and abate prior to re-inspection. This leaves at issue whether Appellant was afforded proper notice sufficient enough to warrant an assessment of the Noncompliance Fee. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: CORTEZ, ALAN L AND JULIE F
MAILING ADDRESS: 19260 VISTA GRANDE WAY
NORTH RIDGE CA 91326

SITUS ADDRESS: 19260 VISTA GRANDE WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 91326

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2872002017 / INVOICE NO: BN190000997

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 2, 2018 the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: SHIM, MYUNGSUN AND JAY Y
MAILING ADDRESS: 11788 AVENIDA DEL SOL
NORTH RIDGE CA 91326
SITUS ADDRESS: 11788 AVENIDA DEL SOL
LOS ANGELES CA 91326
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2872003012 / INVOICE NO: BN190000999

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they have medical issues and financial hardship, however, no evidence of either were provided.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 2, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 25, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 8

NAME: MELISSA CEPEDA
MAILING ADDRESS: 18506 DYLAN ST
NORTHRIDGE CA 91326 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 18506 DYLAN ST
LOS ANGELES CA 91326
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 2872017021 / INVOICE NO: BN190001003

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as required however at the time that the re-inspection notice was provided, it was sent to former owners leaving at issue whether Appellant was afforded proper notice. When the abatement notice was posted on Appellant’s door, Appellant quickly remedied the cited violation/s. Since Appellant cleared all hazards upon receiving confirmed and proper notice, the noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 67

NAME: JAIN, SACHIN H
MAILING ADDRESS: 08123 ZITOLA TERRACE
PLAYA DEL REY CA 90293
SITUS ADDRESS: 8328 West Cabora Dr
Playa Del Rey Ca 90293
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4115006022 / INVOICE NO: BN190001007

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices of noncompliance after purchasing the property in 2018 and it should be the responsibility of the prior owner.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Appellant offered no verification of ownership transfer and any failure to disclose brush clearance responsibilities is an issue between the buyer and seller.

The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 67

NAME: JAIN, SACHIN H
MAILING ADDRESS: 08123 ZITOLA TERRACE
       PLAYA DEL REY CA 90293
SITUS ADDRESS: 8322 West Cabora Dr
      Playa Del Rey Ca 90293
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4115008001 / INVOICE NO: BN190001008

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he bought the property in April 2018 and was unaware of the first noncompliance notice until he received a notice addressed to the former owner in June 2018. Thereafter, he cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

The Appellant provided sufficient evidence to warrant a waiver of the fees associated with re-inspection.

Total assessment due is $0.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 6, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as required however at the time that the re-inspection notice was provided, it was sent to former owners leaving at issue whether Appellant was afforded proper notice. Since Appellant cleared all hazards upon possession, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee associated with violations cited in 2018.

According to records, the Appellant was not the owner of the parcel at the time of re-inspection and never received notice/s to comply during the 2018 Brush Fire Season. Additionally, records indicate that when the Appellant came into possession, cited violation/s were cured.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: PROMETHEUS TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1323 MORAGA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 1323 MORAGA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4368002023 / INVOICE NO: BN190001046

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they were unfairly being required to clear their neighbor's property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: October 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on:
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

The record reflects that a re-inspection never took place so no fee attached.

Total assessment due is $0.00
NAME: NASRI MOHAMMAD (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 6 CRUISERS BLF
NEWPORT COAST CA 92657
SITUS ADDRESS: 1257 North Casiano Rd
Los Angeles Ca 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4368009055 / INVOICE NO: BN190001052

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they had to wait for a clearance from Urban Forestry Dept to remove protected dead trees on property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: KAMBAKHSH REZAI
MAILING ADDRESS: 935 LINDA FLORA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 935 LINDA FLORA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4368024009 / INVOICE NO: BN190001059

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on July 23, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: DEBORAH POLLACK  
MAILING ADDRESS: 1500 OCEAN PARKWAY APT 4A  
BROOKLYN NY 11230 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1393 CASIANO RD  
LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4368025014  /  INVOICE NO: BN190001062

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts she lives in New York and recently took ownership from her parent’s trust and was unfamiliar with brush clearance. Appellant asserts she has hired someone and the brush has been cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018  
Second Inspection performed on: July 23, 2018  
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts their gardener completed the work in April 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of the initial inspection and re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they paid to clear the area several times during the year and were assured they were in compliance. Additionally, Appellant questions the property information used.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 23, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: December 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed boundary information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: R MICHAEL RICH
MAILING ADDRESS: 322 GREYSTONE RD
EVERGREEN CO 80439
SITUS ADDRESS: 10729 CHALON RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4370002014 / INVOICE NO: BN190001080

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 71

NAME: NOSRATIAN FARSHAD (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 1234 BEL AIR RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: 1234 BEL AIR RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4370029010 / INVOICE NO: BN190001085

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The Compliance Due Date was June 13, 2018. Upon re-inspection on August 27, 2018 the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Substance of Protest

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

Department Information

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on August 27, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts the property was burned during the Getty fire in 2017 and brush came back but has been continually maintained and cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: FEALY JANE C (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 1985 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: 1985 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4377025034 / INVOICE NO: BN190001107

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive the first notice and that people were hired to clear the brush which is now complete.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 1, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: MARC KRIGSMAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 1031 33RD ST
MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 2010 STRADELLA RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4377032007 / INVOICE NO: BN190001109

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts he did not own the property until July 2018 and did not receive the initial notice. Once the Appellant received the second notice, brush was cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 1, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm granting of the appeal. The record shows new ownership was confirmed and re-noticing was necessary. Appellant cleared the brush once notice was received.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37

NAME: JONES, CYNTHIA L TR CYNTHIA L JONES TRUST AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 01420 MORAGA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 1420 MORAGA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4377039001 / INVOICE NO: BN190001112

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they never got a second notice of noncompliance and that they need more specifics as to what to clear as they felt everything was done as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 37

NAME: LADD HOWARD & LARA TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1432 MORAGA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 1432 MORAGA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4377039002 / INVOICE NO: BN190001113

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he has always maintained his property and was surprised to get a notice of noncompliance. Appellant asserts he spoke to a Fire Inspector who confirmed his property was compliant so Appellant doesn't understand the penalty.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: IRON HORSE DEVELOPMENT LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 02365 NALIN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90077

SITUS ADDRESS: 2365 NALIN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4378002033 / INVOICE NO: BN190001115

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: January 10, 2019
Second Inspection performed on:
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
The record reveals that there was no second notice sent to the Appellant so no re-inspection fee applies.
Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 109

NAME: KIM, DAVID E AND JENNIE K
MAILING ADDRESS: 02350 NALIN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
SITUS ADDRESS: 3150 North NALIN DRIVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90077
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4378003026 / INVOICE NO: BN190001116

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Apellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: September 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on November 10, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: FRIEDRICH BARRY R (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 16519 MOORPARK ST
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 2311 North Bushrod Lane
Bel Air Ca 90077
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4380003010 / INVOICE NO: BN190001124

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts her husband is ill and mail went unopened and she is trying to deal opening all correspondence now. Moreover, the brush was cleared by their son and gardener.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: SHAPIRO, ROBERT L TR ROBERT L SHAPIRO TRUST AND SHAPIRO, R TR LINELL SHAPIRO TRST

MAILING ADDRESS: 2950 WALLINGFORD DR
BEVERLY HILLS  CA 90210

SITUS ADDRESS: 2590 WALLINGFORD DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90210

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4382007021 / INVOICE NO: BN190001136

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 15, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they were given extensions to address palm tree debris.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: SONGHORIAN, RAYAN AND JESSICA S
MAILING ADDRESS: 09892 GLOUCESTER DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 9892 GLOUCESTER DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4382033025 / INVOICE NO: BN190001146

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee relating to 2018 violations. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: MA, TENG AND TAYLOR, SHAUN
MAILING ADDRESS: 07801 STORRIE PL NE
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87109
SITUS ADDRESS: 9836 West Portola Dr
Beverly Hills ca 90210
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4383007015 / INVOICE NO: BN190001150

2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts he did not receive the initial notice or second notice as he purchased the property on August 20, 2018 and the seller did not disclose this responsibility to him.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 1, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection. The responsibility rests with the owner of record and Appellant provided no evidence he didn’t own the property when the notices were sent.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: CORDASCO, NICHOLAS III
MAILING ADDRESS: 02038 BENEDICT CANYON DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 2038 BENEDICT CANYON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4383009023 / INVOICE NO: BN190001154

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts his landscaper told him he was in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Only an LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: LEVIN JOHN 2017 TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 9609 OAK PASS RD
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 9695 OAK PASS RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90210
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4384007008 / INVOICE NO: BN190001159

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts that no notices were received and the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 99

NAME: LEVIN JOHN 2017 TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 9609 OAK PASS RD 
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 9669 OAK PASS RD 
LOS ANGELES CA 90210
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4384007014 / INVOICE NO: BN190001160

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts that any debris was demolition related and that all brush was cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108

NAME: JONI MENELY Y LARI  
MAILING ADDRESS: 9637 HIGHRIDGE DR  
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210  
SITUS ADDRESS: 9637 HIGHRIDGE DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90210  
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4384015026 / INVOICE NO: BN190001161

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they paid their gardener for brush clearance and he assured them it was compliant. Appellant also asserts that a portion of their property was previously cleared by adjoining property owner until the property was sold.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018  
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018  
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Only an LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 19, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108

NAME: BISCH, KEVIN R
MAILING ADDRESS: 09600 YOAKUM DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210

SITUS ADDRESS: 9600 YOAKUM DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90210

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4384015031 / INVOICE NO: BN190001162

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they never received notices of noncompliance and that they regularly clear brush.

**DEPARTMENT INFORMATION**

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018  
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018  
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

**PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION**

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 108

NAME: CHANG, DO W AND JIN S TRS CHANG FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 02700 BOWMONT DR
             BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210
SITUS ADDRESS: 2700 BOWMONT DR
             LOS ANGELES CA 90210
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4388012066 / INVOICE NO: BN190001183

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they were unaware of their responsibility to clear brush to the center-line of unimproved streets adjacent to their property and cleared it once they understood the obligation.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Grant the appeal. The Hearing Officer found Appellant's statements to be credible that they were unaware of a little known law and they now understand their obligations going forward. In the interests of justice, the appeal is recommended to be granted.

Total assessment due is $0.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on August 16, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asks for proof of hazards and wants to know who complained about their property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed boundary information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

Hazards were identified by the Fire Inspector. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on August 20, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they hired people to remove the brush and they do it yearly. Additionally, Appellant asserts that they never saw a fire inspector.

**DEPARTMENT INFORMATION**

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2018  
Second Inspection performed on: August 15, 2018  
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

**PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION**

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: SHAVASH SAFVATI
MAILING ADDRESS: 1429 JONESBORO DR
                  LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 1429 JONESBORO DR
               LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4407003008 / INVOICE NO: BN190001213

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the difficulty in getting certain dead trees removed that he feels are on city property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 18, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: JANE FEIL
MAILING ADDRESS: 601 HIGHTREE RD
SANTA MONICA CA 90402

SITUS ADDRESS: 601 HIGHTREE RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90402

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4409025006 / INVOICE NO: BN190001217

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared in 2019 and disputes the property lines used for the inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018, not 2019. The property lines are taken from the County Assessor’s records. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: STEVEN M RICHARDS
MAILING ADDRESS: 501 LATIMER RD
SANTA MONICA CA 90402

SITUS ADDRESS: 501 LATIMER RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90402
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4409026016 / INVOICE NO: BN190001218

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that more time was needed for a tree removal and that the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
NAME: LEVITAS, YETTA M TR YETTA M LEVITAS TRUST AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 00860 S LOS ANGELES ST
                  UNIT 940
                  LOS ANGELES CA 90014
SITUS ADDRESS: 199 CHAUTAUQUA BLVD
                LOS ANGELES CA 90272
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4411028006 / INVOICE NO: BN190001220

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they received no notices and cleared the brush "the first weekend of May."

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: ADRIAN D AND JENNIFER KANAAR
MAILING ADDRESS: 845 LAS LOMAS AVENUE
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 611 RADCLIFFE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90272
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4412014027 / INVOICE NO: BN190001223

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they made multiple attempts to comply and attempted to get clarification from the Fire Inspector as to what more to do but they didn't hear back. Appellant asserts they hired a professional brush clearance company to clear the entire property for compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: FARZIN HALAVY
MAILING ADDRESS: 11040 SANTA MONICA BLVD 400
LOS ANGELES CA 90025
SITUS ADDRESS: 633 RADCLIFFE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90272
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4412014031 / INVOICE NO: BN190001224

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 22, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: HARDY PROPERTY LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 16311 VENTURA BLVD STE 660
ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 741 MUSKINGUM AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90272
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4414001002 / INVOICE NO: BN190001225

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices with the initial notice clearly specifying the work that needed to be done thereby affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on September 21, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on September 17, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property was cleared in April 2019 and that they were unclear from previous notices what they hadn't complied with. Additionally, Appellant questions the property boundaries used.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor's property deed boundary information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts the property was cleared in May 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 5, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: AMMER,MIA TR MIA AMMER TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 00684 BIENVENEDA AVE PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
SITUS ADDRESS: 684 BIENVENEDA AVE LOS ANGELES CA 90272
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4414014017  / INVOICE NO: BN190001232

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the did not receive the second notice of noncompliance and that the brush was cleared when they received notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $\text{432.00}$ Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $\text{432.00}$
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: NANNY ONE LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 3385 OVERLAND AVE 2NDFL
LOS ANGELES CA 90034
SITUS ADDRESS: 17050 W SUNSET BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90272
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4415020001 / INVOICE NO: BN190001238

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they paid landscapers to clear all brush after initial notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. While appellant hired a landscaper to clear the brush, sufficient compliance can only be determined by an LAFD inspector.

The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the landscape service he hired to clear the brush contacted LAFD and showed them pictures of the clearance and had the impression nothing further needed to be done.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The record does not indicate any correspondence or communication from Appellant's landscaper after failing the initial inspection and reinspection, and Appellant did not provide any.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared on a timely basis and they failed to get notifications.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. It is the responsibility of the property owner to make a change of address to the County Assessor. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: CORNELIA GRANATH
MAILING ADDRESS: 639 ENCHANTED WAY
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272

SITUS ADDRESS: 639 ENCHANTED WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4419012048 / INVOICE NO: BN190001259

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he is wrongfully being held liable for the condition of his neighbor's property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: HOOVER R T & A LIVING TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 719 JACON WAY
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
SITUS ADDRESS: 719 JACON WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90272
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4419012058 / INVOICE NO: BN190001260

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive the first notice of noncompliance. Appellant further asserts they regularly clear brush and did so after receiving the second notice of noncompliance. Further, Appellant disputes whether they own the entire property they were held responsible for.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The property boundaries are determined by the County Assessor’s property records. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00              COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: JOHN BIBB
MAILING ADDRESS: 16449 AKRON ST
                PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
SITUS ADDRESS: 16449 AKRON ST
                LOS ANGELES CA 90272
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4420013005 / INVOICE NO: BN190001266

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he cleared the brush and was unclear on what more he needed to do until it was explained to him.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 20, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: JAMES A REA
MAILING ADDRESS: 1050 ENCHANTED WAY
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272
SITUS ADDRESS: 1050 ENCHANTED WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90272
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4420025003 / INVOICE NO: BN190001270

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work required to clear the entirety of parcel 4420025003, was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that the property has been under construction from 2015 to November 2018 and that some brush wasn't accessible for clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their gardener assured them of compliance and upon receiving a Second Noncompliance notice, Appellant made numerous attempts to contact the Fire Inspector for further explanation but received no call back.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Only an LAFD Inspector can determine appliance. The record shows the Inspector revisited the property but property owner was not home. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 69

NAME: WINTHROP, ERIC AND SILVI TRS
MAILING ADDRESS: 1271 RIMMER AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90272 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 1271 RIMMER AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90272

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4422018013 / INVOICE NO: BN190001276

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not own the property when the notices and inspections took place.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. While the Appellant asserts they did not own the property when notice and inspections were conducted, no proof of when ownership changed hands was submitted and the responsibility runs with the land regardless. Any failure of the previous owner to disclose brush clearance responsibilities is between the buyer and seller.

The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he paid to have the property cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: RIVIERA RANCH NO 9 LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 15760 VENTURA BLVD STE 1020 ENCINO CA 91436
SITUS ADDRESS: 1511 OLD OAK RD LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4425025009 / INVOICE NO: BN190001285

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property owner's son had to be evicted, delaying the clearance which was done thereafter.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00                  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: IRAJ RABBANI
MAILING ADDRESS: 13301 WESTCOVE DR
                 LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 13301 WESTCOVE DR
                LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4425027008 / INVOICE NO: BN190001286

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts he cleared the brush and hired a third party to clear it after failing re-inspection. Appellant claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was completed on June 27 and 28, 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: BEHAIN, SORAYA F TR SORAYA F BEHAIN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 753 CLAYMONT DR
                      LOS ANGELES  CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 753 CLAYMONT DR
                   LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4426031022  / INVOICE NO: BN190001295

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they received no notices and that due to poor internet service, the fire alarm goes off intermittently.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: PRIME WEST INC ET AL ELITE WEST LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 148 S BEVERLY DR UNIT 200
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212

SITUS ADDRESS: 400 North GUNSTON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4429033025 / INVOICE NO: BN190001311

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the noncompliance notice should have been sent to his neighbor’s property; Appellant tried to contact LAFD to correct the record.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 13, 2018
Second Inspection performed on:
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: FARASATPOUR, NEGIN TR NEGIN FARASATPOUR TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3445 CONSUELO DR
CALABASAS CA 91302
SITUS ADDRESS: 1265 CALLE DE SEVILLA
LOS ANGELES CA 90272
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4431001001 / INVOICE NO: BN190001314

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they never received the first notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they completed the brush clearance in November 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 23

NAME: PALISADES DRIVE RECREATION ASSOCIATION
MAILING ADDRESS: 1820 PALISADES DRIVE
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L Dead End North Calle De madrid St
Pacific Palasades Ca 90272

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4431027004 / INVOICE NO: BN190001321

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on October 22, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19
NAME: STILES, ROBERT T
MAILING ADDRESS: 13012 SKY VALLEY RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 13012 SKY VALLEY RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4490014001 / INVOICE NO: BN190001328

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on August 23, 2018 the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: SEBEL, ADAM TR
MAILING ADDRESS: 13020 SKY VALLEY ROAD TRUST
SITUS ADDRESS: 13020 SKY VALLEY RD

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4490014002 / INVOICE NO: BN190001329

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on August 23, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the property is to be demolished and the work will be done with the demolition.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: VAUGHAN, PORTER D CO TR VAUGHAN FAMILY TRUST AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 5175
HUNTINGTON BEACH CA 92615

SITUS ADDRESS: 02259 MANDEVILLE CANYON Rd
LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4492004009 / INVOICE NO: BN190001339

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: September 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 28, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on November 28, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: ANOZIRA LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 11693 SAN VICENTE BLVD STE 544
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 2432 BANYAN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4492027008 / INVOICE NO: BN190001341

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no compliance notices and cleared the brush beyond requirements.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 23, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: MAURICE ZEITLIN
MAILING ADDRESS: 1302 EUCLID ST #209
SANTA MONICA CA 90404 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 12515 CLOUD LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4493018024  /  INVOICE NO: BN190001346

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts she now manages the property for her father, who did not receive the notices as his address has changed. Additionally, Appellant asserts she completed the clearance in July 2018 and was told she was in compliance, which changed due to a neighbor complaint that was then rectified.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: EIKO M NOBEL
MAILING ADDRESS: 1221 N TIGERTAIL RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049

SITUS ADDRESS: 1221 North TIGERTAIL RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 4493023022 / INVOICE NO: BN190001352

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on September 24, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: SKYLANE LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 04332 LOUISE AVE
ENCINO CA 91316

SITUS ADDRESS: 12255 SKY LN
LOS ANGELES CA 90049

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4493024022

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts his property is landscaped and any brush is outside his property boundaries. Appellant further asserts he’s tried to contact LAFD to clear up the matter but to no avail.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 19

NAME: KAPCHAN PATSY
MAILING ADDRESS: 875 AUGUSTA DR
MORAGA CA 94556
SITUS ADDRESS: 576 North TIGERTAIL RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90049
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 4494001007 / INVOICE NO: BN190001356

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they did not receive the first or second notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94

NAME: JMDB HOLDINGS AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 00280 S BEVERLY DR STE 312
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212
SITUS ADDRESS: 3831 STOCKER ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90008
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5026001002 / INVOICE NO: BN190001364

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the required work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

On May 26, 2018, the Fire Inspector determined that there were existing violations on Appellant's property. The compliance due date by which all violations were required to be cleared was June 18, 2018. When the Fire Inspector re-inspected on June 21, 2018, the property was still in Noncompliance, at which point the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

NAME: 3849 DON TOMASO LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 00127 N ROBERTSON BLVD
                     BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211
SITUS ADDRESS: 3849 West Don Tomaso Dr
                 Baldwin Hills Ca 90008
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5026002007 / INVOICE NO: BN190001366

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they closed escrow on the property on 10/11/18 and thus received no notices regarding brush clearance requirements. Appellant submitted escrow closing documents showing a closing date of 10/11/18.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Hearing Officer found the testimony and evidence of Appellant to be credible that they were not aware of the notices and failed re-inspections that took place prior to their final purchase of the property. It is recommended that the appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is $0.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on August 2, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94

NAME: JOHN F HILL
MAILING ADDRESS: 4138 DON DIABLO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90008
SITUS ADDRESS: 4138 DON DIABLO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90008
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5031009013 / INVOICE NO: BN190001401

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: July 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on September 11, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts their gardener completed the work as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 94

NAME: DAVIS, ROOSEVELT
MAILING ADDRESS: 4025 DON IBARRA PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90008
SITUS ADDRESS: 4025 DON IBARRA PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90008
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5031011012 / INVOICE NO: BN190001407

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts his property was in compliance and that he was not responsible for clearing areas beyond his fence line.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they became the legal owner of a foreclosed property after two noncompliance notices were sent to the previous owner. Appellant further asserts that the brush was cleared in November 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 31, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

Due diligence on Appellant's part when purchasing the property would have shown a pending lien. Moreover, the fee is for the inspections, not the clearance, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection. Property owners may also be responsible for clearing the nearest half of any unimproved roadways per law.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00   COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: GREEN, GEORGE F AND ELIZABETH B
MAILING ADDRESS: 00012 OAKMONT COTO DE CAZA CA 92679
SITUS ADDRESS: 3105 JOHNSTON ST LOS ANGELES CA 90031
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5206015002 / INVOICE NO: BN190001424

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he did not have the money at the time of the inspections to clear the brush; Appellant further asserts that his wife was in the hospital and his attention was with her.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
NAME: HUYNH, PHILLIPPE AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 12910 SAINT JAMES PL
BALDWIN PARK CA 91706 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 576 East Clifton St
Lincoln Heights Ca 90031
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5206018019 / INVOICE NO: BN190001429

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

At the time that the re-inspection notice was provided, the Appellant was not the owner of the parcel at issue and thus did not receive notice sufficient to comply and abate prior to re-inspection. This leaves at issue whether Appellant was afforded proper notice sufficient enough to warrant an assessment of the Noncompliance Fee. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: MORRIS, GEORGE AND BELLA
MAILING ADDRESS: 02535 THOMAS ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90031

SITUS ADDRESS: 2535 THOMAS ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5208013017 / INVOICE NO: BN190001451

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 2, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00          COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: 906 HOLDING LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 660926
                 ARCADIA CA 91066
SITUS ADDRESS: 2842 SIERRA ST
                LOS ANGELES CA 90031
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5209004007 / INVOICE NO: BN190001484

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 2, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: HYUN B SUH
MAILING ADDRESS: 6737 CATABA RD
OAK HILLS CA 92344
SITUS ADDRESS: 2610 N. SIERRA ST.
LOS ANGELES CA 90031
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5209005002 / INVOICE NO: BN190001489

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they did not receive a second notice and that the work was completed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices as they were on vacation and that they cleared the property at the same time the city was clearing surrounding properties.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that the noncompliance notices went to an address he doesn’t always stay at and that he ultimately cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts he did not own the property in 2018 and thus received no notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be upheld. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. If a change of ownership has occurred, the responsibility for any fees accrues to the owner of record at the time the fee is assessed and any failure of disclosure is an issue between the buyer and seller of the property. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts that he started clearing the property himself but injured his shoulder. Appellant also asserts his shoulder injury prevented him from finishing prior to his second due date.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

Appellant provided no proof of injury and had the option to hire someone to complete the work prior to the due date. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: GONZALEZ, LILIA AND SANTIAGO
MAILING ADDRESS: 16921 LOUKELTON ST
                   LA PUENTE CA 91744
SITUS ADDRESS: 2501 North Mallard St
               El Sereno Ca 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5209028007 / INVOICE NO: BN190001549

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they were victims of title fraud and provided court case and resolution documents as evidence. Appellant also asserts the fraud resulted in all notices going to the party committing the fraud so that they were unaware of any clearance requirements. Appellant has since had notices directed to their address.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The Hearing Officer found Appellant's testimony and evidence to be credible that they were victims of title fraud and were unaware of any brush clearance requirements. Notices are now being sent to the Appellant's address and they have met current requirements.

Total assessment due is $0.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection. Property owners may also be responsible for clearing the nearest half of any unimproved roadways per law.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: PHAN, TAI K
MAILING ADDRESS: 02002 THAYER AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90025

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L West of 2827 Beryl St
Los Angeles Ca 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5209034024 / INVOICE NO: BN190001553

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the post office failed to forward his mail and that the property was cleared as required when he finally received his mail.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Substance of Protest

Appellant asserts he cleared the brush as he does every year and that a portion of the area scheduled does not belong to him.

Department Information

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CHAVEZ PEDRO
MAILING ADDRESS: 726 RAMA DRIVE
LA PUENTE CA 91746 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 3609 North Randolph Av
El Sereno Ca 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5213007006 / INVOICE NO: BN190001560

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he cleared the brush as required and attempted to contact the LAFD to see what else needed clearing after the property failed re-inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee. The Appellant asserts that they did not own the parcel during the inspection and were not made aware of the outstanding violations.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: November 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Appellant provided no proof of purchase to support their claim that they never received notice. The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on November 27, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CANON EQUITY PARTNERS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 08950 W OLYMPIC BLVD STE 121
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211

SITUS ADDRESS: 4795 East Hillsdale Dr
El Sereno Ca 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5213007008 / INVOICE NO: BN190001562

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they did not receive the notices as they purchased the property in September 2019 and they completed the work thereafter.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on September 27, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CANON EQUITY PARTNERS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 08950 W OLYMPIC BLVD STE 121
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211
SITUS ADDRESS: 3648 HARRIMAN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5213007032 / INVOICE NO: BN190001567

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they received no notices because they purchased the property in September 2019 and cleared the property thereafter.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CANON EQUITY PARTNERS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 08950 W OLYMPIC BLVD
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211

SITUS ADDRESS: 3652 HARRIMAN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5213007033 / INVOICE NO: BN190001568

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices as they purchased the property in September 2019 and cleared the property thereafter.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE:  August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME:  MARCELA MONTES
MAILING ADDRESS:  4456 VERDEMOU AVE
                  LOS ANGELES CA 90032

SITUS ADDRESS:  4452 East Verdemour Av
                 El Sereno Ca 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO:  5213025019  /  INVOICE NO:  BN190001583

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on:  May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on:  June 14, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for Noncompliance Fee.

Upon re-inspection on June 14, 2018, the Inspector noted that the cited violation/s remained uncured however in the absence of photographic proof there is insufficient evidence provided by the Fire Department to support the assessment of the Noncompliance Fee.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CANDELARIA, ROBERT R AND CARMEN C
MAILING ADDRESS: 04609 ZANE ST  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: 4609 ZANE ST  
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5213033008 / INVOICE NO: BN190001585

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts she received no notices and that she sent in her $28 for the initial inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: GRANDE, AMANDA TR GRANDE FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 4318 BEAGLE ST
                  LOS ANGELES, CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS:  4318 BEAGLE ST
                  LOS ANGELES, CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5214005030  /  INVOICE NO: BN190001603

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that clearance was delayed due to an eagle's next in the dead tree to be removed and that her husband became ill and later passed away.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed assessment against your property has been confirmed in the amount as set forth in the notice. The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliant at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The property failed the initial inspection and re-inspections, requiring the City to contract with a vendor for brush clearance. The Fire Inspector and contractor provided photographs showing the conditions before, during and after the clearance. The assessment is for the cost of the brush clearance as bid by the City’s vendor and the administration fees for processing and inspections.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts he did not receive notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: SANCHEZ, RODOLFO
MAILING ADDRESS: 20948 SHEARER AVE
                CARSON CA 90745
SITUS ADDRESS:  4434 East OTERO DR
                LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5214010019 / INVOICE NO: BN190001615

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest for Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse assessment for the Noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. Notice of Noncompliance was sent to the previous owner of the parcel and the Appellant was not made aware of his noncompliance until after the date of the second inspection.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: SANCHEZ, RODOLFO

MAILING ADDRESS: 20948 SHEARER AVE
CARSON CA 90745

SITUS ADDRESS: 4447 East OTERO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5214010020 / INVOICE NO: BN190001616

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse assessment for the Noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice.

Notice of Noncompliance was sent to the previous owner of the parcel and the Appellant was not made aware of his noncompliance until after the date of the second inspection.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: TEKLETSION, TESHOME CO TR TEKLETSION AND AKLILE TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 17722 THORNLAKE AVE
ARTESIA CA 90701
SITUS ADDRESS: 4446 East Otero Dr
El Sereno Ca 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5214010022 / INVOICE NO: BN190001618

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he bought the property at auction and could not confirm the boundaries thereafter until a survey was done, which prevented him from clearing it at the time.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: BUSTAMANTE, LUIS R AND CASTELAN, MARIA F
MAILING ADDRESS: 01029 S FRESNO ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90023
SITUS ADDRESS: 4532 East Carter Dr
El Sereno Ca 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5214013004 / INVOICE NO: BN190001625

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they cleared the brush by hand and thought they were in compliance and claims financial hardship.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on May 28, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16

NAME: TAPIA, VERONICA
MAILING ADDRESS: 02446 KINGS PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: 2446 KINGS PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5216007038 / INVOICE NO: BN190001641

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018. Appellant asserts they did not own parcel 5216007038 at the time that violations were cited.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

At the time that initial notice was provided, the Appellant was not the owner of the parcel at issue and thus did not receive notice sufficient to comply and abate prior to re-inspection. This leaves at issue whether Appellant was afforded proper notice sufficient enough to warrant an assessment of the Noncompliance Fee. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he paid to have the brush cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16

NAME: CARE FOR ENVIRONMENT GREEN SOURCE CONSTRUCTIONS INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 02420 DESIRE AVE
ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748
SITUS ADDRESS: 4953 E. DARTMOUTH AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5216018029 / INVOICE NO: BN190001652

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Hearing Date: August 9, 2019 08:00

Council District: FS 16

Name: YE, Jeff J

Mailing Address: 11230 Arrowood St
Arcadia CA 91006

Situs Address: 4973 East Dartmouth Av
El Sereno Ca 90032

Assessor’s ID No: 5216018033 / Invoice No: BN190001655

Substance of Protest

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush.

Department Information

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

NAME: YE, JEFF J
MAILING ADDRESS: 11230 ARROWOOD ST
ARCADIA CA 91006

SITUS ADDRESS: 4983 E. DARTMOUTH AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5216018035 / INVOICE NO: BN190001657

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16

NAME: YE, JEFF J
MAILING ADDRESS: 11230 ARROWOOD ST
ARCADIA CA 91006

SITUS ADDRESS: 4987 East DARTMOUTH AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5216018036 / INVOICE NO: BN190001658

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Hearing Date: August 9, 2019 08:00
Council District: FS 16

Name: Dillon, Joe and Tonya
Mailing Address: 02361 Belleglade Ave
Los Angeles CA 90032
Situs Address: 4993 East Dartmouth Av
El Sereno Ca 90032
Assessor's ID No: 5216018037 / Invoice No: BN190001659

Substance of Protest

Appellant asserts they were initially unaware of the brush clearance requirements because they purchased the property on June 18, 2018, which was confirmed by property records. Appellant asserts that they cleared the property after learning of the noncompliance status.

Department Information

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

The Hearing Officer found the testimony of Appellant to be credible that they weren't aware of brush clearance requirements as they purchased the property on June 18, 2018 and thereafter cleared the brush as required. Recommend that the appeal be granted.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16

NAME: WU, TO NING
MAILING ADDRESS: 02722 N CHADWICK CIR
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: 2722 North CHADWICK CIR
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5217003018 / INVOICE NO: BN190001666

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee for 2018. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 24, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16

NAME: MOTHERSHED, ROBERT I JR
MAILING ADDRESS: 00409 S WOODS AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90022
SITUS ADDRESS: 2748 North ADKINS AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5217016008 / INVOICE NO: BN190001683

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

Appellant provided sufficient evidence that substantial efforts were made on their part, in January 2018, to update their address in the system leaving nearly six months for the records to be updated and yet the Compliance Notices were still sent to an old address. Accordingly, the noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16

NAME: MOTHERSHE, ROBERT I JR
MAILING ADDRESS: 00409 S WOODS AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90022
SITUS ADDRESS: 2831 North Round Dr
El Sereno Ca 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5217016009 / INVOICE NO: BN190001684

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no notices and changes to the County Assessor's property data lags by 5.5 months.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection. Property owners may also be responsible for clearing the nearest half of any unimproved roadways per law.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they were unaware of the noncompliance notices because they hadn't visited their mailing address recently; once they learned of noncompliance, they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. Appellant did not provide a legally valid reason to grant the appeal. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: BALYAN, ANAHIT

MAILING ADDRESS: 00111 TYLER ST
UNIT 2 GLENDALE CA 91205

SITUS ADDRESS: 3113 North Chadwick Dr
El Sereno Ca 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5218005047 / INVOICE NO: BN190001690

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was
returned.

The Compliance Due Date was June 13, 2018. Upon re-inspection on June 16, 2018, the cited violation/s
remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in
noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16

NAME: BLUESKY USA LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 112 N CHANDLER AVE STE 201
MONTEREY PARK CA 91754
SITUS ADDRESS: 2220 North LAFLER RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5221028013 / INVOICE NO: BN190001698

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant appeals the charge of a fee because they cleared the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Substance of Protest

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush and should not be charged a fee.

Department Information

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
ately asserts they cleared the brush and appeal the imposition of the fee.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00                 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 16
NAME: PALOMO ORLANDO JR AND IRADORA
MAILING ADDRESS: 1843 LANSDOWNE AVE
                 LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: 1843 LANSDOWNE AVE
               LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5223027006 / INVOICE NO: BN190001714

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 19, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT:

NAME: CROCKETT,LINDA
MAILING ADDRESS: 04344 LATONA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90031
SITUS ADDRESS: 4147 VIA MARISOL
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5302012030 / INVOICE NO: BN190001720

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on:
Second Inspection performed on:
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was
returned.

Upon re-inspection on August 21, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken
on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in
noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: 9DEVELOPMENT INC
MAILING ADDRESS: 00912 WYNNEWOOD DR
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765

SITUS ADDRESS: 3952 North Elderbank Dr
Lincoln Heights CA 90031

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5303013040 / INVOICE NO: BN190001733

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 19, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 2725 VIA VICTORIA
                PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274
SITUS ADDRESS: 4210 North Montecito Dr
                Lincoln Heights Ca 90031
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5304002025 / INVOICE NO: BN190001734

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that he did not receive notices regarding brush clearance noncompliance; however, the brush was cleared in May 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant asserts the property was cleared in May 2019, the notices and inspections were conducted regarding brush clearance requirements in 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attached when the re-inspection showed to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 2725 VIA VICTORIA
PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274

SITUS ADDRESS: 4214 N. MONTECITO DR.
LOS ANGELES CA 90031

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5304002026 / INVOICE NO: BN190001735

2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that he did not receive notices regarding brush clearance noncompliance, however, the brush was cleared in May 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant asserts the property was cleared in May 2019, the notices and inspections were conducted regarding brush clearance requirements for 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts he did not receive notices and that the brush was cleared in May 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant cleared the brush in May 2019, the failed inspections and assessment happened in 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: FARHAD EHTESSABIAN, CO TR ET AL
MAILING ADDRESS: 2725 VIA VICTORIA
                PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4223 North MONTECITO DR
                LOS ANGELES CA 90031
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5304003018 / INVOICE NO: BN190001739

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 29, 2018 the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed
the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The was fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 1

NAME: EHTESSABIAN FARHAD (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 2725 VIA VICTORIA
PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274
SITUS ADDRESS: 4219 North MONTECITO DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90031
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5304003019 / INVOICE NO: BN190001740

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive notices and that the brush was cleared in May 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant asserts the brush clearance was done in May 2019, the re-inspections and noncompliance were for 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 12, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CIPRIANO DELGADO
MAILING ADDRESS: 3523 MONTEREY RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: 3523 MONTEREY RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5305005015
INVOICE NO: BN190001753

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims financial hardship after she lost her job and her husband died.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 14, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the non-compliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

While Appellant claims financial hardship, no supporting documentation was provided proving such hardship.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on August 9, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: CINA, JOHN
MAILING ADDRESS: 00859 DOMINGO DR
SAN GABRIEL CA 91775

SITUS ADDRESS: 4013 North Harriman Av
El Sereno Ca 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5306004014 / INVOICE NO: BN190001792

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserted he waited for the rains to stop to complete his clearance of the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: BABILONIA CONSTANCIO (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 13889 VALLEY VISTA BLVD
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423

SITUS ADDRESS: 4012 North Randolph Av
El Sereno Ca 90032

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5306008010 / INVOICE NO: BN190001803

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts brush was cleared as required prior to deadline.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 12, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: BABILONIA CONSTANCIO (TE)
MAILING ADDRESS: 13889 VALLEY VISTA BLVD
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423

SITUS ADDRESS: 4006 North Randolph Av
El Sereno Ca 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5306008011 / INVOICE NO: BN190001804

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts his brush was properly cleared by 5/15/2018 and provided undated photographs as proof.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

In this case, while Appellant asserts his brush was cleared by May 15, 2018, the initial inspection for noncompliance took place on May 8, 2018. The property then failed re-inspection on June 3, 2018. Only an LAFD Inspector can determine whether a property is in compliance and this one was determined not to be at the time of re-inspection.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts his property is near a controversial area and his efforts to clear his property were stopped under protest of his neighbors and the local city council office and resumed months later.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

Appellant offered no evidence that supported their assertion.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE:  September 13, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME:  SECURITY FIRST CORPORATION
MAILING ADDRESS:  05524 RICH HILL WY
                  YORBA LINDA CA 92886
SITUS ADDRESS:  4007 North Randolph Av
                El Sereno Ca 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO:  5306008038 / INVOICE NO: BN190001813

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they attempted to clear the property but neighbors and the local city council office protested and so Appellant resumed clearance after several months.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no meaningful evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 24, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: SECURITY FIRST CORP
MAILING ADDRESS: 05524 RICH HILL WAY
YORBA LINDA CA 92886

SITUS ADDRESS: 4013 North Randolph Av
El Sereno Ca 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5306008039 / INVOICE NO: BN190001814

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they attempted to clear the property but were delayed after neighbors and the local city council office objected. Appellant waited several months before resuming the work.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no meaningful evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: EUGENE BRESENDEN
MAILING ADDRESS: 7627 BRIGHT AVE
WHITTIER CA 90602

SITUS ADDRESS: 3936 North PUEBLO AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5307002005 / INVOICE NO: BN190001826

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared on May 14th and 15th, 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant asserts the property was cleared in May 2019, the notices and inspections were conducted regarding brush clearance requirements in 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 8, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: MARES,MARTINIANO
MAILING ADDRESS: 01206 W HOUSTON AVE
FULLERTON CA 92833
SITUS ADDRESS: 4333 North Portola Av
El Sereno Ca 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5307005025 / INVOICE NO: BN190001833

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they hired someone to clear the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 12, 2019 08:00
NAME: DAVID MALDONADO
MAILING ADDRESS: 4035 PORTOLA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: 4035 PORTOLA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5307009020 / INVOICE NO: BN190001837

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they attempted to clarify what needed to be cleared with the LAFD and that once they did clear their property, it was their understanding that no penalties would be assessed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: HAN, ANDY LUU AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 03914 TAMPCO AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: 3914 TAMPCO AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5307010019 / INVOICE NO: BN190001839

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they constantly maintain the brush and questions whether the Inspector looked at the right property as the adjoining property is vacant with tall grass.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The Inspector relies on the property records of the County Assessor's office. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47
NAME: ROCIO C ROJAS
MAILING ADDRESS: 4042 BARRETT RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: 4042 BARRETT RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5309002021 / INVOICE NO: BN190001843

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts he hired a gardener to clear the brush and believes part of the area he was assessed for belongs to his neighbor’s property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: SYLVANNA CANCINO
MAILING ADDRESS: 4165 West BARRETT ROAD
LOS ANGELES CA 90032 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4165 BARRETT RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5309005035 / INVOICE NO: BN190001846

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts she received no notices as they had been going to her husband’s business address.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: PASCOE, JAMES P CO TR PASCOE FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 1970 CHEREMOYA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L North of 4472 North Richard Dr
El Sereno Ca 90032

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5309012039 / INVOICE NO: BN190001860

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 47

NAME: GAETA, ROBERTO V
MAILING ADDRESS: 01517 E GARFIELD AVE APT 25
GLENDALE CA 91205

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N. of 5317 E. ATLAS ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5309012044 / INVOICE NO: BN190001861

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances and determined the property was non compliant. The first notice of noncompliance was sent to the incorrect address. This leaves at issue whether Appellant was afforded proper notice. When the Inspector returned for re-inspection, notice was posted on Appellant’s mailbox. Upon receipt of proper notice, Appellant quickly remedied the cited violation/s. Since notice was delayed and Appellant cleared all hazards upon receiving confirmed and proper notice, the noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts an extreme medical condition and provided a note from his doctor stating he is 96 years old and is too frail to complete the work. Appellant asserts his son completed the work after the second re-inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

In this case, while the Appellant provided a note from his doctor that he is too old to complete the work himself, he has the option to hire help. Ultimately, his son performed the work, but after failing the re-inspection. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: TYRRELL, KEVIN J AND KAREN D
MAILING ADDRESS: 06018 N OAK HILL AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
SITUS ADDRESS: 6018 North OAK HILL AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5312019006 / INVOICE NO: BN190001866

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The Fire Inspector provided photographic evidence revealing that upon re-inspection on June 23, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: PETER J REYES
MAILING ADDRESS: 1502 N BOYLSTON ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90012
SITUS ADDRESS: 1502 North BOYLSTON ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90012
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5415019019 / INVOICE NO: BN190001874

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 23, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the \$432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment of Noncompliance Fee for 2018. During the time of inspection, the Appellant was undergoing medical treatments for cancer and heart disease. Due to these extraordinary circumstances, the fee assessed for 2018 is waived.

Total assessment due is \$0.00
Hearing Date: September 13, 2019 08:00
Council District: FS 20

Name: David B Whitelaw
Mailing Address: 1649 Sargent Pl
Los Angeles CA 90026
Situs Address: 1649 Sargent Pl
Los Angeles CA 90026
Assessor’s ID No: 5419011007 / Invoice No: BN190001878

Substance of Protest

Appellant asserts his gardener cleared the property and told him it was in compliance and that he didn’t see the second notice of noncompliance.

Department Information

First inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Second inspection performed on: July 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no meaningful evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: RIVER, REINE
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 801
ACTON CA 93510
SITUS ADDRESS: 1721 LUCRETIA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5420029007 / INVOICE NO: BN190001891

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 13, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: PETERS, FRANK S AND MUSGRAVE, MARJORY M AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 01830 ECHO PARK AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 1830 ECHO PARK AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5420030028 / INVOICE NO: BN190001893

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they live in Colorado and did not receive the brush clearance notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection. It is the responsibility of the property owner to notify the County Assessor of any address change.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: ARNOLD, HOPE
MAILING ADDRESS: 02376 LOMA VISTA PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L NEXT TO 2376 LOMA VISTA PL.,
LOS ANGELES, CA 90039
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5422011006 / INVOICE NO: BN190001911

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they cleared their brush as required prior to the deadline.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At
the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally
required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to
notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map
information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at
zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements
for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the
inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 19, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: SPEER GILBERT C TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 5395 SOMERSET ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90032
SITUS ADDRESS: 2265 FAIR OAK VIEW TER
LOS ANGELES CA 90039 3654
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5422012010 / INVOICE NO: BN190002706

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 21, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

On May 29, 2018, the fire Inspector inspected and found the property in violation. Upon re-inspection on June 21, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00

NAME: LESSEOS, MIMI D
MAILING ADDRESS: 02484 VISTA DEL MONTE DR
ACTON CA 93510

SITUS ADDRESS: 2266 COVE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90039

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5422015008 / INVOICE NO: BN190001916

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he complied and passed the brush clearance requirements and that he did not get a second notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 22, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

An initial inspection and two reinspections were conducted on the property. No mailings were returned. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: SANTIAGO, PHILIP E AND
MAILING ADDRESS: 02968 VETERAN AVE
                  LOS ANGELES CA 90064
SITUS ADDRESS: 2263 West Aaron St
                Echo Park Ca 90026
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5423006003 / INVOICE NO: BN190001920

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: July 24, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 31, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on August 31, 2018, the cited violation remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: SANTIAGO, PHILIP E AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 02257 AARON ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 2257 AARON ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5423006006 / INVOICE NO: BN190001921

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on May 28, 2018, the cited violation for this particular parcel (brush), remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Hearing date: August 9, 2019 08:00

Council district: FS 20

Name: KTT INVESTMENTS LLC

Mailing address: 00028 N 3RD ST # B203
ALHAMBRA CA 91801

Situs address: V/L AT 2244 AARON ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026

Assessor's ID no: 5423007003 / Invoice no: BN190001923

Substance of protest

Appellant asserts they do their best to keep the brush cleared but need more time and better notices going forward.

Department information

First inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second inspection performed on: July 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed decision and recommendation

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: SMALDINO, DEANNA S TR SEELY FAMILY DECD TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 10200 DONNA AVE
NORTHRIDGE CA 91324

SITUS ADDRESS: 1606 North BENTON WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5423014015 / INVOICE NO: BN190001928

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts that she had her gardener clear the brush in May 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant asserts the property was cleared in May 2019, the notices and inspections were conducted regarding brush clearance requirements for 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on May 28, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: CLARENCE PETRIE
MAILING ADDRESS: 10521 EASTER HILL DR
                   SANTA ANA CA 92705

SITUS ADDRESS: 1766 N. SILVERWOOD TER
                LOS ANGELES  CA 90026

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5423018009  /  INVOICE NO: BN190001930

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts his laborer injured himself while clearing the brush but completed it on May 9, 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant asserts the work was complete on May 9, 2019, the initial inspections and subsequent failed inspections occurred on May 30, 2018, September 6, 2018 and a final inspection on January 8, 2019. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: BOK, JONATHAN A TR JONATHAN A BOK TRUST AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 01825 SILVERWOOD TER
LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 1825 SILVERWOOD TER
LOS ANGELES CA 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5423019003 / INVOICE NO: BN190001931

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts work was completed prior to fail notice and that work was completed on April 21, 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant completed the work in 2019, the notices and charges are related work required for 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: DANAS, GLENN A
MAILING ADDRESS: 02404 GRIFFITH PARK BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90039

SITUS ADDRESS: 2404 GRIFFITH PARK BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90039

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5432005012 / INVOICE NO: BN190001963

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they were on their honeymoon and did not receive the first notice and that brush was later cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: TIIU P HARUNK
MAILING ADDRESS: 2207 MICHELTORENA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: 2207 MICHELTORENA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5432025013 / INVOICE NO: BN190001965

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector
determined that the required work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

On June 4, 2018, the Fire Inspector determined that there were existing violations on Appellant's property. The
compliance due date by which all violations were required to be cleared was June 27, 2018. When the Fire
Inspector re-inspected on July 3, 2018, the property was still in noncompliance, at which point the fee
automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts a lot line adjustment was made in the 1970's and they should not be responsible for clearing the adjacent land.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. As to the lot line adjustment, the Inspector's photographs and report conforms to the survey provided by Appellant. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: BOYER OF UNRUH FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2311 HYPERION AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 2311 HYPERION AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5433017003 / INVOICE NO: BN190001971

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts work was completed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: LILIANE D FRANKS
MAILING ADDRESS: 2300 CLAREMONT AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 2300 CLAREMONT AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5433017029 / INVOICE NO: BN190001973

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant Protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on July 10, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was completed and she was unaware she needed to trim a tree overhanging her property because it belonged to her neighbor.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he is now the trustee for an older woman who owns the property. Appellant asserts that before he became trustee, the older woman cleared the brush as required but could not say when.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: KARAMANUKYAN, GEVORK D CO TR KARAMANUKYAN TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 3284 LOWRY RD
                LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 3284 LOWRY RD
                LOS ANGELES CA 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5434001005 / INVOICE NO: BN190001978

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts she was ill for the month of October 2018, which prevented her from hiring a gardener, who completed the work in November 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant asserts a medical condition in October 2018 prevented her from hiring someone to remove the hazardous brush until November 2018, Appellant failed the initial inspection and re-inspection in November 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

CONFIRM THE ASSESSMENT FOR NONCOMPLIANCE FEE

At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 17, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Name: YOSHIMURA BETTY M TRUST
Mailing Address: 2419 EARL ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
Situs Address: 2419 EARL ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
Assessor's ID No: 5439002005 / Invoice No: BN190001996

Substance of Protest

Appellant asserts that clearance was done in May 2019.

Department Information

First Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant asserts the property was cleared in May 2019, the notices and inspections were conducted regarding brush clearance requirements for 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: YOUNUS I LALA
MAILING ADDRESS: 1529 GRANDVIEW AVE
GLENDALE CA 91201 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L 2517 N. GLENDALE BL.
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90039
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5439007024 / INVOICE NO: BN190001998

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was
returned.

Upon re-inspection on August 30, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector
viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: JULIANA, FARAH
MAILING ADDRESS: 02535 IVAN HILL TER
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
SITUS ADDRESS: 2535 IVAN HILL TER
LOS ANGELES CA 90039
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5439008026 / INVOICE NO: BN190001999

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as required however at the time that
the re-inspection notice was provided, it was sent to former owners leaving at issue whether Appellant was
afforded proper notice. Additionally, Appellant was not in possession of the property until October 2018 at
which point all violations were remedied. Since Appellant cleared all hazards upon possession, the
noncompliance fee assess for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: DALZELL, DAVID V AND PLOCHERE, MICHELLE

MAILING ADDRESS: 02312 LEMOYNE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026

SITUS ADDRESS: 2312 LEMOYNE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443007010 / INVOICE NO: BN190002032

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Appellant and Fire Inspector determined that the property originally cited as being in violation belonged to LADWP and not Appellant. Despite not being the owner of the violating parcel, the Appellant cleared the land after conversation with the Fire Inspector. The noncompliance fee for 2018 is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
Appellant asserts his property is being unfairly targeted and that he made efforts to clear the property and asked for extensions before the LAFD contracted to clear it but was denied an extension.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 20

NAME: David Chaney
MAILING ADDRESS: 2454 valentine street
Los Angeles CA 90026 United States
SITUS ADDRESS: 1560 West Landa St
Lincoln Heights Ca 90031
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443022008 / INVOICE NO: BN190002041

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on
the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in
noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: KWONG KA KUI
MAILING ADDRESS: 2576 1/2 TURNBULL CANYON RD
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L Dead End North Modjeska st
Atwater Village Ca 90039
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5443030027 / INVOICE NO: BN190002052

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was
returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on
the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in
noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: KWONG KA KUI
MAILING ADDRESS: 2576 1/2 TURNBULL CANYON RD
                  HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L Dead End North Modjeska st
                Atwater Village Ca 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443030028 / INVOICE NO: BN190002053

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was
returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on
the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection it was still in
noncompliance. The fee was automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: KWONG KA KUI
MAILING ADDRESS: 2576 1/2 TURNBULL CANYON RD
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745
SITUS ADDRESS: 1916 West Modjeska st
Atwater Village Ca 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443030029 / INVOICE NO: BN190002054

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: KWONG KA KUI
MAILING ADDRESS: 2576 1/2 TURNBULL CANYON RD
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L Dead End North Modjeska st
Atwater Village Ca 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443030031 / INVOICE NO: BN190002056

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: KWONG KA KUI
MAILING ADDRESS: 2576 1/2 TURNBULL CANYON RD
                  HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L Dead End North Modjeska/Peru st
                Atwater Village Ca 90039
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443030032 / INVOICE NO: BN190002057

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was
returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on
the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was
still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: GIGUERE, DAN C
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 448
LITTLEROCK CA 93543

SITUS ADDRESS: 19395 West ROSEBUD AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 9003
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5443034027 / INVOICE NO: BN190002065

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on
the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was
still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 19, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00        COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: SOLAMO, TEOFILO L AND MARCELINA F
MAILING ADDRESS: 03406 GLENALBYN DR
                 LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 3400 GLENALBYN DR
               LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5452010010 / INVOICE NO: BN190002097

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they did not receive the first notice of noncompliance and doesn't understand what was out of compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 24, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 19, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claimed in appeal form that they did not own the property in 2018 and that they received no notices regarding clearance noncompliance. In an attached letter, however, Appellant asserted that they owned the property for many years and paid their landscaper $2000 to keep it clear in 2018 and they didn’t want to pay more on top of that.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 12, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: LIU, JOSEPH
MAILING ADDRESS: 08316 GARIBALDI AVE
                    SAN GABRIEL CA 91775
SITUS ADDRESS: 3581 North Glenalbyn Dr
                Glassell Park Ca 90065
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5452018001 / INVOICE NO: BN190002109

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant claims in his appeal form that they did not own the property in 2018 and received no noncompliance notices. In an attached letter, however, Appellant claims he has owned the property for several years and pays his landscaper annually to keep it cleared and does not want to pay additional money for penalties.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: SHEN, MIKE G

MAILING ADDRESS: 00718 LEYLAND DR
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765

SITUS ADDRESS: 1732 North KEMPER ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5455011002 / INVOICE NO: BN190002122

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he did not receive the annual brush clearance notices.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No returned mail on record.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: WHITE, ROBIN
MAILING ADDRESS: 09557 NADINE ST
                  TEMPLE CITY CA 91780
SITUS ADDRESS: 3031 East Tatum St
                Glassell Park Ca 90065
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5455013027 / INVOICE NO: BN190002123

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they received no notices and that they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Hearing Date: August 9, 2019 08:00

Council District: FS 50

Name: TAI LI REALTY INC

Mailing Address: 1839 ALDER DRIVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065 USA

Situs Address: 2287 CAZADOR DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

Assessor's ID No: 5456006010 / Invoice No: BN190002126

Substance of Protest
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

Department Information
First Inspection performed on: September 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as required however at the time that the re-inspection notice was provided, it was sent to former owners leaving at issue whether Appellant was afforded proper notice and due process. Once Appellant received notice, the cited violation/s were cured. Since Appellant cleared all hazards upon receiving proper notice, the noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: C/O ZENAIDA MANALANG EXEC
MAILING ADDRESS: 8275 BELLA VISTA DRIVE
RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91701 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 2236 CARLYLE PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5456007027 / INVOICE NO: BN190002127

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive notices of noncompliance as they did not take ownership of the property until May 31, 2018. Appellant provided escrow documents to support their appeal.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appeal is recommended to be granted as owner could not have reasonably known of their noncompliance and did not take possession of the property until after the second inspection.

Total assessment due is $0.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, at which point the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: KTM RE HOLDINGS LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 07123 MACAPA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 3152 N. VERDUGO PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5456009029 / INVOICE NO: BN190002130

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 4, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, at which point the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required and the noncompliance notice was in error because some items to be cleared were on a neighboring property and not his.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they received several bills for $28 and that the cost for the initial inspection was promptly paid. The record shows a failed inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 25, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 7, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00       COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: EL JSL LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 01220 W 7TH ST
                 POMONA CA 91766

SITUS ADDRESS: 3565 North The Paseo dr
                Glassell Park Ca 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5460017013 / INVOICE NO: BN190002170

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 7, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: RUBIO, RICHARD AND AMANDA
MAILING ADDRESS: 3578 CANADA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L East of 2603 West Crestmoore pl
Glassell Park Ca 90065

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5460019032 / INVOICE NO: BN190002176

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they did not receive a first notice of noncompliance and that they were unaware of their responsibility to clear a portion of the adjacent unimproved roadway.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: TATEVOSYAN, ANDRANIK
MAILING ADDRESS: 01112 ADAMS ST APT 3
GLENDALE CA 91205 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 3808 North BARRYKNOLL DR.
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5460023021 / INVOICE NO: BN190002186

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: November 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: December 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on December 4, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: LAWRENCE, DAVID D
MAILING ADDRESS: 03606 PARRISH AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 3606 East PARRISH AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5462009008 / INVOICE NO: BN190002187

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 5, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 12, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 50

NAME: CURTIS, MICHAEL J AND HACIOGULLARI, ERDI AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 03604 E PARRISH AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 3604 East PARRISH AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5462009023 / INVOICE NO: BN190002192

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they took too long to hire a landscaper and none were able to perform the work before the deadline.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 11, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 5, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

In this case, the fact that Appellant waited to hire a landscaper and none were available to complete the work before the deadline is not a legally valid reason to waive the fees for re-inspection.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: EDWIN MENASAKA
MAILING ADDRESS: 4151 SEA VIEW AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 4151 SEA VIEW AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5464007008 / INVOICE NO: BN190002217

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts he received no notices; the property was cleared and they've never had a problem.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they received no notices and that the brush was cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: FRONTEC PREMIER LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 3781 GLENALBYN DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 613 FRONTENAC AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5465008029 / INVOICE NO: BN190002226

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they only live on the property part time and were not present when the notices were sent and work required to be done. The record shows a failed re-inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: Sandoval, Alejandro
MAILING ADDRESS: 00437 Canyon Vista Dr
Los Angeles CA 90065

SITUS ADDRESS: 443 East Frontenac Ave
Los Angeles CA 90065

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5465010030 / INVOICE NO: BN190002229

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the tree removed which caused the failed re-inspection was not on his property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant's testimony and evidence is found to be credible; Appeal granted.

Total assessment due is $0.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Upon re-inspection on June 27, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: SMITH, JORDAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 01115 W SUNSET BLVD UNIT 612
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L 4337 East Glenmuir Av
Mount Washington Ca 90065

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5465011008 / INVOICE NO: BN190002232

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no notices as he purchased the property in May 2018 and was traveling thereafter and was unaware of brush clearance requirements.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be upheld. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. If a change of ownership has occurred, the responsibility for any fees accrues to the owner of record at the time the fee is assessed and any failure of disclosure is an issue between the buyer and seller of the property. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts it was difficult to find a gardener to do the work and that he and his brothers were about to do the work right before the Inspector came for re-inspection but before the work was completed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 28, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

It is the responsibility of the property owner to clear hazardous brush from their property. In this case, no work was done prior to the re-inspection. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the work was incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection at which point the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was completed in March 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant completed the work in 2019, the notices and charges are related work required for 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the required work was completed in March 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant completed the work in 2019, the notices and charges are related work required for 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts the work was completed in March 2019.

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: CHEN, YING CHIEN AND YANG, HUEI TZU AND

MAILING ADDRESS: 00829 W ASHIYA RD
MONTEBELLO CA 90640

SITUS ADDRESS: 524 N. RUSTIC DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5466013029 / INVOICE NO: BN190002248

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the work was completed in March 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 29, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

While Appellant completed the work in 2019, the notices and charges are related work required for 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: RUMPH, STEPHEN M
MAILING ADDRESS: 14619 HART ST
                  VAN NUYS CA 91405
SITUS ADDRESS: 481 N. QUAIL DR.
                LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5466019010 / INVOICE NO: BN190002254

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was
returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed
the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive their second notice and that they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: ANNIK HAMON  
MAILING ADDRESS: 6251 CHURCH ST  
LOS ANGELES CA 90042  
SITUS ADDRESS: 466 North Quail Dt  
Glassell Park Ca 90065  
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5466020022 / INVOICE NO: BN190002257

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he has owned the land for many years and has kept it clear. Appellant asserts any failure to clear it may have been the result of being unable to identify the property boundaries onsite and that he found the LAFD’s notices to be confusing.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018  
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018  
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: EMMA P TORNERO
MAILING ADDRESS: 4828 MALTA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
SITUS ADDRESS: 4575 MARMION WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5466023016 / INVOICE NO: BN190002259

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 27, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 44

NAME: PRICE, RICHARD J AND KYLE, SHARON M
MAILING ADDRESS: 00482 CRANE BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 185 North Furness Av
Highland Park Ca 90042
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5467022006 / INVOICE NO: BN190002268

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 1, 2018  
Second Inspection performed on: June 26, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 26, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: MAVMAR LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 06051 CALEDONIA CT
                  OAK PARK CA 91377
SITUS ADDRESS: 937 TERRACE 49
                LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5475012008 / INVOICE NO: BN190002295

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance Fee.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as required however at the time that
the re-inspection notice was provided, it was sent to former owners leaving at issue whether Appellant was
afforded proper notice. Accordingly, the noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he did not receive the notices for brush clearance last year.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55

NAME: NEWTON, ROBERT E
MAILING ADDRESS: 04717 NOB HILL DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 4721 East Nobhill Dr
Los Angeles Ca 90065
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5475015003 / INVOICE NO: BN190002297

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that July 2019 was the earliest they could get the property cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no meaningful evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 55
NAME: PITTS,DEREK Z AND GENRICH,HEIDI C
MAILING ADDRESS: 4512 E BEND DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
SITUS ADDRESS: 4512 East Bend Dr
Glassell Park CA 90065
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5475018036 / INVOICE NO: BN190002303

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the property was cleared as it was under construction at the time of the inspections and that they no longer own the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they didn't receive the second notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: HOLMES, LEIGH

MAILING ADDRESS: 29 26TH AVENUE
VENICE CA 90291 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 5835 East EATON ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90032

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5485018008 / INVOICE NO: BN190002332

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on October 9, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: BECK, CHELSEA R TR CHELSEA R BECK TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2320 RESERVOIR ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90026
SITUS ADDRESS: 1440 EATON TER
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5485018024 / INVOICE NO: BN190002336

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they purchased the property in April 2019.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
All were returned undeliverable. No new ownership records were found at the time. Appellant did not provide a new grant deed, however, sufficient doubt exists that the fees are waived for the 2018 year.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: HOLMES, LEIGH
MAILING ADDRESS: 29 26TH AVENUE
VENICE CA 90291 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L S. of 5904 East GREAT OAK CIR
LOS ANGELES CA 90042
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5486002009 / INVOICE NO: BN190002339

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: August 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on October 9, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 12, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: S MARTIN KELETI
MAILING ADDRESS: 2082 OUTPOST DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 2082 OUTPOST DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5549012001 / INVOICE NO: BN190002347

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the LAFD and LA Municipal Code do not define the standards of appeal and that the notices from the LAFD were both confusing and misleading and that Appellant has claims hardship, although no documentation of such hardship was provided.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: VELMA THOMPSON
MAILING ADDRESS: 6970 LOS TILOS RD
                  LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 6999 West Los Tilos Rd
                Hollywood Hills Ca 90068
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5549013007 / INVOICE NO: BN190002349

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts her understanding of her property lines were different than LAFD and that her neighbor is out of compliance which may appear to make her look out of compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they were not aware of any ownership of the property to be cleared adjacent to a hotel and did not receive any notices of noncompliance as mail was being sent elsewhere.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 76

NAME: RLJ III HGN HOLLYWOOD LP
MAILING ADDRESS: 00003 BETHELDA METRO CTR
                 BETHELDA MD 20814
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L East of 1977 North Glencoe Way
               Los Angeles Ca 90032
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5549030006 / INVOICE NO: BN190002356

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they received no notices and were unaware they owned the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At
the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no meaningful evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally
required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to
notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map
information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at
zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements
for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the
inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE:  August 9, 2019 08:00    COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME:  GARLAND,GARY W TR PURA VIDA TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS:  01755 NICHOLS CANYON RD
                    LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS:   1755 NICHOLS CANYON RD
                    LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO:  5551007022 / INVOICE NO: BN190002374

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on:  May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on:  June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 3, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on September 29, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared their brush as required and that a dead tree he was ordered to remove is on City property and not his.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 27, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 23, 2018 the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: KING, SCOTT

MAILING ADDRESS: 08416 RIDPATH DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 8407 West Walnut Dr
West Hollywood Ca 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556010013 / INVOICE NO: BN190002401

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he hired a company to clear the brush but they missed some spots and he hired another company to complete the work and did it diligently.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts he cleared the brush as required after the second notice of noncompliance and that the dead trees noted were on his neighbor’s property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: LAND REPUBLIC LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 4901 PALM BEACH BLVD UNIT 26 #175
FORT MYERS FL 33905 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 4023 W. BRAEBURN WY
LOS ANGELES CA 90027

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556015012 / INVOICE NO: BN190002409

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he never received the clearance notices. Appellant also asserts he was not told of any brush clearance requirements when he purchased the property. Appellant lives in New Delhi and is migrating to the U.S.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

It is the responsibility of the property owner to be aware of any liens or laws regarding their property. In this case, every attempt was made to contact the owner of record given the contact information on file. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: GUPTA, SUNIT K
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 1028
POMONA CA 91769

SITUS ADDRESS: V/L South of 8576 West Cole Crest Dr
North Hollywood Ca 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556015014 / INVOICE NO: BN190002411

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on September 23, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no notices regarding noncompliance and that there is no access to the property.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: EUN Sung
MAILING ADDRESS: 3001 North Broadway ST 31442
Los Angeles CA 90031 United States
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L North of 1690 North Mountcrest Ave
West Hollywood Ca 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5556015046 / INVOICE NO: BN190002415

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: September 29, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on September 29, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos
taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection
and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: WALI, HYNNIDIE
MAILING ADDRESS: 08369 GRAND VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 8365 W. GRAND VIEW DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5556019022 / INVOICE NO: BN190002421

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Grant Appellant appeal of Noncompliance fee that was assessed.

Appellant received the first notice of Noncompliance at which point a substantial attempt was made to comply. After said attempt and before the compliance due date, Appellant attempted to verify that their efforts met the standard for compliance but did not hear back from the Fire Inspector until after the due date and second inspection. Since the Appellant made substantial efforts to cure violations, the Noncompliance Fee is waved.

Total assessment due is $0.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts the noncompliant dead tree was not on his property and was removed by the subsequent owners of the property next door.

**DEPARTMENT INFORMATION**

First Inspection performed on: May 26, 2018  
Second Inspection performed on: June 24, 2018  
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

**PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no meaningful evidence in support of the appeal. The Assessor's boundaries and overview maps show the tree on Appellant's property.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts she travels often and did not see the notices; she also asserts she has paid for the work which is now complete.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE  
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: ADLER NATURE PROPERTY LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 00426 E RUSTIC RD  
SANTA MONICA CA 90402
SITUS ADDRESS: 1751 SUNSET PLAZA DR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90069
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5558013001 / INVOICE NO: BN190002455

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that brush clearance was complete on June 25, 2018, and that no instructions were given as to what was to be cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

In this case, Appellant failed to clear the hazards as noted in the Inspector's letter of noncompliance. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection as well. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Hearing Date: August 9, 2019 08:00

Council District: FS 41

Name: Boris Gorbis

Mailing Address: 1741 Sunset Plaza Dr
Los Angeles CA 90069

Situs Address: 1741 Sunset Plaza Dr
Los Angeles CA 90069

Assessor's ID No: 5558013016 / Invoice No: BN190002458

Substance of Protest

Appellant asserts they never received the second notice of noncompliance. Appellant further asserts the brush was cleared in time and appeals the imposition of the fee.

Department Information

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

Proposed Decision and Recommendation

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41
NAME: BHANSALI, ASHA TR BHANSALI FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 09465 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 820
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212
SITUS ADDRESS: 1722 North Sunset Paza Dr
West Hollywood Ca 90069
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5558016003 / INVOICE NO: BN190002460

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the notices were sent to the wrong address and that the brush has been cleared as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: ASHA BHANSALI
MAILING ADDRESS: 1753 VIEWMONT DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: 1726 North Sunset Paza Dr
West Hollywood Ca 90069
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5558016047 / INVOICE NO: BN190002462

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the notices were sent to the wrong address and that they cleared the brush as required.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: HH PROPERTIES LP
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 762
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90213
SITUS ADDRESS: 8719 West Hollywood Bl
West Hollywood Ca 90069
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5558017009 / INVOICE NO: BN190002464

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts he owns several contiguous parcels and was developing some while also keep the brush cleared on the others at a high cost.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: HH PROPERTIES LP
MAILING ADDRESS: 00000 PO BOX 762
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90213
SITUS ADDRESS: 8787 West Hollywood Bl
West Hollywood Ca 90069
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5558017025 / INVOICE NO: BN190002467

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined
the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on
the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was
still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: SABETI, MONA
MAILING ADDRESS: 08601 HOLLYWOOD BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90069
SITUS ADDRESS: 8601 HOLLYWOOD BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5558020017 / INVOICE NO: BN190002471

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts she received no notices due to mail theft.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 15, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no meaningful evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: CLEMMONS, CORY AND JILLIAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 01641 N KINGS RD
                  LOS ANGELES CA 90069

SITUS ADDRESS: 1641 North KINGS RD
                LOS ANGELES CA 90069

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5558025019 / INVOICE NO: BN190002477

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts work was completed before second inspection. Records indicate the property failed re-inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts that they owned the property for a short time and did not receive the notices for brush clearance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

It is the responsibility of the property owner to conduct due diligence prior to purchase. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: GARY R CARLSON
MAILING ADDRESS: 1240 S WESTLAKE BLVD 205
WESTLAKE VILLAGE CA 91361
SITUS ADDRESS: 8640 METZ PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5559017004 / INVOICE NO: BN190002490

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they paid their gardener to maintain the property. Appellant also asserts they had experienced squatters after water damage and that the LAFD contractors unlawfully removed and stole a portion of their fence.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no meaningful evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

First Inspection performed on: May 9, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 9, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: SUZANNE G BARBIS
MAILING ADDRESS: 1285 N CLARK ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90069
SITUS ADDRESS: 1285 North CLARK ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90069
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5560019018 / INVOICE NO: BN190002498

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts she cleared the brush on her property as required and that a dead tree she had cut down actually belongs to her neighbor and not her.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts their property is now properly cleared and therefore no penalties should be assessed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts their property is irrigated and they trim what they need to in the fall every year. Appellant also asserts the City contracted clearance service did not properly identify themselves.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: FRANK CORRENTE
MAILING ADDRESS: 1511 RISING GLEN RD
                  LOS ANGELES CA 90069
SITUS ADDRESS: 1511 RISING GLEN RD
                LOS ANGELES CA 90069
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5561025012 / INVOICE NO: BN190002518

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Upon re-inspection the Fire Inspector determined that the cited violation/s were not cured however due to
Appellant’s condition (broken neck, July 2018), the fee for 2018 will be waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: FIGILIS, KAREN AND WILLIAM TRS FIGLIS FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 08946 APPIAN WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L SE of 8946 W. APPIAN WAY
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5562017015 / INVOICE NO: BN190002524

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they’ve had the same person clear the brush for years, but that person needed hernia surgery in September 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 17, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 20, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

It is the responsibility of the property owner to have the brush cleared regardless of any medical issues with hired contractors. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he did not receive notices and that the work was delayed due to rains. First inspection was in June 2018 and the re-inspection was in October 2018, which Appellant failed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: ABADI, DJALIL
MAILING ADDRESS: 12443 W SUNSET BLVD
Los Angeles CA 90049
SITUS ADDRESS: 8969 W. CRESCENT DR
Los Angeles CA 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5563003015 / INVOICE NO: BN190002526

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he cleared the property and that the inspector mistook a noncompliant adjacent parcel as Appellant's.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: December 8, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

No evidence was provided that showed the re-inspection was incorrect. Photographs are location stamped at the parcel in question. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: JUDITH ROSENMAN
MAILING ADDRESS: 2598 GREENVALLEY RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: 2598 GREENVALLEY RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5564032036 / INVOICE NO: BN190002540

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 30, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured as evidenced by photographs taken by the Fire Inspector on that day. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: K & L STALLION RANCH LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 3317 E 50TH ST
VERNON CA 90058
SITUS ADDRESS: 2435 LAUREL CANYON BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5565015002 / INVOICE NO: BN190002545

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the brush was cleared as required and questioned whether LAFD had the correct boundaries.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: MALEKI, TINA AND MAJIDINEJAD, OMID

MAILING ADDRESS: 7960 WILLOW GLEN RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 7960 WILLOW GLEN RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5565022030 / INVOICE NO: BN190002549

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he didn't own the property at the time of the inspections and had no notice of noncompliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Appellant provided evidence showing he purchased the property after the notice and inspection dates and has since cleared the property. Fee is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 19, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: EB PENSION FUND
MAILING ADDRESS: 32 FAIRGREEN CT LONDON RD
MITCHEAM SURREY CR43NA UNITED KINGDOM

SITUS ADDRESS: 2506 N LAUREL CANYON BLVD VACANT LOT
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5565027019 / INVOICE NO: BN190002712

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

On May 6, 2018, the fire Inspector inspected and found the property in violation. Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: CRAIG TURK
MAILING ADDRESS: 8624 ALLENWOOD RD
LAOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: 8624 ALLENWOOD RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5565035031 / INVOICE NO: BN190002555

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts they cleared the brush as required after failing the initial inspection.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Written Hearing—Standard Answer

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. Hiring a brush clearance company does not ensure compliance, only and LAFD Inspector can determine compliance. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: December 8, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The initial inspection took place on June 2, 2018. Upon re-inspection on December 08, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Brush Clearance and maintenance is a year-round responsibility. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00

COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: 2361 STANLEY HILLS LLC

MAILING ADDRESS: 15266 VALLEY VISTA BLVD
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403

SITUS ADDRESS: 2363 North STANLEY HILLS DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5567004035 / INVOICE NO: BN190002558

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts he received no notices regarding compliance or noncompliance for brush clearance and that their LLC cleared the property in the third quarter of 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

In this case, notice was provided to the LLC at the address on record. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts he received a first notice of noncompliance in May 2018 but did not receive a second notice. Appellant further asserts that brush was cleared by July 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 17, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The initial notice was not sent until June 2018 and the property failed a second inspection in October 2018. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00          COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: VON FURSTENBERG, ALEXANDRE TR A VON FURSTENBERG TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 08641 NASH DR
                 LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: 8641 NASH DR
                LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5567014037 / INVOICE NO: BN190002564

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

At the time that the re-inspection notice was provided, the Appellant was not the owner of the parcel at issue
and thus did not receive notice sufficient to comply and abate prior to re-inspection. This leaves at issue
whether Appellant was afforded proper notice sufficient enough to warrant an assessment of the
Noncompliance Fee. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: ALEXANDRE VON FURSTENBERG TR
MAILING ADDRESS: 8641 NASH DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90046 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L Dead End North Nash Dr
West Hollywood Ca 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5567015017 / INVOICE NO: BN190002565

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 28, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 4, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection;
therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Reverse the assessment for the Noncompliance fee.

At the time that the re-inspection notice was provided, the Appellant was not the owner of the parcel at issue
and thus did not receive notice sufficient to comply and abate prior to re-inspection. This leaves at issue
whether Appellant was afforded proper notice sufficient enough to warrant an assessment of the
Noncompliance Fee. Accordingly, the Noncompliance fee assessed for 2018, is waived.

Total assessment due is $0.00
HEARING DATE: August 12, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: K AND L STALLION RANCH LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 03317 E 50TH ST
VERNON CA 90058

SITUS ADDRESS: 2161 North Laurel Canyon Bl
West Hollywood Ca 90046

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5567029011 / INVOICE NO: BN190002571

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts his property was properly cleared and he is being penalized for property he doesn't own.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: August 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: ALLA SHLAIN
MAILING ADDRESS: 1926 MOUNT OLYMPUS
LOS ANGELES CA 90046 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 1926 MOUNT OLYMPUS DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5569011063 / INVOICE NO: BN190002578

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they did not receive the noncompliance notifications but when they did they hired someone to clear the brush.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 30, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. Mailing address was updated and new notices sent upon property owner making contact with LAFD. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
AppName: ABOU ZAMZAM AMGAD
MAILING ADDRESS: 3216 W VANOWEN ST BURBANK CA 91505
SITUS ADDRESS: 2314 JUPITER DR LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5569013051 / INVOICE NO: BN190002579

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First inspection performed on: May 30, 2018
Second inspection performed on: June 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 24, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts he forgot the first noncompliance notice and did not see the second.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 16, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

It is the responsibility of the property owner to maintain their property free from hazards and comply with all brush clearance notices, which Appellant failed to do. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on May 31, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken by the Fire Inspector on the date of re-inspection. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018. Appellant asserts that one of the cited hazards was the responsibility of the City of Los Angeles.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: May 31, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on May 31, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Appellant contends that the tree cited as a hazard is the responsibility of the City of Los Angeles but provided no evidence to support this position. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 97

NAME: PRESENT, PAULA L TR PAULA PRESENT TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 08101 MCKIM CT
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L N.of 2144 LAUREL CYN BLVD
LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5569032055 / INVOICE NO: BN190002590

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 19, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm assessment of the Noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice.

The Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted all notices as legally required, affording the Appellant due process.
Appellant received the first notice of noncompliance at which point a substantial attempt was made to comply. Despite these efforts, upon re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that the property was still in noncompliance at which point the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts he never received noncompliance notices. Additionally, Appellant asserts he cleared the brush once his contractor had enough workers to complete the job.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: KANEKO, MASAHIKO

MAILING ADDRESS: 02000 WATTLES DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

SITUS ADDRESS: 1981 N. CURSON PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5571029022 / INVOICE NO: BN190002606

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant Protest of Noncompliance fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined that efforts had been made but that the entirety of the required abatement was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on June 10, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00 COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: GARY GUSS
MAILING ADDRESS: 8033 W SUNSET BLVD 896
                LOS ANGELES CA 90046
SITUS ADDRESS:  1929 CURSON PL
                LOS ANGELES CA 90046
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5571030018 / INVOICE NO: BN190002607

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they clear the brush twice a year and that they were in compliance.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 10, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $ 432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no substantive evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor’s property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 41

NAME: BEVERLY A WOSS
MAILING ADDRESS: 2085 OUTPOST DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 2085 OUTPOST DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5572015006 / INVOICE NO: BN190002612

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 14, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 11, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 11, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: September 13, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 27

NAME: SURAJ DEVELOPMENT CORP
MAILING ADDRESS: 01224 W 130TH ST
GARDENA CA 90247
SITU S ADDRESS: 2354 North Holly Drive
Hollywood Ca 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5576007045 / INVOICE NO: BN190002614

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts the first gardener they hired to do the work failed to do it without their knowledge and they had to hire another gardener to do it, creating a delay.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 15, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 9, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice be confirmed. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The Appellant provided no meaningful evidence in support of the appeal.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received. Property owners are responsible to notify the County Assessor of any change of address for notices. The County Assessor's property deed map information was used to determine the property boundaries subject to inspection and can be found at zimas.lacity.org.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: KEVIN TANJI
MAILING ADDRESS: 2400 WHITMAN PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

SITUS ADDRESS: 2400 WHITMAN PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5580013024 / INVOICE NO: BN190002631

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they cleared the property but that much of the debris is natural and is also needed to avoid erosion.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: SHIN FAMILY TRUST
MAILING ADDRESS: 2435 CAZAUX PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 2435 CAZAUX PL
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5580024020 / INVOICE NO: BN190002634

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 3, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 3, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for noncompliance fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 3, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in Noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: September 19, 2019 10:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: ARNEZEDER, NORA
MAILING ADDRESS: 03351 DERONDA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
SITUS ADDRESS: 3351 DERONDA DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5581023017 / INVOICE NO: BN190002636

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 10, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process. No mail was returned.

Upon re-inspection on July 24, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: GORDON SCOLLARD
MAILING ADDRESS: 304 S OAKLAND AVE
PASADENA CA 91101
SITUS ADDRESS: 3036 North BEACHWOOD DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90068
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5583018004 / INVOICE NO: BN190002648

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: June 6, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

The records made by the Fire Inspector indicate that there were multiple inspections made of parcel 5583018004. At the time of the second inspection on July 24, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. Correspondence between the Appellant and the Fire Inspector noted the compliance efforts made by the Appellant and due to this correspondence, the Fire Inspector did not send the parcel to contractors for clearance but instead allowed the Appellant the opportunity to cure. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for the second inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached. Accordingly the assessed Noncompliance Fee should be paid in full.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 82

NAME: PLASCENCIA, JAMES S TR JEROME AND PAT SHERMAN TRUST

MAILING ADDRESS: 5449 ALLOTT AVE
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91401

SITUS ADDRESS: 6222 GLEN AIRY ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90068

ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5585024015 / INVOICE NO: BN190002655

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts that he hired a gardener after failing re-inspection to complete the work and that he ultimately passed.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 7, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 24, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

In this case, Appellant acknowledges he failed re-inspection. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35

NAME: 888 COCKERHAM LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 4533 COCKERHAM DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90027 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: 4533 COCKERHAM DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5588023031 / INVOICE NO: BN190002665

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they acquired the property on April 12, 2018, and did not receive the first notice.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: June 5, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: July 23, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process.

The address for notice was changed on June 22, 2018, with the first inspection and re-inspection occurring thereafter. The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection. The assessed fee is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35

NAME: PEARLMAN, GORDON E AND ELLEN B
MAILING ADDRESS: 02534 ABERDEEN AVE
                  LOS ANGELES CA 90027
SITUS ADDRESS: 2534 ABERDEEN AVE
                LOS ANGELES CA 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5588031006 / INVOICE NO: BN190002666

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 20, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 12, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00
COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 35

NAME: ZABALA, JOHN AND KAARI
MAILING ADDRESS: 04138 TRACY ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90027

SITUS ADDRESS: 4138 TRACY ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5591012008 / INVOICE NO: BN190002669

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST
Appellant asserts the brush was cleared.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION
First Inspection performed on: May 18, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 12, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION
Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant asserts they have taken over responsibility for the property on behalf of his family and was unfamiliar with brush clearance requirements. Appellant asserts they did not receive invoices regarding all his adjoining properties and paid a large sum to clear the brush and appeals the imposition of the fee.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 31, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: November 27, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00                   COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: BRAEBURN LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 11271 FOSTER RD
                 LOS ALAMITOS CA 90720 USA

SITUS ADDRESS: 4015 W. BRAEBURN WY
                LOS ANGELES, CA. 90027

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5592015007 / INVOICE NO: BN190002677

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they have taken over responsibility for the property on behalf of his family. Appellant further asserts that they did not receive invoices for some of their adjoining properties and paid a large sum to clear the brush and appeals the imposition of the fee.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: October 2, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: October 31, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
2018 NONCOMPLIANCE INSPECTION FEE
WRITTEN APPEALS

HEARING DATE: August 9, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 56

NAME: BRAEBURN LLC
MAILING ADDRESS: 11271 FOSTER RD
Los Alamitos CA 90720 USA
SITUS ADDRESS: V/L 3779-3781 N. Amesbury Rd.
Los Angeles, CA. 90027
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5592015010 / INVOICE NO: BN190002678

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant asserts they took over responsibility for management of his family's properties and was unaware of brush clearance requirements. Appellant further asserts he did not receive all invoices and he paid a large sum to clear the brush and appeals the imposition of the fee.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 12, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 6, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for the noncompliance fee as set forth in the notice. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector deemed the work incomplete.

The record shows that the Fire Inspector made all appearances, mailed and posted notices as legally required, affording Appellant due process. No return mail was received.

The property was shown to be in noncompliance at the time of re-inspection for brush clearance requirements for the year 2018. The assessed fee is not a penalty for failing to do the work, it is for the cost of the inspections, which automatically attaches when the re-inspection showed the work to be incomplete.

Total assessment due is $432.00
HEARING DATE: July 26, 2019 08:00  COUNCIL DISTRICT: FS 12

NAME: RICHARD L GOODPASTURE
MAILING ADDRESS: 1010 ARATINA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042

SITUS ADDRESS: 1010 ARATINA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042

ASSESSOR’S ID NO: 5716020017 / INVOICE NO: BN190002696

SUBSTANCE OF PROTEST

Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 21, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 18, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for noncompliance fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Appellant received initial notice detailing the compliance due date as well as details regarding the potential fee associated with a second failed inspection. Upon re-inspection on June 18, 2018, the cited violation/s remained uncured. When the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00
Appellant protest of Noncompliance Fee for 2018.

DEPARTMENT INFORMATION

First Inspection performed on: May 16, 2018
Second Inspection performed on: June 8, 2018
Property was found to be in non-compliance upon second inspection; therefore, the $432.00 Non-compliance inspection fee is assessed.

PROPOSED DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION

Confirm the assessment for Noncompliance Fee. At the time of re-inspection, the Fire Inspector determined the work was incomplete.

The Fire Inspector made all legally required notices affording the Appellant due process.

Upon re-inspection on June 8, 2018, the cited violations remained uncured as evidenced by photos taken on the date of re-inspection. Accordingly, when the Fire Inspector viewed the property for re-inspection and it was still in noncompliance, the fee automatically attached.

Total assessment due is $432.00