

# LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

## REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

(REVISED)

### Los Angeles City Council Redistricting Commissioners

David Trujillo (CD1) \* Craig Miller (CD2) \* David Ford (CD3) \* Grover McKean (CD4) \* David Roberti (CD5) \* Jose Cornejo (CD6) \* Michael Trujillo (CD7) \*  
Bobbie Jean Anderson (CD8) \* David Roberts (CD9) \* Chris Ellison (CD10) \* Rob Kadota (CD11) \* Ken Sampson (CD12) \* Jackie Dupont-Walker (CD13) \*  
Robert Ahn (CD13) \* Antonio Sanchez (CD14) \* Jerry Gaines (CD15) \* Arturo Vargas (Mayor) \* Amber Martinez (Mayor) \* Mona Soo Hoo (Mayor) \*  
Helen Kim (Controller) \* Julie Downey (City Attorney)

**Wednesday February 22, 2012  
4 p.m.**

**Los Angeles City Hall  
John Ferraro Council Chambers  
200 N. Spring Street, Room 340  
Los Angeles, CA 90012**

**The Following Teleconferencing Site is Available:**

**Los Angeles International Airport  
Terminal 4, Lobby  
1 World Way  
Los Angeles, CA 90045**

1. Public comment on matters not on the agenda. (10 minutes)
2. Commission to discuss and possible approval on actions related to the minutes for the following meeting:  
§ LA City Council Redistricting Commission – Special Meeting (Public Input Hearing) – South Region – February 11, 2012
3. Commission to discuss and possible approval on actions related to the Final Map Recommendation.
4. Continued Public comment and Commissioner comment on matters not on the agenda.

For information concerning this Commission, please contact Myriam Lopez at (213) 922-7740 or e-mail [myriam.lopez@lacity.org](mailto:myriam.lopez@lacity.org). Translators, sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability, requests should be made at least 72 hours prior to the meeting. Please call (213) 922-7740 or e-mail [myriam.lopez@lacity.org](mailto:myriam.lopez@lacity.org) for accommodations. This Commission meeting will be broadcast live on Channel 35 and the Internet at [www.lacityview.org](http://www.lacityview.org). This Commission meeting

can also be heard live at: (213) 621-CITY (Metro), (818) 904-9450 (Valley), (310) 471-CITY (Westside) and (310) 547-CITY (San Pedro Area).

*Los Angeles City Council Redistricting Commission*

[www.redistricting2011.lacity.org](http://www.redistricting2011.lacity.org)

200 N. Spring Street, Room 275

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 922-7740 / Fax: (213) 922-7707

email: [redistricting.lacity@lacity.org](mailto:redistricting.lacity@lacity.org)

# MEMORANDUM

February 21, 2012

TO: Los Angeles City Council Redistricting Commission

FROM: Andrew Westall, Executive Director  
Nicole Boyle, Technical Director  
Rani Woods, Outreach Director

RE: **ADJUSTED DRAFT MAP 2-17-12**

---

The proposed Adjusted Draft Map is a reflection of the adjustments voted on by the Commission at the Wednesday February 15, 2012 meeting. These adjustments were based on the numerous public comments the Commission received prior to releasing an initial Draft Map, as well as the comments received after the release. Over 4,500 people attended the Commission's twenty-two public hearings held between December and February. More than 5,000 comments were received by the Commission on issues throughout the City of Los Angeles.

The Adjusted Draft Map cures historically divisive issues, as well as paying close attention to a new issue that has developed over the last 10 years, the formation of 95 Neighborhood Councils. In the Adjusted Draft Map, 69.5 percent (66) of Neighborhood Councils are kept whole, an increase of 24 Neighborhood Councils over the 2002 Council District lines, while dividing 27 Neighborhood Councils between two Council Districts and 2 Neighborhood Councils between three Council Districts. The proposed Map unites the Baldwin Hills and Leimert Park communities, a major issue of contention in 2001-02, that have been divided for at least forty years. The proposed Map also unites Downtown Los Angeles (also a major issue of contention in 2001-02), another neighborhood that has been divided for twenty years. Furthermore, the proposed Map unites the Koreatown community under one Council District, another major issue of contention in 2001-02 and a community that has been divided for at least forty years. Lastly, the proposed Map helps to cure the divide in Northeast Los Angeles by placing the communities in two Council Districts, an area of the City that has been split between three Council Districts for at least forty years.

Below is a list of the approved adjustments, all of which have been incorporated into the proposed Adjusted Draft Map. Although the staff is continuing to process the public comment received by the Commission and the analysis will be completed in time for the Final Report, each adjustment has an analysis of the public testimony and public map submissions, reflective of public comment on that area of the City.

➤ **Commission Approved Adjustments**

VRA

#47 - Respect and not violate the Voting Rights Act

**Analysis – Subject to a final determination of the City Attorney**

Deviation

#37 - Maintain a 5 percent overall deviation (+/- 2.5 percent) or lower across the City

**Analysis- According to Section 204(a) of the Los Angeles City Charter ... “Districts so formed shall each contain, as nearly as practicable, equal portions of the total population of the City as shown by the Federal Census immediately preceding the formation of districts.” The proposed Adjusted Draft Map meets the requirements of Section 204(a) and contains an overall deviation of 4.94 percent.**

Neighborhood Councils

#18 - Keep at least two-thirds (64) of the Neighborhood Councils whole in a Council District, and do not split any Neighborhood Councils by more than two Council Districts to the best extent possible

**Analysis – The current 2002 City Council lines keep 42 Neighborhood Councils whole (44.2 percent) out of a total of 95, and 13 Neighborhood Councils are split between three Council Districts. In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter, which states “(d) Criteria for Redistricting. All districts shall be drawn in conformance with requirements of state and federal law and, to the extent feasible, shall keep neighborhoods and communities intact, utilize natural boundaries or street lines, and be geographically compact ...”, the Adjusted Draft Map is vastly superior to the current 2002 City Council lines in keeping neighborhoods and communities intact, and in particular Neighborhood Councils.**

**The proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps 66 Neighborhood Councils whole (69.5 percent) out of 95, with 29 Neighborhood Councils split (see Attachment A). The Van Nuys Neighborhood Council is one of two Neighborhood Councils split three ways (CD 2, CD 4, and CD 6) per Adjustment #38 and following public testimony of the area identified by Council File #08-2758 (see Attachment B) which was renamed as Sherman Oaks, generally bounded by Oxnard Street on the North, Hazeltine Avenue on the East, Burbank Boulevard on the South, and the I-405 Freeway on the West. The Downtown Los Angeles Neighborhood Council is split three ways (CD 1, CD 9, and CD 14) to maintain the landmarks of the Vista Hermosa Park and the Ed Roybal Learning Center whole in CD 1, as well as the landmarks of L.A. Live, Staples Center, and L.A. Convention Center properties to the east of Figueroa Street whole in CD 9. 98.2 percent of the Downtown Los Angeles Neighborhood Council is contained within CD 14.**

Where To Start Amendments

**#59** - Amendments to the LACCRC draft map should be established in an orderly process. Because of its geographic isolation from the majority of the City, Council District 15 and the San Pedro-Wilmington-Harbor Gateway communities have traditionally been the starting point in drafting new Council District boundaries. CD15 should be the starting point, to initiate amendments to the LACCRC city-wide draft map

**Analysis- The proposed Adjusted Draft Map Amendments were started with CD 15.**

Watts

**#8** - Watts Neighborhood Council and Harbor Gateway North Neighborhood Council all in CD 15

**#21** - Move Watts back into CD 15.

**#61** - The Watts community should be removed from CD9 and placed back into CD15

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps the Watts Neighborhood Council and the Harbor Gateway North Neighborhood Council whole in CD 15.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Watts, with fourteen written comments and fourteen verbal comments asking the Commission to return Watts to CD 15. There is no record of any public testimony to support keeping the community of Watts in CD 9. In terms of public map submissions, seven submissions supported placing Watts in CD 15 and one submission supported placing Watts in CD 9.**

West Los Angeles

**#2** - Use the Natural Boundaries of CD 11, Mulholland Drive, I-405 Freeway, City boundary, and the Pacific Ocean; If necessary split the Mar Vista Community Council at the I-405 Freeway

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map uses the natural boundaries of CD 11 and keeps the Mar Vista Community Council whole in CD 11.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Westchester and using the natural boundaries of CD 11, with one hundred and thirty written comments and twenty-three verbal comments asking the Commission to keep Westchester whole in CD 11. Additionally, petitions from 2,201 residents supporting Westchester in CD 11 were submitted to the Commission. In terms of public map submissions, four submissions supported using the natural boundaries of CD 11, four submissions supported putting the Westchester-Playa Del Rey Neighborhood Council in CD 8, and one submission supported splitting the communities of Brentwood and West Los Angeles, placing them in CD 5.**

Baldwin Hills/Leimert Park and Adjacent Areas

**#17** - Keep the Empowerment Congress West Area Neighborhood Development Council, which includes all of Leimert Park and Baldwin Hills, whole in CD 10

**Analysis-** In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps the Empowerment Congress West Area Neighborhood Development Council whole in CD 10, uniting the communities of Baldwin Hills and Leimert Park.

This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the communities of Baldwin Hills and Leimert Park, with twenty-three written comments and forty-one verbal comments asking the Commission to keep these communities whole in a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, four submissions supported placing the Empowerment Congress West Area Neighborhood Development Council whole in CD 10, one submission supported putting the Neighborhood Council whole in CD 8, and seven submissions supported splitting the communities of Baldwin Hills and Leimert Park between CD 8 and CD 10, with the majority of population in CD 10.

Downtown Los Angeles and Adjacent Areas

**#33** - Remove the Downtown Los Angeles Neighborhood Council from CD 1

**Analysis-** In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map removes the Downtown Los Angeles Neighborhood Council from CD 1, with the exception of the landmarks of Vista Hermosa Park and the Ed Roybal Learning Center, and keeps 98.2 percent of Downtown Los Angeles within CD 14 with the exception of the landmarks of L.A. Live, Staples Center, and L.A. Convention Center properties to the east of Figueroa Street.

This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Downtown Los Angeles, with twenty written comment and sixty-one verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this community whole in a single Council District. 372 petitions were also signed in support of a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, six submissions supported placing the Downtown Los Angeles community whole in CD 14, two submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 9, and three submissions supported splitting the downtown Los Angeles community between CD 9 and CD 14.

**#68** - The Central-Alameda Neighborhood Council area including the communities surrounding Jefferson High School, Fred Roberts Park and Ross Snyder Park should be removed from CD14 and placed back into CD9

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps the Central-Alameda Neighborhood Council whole in CD 9.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of the Central-Alameda Neighborhood Council, with four written comments and two verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this community whole in a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, five submissions supported putting the Neighborhood Council whole in CD 9, and three submissions supported placing the Neighborhood Council whole in CD 14.**

**#43 - Unify Downtown Communities - Make the Fashion District whole, and place South Park community in CD 14. Specifically move southward the current proposed CD 14 downtown boundary so that the southernmost border of downtown CD 14 is Washington Blvd. (the area west of Alameda), then move westerly along Washington Blvd., then northerly on Figueroa Street, then westerly on Olympic Blvd. The 110 Freeway is the westernmost boundary for CD 14 downtown area**

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps 98.2 percent of the Downtown Los Angeles Neighborhood Council within CD 14, with the exception of the landmarks of L.A. Live, Staples Center, and L.A. Convention Center properties to the east of Figueroa Street in CD 9, and the landmarks of the Vista Hermosa Park and Ed Roybal Learning Center whole in CD 1.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Downtown Los Angeles, with twenty written comment and sixty-one verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this community whole in a single Council District. 372 petitions were also signed in support of a single Council District. Additionally, 12 speakers provided public comment supported placing Downtown Los Angeles in Council District 14 while 27 signed a petition with the same request. In terms of public map submissions, six submissions supported placing the Downtown Los Angeles community whole in CD 14, two submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 9, and three submissions supported splitting the downtown Los Angeles community between CD 9 and CD 14.**

**#36 - Cure the "Economic" issues raised by members of the public within CD 9 - example give USC and its economic imprint to CD9**

**Analysis- The proposed Adjusted Draft Map places the landmarks of the USC University Park campus, the Los Angeles Coliseum, the Los Angeles Sports Arena, and adjacent properties whole in CD 9, although the properties owned by USC generally bounded by Adams Boulevard on the north, Figueroa Street on the east, Jefferson Boulevard on the south, and Vermont Avenue on the west are maintained in CD 8.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of USC, with seven written comments and seven verbal comments asking the Commission to**

**keep this community whole in a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, three submissions supported placing the USC community whole in CD 9, two submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 10, and three submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 8.**

*Northeast Los Angeles*

**#31-** Occidental College Adjustment: Follow the L.A. Times communities map around Occidental College to include the adjacent properties in CD 14; ensure businesses along the north side of York Boulevard remain in CD 1

**Analysis-** In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map places the properties adjacent to Occidental College in CD 14, while the York Boulevard business corridor is maintained in CD 1 between Eagle Rock Boulevard on the West and Figueroa Street on the East.

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community adjacent to Occidental College, with four written comments asking the Commission to keep these communities whole in a single Council District. A petition with 25 signatures was also submitted in support of a single Council District for the Northeast communities including Occidental community. In terms of public map submissions, four submissions supported placing the Occidental College community whole in CD 14, three submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 1, one submission supported putting the community whole in CD 13, one submission supported putting the community whole in CD 4, and one submission supported splitting the Occidental College community between CD 1 and CD 14.**

**#32-** Move Eugene Debs Regional Park back to CD 1

**#45 -** For the El Sereno area - review boundaries of Rose Hill community, and make whole, as much as possible, the Lincoln Heights Neighborhood Council. Move Debs Park, and immediate adjoining blocks, to adjoining Council District (CD 1)

**Analysis-** In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map places the landmark of Eugene Debs Park, and the Rose Hill community as identified in Council File # 11-2057 (see Attachment C) whole in CD 1.

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Eugene Debs Regional Park, with six written comments and asking the Commission to keep this community whole in a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, five submissions supported placing the Rose Hill community whole in CD 1, two submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 14, and one submission supported keeping the current community split between CD 1 and CD 14.**

**#42 -** Unify Mt. Washington - Make the Mt. Washington community whole. Use the LA Times definition of the Mt. Washington community as a benchmark



**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps the area of Mt. Washington identified by the L.A. Times Mapping L.A. project whole in CD 1.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Mt. Washington, with four written comments and two verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this community whole in a single Council District. A petition with 25 signatures was also submitted in support of a single Council District for the Northeast communities including Mt. Washington. In terms of public map submissions, three submissions supported placing the Mt. Washington community whole in CD 1, one submission supported putting the community whole in CD 14, one submission supported putting the community whole in CD 13, one submission supported putting the community whole in CD 4, and three submissions supported splitting the Mt. Washington community between CD 1 and CD 14.**

**#44 - On Northern border of downtown CD 14, east of El Pueblo – the boundaries should remain as they exist in 2001 map. Specifically, east of El Pueblo - move easterly along Alhambra Ave., then northerly along the LA River, then easterly on Main Street, then southerly on Moulton Ave., then easterly on Alhambra Ave**

**Analysis- The proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps the landmark of the San Antonio Winery as identified above whole in CD 14.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the San Antonio Winery, three written comments asking the Commission to keep the San Antonio Winery whole in Council District 14. In terms of public map submissions, six submissions supported placing the San Antonio Winery whole in CD 14, and three submissions supported placing the San Antonio Winery whole in CD 1.**

#### *Koreatown and Adjacent Areas*

**#13 - Keep Pico-Union Neighborhood Council whole in CD 1**

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps the Pico-Union Neighborhood Council whole in CD 1.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the Pico Union Neighborhood Council, with five written comments and twenty verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this Neighborhood Council whole in a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, three submissions supported placing the Neighborhood Council whole in CD 1, three submissions supported putting the Neighborhood Council whole in CD 13, and two submissions supported splitting the Neighborhood Council between CD 1 and CD 10.**

**#15 - Place the businesses on the east side of Fairfax Avenue between Olympic Blvd. and Pico Blvd., which includes the entire Little Ethiopia community, whole into CD 10**

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter, the proposed Adjusted Draft keeps the Little Ethiopia community whole in CD 10 as identified in Council File #02-1641 (see Attachment D).**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Little Ethiopia, with three written comments and five verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this community whole in a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, two submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 13, and six submissions split the community down Fairfax Avenue between CD 5 and CD 10.**

**#14 - Place the area bounded by Beverly Blvd., Western Avenue, 3rd Street, and Normandie Avenue, including the businesses on the west side of Western Avenue between Rosewood Avenue and Olympic Blvd, which includes the entire Koreatown community, into CD 10**

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps the Koreatown community as identified in Council File #09-0606 (see Attachment E) whole in CD 10.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Koreatown, with twenty-two written comments and one hundred and sixty verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this community whole in a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, three submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 10 (one keeping the Wilshire Center-Koreatown Neighborhood Council whole), five submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 13 (two keeping the Wilshire Center-Koreatown Neighborhood Council whole), and four submissions split the Koreatown community between CD 10 and CD 13.**

**#16 - Place the businesses on the north side of 3rd Street between New Hampshire Avenue and Alexandria Avenue, which includes the entire Little Bangladesh community, whole into CD 10**

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps the Little Bangladesh community as identified in Council File #08-2885 (see Attachment F) whole in CD 10.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Little Bangladesh, with six written comments and five verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this community whole in a single Council District. 27 members of the community of Little Bangladesh also submitted petitions proposing their community be made whole. In terms of public map submissions, three submissions supported placing the Little Bangladesh community whole in CD 10, five submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 13, and one submission supported putting the community whole in CD 4.**

Hollywood and Adjacent Areas

**#80** – To the extent possible, use the current boundaries of CD 13 in the Hollywood Business District area.

**Analysis-** In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter, the proposed Draft Map places the area of the Hollywood Business District into CD 13.

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Hollywood Business Improvement District, with four written comments and eight verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this business district whole in a single Council District. Also, 21 people signed a petition in support of placing the business district in a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, three submissions supported putting the Hollywood Business District in CD 13 and five submissions supported putting the Hollywood Business District in CD 4, and six submissions supported placing the Hollywood Business District whole in a Council District adjacent to CD 4.**

**#10** - Place the area of Los Feliz bounded by Western Avenue, Franklin Avenue, Normandie Avenue, and Los Feliz Boulevard in CD 4, with the exception of the businesses on the north side of Hollywood Boulevard that are part of Thai Town.

**Analysis-** In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter, The proposed Draft Map places the area described above known as Los Feliz whole in CD 4, while Thai Town as identified in Council File #99-2007 (see Attachment G) whole in CD 13.

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the communities of Los Feliz and Thai Town, with twelve written comments and thirty-eight verbal comments asking the Commission to keep these communities whole in a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, ten submissions supported keeping the current split in the 2002 Council line between CD 4 and CD 13, and three submissions supported putting these communities together whole in CD 4.**

**#12** - Return the Southeast portion of Silver Lake north of Sunset Boulevard to CD 13

**Analysis-** The proposed Draft Map places the Southeast portion of Silver Lake into CD 13.

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Silver Lake, with three verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this portion of Silver Lake in CD 13. In terms of public map submissions, three submissions supported placing this portion of the community in CD 13, three submissions supported putting the Silver Lake community whole in CD 4, two submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 14, and six submissions supported splitting the community with CD 4 and another Council District.**

Valley Overlap

**#60** - Revisit the creation of 6<sup>th</sup> majority population Council District in the San Fernando Valley. The current configuration of 2 overlapping Valley/LA Basin Council Districts better protect and maintain communities of interest on both sides of the Santa Monica mountains

**Analysis-** The proposed Draft Map keeps the current configuration of two Council Districts overlapping into the San Fernando Valley (CD 4 and CD 5).

**In terms of public map submissions, eleven submissions supported two crossover Districts, seven submissions supported one crossover District, and one submission supported three crossover Districts.**

**#74** - If possible, have only one CD straddle Mulholland Drive, although try to place Toluca Lake into CD 4

**#4** - Toluca Lake Neighborhood Council all in CD 4

**Analysis-** In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Draft Map places the Greater Toluca Lake Neighborhood Council whole in CD 4, although two Council Districts (CD 4 and CD 5) straddle Mulholland Drive.

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the Greater Toluca Lake Neighborhood Council, with twenty-one written comments and eleven verbal comments asking the Commission to keep the Neighborhood Council whole in CD 4. In terms of public map submissions, nine submissions supported placing the Neighborhood Council whole in CD 4, three submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 2, one submission supported putting the community whole in CD 5, and one submission supported splitting the Neighborhood Council at the 134 Highway.**

**#6** - Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council East of Highland Avenue all in CD 4

**Analysis-** The proposed Adjusted Draft Map places all of the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council east of Highland Avenue into CD 4.

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council, with sixty written comments and twelve verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this Neighborhood Council whole in a single Council District. Additionally, 225 signatures supporting GWNC in a single Council District were submitted. One hundred and forty-eight petitions were also submitted requesting Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council be kept whole in a single council district. In terms of public map submissions, eight submissions supported placing the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council whole in CD 4, two submissions supported placing the Neighborhood Council whole in CD 10, and two submissions supported splitting the Neighborhood Council between CD 4 and CD 5.**

#7 - Miracle Mile Residential Association all in CD 4, bounded by Wilshire Blvd., San Vicente Blvd., Cochran Avenue, Olympic Blvd., to La Brea Avenue

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map places the Miracle Mile Residential Association, as described above, whole in CD 4.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Miracle Mile, with all nineteen written comments and three verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this community whole in Council District 4. In terms of public map submissions, nine submissions supported placing the Miracle Mile community whole in CD 4, two submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 13, two submissions supported putting the community whole in CD 10, and one submission supported putting the community whole in CD 5.**

#9 - Encino Neighborhood Council all in CD 5, with the least amount of reduction from CD 5 out of the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map places the Encino Neighborhood Council whole in CD 5, but removes 89.5 percent of the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council from CD 5 into CD 4 per Adjustment #6.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the Encino Neighborhood Council, with nineteen written comments and ten verbal comments asking the Commission to keep these communities whole in Council District 5. In terms of public map submissions, five submissions supported placing the Neighborhood Council whole in CD 5, one submission supported putting the Neighborhood Council whole in CD 11, and two submissions supported splitting the Neighborhood Council between CD 3 and CD 5.**

### *San Fernando Valley*

#1 - Northridge South Neighborhood Council all in CD 12

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map places the Northridge South Neighborhood Council whole in CD 12.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the Northridge South Neighborhood Council, with one written comment and two verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this Neighborhood Council whole in a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, three submissions supported placing the Neighborhood Council whole in CD 12, and four submissions supported splitting the Neighborhood Council at Roscoe Boulevard.**

#20 - Move Foothill Trails Neighborhood Council into CD 7 and unite Sunland, Tujunga, La Tuna Canyon, Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and Hansen Dam in a single Council District.

#34 - Unite Shadow Hills, Sunland-Tujunga, La Tuna Canyon and Lake View Terrace all within CD 7

#40 - The concept of uniting the communities of Shadow Hills, Stonehurst, La Tuna Canyon, Lake View Terrace, and Sunland-Tujunga into one council district, as these communities share many common interests

#46 - Northeast San Fernando Valley - Keep the equestrian community as whole as possible in CD7 by moving Shadow Hills in CD7

#72 - Unite Shadow Hill, Sunland, Tujunga, La Tuna Canyon, Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and Hansen Dam in a single Council District

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps the Foothill Trails Neighborhood Council and the Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council whole in CD 7.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the Foothill communities, with sixteen written comments and forty-two verbal comments asking the Commission to keep these communities whole in a single Council District. 65 residents submitted public comment in the form of petitions supporting uniting the Foothill communities. In terms of public map submissions, three submissions supported placing the Foothill communities whole in CD 7, one submission supported putting the communities whole in CD 6, two submissions supported putting the communities whole in CD 2, and three submissions supported splitting the communities between either CD 7/CD6, CD2/CD4, or CD 2/CD7.**

#38 - Unite Valley Village within CD 2 by replacing the portion of Sherman Oaks included in the draft map into CD 4

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter and Adjustment #18, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps the Neighborhood Council Valley Village whole in CD 2, and places the area described in the Van Nuys Neighborhood Council split above whole in CD 4.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the community of Valley Village, with eleven written comments and thirteen verbal comments asking the Commission to keep these communities whole in a single Council District. Additionally, 170 signatures were submitted as a part of a petition in support of keeping Valley Village whole. In terms of public map submissions, seven submissions supported putting Valley Village whole in one Council District, and two submissions supported splitting Valley Village between CD 5 and CD 2.**

#39 - Re-unite the headquarters of the Armenian Diocese Apostolic Church, located on Glenoaks Blvd. and Cohasset, along with the Cabrini housing tracts located just to the north of the Diocese back into CD 2. This large Armenian-American community should be considered a "community of interest" and located in CD 2. Doing this will also encompass both runways of the Burbank

Airport into CD 2 and allow for one Council district to advocate for the interests of the residents effected by the airport

**Analysis- In conformance with Section 204(d) of the Los Angeles City Charter, the proposed Adjusted Draft Map keeps the community described above whole in CD 2.**

**This adjustment follows the public testimony received with respect to the within the Sun Valley Neighborhood Council, with fifteen written comments and twenty-eight verbal comments asking the Commission to keep this community whole in a single Council District. In terms of public map submissions, three submissions supported placing the community whole in CD 2, three submissions supported placing the community whole in CD 7, three submissions supported placing the community whole in CD 6, and one submission supported placing the community whole in CD 4.**

**ATTACHMENT**

**A**



Table 1: Neighborhood Council Splits Summary

Total Neighborhood Councils that are Split	29
Total Neighborhood Councils that are Not Split	66
Neighborhood Councils Split Once (2 dsitriacts)	27
Neighborhood Councils Split Twice (3 districts)	2

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 2: Neighborhood Council Splits by City Council District

LACCRC Adjusted Draft District - February, 22nd, 2012	# NC Splits	NC Population in District	% of NC Population in the District
District 01			
ARROYO SECO NC	1	15,785	70.73
DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES	2	166	0.43
EAGLE ROCK NC	1	1,878	6.11
GLASSELL PARK NC	1	8,419	36.65
GREATER CYPRESS PARK NC	0	12,825	100.00
GREATER ECHO PARK ELYSIAN NC	1	11,918	26.09
HISTORIC CULTURAL NC	1	13,347	67.71
HISTORIC HIGHLAND PARK NC	1	42,111	75.59
LA-32 NC	1	3,611	8.00
LINCOLN HEIGHTS NC	1	29,454	94.55
MACARTHUR PARK NC	1	29,054	100.00
PICO UNION NC	0	39,977	100.00
WESTLAKE NORTH NC	0	25,295	100.00
WESTLAKE SOUTH NC	0	21,842	100.00
District 02			
GREATER VALLEY GLEN COUNCIL	0	47,309	100.00
MID-TOWN NORTH HOLLYWOOD NC	1	62,431	91.11
NC VALLEY VILLAGE	0	23,080	100.00

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 2: Neighborhood Council Splits by City Council District

NOHO WEST NC	0	20,907	100.00
NORTH HOLLYWOOD NORTHEAST NC	1	23,284	69.13
STUDIO CITY NC	1	33,372	98.01
SUN VALLEY AREA NC	1	21,687	45.24
VAN NUYS NC	2	17,354	22.02
District 03			
CANOGA PARK NC	0	51,643	100.00
RESEDA NC	1	54,606	79.93
TARZANA NC	0	35,682	100.00
WINNETKA NC	0	46,919	100.00
WOODLAND HILLS-WARNER CENTER NC	0	70,111	100.00
District 04			
BEL AIR-BEVERLY CREST NC	1	14,396	53.12
CENTRAL HOLLYWOOD NC	1	4,549	27.15
GREATER GRIFFITH PARK NC	1	32,277	95.62
GREATER TOLUCA LAKE NC	0	11,706	100.00
GREATER WILSHIRE NC	1	43,400	89.39
HOLLYWOOD HILLS WEST NC	1	30,091	76.88
HOLLYWOOD UNITED NC	1	12,337	63.65
MID CITY WEST CC	1	23,513	40.65
MID-TOWN NORTH HOLLYWOOD NC	1	6,092	8.89

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 2: Neighborhood Council Splits by City Council District

SHERMAN OAKS NC	1	58,080	90.98
SILVER LAKE NC	1	4,299	13.20
STUDIO CITY NC	1	677	1.99
VAN NUYS NC	2	6,862	8.71
District 05			
BEL AIR-BEVERLY CREST NC	1	12,706	46.88
ENCINO NC	0	43,981	100.00
GREATER WILSHIRE NC	1	5,150	10.61
MID CITY WEST CC	1	34,335	59.35
P.I.C.O. NC	1	3,289	15.24
PALMS NC	0	27,275	100.00
SHERMAN OAKS NC	1	5,759	9.02
SOUTH ROBERTSON NC	0	40,967	100.00
WESTSIDE NC	0	31,155	100.00
WESTWOOD NC	0	51,503	100.00
District 06			
ARLETA NC	0	35,332	100.00
LAKE BALBOA NC	0	42,183	100.00
NORTH HILLS EAST	1	21,916	55.53
NORTH HOLLYWOOD NORTHEAST NC	1	10,396	30.87
PANORAMA CITY NC	0	67,394	100.00

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 2: Neighborhood Council Splits by City Council District

SUN VALLEY AREA NC	1	26,172	54.60
VAN NUYS NC	2	54,608	69.28
District 07			
FOOTHILL TRAILS DISTRICT NC	0	20,962	100.00
MISSION HILLS NC	0	22,762	100.00
NORTH HILLS EAST	1	17,546	44.46
PACOIMA NC	0	74,752	100.00
SUNLAND-TUJUNGA NC	0	43,253	100.00
SYLMAR NC	0	78,846	100.00
District 08			
EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS CENTRAL AREA NDC	0	42,854	100.00
EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS NORTH AREA NDC	1	70,564	94.34
EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS SOUTHWEST AREA NDC	0	28,233	100.00
NC WESTCHESTER/PLAYA DEL REY	1	5,484	9.72
PARK MESA HEIGHTS NC	0	35,918	100.00
UNITED FOR VICTORY	0	15,237	100.00
VOICES OF 90037	0	45,935	100.00
District 09			
CENTRAL ALAMEDA NC	0	30,570	100.00
COMMUNITY AND NEIGHBORS FOR NINTH DISTRI	0	44,227	100.00
DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES	2	547	1.42

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 2: Neighborhood Council Splits by City Council District

EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS NORTH AREA NDC	1	4,231	5.66
EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS SOUTHEAST AREA NDC	0	74,262	100.00
SOUTH CENTRAL NC	0	43,120	100.00
District 10			
EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS WEST AREA NDC	0	36,838	100.00
MID CITY NC	0	28,861	100.00
OLYMPIC PARK NC	0	18,805	100.00
P.I.C.O. NC	1	18,296	84.76
UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS OF THE HISTORIC ARL	0	52,594	100.00
WEST ADAMS NC	0	27,007	100.00
WILSHIRE CENTER - KOREATOWN NC	1	66,777	70.05
District 11			
BRENTWOOD CC	0	31,882	100.00
DEL REY NC	0	31,023	100.00
MAR VISTA CC	0	50,187	100.00
NC WESTCHESTER/PLAYA DEL REY	1	50,945	90.28
VENICE NC	0	36,875	100.00
WEST LOS ANGELES NC	0	30,629	100.00
District 12			
CHATSWORTH NC	0	33,463	100.00
GRANADA HILLS NORTH NC	0	25,245	100.00

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 2: Neighborhood Council Splits by City Council District

GRANADA HILLS SOUTH NC	0	28,442	100.00
NORTH HILLS WEST NC	0	20,964	100.00
NORTHRIDGE EAST	0	25,350	100.00
NORTHRIDGE SOUTH NC	0	30,918	100.00
NORTHRIDGE WEST	0	21,006	100.00
OLD NORTHRIDGE CC	0	13,597	100.00
PORTER RANCH NC	0	21,027	100.00
RESEDA NC	1	13,712	20.07
WEST HILLS NC	0	38,686	100.00
District 13			
ATWATER VILLAGE NC	0	14,094	100.00
CENTRAL HOLLYWOOD NC	1	12,203	72.85
EAST HOLLYWOOD NC	0	46,425	100.00
ELYSIAN VALLEY RIVERSIDE NC	0	6,889	100.00
GREATER ECHO PARK ELYSIAN NC	1	33,482	73.91
GREATER GRIFFITH PARK NC	1	1,477	4.38
HISTORIC FILIPINOTOWN NC	0	8,854	100.00
HOLLYWOOD HILLS WEST NC	1	8,973	22.93
HOLLYWOOD STUDIO DISTRICT NC	0	29,213	100.00
HOLLYWOOD UNITED NC	1	7,046	36.35
RAMPART VILLAGE NC	0	24,107	100.00

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 2: Neighborhood Council Splits by City Council District

SILVER LAKE NC	1	28,265	86.80
WILSHIRE CENTER - KOREATOWN NC	1	28,546	29.95
District 14			
ARROYO SECO NC	1	6,534	29.27
BOYLE HEIGHTS NC	0	84,001	100.00
DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES	2	37,732	98.15
EAGLE ROCK NC	1	28,858	93.89
GLASSELL PARK NC	1	14,553	63.35
HISTORIC CULTURAL NC	1	6,365	32.29
HISTORIC HIGHLAND PARK NC	1	13,596	24.41
LA-32 NC	1	41,529	92.00
LINCOLN HEIGHTS NC	1	1,698	5.45
District 15			
CENTRAL SAN PEDRO NC	0	29,628	100.00
COASTAL SAN PEDRO NC	0	26,959	100.00
HARBOR CITY NC	0	22,497	100.00
HARBOR GATEWAY NORTH NC	0	34,052	100.00
HARBOR GATEWAY SOUTH NC	0	22,496	100.00
NORTHWEST SAN PEDRO NC	0	21,055	100.00
WATTS NC	0	39,522	100.00
WILMINGTON NC	0	54,200	100.00

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.



Table 3: Neighborhood Council Splits by Neighborhood Council

Neighborhood Council	LACCRC Adjusted Draft District - February, 22nd, 2012	# NC Splits	NC Population in District	% of NC Population in the District
ARLETA NC	06	0	35,332	100.00
ARROYO SECO NC	01	1	15,785	70.73
ARROYO SECO NC	14	1	6,534	29.27
ATWATER VILLAGE NC	13	0	14,094	100.00
BEL AIR-BEVERLY CREST NC	04	1	14,396	53.12
BEL AIR-BEVERLY CREST NC	05	1	12,706	46.88
BOYLE HEIGHTS NC	14	0	84,001	100.00
BRENTWOOD CC	11	0	31,882	100.00
CANOGA PARK NC	03	0	51,643	100.00
CENTRAL ALAMEDA NC	09	0	30,570	100.00
CENTRAL HOLLYWOOD NC	04	1	4,549	27.15
CENTRAL HOLLYWOOD NC	13	1	12,203	72.85
CENTRAL SAN PEDRO NC	15	0	29,628	100.00
CHATSWORTH NC	12	0	33,463	100.00
COASTAL SAN PEDRO NC	15	0	26,959	100.00
COMMUNITY AND NEIGHBORS FOR NINTH DISTRI	09	0	44,227	100.00
DEL REY NC	11	0	31,023	100.00
DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES	01	2	166	0.43
DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES	09	2	547	1.42
DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES	14	2	37,732	98.15

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 3: Neighborhood Council Splits by Neighborhood Council

Neighborhood Council	LACCRC Adjusted Draft District - February, 22nd, 2012	# NC Splits	NC Population in District	% of NC Population in the District
EAGLE ROCK NC	01	1	1,878	6.11
EAGLE ROCK NC	14	1	28,858	93.89
EAST HOLLYWOOD NC	13	0	46,425	100.00
ELYSIAN VALLEY RIVERSIDE NC	13	0	6,889	100.00
EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS CENTRAL AREA NDC	08	0	42,854	100.00
EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS NORTH AREA NDC	08	1	70,564	94.34
EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS NORTH AREA NDC	09	1	4,231	5.66
EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS SOUTHEAST AREA NDC	09	0	74,262	100.00
EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS SOUTHWEST AREA NDC	08	0	28,233	100.00
EMPOWERMENT CONGRESS WEST AREA NDC	10	0	36,838	100.00
ENCINO NC	05	0	43,981	100.00
FOOTHILL TRAILS DISTRICT NC	07	0	20,962	100.00
GLASELL PARK NC	01	1	8,419	36.65
GLASELL PARK NC	14	1	14,553	63.35
GRANADA HILLS NORTH NC	12	0	25,245	100.00
GRANADA HILLS SOUTH NC	12	0	28,442	100.00
GREATER CYPRESS PARK NC	01	0	12,825	100.00
GREATER ECHO PARK ELYSIAN NC	01	2	11,918	26.09
GREATER ECHO PARK ELYSIAN NC	13	2	33,482	73.91
GREATER GRIFFITH PARK NC	04	1	32,277	95.62

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 3: Neighborhood Council Splits by Neighborhood Council

Neighborhood Council	LACCRC Adjusted Draft District - February, 22nd, 2012	# NC Splits	NC Population in District	% of NC Population in the District
GREATER GRIFFITH PARK NC	13	1	1,477	4.38
GREATER TOLUCA LAKE NC	04	0	11,706	100.00
GREATER VALLEY GLEN COUNCIL	02	0	47,309	100.00
GREATER WILSHIRE NC	04	1	43,400	89.39
GREATER WILSHIRE NC	05	1	5,150	10.61
HARBOR CITY NC	15	0	22,497	100.00
HARBOR GATEWAY NORTH NC	15	0	34,052	100.00
HARBOR GATEWAY SOUTH NC	15	0	22,496	100.00
HISTORIC CULTURAL NC	01	1	13,347	67.71
HISTORIC CULTURAL NC	14	1	6,365	32.29
HISTORIC FILIPINOTOWN NC	13	0	8,854	91.45
HISTORIC HIGHLAND PARK NC	01	1	42,111	75.59
HISTORIC HIGHLAND PARK NC	14	1	13,596	24.41
HOLLYWOOD HILLS WEST NC	04	1	30,091	76.88
HOLLYWOOD HILLS WEST NC	13	1	8,973	22.93
HOLLYWOOD STUDIO DISTRICT NC	13	0	29,213	100.00
HOLLYWOOD UNITED NC	04	1	12,337	63.65
HOLLYWOOD UNITED NC	13	1	7,046	36.35
LA-32 NC	01	1	3,611	8.00
LA-32 NC	14	1	41,529	92.00

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 3: Neighborhood Council Splits by Neighborhood Council

Neighborhood Council	LACCRC Adjusted Draft District - February, 22nd, 2012	# NC Splits	NC Population in District	% of NC Population in the District
LAKE BALBOA NC	06	0	42,183	100.00
LINCOLN HEIGHTS NC	01	1	29,454	94.55
LINCOLN HEIGHTS NC	14	1	1,698	5.45
MACARTHUR PARK NC	01	1	29,054	100.00
MAR VISTA CC	11	0	50,187	100.00
MID CITY NC	10	0	28,861	100.00
MID CITY WEST CC	04	1	23,513	40.65
MID CITY WEST CC	05	1	34,335	59.35
MID-TOWN NORTH HOLLYWOOD NC	02	1	62,431	91.11
MID-TOWN NORTH HOLLYWOOD NC	04	1	6,092	8.89
MISSION HILLS NC	07	0	22,762	100.00
NC VALLEY VILLAGE	02	0	23,080	100.00
NC WESTCHESTER/PLAYA DEL REY	08	1	5,484	9.72
NC WESTCHESTER/PLAYA DEL REY	11	1	50,945	90.28
NOHO WEST NC	02	0	20,907	100.00
NORTH HILLS EAST	06	1	21,916	55.53
NORTH HILLS EAST	07	1	17,546	44.46
NORTH HILLS WEST NC	12	0	20,964	100.00
NORTH HOLLYWOOD NORTHEAST NC	02	1	23,284	69.13
NORTH HOLLYWOOD NORTHEAST NC	06	1	10,396	30.87

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 3: Neighborhood Council Splits by Neighborhood Council

Neighborhood Council	LACCRC Adjusted Draft District - February, 22nd, 2012	# NC Splits	NC Population in District	% of NC Population in the District
NORTHRIDGE EAST	12	0	25,350	100.00
NORTHRIDGE SOUTH NC	12	0	30,918	100.00
NORTHRIDGE WEST	12	0	21,006	100.00
NORTHWEST SAN PEDRO NC	15	0	21,055	100.00
OLYMPIC PARK NC	10	0	18,805	100.00
P.I.C.O. NC	05	1	3,289	15.24
P.I.C.O. NC	10	1	18,296	84.76
PACOIMA NC	07	0	74,752	100.00
PALMS NC	05	0	27,275	100.00
PANORAMA CITY NC	06	0	67,394	100.00
PARK MESA HEIGHTS NC	08	0	35,918	100.00
PICO UNION NC	01	0	39,977	100.00
PORTER RANCH NC	12	0	21,027	100.00
RAMPART VILLAGE NC	13	0	24,107	100.00
RESEDA NC	03	1	54,606	79.93
RESEDA NC	12	1	13,712	20.07
SHERMAN OAKS NC	04	1	58,080	90.98
SHERMAN OAKS NC	05	1	5,759	9.02
SILVER LAKE NC	04	1	4,299	13.20
SILVER LAKE NC	13	1	28,265	86.80

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 3: Neighborhood Council Splits by Neighborhood Council

Neighborhood Council	LACCRC Adjusted Draft District - February, 22nd, 2012	# NC Splits	NC Population in District	% of NC Population in the District
SOUTH CENTRAL NC	09	0	43,120	100.00
SOUTH ROBERTSON NC	05	0	40,967	100.00
STUDIO CITY NC	02	1	33,372	98.01
STUDIO CITY NC	04	1	677	1.99
SUN VALLEY AREA NC	02	1	21,687	45.24
SUN VALLEY AREA NC	06	1	26,172	54.60
SUNLAND-TUJUNGA NC	07	0	43,253	100.00
SYLMAR NC	07	0	78,846	100.00
TARZANA NC	03	0	35,682	100.00
UNITED FOR VICTORY	08	0	15,237	100.00
UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS OF THE HISTORIC ARL	10	0	52,594	100.00
VAN NUYS NC	02	2	17,354	22.02
VAN NUYS NC	04	2	6,862	8.71
VAN NUYS NC	06	2	54,608	69.28
VENICE NC	11	0	36,875	100.00
VOICES OF 90037	08	0	45,935	100.00
WATTS NC	15	0	39,522	100.00
WEST ADAMS NC	10	0	27,007	100.00
WEST HILLS NC	12	0	38,686	100.00
WEST LOS ANGELES NC	11	0	30,629	100.00

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

Table 3: Neighborhood Council Splits by Neighborhood Council

Neighborhood Council	LACCRC Adjusted Draft District - February, 22nd, 2012	# NC Splits	NC Population in District	% of NC Population in the District
WESTLAKE NORTH NC	01	1	25,295	100.00
WESTLAKE SOUTH NC	01	0	21,842	100.00
WESTSIDE NC	05	0	31,155	100.00
WESTWOOD NC	05	0	51,503	100.00
WILMINGTON NC	15	0	54,200	100.00
WILSHIRE CENTER - KOREATOWN NC	10	1	66,777	70.05
WILSHIRE CENTER - KOREATOWN NC	13	1	28,546	29.95
WINNETKA NC	03	0	46,919	100.00
WOODLAND HILLS-WARNER CENTER NC	03	0	70,111	100.00

\* Please note that splits of NC populations of 1 person or less have been omitted from the report.

**ATTACHMENT**

**B**



# CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA



ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA  
MAYOR

JUNE LAGMAY  
City Clerk

KAREN E. KALFAYAN  
Executive Officer

Office of the  
CITY CLERK

Council and Public Services  
Room 395, City Hall  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
General Information - (213) 978-1133  
Fax: (213) 978-1040

KONRAD CARTER  
Acting Chief, Council and Public Services  
Division

[www.cityclerk.lacity.org](http://www.cityclerk.lacity.org)

July 15, 2009

To All Interested Parties:

The City Council adopted the action(s), as attached, under  
Council file No. 08-2758, at its meeting held July 14, 2009.



City Clerk  
OS

30

**TO THE COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF LOS ANGELES**

Your **EDUCATION AND NEIGHBORHOODS COMMITTEE**

**reports as follows:**

EDUCATION AND NEIGHBORHOODS COMMITTEE REPORT relative to an application to rename a community Sherman Oaks.

Recommendations for Council action:

1. APPROVE the application relative to renaming a community Sherman Oaks, roughly located in a neighborhood north of Burbank Boulevard, south of Oxnard Street, east of Sepulveda Boulevard and west of Hazeltine Avenue, with the following boundaries:
  - a. Eastern Boundary – North side of Burbank Boulevard, West side of Hazeltine, North side of Califa/Tiara.
  - b. Northern Boundary – North side of Califa/Tiara. This boundary includes the residences on Vesper Street which may have addresses on Oxnard Boulevard. Califa Street is located along the Western end of the North Boundary. The street does not have passage through to Sepulveda Boulevard which is the Western boundary. The applicants request that a boundary line is extended from the North West corner of the intersection of Califa and Halbreut to Sepulveda Boulevard to the West.
  - c. Exclusion – East and West side of Van Nuys Boulevard consistent with the current exclusion from Magnolia Boulevard through Burbank Boulevard.
  - d. Western Boundary – East side of the 405 Freeway.
2. INSTRUCT all relevant departments to perform the necessary requirements to effectuate the renaming of this community, including but not limited to, the installation of signs.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Neither the Chief Legislative Analyst nor the City Administrative Officer has completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: Yes  
Against Proposal: Van Nuys Neighborhood Council  
Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council

SUMMARY

At its regular meeting held on June 23, 2009, the Education and Neighborhoods Committee considered an application filed by Laurette Healy requesting to change a community name to Sherman Oaks. This community is roughly located in a neighborhood north of Burbank Boulevard, south of Oxnard Street, east of Sepulveda Boulevard and west of Hazeltine Avenue. The properties petitioning for a name change are comprised of approximately 1,855 parcels and are located within two postal zip code areas; 91411 and 91401.


The Office of the City Clerk provided a summary of the application chronology which is attached to the Council file. The application was filed on September 23, 2008 and, after City Clerk staff verified the requisite number of signatures on the petition accompanying the application, it was approved for processing on October 8, 2008. The Department of Neighborhood Empowerment reports that the Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council, the Van Nuys Neighborhood Council, Lake Balboa Neighborhood Council and Greater Valley Glen Council were all notified of the proposal to rename a portion of Van Nuys to Sherman Oaks. The Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council and Van Nuys Neighborhood Council held public hearings on January 9, 2009 and January 14, 2009, respectively, and voted to oppose the proposed name change from Van Nuys to Sherman Oaks. Both Neighborhood Councils submitted Community Impact Statements to the Council file. The Lake Balboa Neighborhood Council and the Greater Valley Glen Council did not hold hearings on the subject. Additionally, the City Clerk, Records Management Division, and the Public Works, Bureau of Engineering, submitted reports relative to the application.

During the discussion of this matter, the applicant briefly presented background information concerning the community and addressed related questions from the Committee members. Since the proposed community is located within the boundaries of Council District Two, staff representing Councilmember Wendy Greuel addressed the Committee. The representative of Councilmember Greuel's Office spoke in support of the application and petition to officially rename the community Sherman Oaks and requested a technical amendment to describe the western boundary as the east side of the 405 Freeway. After an opportunity for public comment, the Committee recommended to approve the application to rename a community Sherman Oaks as amended to describe the western boundary as the east side of the 405 Freeway. This matter is now forwarded to the Council for its consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

EDUCATION AND NEIGHBORHOODS COMMITTEE

<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>VOTE</u>
ALARCON:	YES
HAHN:	YES
ZINE:	ABSENT



ep  
CD 2  
08-2758\_rpt\_en\_6-30-09.doc


**ADOPTED**

JUL 14 2009

**LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL**

- Not Official Until Council Acts -





# Proposed Sherman Oaks Community





**ATTACHMENT**

**C**

11-2057

DEC 9 2011

ARTS, PARKS & NEIGHBORHOODS

MOTION

The community of Rose Hills has been in existence for hundreds of years since the colonial Spanish era previously known as Rosa de Castilla Spanish for "Rose of Castile".

Rose Hills as this community is currently identified by local residents is in the process of restoring its rightful place among several communities surrounding the El Sereno area. Because of its place in history the Bureau of Engineering has determined that this community could be grandfathered, allowing the installation of community signs.

Leaders of Rose Hills and residents have collected signatures and the approval of its local neighborhood council and adjacent communities for the posting of community signs.

I THEREFORE MOVE that the City Council direct the Department of Transportation to put up signs for the existing community of Rose Hills in Council District 14 in Northeast Los Angeles at the following locations:

- Monterey Road North on the west side, south of Monterey Road before entering the Community of Hermon at the Monterey Road Pass.
- The corner of Huntington Dr. North and Collis; on the second light pole in the center median south of Collis.
- Soto Street North on the eastside of Soto Street North and Mission; before the Soto Street Bridge.

PRESENTED BY:

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
**JOSE HUIZAR**  
 Councilmember, 14<sup>th</sup> District

SECONDED BY:

  
 \_\_\_\_\_

DEC 9 11 AB



ORIGINAL

**ATTACHMENT**

**D**

\*\*\*\*\*

Office of the City Clerk, City of Los Angeles

This report was generated by the Council File Management System on 02/20/2012

\*\*\*\*\*

**Council File Number**

[02-1641](#)

**Title**

LITTLE ETHIOPIA

**Subject**

Motion - The City of Los Angeles comprises a variety of communities that make up our rich existence as a multi-cultural metropolis. The City has recognized this for many years and has periodically designated certain geographic areas with names based upon historical significance, current cultural attributes, location and other unique characteristics. Within Council District Ten on Fairfax Avenue between Pico Boulevard and Olympic Boulevard there is a large recent influx of Ethiopian identity businesses and residents. In fact most, if not all of the businesses in this area are owned and operated by people of Ethiopian cultural identity. Several residents, community members, and community organizations have requested the City to officially designate a specific area as "Little Ethiopia." There has been overwhelming support by the community and a commitment to work towards the positive development of the area, once designated as "Little Ethiopia." In order to proceed with this designation, action is needed to approve it and to direct the Department of Public Works (Bureau of Engineering) and Department of Transportation to provide the necessary resources to implement the designation of "Little Ethiopia." THEREFORE MOVE that Fairfax Avenue between Pico boulevard and Olympic Boulevard in Council District Ten be designated as "Little Ethiopia." FURTHER MOVE that the Department of Public Works be directed to implement the designation of "Little Ethiopia." FURTHER MOVE that the Department of Transportation be instructed to design and install signs at appropriate locations in order to identify "Little Ethiopia."

**Last Change Date**

08/12/2002

**Council District**

10

**Mover**

NATE HOLDEN

**Second**

ERIC GARCETTI  
JANICE HAHN  
TOM LABONGE

**Archive History**

- 7-31-02 - This days Council session
- 7-31-02 - File to Calendar Clerk for placement on next available Council agenda
- 8-7-02 - Motion ADOPTED
- 8-12-02 - File in files



**ATTACHMENT**

**E**

# CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA

**JUNE LAGMAY**  
City Clerk

**HOLLY L. WOLCOTT**  
Executive Officer



**ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA**  
MAYOR

Office of the  
**CITY CLERK**

**Council and Public Services**  
Room 395, City Hall  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
General Information - (213) 978-1133  
Fax: (213) 978-1040

[www.cityclerk.lacity.org](http://www.cityclerk.lacity.org)

August 23, 2010

To All Interested Parties:

The City Council adopted the action(s), as attached, under Council file  
No. 09-0606, at its meeting held August 20, 2010.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'June Lagmay', is written in black ink.

City Clerk  
srb

2/25/10

13

COMMUNICATION

TO: LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL

FILE NO. 09-0606

FROM: COUNCILMEMBER PAUL KREKORIAN, CHAIR  
EDUCATION AND NEIGHBORHOODS COMMITTEE

COMMUNICATION FROM CHAIR, EDUCATION AND NEIGHBORHOODS COMMITTEE relative to an application to name a community Koreatown.

Recommendations for Council action:

1. APPROVE the application relative to naming a community Koreatown, bounded roughly by Olympic Boulevard from Western Avenue to Vermont Avenue on the south, Vermont Avenue from Olympic Boulevard to Third Street on the east, Third Street from Vermont Avenue to Western Avenue on the north, Western Avenue from Third Street to Olympic Boulevard, including a business corridor along Western Avenue from Third Street to Rosewood Avenue situated inside the East Hollywood area on the west. The proposed boundaries include both sides of the street.
2. INSTRUCT all relevant departments to perform the necessary requirements to effectuate the naming of this community, including, but not limited to, the installation of signs.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Neither the Chief Legislative Analyst nor the City Administrative Officer have completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: None

SUMMARY

In the application submitted on February 10, 2009, the community boundaries were listed as Melrose Avenue on the north, Pico Boulevard on the south, Vermont Avenue and Hoover Street on the east, and Crenshaw Boulevard and Wilton Place on the west. In a transmittal dated May 18, 2009, the Bureau of Engineering stated that the area described in the application is a part of Country Club Park, Koreatown, Pico Union, Wilshire Center, Hancock Park and Melrose community areas. The Bureau also noted that the proposed area extends into the Olympic Park Neighborhood Council (NC), Pico Union NC, MacArthur Park NC, Greater Wilshire NC, Olympic Park NC, and Wilshire Center - Koreatown NC areas.

On April 13, 2010, this matter was considered by the Committee. Members of the community were opposed to the community naming request. The Committee continued this matter in order to give the various parties an opportunity to discuss their concerns and to develop a resolution.

At a regular meeting held on August 11, 2010, the Education and Neighborhood Committee revisited the application request. Members from the community and the applicant informed the Committee that after extensive discussions, a compromise was reached, and requested that the Committee approve the revised boundaries for the Koreatown community to be as follows: Olympic Boulevard from Western Avenue to Vermont Avenue on the south, Vermont Avenue from Olympic Boulevard to Third Street on the east, Third Street from Vermont Avenue to Western Avenue on the north, Western Avenue from Third Street to Olympic Boulevard,

including a business corridor along Western Avenue from Third Street to Rosewood Avenue situated inside the East Hollywood area on the west.

An opportunity for public comment was held. The Chief Legislative Analyst provided background information for the Committee. After the discussion, the Committee recommended Council approve the application with the amended boundaries. This matter is now forwarded to the Council for its consideration.

Respectfully submitted,



PAUL KREKORIAN, CHAIR  
EDUCATION AND NEIGHBORHOODS COMMITTEE

MEMBER	VOTE
KREKORIAN:	YES
ZINE:	ABSENT
HAHN:	ABSENT

SG  
8/12/10  
CD 1, 4, 10, 13  
#09/09-0606\_rpt\_en\_8-11-10.doc

**ADOPTED**

AUG 20 2010

**LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL**

**FORTHWITH**

**ATTACHMENT**

**F**

# CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA



ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA  
MAYOR

JUNE LAGMAY  
City Clerk

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT  
Executive Officer

Office of the  
CITY CLERK

Council and Public Services  
Room 395, City Hall  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
General Information - (213) 978-1133  
Fax: (213) 978-1040

[www.cityclerk.lacity.org](http://www.cityclerk.lacity.org)

August 23, 2010

To All Interested Parties:

The City Council adopted the action(s), as attached, under Council file

No. 08-2885, at its meeting held August 20, 2010.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'June Lagmay', is written in black ink.

City Clerk  
srb

8/10/10

COMMUNICATION

TO: LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL

FILE NO. 08-2885

FROM: COUNCILMEMBER PAUL KREKORIAN, CHAIR  
EDUCATION AND NEIGHBORHOODS COMMITTEE

COMMUNICATION FROM CHAIR, EDUCATION AND NEIGHBORHOODS COMMITTEE relative to an application to name a community Little Bangladesh.

Recommendations for Council action:

1. APPROVE the application relative to naming a community Little Bangladesh, roughly located in Koreatown along West Third Street between South New Hampshire Avenue and South Alexandria Avenue.
2. INSTRUCT all relevant departments to perform the necessary requirements to effectuate the naming of this community, including, but not limited to, the installation of signs.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Neither the Chief Legislative Analyst nor the City Administrative Officer have completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: Yes, by Wilshire Center - Koreatown Neighborhood Council

SUMMARY

In the application submitted on October 23, 2008, the community boundaries were listed as Third Street on the north, Wilshire Boulevard on the south, Vermont Avenue on the east and Western Avenue on the west. In a transmittal dated February 11, 2009, the Bureau of Engineering stated that the area described in the application is a part of Koreatown and Wilshire Center and is in the Wilshire Center - Koreatown Neighborhood Council area.

On April 13, 2010, this matter was considered by the Committee. Members of the community, including the Wilshire Center - Koreatown Neighborhood Council, were opposed to the community naming request. The Committee continued this matter in order to give the various parties an opportunity to discuss their concerns and to develop a resolution.

At a regular meeting held on August 11, 2010, the Education and Neighborhood Committee revisited the application request. Members from the community, including the Wilshire Center - Koreatown Neighborhood Council, and the applicant informed the Committee that after extensive discussions, a compromise was reached, and requested that the Committee approve the revised boundaries for the Little Bangladesh community to be as follows: the area in Koreatown along West Third Street between South New Hampshire Avenue and South Alexandria Avenue.

An opportunity for public comment was held. The Chief Legislative Analyst provided background information for the Committee. The Councilmember of the Fourth District expressed support for the application. After the discussion, the Committee recommended Council approve the application with the amended boundaries. This matter is now forwarded to the Council for its consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL KREKORIAN, CHAIR  
EDUCATION AND NEIGHBORHOODS COMMITTEE

MEMBER	VOTE
KREKORIAN:	YES
ZINE:	ABSENT
HAHN:	ABSENT

SG  
8/12/10  
CD 4  
#08/08-2885\_rpt\_en\_8-11-10.doc



**ADOPTED**

AUG 20 2010

**LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL**

**FORTHWITH**

**Not Official Until Council Acts**



**ATTACHMENT**

**G**

\*\*\*\*\*

Office of the City Clerk, City of Los Angeles

This report was generated by the Council File Management System on 02/20/2012

\*\*\*\*\*

**Council File Number**

[99-2007](#)

**Title**

THAI COMMUNITY OF LOS ANGELES

**Subject**

Motion - The City of Los Angeles comprises a variety of communities that make up our rich tapestry of cultures. Periodically, the City designates a certain geographic area with a name based upon historical significance, location and other unique Characteristics. Within Council District Thirteen (13) in the east Hollywood section, there is an area that is a major economic and cultural hub for the Thai Community of Los Angeles. There is a high concentration of Thai businesses and residents in east Hollywood. This area has also been the site of the annual Thai Cultural Day Parade for the last few years. According to a needs assessment survey in 1992 conducted by the non-profit Thai Community Development Corporation yielding 600 responses, there was overwhelming support for an official "Thai Town" designation by the City of Los Angeles. In 1998, a Thai Town Formation Committee was formed consisting of diverse members from different sectors of the Thai community. The Committee formulated a community development, business improvement, and neighborhood beautification plan, which includes a recommendation that the City adopt the official "Thai Town" designation. In 1999, organizers canvassed local residents, merchants, and property owners, and again discovered there is road-based community support for an official designation. The significance of an official "Thai Town" designation would be the promotion of neighborhood pride, multi-cultural/ethnic exchange, and tourism giving the Thai community a greater voice in Southern California. It is important that the Council support this endeavor by directing the Department of Public Works (Bureau of Engineering) and Department of Transportation to provide the necessary resources to implement the designation of "Thai Town." THEREFORE MOVE that the area of Hollywood Boulevard between Normandie Avenue and Western Avenue be designated as "Thai Town". FURTHER MOVE that the Department of Public Works implement the designation of "Thai Town." FURTHER MOVE that the Department of Transportation be instructed to design and install signs at appropriate locations in order to identify "Thai Town."

**Last Change Date**

12/02/2005

**Council District**

13

**Mover**

JACKIE GOLDBERG

**Second**

JOHN FERRARO

**Archive History**

- 10-20-99 - This days Council session
- 10-20-99 - File to Calendar Clerk for placement on next available Council agenda
- 10-27-99 - Motion ADOPTED
- 11-1-99 - File in files
- 7-11-00 - File to Gerald Gubatan - Council District Thirteen (13) - 53353
- 8-1-00 - File in files